Inside the Pressbox WEEK 1B	173 INDIANA 3:30 p.m.	215 BYU 10:30 p.m. Las Vegas	
Thursday, September 2nd	174 IOWA	216 ARIZONA	
135 TEMPLE	175 MIAMI, OH	217 NEVADA	
6:30 p.m. 136 RUTGERS	3:30 p.m. 176 CINCINNATI	10:30 p.m. 218 CALIFORNIA	
137 BOISE ST	177 MARSHALL	219 NEW MEXICO ST	
7:00 p.m.	3:30 p.m. 178 NAVY	10:30 p.m. 220 SAN DIEGO ST	
138 UCF 139 EAST CAROLINA	179 ALABAMA	221 UTAH ST	
7:30 p.m. Charlotte	3:30 p.m. Atlanta	11:00 p.m.	
140 APPALACHIAN ST	180 MIAMI, FL	222 WASHINGTON ST	
141 USF 7:30 p.m.	181 MASSACHUSETTS 4:00 p.m.	Sunday, September 5th	
142 NC STATE	182 PITTSBURGH	223 NOTRE DAME 7:30 p.m.	
143 BOWLING GREEN	183 CENTRAL MICHIGAN 4:00 p.m.	224 FLORIDA ST	
8:00 p.m. 144 TENNESSEE	184 MISSOURI	Monday, September 6th	
145 OHIO STATE	185 LOUISIANA TECH	225 LOUISVILLE	
8:00 p.m. 146 MINNESOTA	4:00 p.m. 186 MISSISSIPPI ST	8:00 p.m. Atlanta 226 MISSISSIPPI	
Friday, September 3rd	187 LOUISIANA		
147 NORTH CAROLINA	4:30 p.m. 188 TEXAS	FBS vs FCS Games	
6:00 p.m. 148 VIRGINIA TECH	189 SAN JOSE ST	Wednesday September 1st	Fordham at Nebraska
149 OLD DOMINION	5:00 p.m.	UAB at Jacksonville St	Lafayette at Air Force
7:00 p.m.	190 USC 191 OREGON ST	Thursday September 2nd	Montana St at Wyoming
150 WAKE FOREST 151 DUKE	7:00 p.m.		★ Northern Iowa at Iowa St
7:00 p.m.	192 PURDUE	Long Island at FIU Western Illinois at Ball St	Campbell at Liberty
152 CHARLOTTE	193 TEXAS TECH 7:00 p.m. NRG Stadium	Wagner at Buffalo	C Arkansas at Arkansas St Southern at Troy
153 MICHIGAN ST 9:00 p.m.	194 HOUSTON	Weber St at Utah	Northwestern St at N Texas
154 ★ NORTHWESTERN	195 BAYLOR 7:00 p.m.	UC Davis at Tulsa	William & Mary at Virginia
Saturday, September 4th	196 TEXAS ST	UT Martin at WKU	★ Hawaii vs Portland St
155 WESTERN MICHIGAN 12:00 p.m.	197 SYRACUSE	New Mexico vs Houston Baptist	Gardner Webb at GA Southern
156 MICHIGAN	7:00 p.m. 198 OHIO	East Washington at UNLV	E Illinois at South Carolina
157 ARMY 12:00 p.m.	199 AKRON	S Utah at Arizona St	Monmouth at Mid Tenn St
158 GEORGIA ST	7:00 p.m. 200 AUBURN	Friday September 3rd	Missouri St Oklahoma St
159 STANFORD	201 UTSA	St Francis at E Michigan South Dakota Kansas	Nicholls at Memphis Abilene Christian at SMU
12:00 p.m. Arlington 160 KANSAS ST	7:30 p.m.	N Colorado at Colorado	Norfolk St at Toledo
161 ULM	202 ILLINOIS 203 FAU	★ Colorado St vs S Dakota St	
12:00 p.m. 162 KENTUCKY	7:30 p.m.	Saturday September 4th	E Tennessee St at Vanderbilt
163 TULANE	204 FLORIDA	Colgate at Boston College	Duquesne at TCU
12:00 p.m. 164 OKLAHOMA	205 NORTHERN ILLINOIS 7:30 p.m.	Holy Cross at UConn	Bethune-Cookman at UTEP
165 PENN ST	206 GEORGIA TECH		
12:00 p.m. 166 WISCONSIN	207 GEORGIA	Phil S	teele's
167 ★ RICE	7:30 p.m. Charlotte 208 CLEMSON	SPECIAI PLAY	S OF THE WEEK
2:00 p.m. 168 ARKANSAS	209 ★ SOUTHERN MISS 8:00 p.m. POW		
169 FRESNO ST	8:00 p.m. 210 SOUTH ALABAMA	UPSET PLAY (JF I HE WEEK *this is a Best Bet
2:00 p.m.	211 KENT ST	★ Southern	
170 OREGON	8:00 p.m. 212 TEXAS A&M	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
171 WEST VIRGINIA 3:30 p.m.	212 1EAAS A&M 213 ★ LSU	HIGH SCOI	l l
172 MARYLAND	8:30 p.m.	No Selection	II UIIS WEEK
	214 UCLA		

							т.		la O	wle.									OVE	RALL:		OVERALL:						Dur	aarc	Sea	rlot	V mi	abte							
							10		le O											-0		0-0						Kut	gers				gnts							'
						AP	_	Ow	ls Logs				TEA	м	DC	RANK		FOE		AAC -0	'	/s. BIG 10	505					AP	Scar	let Kn	ights L	.ogs			TEAM		PS RAI	W	FO	
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF C	FOE .	TM +/-	FOE +/	тм	FO	E D/	ATE	FOE		SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST	-		AA RANKING	0-0 s	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE F CONF	TM +/- F0	E +/-	TM FO	DAT	E	FOE		SCORE	W/L		DEF		OFF	DEF	ST
0-0	0-0		112	128			9/4	at	Rutgers				106	111	118	99	49	5			EAM RANKI		0-0 0-0	0-0			112		9/4	T	emple					49		106	111	118
0-0		0-0		99 129			9/1 9/1		Akron Boston	Callin						124	125 64	123 45		nole		Rutgers	0-0		0-0		0		9/11 9/18		yracuse Delaware							84	68	18
0-0		0-0		0			9/1		Wagne							24	64	45	#87		Rush Off	#86	0-0		0-0		132		9/18		Jeiaware Iichigan							41	50	40
0-0		0-0		123			10/		Memph							36	66	60			Pass Off	#93	0-0		0-0		145		10/2		Ohio St							4	15	50
0-0		0-0		137			10/		Cincinn	ati						46	5	48			Total Off	#102	0-0		0-0		124		10/9		1ichigan							78	90	116
0-0		0-0		116			10/	16 23 at	Bye							108	108	85	#111		Score Off ks Allowed	#81 #80	0-0		0-0		132		10/16		lorthwes Bye	stern						89	53	74
0-0		0-0		130			10/		UCF							100		76			Rush Def	#103	1-0		1-0		124			at I								66	94	49
0-0		0-0		128			11/		East Ca							56	95	44			Pass Def	#82	0-0		0-0		142		11/6		Visconsi	in						23	16	83
0-0		0-0		121 129			11/		Housto	n						73	48	120	#87 #110		Total Def	#105 #84	0-0 0-0		0-0		L41 L42				ndiana							30	8	58 9
0-0		0-0		121			11/		Tulsa Navy							51 117	39 92	129			Score Def Sks By	#45	0-0		0-0		121		11/20		enn St Iaryland	1						18 61	12 77	89
									,										#107		Ypg Diff	#113									,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,									
																			-2		Total TO's	+5																		
—																			+				1																	
				Net			Off	ense	•	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds										Net		Offen	se	Co	omp	Yds		De	fense		Comp	Yds	
Scor	re		Yds	FD	TO's	FI) RA	-RY			Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC			Sks						core		Yds	FD 1	TO's FE	RA-R	Y Y			Pass	Sks	FD R	A-RY			Pass	Sks
at Rutgers						-													-				Temple																	
at Akron Boston College	9					+													1			ıţs	at Syracuse Delaware											-						
Wagner																						g.	at Michigan																	
Memphis		$=$ \mathbb{I}				\perp													4 (<u>s</u>	S	Knights	Ohio St											[
at Cincinnati Bye						+													-1 3	OWIS	99	¥	Michigan St at Northwesterr	n																
at USF																			٦ °)	AVERAGES	carlet	Bye																	
UCF																				<u>e</u>	\ \frac{\frac}\fint}{\fint}}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\fir}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fir}}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}\frac{\f{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\f{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac	ĕ	at Illinois																	
at East Carolina Houston						+													-	emple		S	Wisconsin at Indiana																	
at Tulsa						1													┨ .3	ē	EAM	ers	at Penn St											— h						-
Navy] '		-	ē	Maryland																	
																			_			Rutg																		
-						+-													-			₹																		
Average		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.09	ó				Average		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.	.0 0.	0%	0 0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Conference		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0%		0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%							Conference	e	0-0			0.0				0%		0.0%				0.0%		0.0%
Away		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	-0	0.0	1.0%	0		0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.09		Position	n by Position E	dges	Home		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.	.0 0.	0%	0 0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	J.U%	0	0.0%
																				nple.		Rutgers																		1
																				Te	mple QB/WF																			1
																				R	vs. utgers DB's	0.21																		
																					emple RB's																			1
																					vs.	1.31																		1
																			-		utgers LB's Temple OL																			1
																					vs.	0.03																		1
																					Rutgers DL																			
																					Temple DL	0.77																		
																					vs. Rutgers OL	0.77																		
																					emple LB'S		1																	
																					vs.	0.51																		
																			-		utgers RB's emple DB's		1																	
																			1		vs.	0.14																		
																					tgers QB/WI	2																		
Last Five	Years M	leeting	ıs (Las	t 22 y	ears a	t Phils	steele.	com)					Proje	cted	Box	Score	2			Т	emple ST's	3.10	Categor	У		Team & M	irgin						Р	hil's For	ecast:					
										10										R	vs. utgers ST's	5.10	Las Vegas Line			Rutgers By	14.5	Form	or Ria =	act riv	alc but	lact tir	no thou	nlavod	Nov. 2, 2	012 P	itaore la	ade the	o corico	20-16
										tents			Pro	jected	l Rush	ing					Temple K		Las Vegas Total	1				and	has won	the la	st 4 (2-	2 ATS). Last tir	me her	e ('13), ti	ailing 2	0-16, R	U stop	oed Tem	ple on
										-	137 y	ards	Tem			tgers	20:	l yards	0.45		vs.		Las veyas rota	•		51.5 Poi	nts	4&1	w/1:50	left an	d then :	scored	on 4th8	k10 from	m the 33	w/:35 l	left in a	23-20	victory	(-13).
										ပိ	164 y	arde	Pr Tem	ojecteo		ing tgers	200	3 yards	-		Rutgers K nple Coache	s .	+/- Ratings			Rutgers By	18.5								mple coul s Schiand					
										e of	104 y	urus		^{pie} cted Ya			200	, yaius		161	vs.	0.45	S S :			urgera by	20.5								t of 22 ba					
										ap	301 y	ards	Tem	ple	Ru	tgers	409	9 yards			gers Coache		Game Grade			Rutgers By	16.5	last	20 home	game	s. Temp	ple wa	s 8-5 in F	Rod Car	rey's first	year bu	it last y	ear the	y were i	not
-										to Table	20	ninte	Proj Tem	ected F			2.	point	0.45	1	Temple Pts	6.52	Computer Yards	s		Rutgers 40	2-304								y finally s Ilready. Te					
										Ξ	20 pc	Jillo		_{pie} erience		tgers (ings	54	points	0.43	F	vs. Rutgers Pts	0.32	<u> </u>			.urgers 40	. 301	inclu	ding nev	v QB D	wan Ma	athis (PS#12) v	who sta	arted the	opener				
										Retu	10	18	Tem	ple	Ru	tgers		19	POS		AL EDGE AWAF	DED TO:	Computer Point	is		Rutgers 3	4-20								-18 as a					1
											_	-	Team					26			Rutgers		Phil Steele			Durtum :	. 45													
											75	5	Tem	pie	Ru	tgers		36			6.07 Point					Rutgers b	y 15					Rut	gers	35	Temp	le 2	0			
										_																														

Boise St Broncos		OVERAL 0-0	.L: OVER/ 0-0						UCF	Knights	5			
Broncos Logs		vs. MTV							Kni	ghts Logs				
TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/- AP TEAMPS RANK TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF	FOE	0-0	0-0	0	TM REC FOE TM	FOE CONE	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP TM FO	DATE			TEAM	PS RANK	FOE
REC CONF CONF TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF 0-0 0-0 126 130 9/4 at UCF 44 52 10 10	DEF ST 69 76		20 TEAM RANKINGS		0-0 0-0 0-0			IM FO	9/4	FOE Boise St	SCORE W/L		ST OFF 76 44	DEF ST 52 10
1-0 0-0 105 9/11 UTEP 96	115 41	Boise St	t uci	E			0		9/11	Bethune-Co	ool			
0-0 0-0 132 9/18 Oklahoma St 45 0-0 0-0 110 9/25 at Utah St 101	36 71 100 24	#113		#24	0-0	0-0	130		9/18	at Louisville Bye			63	70 80
0-0 0-0 126 10/2 Nevada 25	62 14	#51		#6	0-0	0-0	121		_	at Navy			117	92 120
0-0 0-0 132 10/9 at BYU 57	78 8	#97		#2	0-0	0-0	128		10/9	East Carolin	na		56	95 44
0-0 0-0 125 10/16 Air Force 82 10/23 Bye	97 124	#28 #42		#11	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	137 123		10/16	at Cincinnati Memphis			46 36	5 48 66 60
0-0 0-0 120 10/30 at Colorado St 118	76 92	#66	Rush Def	#96	0-0	0-0	112		10/30	at Temple			106	111 118
1-0 0-0 121 11/6 at Fresno St 33 0-0 0-0 125 11/13 Wyoming 83	85 126 46 63	#40 # 45		#122 #123	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	127 123		11/6	Tulane at SMU			69 29	72 73 84 32
0-0 0-0 116 11/20 New Mexico 94	120 122	#55		#93	0-0	0-0	101		11/13	Connecticut	t		129	127 109
0-0 0-0 121 11/27 at San Diego St 85	41 17	#61		#23	0-0	0-0	116		11/26	USF			108	108 85
		#75 -4		#29 +12										
			101411103											
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp							Net		Offense	Comp		Defense	Comp	
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att at UCF	Pass Sks	ł			Score Boise St		Yds FD	TO's FD	RA-RY	YPC Att	Pass Sks	FD RA-RY	YPC Att	Pass Sks
UTEP		1			Bethune-Cookman	1								
Oklahoma St at Utah St					at Louisville Bye	1						+		
Nevada		S	ω		at Navy									
at BYU		Broncos	AVERAGES Knights	1	East Carolina									
Air Force Bye		말	<u>.</u>	,	at Cincinnati Memphis									
at Colorado St			5	,	at Temple									
at Fresno St		st	Σ L		Tulane at SMU									
Wyoming New Mexico		Boise	TEAM)	Connecticut									
at San Diego St		8			USF									
		- 1						-						
		1												
Average 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1			Average	0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0		0.0 0.0%			0.0 0.0%	
Conference 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0 0.	0 0.0%	1			Conference Home	0-0 38-38	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0 38-38	0.0 0.0% 38.0 100.0°			0.0 0.0% 38.0 100.09	0 0.0% 6 38 100.0%
			sition by Position Edges											
		Boise S	Boise St QB/WR	E										
		1.02	vs.											
			UCF DB's Boise St RB's											
		0.23	vs.											
			UCF LB's											
			Boise St OL vs.	0.73										
			UCF DL	0.73										
			Boise St DL											
			vs. UCF OL	0.32										
			Boise St LB'S											
			vs. UCF RB's	0.06										
			Boise St DB's											
			vs.	1.36										
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)			UCF QB/WR Boise St ST's		Category		Team & Margin				Phil's	Forecast:		
None Projected Box Score		1.50	vs.		Las Vegas Line									
9			UCF ST's		wegas Lille		Ucf By 5.5	First	meeting P	oise is 8-1 all	-time vs current	t AAC foes, but thos	e meetings a	ll were when
Projected Rushing 126 yards Boise St UCF	195 yards	0.70	Boise St K vs.		Las Vegas Total		68 Points	those	e AAC team	s were in oth	er leagues. Bois	e is 4-1 SU (5-0 AT	S) in their las	st 5 road
S Projected Passing			UCF K		+/- Ratings							eners before losing he Bounce House'		
267 yards Boise St UCF Projected Yardage Total	364 yards		Boise St Coaches vs.	1.25			Ucf By 8.4	23-2	SU (13-11	-1). Just two	years ago in QB	Hank Bachmeier's	first start Bo	ise came down
Projected Yardage Total 393 yards Boise St UCF Projected Final Score 37 points Boise St UCF	559 yards		UCF Coaches		Game Grade		Ucf By 13.8					t Florida St 36-31 ir his first game as he		
Projected Final Score	42 maint-	3.46	Boise St Pts	3.73	Computer Yards		Ucf 559-393	Malz	ahn now in	his 10th year	r. Malzahn is 22	-26-1 ATS as a HF.	Boise is 6-3	ATS as an AD
37 points Boise St UCF Experience Rankings	43 points	3.40	vs. UCF Pts	3./3			JU 223-333	the I	ast 10 year	s. UCF is led b	by QB Dillon Gab	oriel and has brough ourn and only has B	t in some top	o-notch
g 28 Boise St UCF	80	POSITI	ONAL EDGE AWARDED T	го:	Computer Points		Ucf 43-37	ualis	, cra irridu	g Dig Nat Wi	ama nom Aub	a and only has D	actionic COOK	man on deck.
Team Schedule Strength 81 Boise St UCF	69		UCF		Phil Steele		Ucf by 6							
or boise of Oci			By 0.27 Points								UCF 41 E	Boise St 35		

East Carolina Pirates		OVERALL: OVER 0-0 0-					Appalachi	an St Mountaineers			
Pirates Logs		vs. AAC vs. S	SUN					ountaineers Logs			
TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST	RANK FOE OFF DEF ST	0-0 0- NCAA RANKINGS	-0	TM REC FOE TM REC CONF	FOE TM	M +/- FOE +/-	AP TM FOE DA	TE FOE SCORE W/L	TEAMI	PS RANK F OFF	FOE DEF ST
0-0 0-0 128 126 9/4 Appalachian ! 56 95 44	37 25 28	2020 TEAM RANKINGS		0-0 0-0 0-0			9/4	† East Carolina	37 25 28	3 56	95 44
0-0 0-0 117 9/11 South Carolin 0-0 0-0 114 9/18 at Marshall	40 73 62 97 61 39	East Carolina Appalac	chian St	0-0	0-0	133	9/1 9/1			5	17 31
9/25 Charleston Sc	37 01 33	#55 Rush Off	#6	0-0	0-0	114	9/2			97	61 39
0-0 0-0 127 10/2 Tulane			#103	0-0	0-0	122	10/			59	101 114
0-0 0-0 130 10/9 at UCF 10/16 Bye	10 69 76	#58 Total Off #50 Score Off	#22 #29	0-0	0-0	128	10/			47	33 7
0-0 0-0 121 10/23 at Houston		#89 Sks Allowed	#80	0-0	0-0	127		23 Coastal Carol		35	40 87
0-0 0-0 116 10/30 USF 0-0 0-0 112 11/6 Temple	108 108 85 106 111 118	#101 Rush Def #86 Pass Def	#49 #14	0-0 0-0	0-0	96 110	10/	30 ULM 6 at Arkansas St		130 86	126 130 112 66
0-0 0-0 123 11/13 at Memphis	36 66 60	#103 Total Def	#22	0-0	0-0	106		13 South Alaban		122	107 105
0-0 0-0 121 11/20 at Navy 0-0 0-0 137 11/27 Cincinnati	117 92 120 46 5 48	#102 Score Def #77 Sks By	#19 #29	0-0 0-0	0-0	122 119		20 at Troy 27 GA Southern		80 104	81 94 86 90
0-0 0-0 137 11/27 Ciriciniau	40 5 40	#86 Ypg Diff	#13	0-0	0-0	119	11/	27 GA SOULIEITI		104	86 90
		-3 Total TO's	+1								
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense	Comp Yds					Net	Offi	ense Comp Yds	Defense	Comp	Yds
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC			S	Score	١			-RY YPC Att Pass Sks	FD RA-RY YP		Pass Sks
Appalachian St South Carolina				† East Carolina at Miami, Fl							
at Marshall		S	ne	Elon					<u> </u>		
Charleston Southern		na Pirates RAGES	ē	Marshall		-		<u> </u>		-	
Tulane at UCF		ira ies	in.	at Georgia St at Louisiana							
Bye		olina Pii AVERAGE	101	Bye							
at Houston USF				Coastal Carolina ULM							
Temple				at Arkansas St							
at Memphis		East Carolina TEAM AVER	lan	South Alabama							
at Navy Cincinnati		1 1 1	CPI	at Troy GA Southern			-				
		East T	<u> a</u>								
			pa								
Average 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0	0.0% 0 0.0%	4	Api	Average				-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0%	0 0-0 0.		0 0.0%
Conference 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0 Away 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.0% 0 0.0% 0.0% 0 0.0%		•	Conference Home		0.0 0.0		-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% -0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0%	0 0-0 0.		0 0.0%
Away 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.070 0 0.070	Position by Position Edges	S	Home	00	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0 0.0 0.070 0 0.070	0 00 0.	0.070	0 0.076
		East Carolina Appalac	chian St								
		East Carolina QB/WR vs.	0.71								
		Appalachian St DB's									
		East Carolina RB's vs.	1.21								
		Appalachian St LB's									
		East Carolina OL 0.25									
		Appalachian St DL									
		East Carolina DL									
		vs. Appalachian St OL	1.12								
	ŀ	East Carolina LB'S									
		vs. Appalachian St RB's	1.02								
	ļ.	East Carolina DB's									
		VS.	0.08								
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)	Canus	Appalachian St QB/WR East Carolina ST's		Category	Te	Team & Margin		Phil's	Forecast:		
None Projected Box	Score	vs.	0.23	Las Vegas Line							
Projected Rush	ing	Appalachian St ST's East Carolina K		-	Appa	alachian St By 10	These form	er FCS Southern conf foes meet fo			
164 yards East Carolina Appala	achian St 244 yards	1.00 vs.		Las Vegas Total	!	56.5 Points	meeting as	FBS foes. The last meeting was in Ige. The Pirates have won six strai	'12 when App St lost	35-13 desp	ite holding a
Projected Pass 188 yards East Carolina Appale	ing achian St 180 yards	Appalachian St K East Carolina Coaches		+/- Ratings	FO	Carolina By 1.7	have not m	et in 9 years. ECU last played at B	ank of America Stadiu	ım in '12 wh	hen they faced
	e Total	0.95 vs.		Game Grade			South Caro	lina, a 56-37 defeat. East Carolina B Ahlers and their RB duo of Harris	has 10 starters back	on each side	e of the ball
Projected Yardage 352 yards East Carolina Appale Projected Final S 27 points East Carolina Appale		Appalachian St Coaches East Carolina Pts		Same Graue	Appal	lachian St By 9.	Clark was 0	-4-1 ATS away from home during	the regular season in	his first yea	ar and has 7
27 points East Carolina Appala		2.20 vs.	4.37	Computer Yards	Appala	achian St 425-3!		k on offense but is breaking in a n Mike Houston is 5-3 ATS as an AI			
Experience Rank	rings	Appalachian St Pts		Computer Points			will be a co	npetitive game with a much-impr		ona crowa II	C.C DUC CITIS
25 East Carolina Appala Team Schedule St		POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED	то:		Appa	alachian St 33-27					
71 East Carolina Appala		Appalachian St		Phil Steele	Appa	alachian St by 6		Appalachian St 3	0 Fast Caro	lina 24	
		By 2.17 Points						Apparacilian 3t 3	o Lust Caro	a 24	

USF Bulls		OVERALL: OVERAL 0-0 0-0	:	NC State Wolfpack
Bulls Logs		vs. AAC vs. ACC		Wolfpack Logs
TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/- REC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L	TEAMPS RANK FOE OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	0-0 0-0 NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/-	AP TEAM PS RANK FOE TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF
0-0 0-0 116 129 9/4 at NC State	108 108 85 38 13 26	2020 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 129 116	9/4 USF 38 13 26 108 108 8
0-0 0-0 135 9/11 Florida 9/18 Florida A&M	32 26 59	USE NC State	0-0 0-0 132	9/11 at Miss St 50 28 5
0-0 0-0 132 9/25 at BYU	57 78 8	#79 Rush Off #3		9/25 Clemson 6 2
0-0 0-0 123 10/2 at SMU 10/9 Bye	29 84 32	#75 Pass Off # #90 Total Off #		10/2 Louisiana Tec 110 87 9 10/9 Bye
0-0 0-0 129 10/16 Tulsa	51 39 129	#101 Score Off #	18 0-0 0-0 129	10/16 at Boston Colleg 24 64
0-0 0-0 112 10/23 Temple 0-0 0-0 128 10/30 at East Carolina	106 111 118 56 95 44	#116 Sks Allowed #: #109 Rush Def #		10/23 at Miami, Fl 5 17 10/30 Louisville 63 70
0-0 0-0 121 11/6 Houston	73 48 3	#61 Pass Def #	76 0-0 0-0 127	11/6 at Florida St 26 37
0-0 0-0 137 11/13 Cincinnati 0-0 0-0 127 11/20 at Tulane	46 5 48 69 72 73	#95 Total Def # #121 Score Def #		11/13 at Wake Forest 39 63 (11/20 Syracuse 84 68
0-0 0-0 130 11/26 at UCF	10 69 76	#110 Sks By #	2 0-0 0-0 136	11/27 North Carolin 9 35
		#103 Ypg Diff # -4 Total TO's -		
Net Offense Comp Yds Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks	Defense Comp Yds FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks		Net Score Yds FD	Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks
at NC State			USF	
Florida Florida A&M		-	at Miss St Furman	
at BYU			Clemson	
at SMU Bye		Bulls /ERAGES	Louisiana Tech Bye	
Tulsa		F Bulls AVERAGES e Wolfpa	at Boston College	
Temple at East Carolina		- Bu Bu ×	at Miami, Fl Louisville	
Houston		USF AM AN tate	at Florida St	
Cincinnati at Tulane		USF TEAM AN	at Wake Forest Syracuse	
at UCF			North Carolina	
		_ Z		
Average 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% Conference 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0%	0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0%	-		0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0 0.0% 0
Away 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0%				0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.
		Position by Position Edges USE NC State	_	
		USF QB/WR		
		vs. 1 NC State DB's	04	
		USF RB's	7	
		vs. 1 NC State LB's	35	
		USF OL		
		vs. 0 NC State DL	35	
		USF DL	╡	
		vs. 1 NC State OL	01	
		USF LB'S		
		vs. 1 NC State RB's	06	
		USF DB's	╡	
		vs. 0 NC State QB/WR	56	
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)	Projected Box Score	USF ST's	Category Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
None	Projected Box Score	vs. 1 NC State ST's	Las Vegas Line N Car St By 18.5	NCSt is 2-1 SU all-time vs USF, the last meeting was in 2014. The Wolfpack won 49-17.
र्घ प्रमुख	Projected Rushing	USF K	Las Vegas Total	NCSt has won 11 straight home openers (6-5 ATS). NCSt has won 11 straight vs Non Pol
	USF NC State 184 yards Projected Passing	vs. 1		5 foes. HC Scott has familiarity with NCSt as he faced them when he was the OC at Clem from '15-'19. Last time here ('08) Bulls rolled into town and earned a big 41-10 victory.
5 185 yards	USF NC State 274 yards	USF Coaches	+/- Ratings N Car St By 17.2	North Carolina St is the 2nd most talented team in the ACC Atlantic with 19 starters back
	Projected Yardage Total	vs. 1 NC State Coaches		from an 8-3 squad including a veteran QB and my #11 set of LB's. USF was one of the unfortunate teams that had a first year head coach last year and no spring practice and w
306 yards 21 points	USF NC State 457 yards Projected Final Score	USF Pts		just 1-8 and were outscored by 22 ppg on the road last year. They have 18 starters back with the biggest question mark being the defensive line. They did put up 646 yards vs UC
21 points	USF NC State 44 points Experience Rankings	0.00 vs. 10	56 Computer Yards N Car St 457-306	the season finale last year and USF is 18-11-1 ATS as an AD the last 10 years. The Wolfp
Z1 points	USF NC State 44	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Computer Points N Car St 44-21	do have a big game vs Miss St on deck and last year only had one win by more than 11 points.
67	Team Schedule Strength USF NC State 57	NC State	Phil Steele N Car St by 17	
67	osi ive state 57	By 10.56 Points	N Car St by 17	NC State 37 USF 20

					Bov	vling	Gre	en F	alco	ns							C	OVERAL 0-0	L:	OVERALL: 0-0						Ten	nesse	ee Vo	olunt	teers	;						
						F	alcon	s Logs										vs. MAC		vs. SEC							Volu	nteers	Logs			,					
TM REC FOE REC	TM F	OE TM	+/- FOE +/-	тм	AP FOE	DATE		FOE	sco	DRE W/		TEAM DEF	P: ST	S RANK OFF		FOE S	. 🗕	0-0	NCAA RANKING	0-0 SS	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE F CONF	TM +/- FOE +/	- тм	AP FOE	DATE	FC	DE	SCORE	W/L	OFF	EAM DEF	PS RAI	NK OFF	FOE DEF	ST
0-0	0-0		34 120			9/4	at Te	ennessee	е		12		115	79	65	3	3		20 TEAM RANKI		0-0 0-0	0-0					9/4		ing Gree		,-	79	65		126	128	115
0-0	(0-0	106			9/11 9/18		outh Ala Iurray St						122	107	10	15 Bo	wling Gr	een	Tennessee	0-0		0-0	126			9/11 9/18	Pittst	ourgh essee T	-					43	21	35
0-0	(0-0	134			9/18		innesota						27	98	6	1 #-	44	Rush Off	#85	0-0		0-0	135				at Floric							32	26	59
0-0		0-0	121			10/2		ent St						54				118	Pass Off	#84			0-0	124				at Misso							75		30
0-0		0-0 0-0	99 112			10/9		kron orthern 1	Illin					124			13 #1	125	Total Off Score Off	#99 #107	0-0		0-0 0-0	117 138			10/9 10/16	Sout	h Caroli ssinni	n					40 7		62 103
0-0	(0-0	117			10/23	3 E	astern M						107	118	2	9 #:	26	Sks Allowed	#11:	. 0-0		0-0	158			10/23	at Alaba							8		1
0-0	(0-0	115			10/30		uffalo ye						116	104	- 11		129 ‡9	Rush Def Pass Def	#41			0-0	125			10/30 11/6	at Bye at Kenti	uclay						67	42	52
0-0	(0-0	119			11/13		oledo						72	51	11		118	Total Def	#100			0-0	145			11/13	Geor							3		2
0-0		0-0	120					iami, OH	ł					113				127	Score Def	#72			0-0	106			11/20		h Alabaı	п							105
0-0	(0-0	123			11/27	, 0	hio						88	110	5		110 119	Sks By Ypg Diff	#52 #96	0-0		0-0	112			11/27	Vand	lerbilt						111	116	106
																		10	Total TO's	-5																	
						0"			٧																		0"		•	W.I.			D. (•	W.I.	
Sc	ore	,	Net ds FD	TO's	FD	Offen: RA-R		C At			s FD	Defense RA-RY		Com	•		ıs				Se	core		Net Yds FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defense RA-RY			Yds Pass	Sks
at Tennessee																					Bowling Gre	en															
South Alabar Murray St	na				<u> </u>						_						\dashv	2		ý	Pittsburgh Tennessee T	Tech				<u> </u>						1					
at Minnesota					L												_	Falcons		ers	at Florida	cui															
at Kent St																		ᇹ	S	Ę	at Missouri																
Akron at Northern Illin	pis										_							Œ.	8	<u> 3</u>	South Caroli Mississippi	ina															_
Eastern Michi																		Green	AVERAGES	Volunte	at Alabama																
at Buffalo Bye											_						_	ī.	≥	9	at Bye at Kentucky																_
Toledo																			Σ	Se	Georgia																-
at Miami, OH																		owling	ТЕАМ	enness	South Alaba	ma															
Ohio											-						_	₹		Ē	Vanderbilt																\dashv
																		Bo		Ę																	
Average		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.	0.0	% (0.0	% 0	0-0	0.0	0.09	ر د	0.0	0/-	_			Average		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0	.0%
Conference	!		0.0	0.0	0	0-0							0.0			0.0					Conference	e	0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0		0.0%		.0%
Away		0-0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.	0.0	% (0.0	% 0	0-0	0.0	0.09	6 0	0.0	%				Home		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0	.0%
																	Box	wling Gr	ition by Position	Tennessee	1																
																			owling Green QB/		1																
																			vs. Tennessee DB	1.24																	
																	-		owling Green R		1																
																			vs.	0.09																	
																	-		Tennessee LB' Bowling Green		4																
																			vs.	1.92																	
																	-		Tennessee Di		4																
																			Bowling Green I vs.	2.01																	
																			Tennessee OL	L	4																
																		В	owling Green L vs.	B'S 1.03																	
																			Tennessee RB																		
																		В	owling Green D	0B's 1.30																	
																		т	vs. ennessee QB/\																		
Last Five	Years Me	etings	(Last 22 ye	ears at	PhilSt	teele.co	<u>om)</u>				Pro	jected	Box	Scor	e				Sowling Green S	T's	Categor	у		Team & Margin							Phil's F	Forecast:					
None												,,,,,,,,		-5551					vs. Tennessee ST'	1.08	Las Vegas Line		т	ennessee Bv 35	5.5												
								ents				Projecte							Bowling Green	к	Las Vegas Tota			,									95 vs curre ting in 19				SU
								an te		97 yards	Bow	ling Green			28	6 yards	;		vs.	1.50	Las veyas rota	•		61 Points		& ATS	their last	5 road	opener	s. The V	ols are 7	7-0 all-ti	ime vs MA	C school	s. Tenne	essee is ju	ust 3
								ٽ و		01 yards	s Bow	Projecte ling Green			22	7 yards	, -	Во	owling Green Coa		+/- Ratings		Т	ennessee By 40).3								eorgia St i t 12 starte				
								9			Pr	ojected Y	ardag	e Total			0.	.25	vs.		Game Grade					transfe	r Joe Milt	ton at Q	B and F	PS#6 JC	Tiyon E	vans at	RB. His fir	rst game	at ÚCF	was a 56	-17
								to Table	1	.98 yards		ling Green rojected			51	3 yard:	` 		ennessee Coac Bowling Green F				Т	ennessee By 37	7.7								turning st Ird year of				
								2		12 points	Bow	ling Green	Ter	nnessee	48	points	0.	.25	vs.	10.16	Computer Yard	s	T-	ennessee 513-1	.98	45.0 pp	og last ye	ear with	6.3 yp	c rush. I	BG has j	just 4 st	arters bac	k on offe	nse so t	hey will i	not
								Return		126		xperienc				125			Tennessee Pt		Computer Point	ts		Tennessee 48-1	,								last year. AD under			AIS as a	ЛE
								8		126		ling Green am Scheo				125		POSITI	ONAL EDGE AWA		District I -			remiessee 48-1	_	1	,		2.5	,							1
										108		ling Green				11			Tennessee		Phil Steele			Tennessee by 4	2			Te	nne	ssee	51 P	Bowl	ling G	reen	9		
																			By 9.91 Poin	ts													9 0				

					О	hio S	St Bucke	yes					(OVERALI 0-0	L: (OVERALL: 0-0					Minn	esota	Golden	Gophers	1			
						Bud	ckeyes Logs							/s. BIG 1	10	vs. BIG 10						Golden	Gophers Lo	gs				
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	тм	AP FOE	DATE	FOE	SCORE W/L	TEAM OFF DEF	PS RA ST	OFF	FOE DEF	ST	0-0	NCAA RANKING	0-0	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP TM FOI	DATE	FOE	SCORE W	//L OFF	M DEF	PS RANK ST OFF	FOE DEF ST
0-0	0-0		145 134				at Minnesota		4 15		27		61		20 TEAM RANKI		0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	134 145	4	_	Ohio St				61 4	15 50
0-0		0-0	135 129			9/11 9/18	Oregon Tulsa				11 51		78 .29	Ohio St		Minnesota	0-0		0-0	120 122		9/11 9/18	Miami, OH at Colorado				113 55	103 91 47 95
0-0		0-0	99			9/18	Akron				124			#8	Rush Off	#40	0-0		0-0	84		9/18	Bowling Gr	-ee			126	128 115
0-0		0-0	128			10/2	at Rutgers				99	49	5 #	37	Pass Off	#91	0-0		0-0	127			at Purdue				48	71 101
0-0		0-0	121			10/9	Maryland Bye				61	77		14	Total Off Score Off	# 69 #72	0-1		0-1	130		10/9	Bye Nebraska				65	54 99
0-0		0-0	141			10/23	at Indiana				30		58 #	80	Sks Allowed	#40	0-0		0-0	121		10/23	Maryland				61	77 89
0-0		0-0 0-1	142 130			10/30	Penn St at Nebraska				18 65			#8 123	Rush Def Pass Def	#106 #38	0-0 1-0		0-0 1-0	132 124		10/30	at Northweste Illinois	ern			89 66	53 74 94 49
0-0		0-0	127			11/13	Purdue				48			63	Total Def	#74	0-0		0-0	143			at Iowa				64	22 4
0-0		0-0	124 132			11/20	Michigan :	St			78			46 45	Score Def Sks By	#73 #108	0-0 0-0		0-0 0-0	141 142		11/20	at Indiana Wisconsin				30 23	8 58 16 83
0-0		0-0	132			11/2/	at Michigan				41	50 4		17	Ypg Diff	#108	0-0		0-0	142		11/2/	WISCONSIN				23	16 83
													+	10	Total TO's	0												
			Net			Offense	e Cor	np Yds	Defense		Comp	Yds								Net		Offense	Com	p Yds		Defense	Comp	Yds
	ore		Yds FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY			FD RA-RY		Att		Sks				Sco	ore		Yds FD	TO's FD			•		RA-RY		Pass Sks
at Minnesota Oregon					1								_			ร	Ohio St Miami, OH								_			
Tulsa																her	at Colorado											
Akron at Rutgers													_	es		oph	Bowling Gree at Purdue	n										
Maryland													_	Buckeyes	ES	G	Bye				-							
Bye														Š	AVERAGES	Golden	Nebraska											
at Indiana Penn St													-	Bu		묫	Maryland at Northwestern											
at Nebraska														St	4	Ğ	Illinois											
Purdue Michigan St									-				_	0	TEAM	ţ	at Iowa at Indiana				_				_			
at Michigan														Ohio	F	Si	Wisconsin											
														O		Minnesota												
																Ę												
Average Conference		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0 0-0	0.0 0.0				0.0%		.0%			~	Average Conference		0-0 0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0 0-0	0.0 0.09		0% 0 0% 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Away		0-0 0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0		0-0	0.0 0.0				0.0%		.0%				Home		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.09		0% 0 0% 0		0.0 0.0%	
															ition by Position E													
														Ohio St	Ohio St QB/WF	Minnesota R	-											
													1.	.00	vs.	_												
															Minnesota DB's Ohio St RB's	s	-											
													1.	.37	vs.													
													-		Minnesota LB's Ohio St OL	5	-											
													1.	.83	vs.													
													-		Minnesota DL Ohio St DL		-											
													0.	.65	vs.													
													-		Minnesota OL Ohio St LB'S		4											
															vs.	0.67												
													_		Minnesota RB's	s	4											
													0.	.67	vs.													
I and Fire	- V	M 4'	(1t 22 ··		DI-IICA	!								M	4innesota QB/V	VR	0.1			T								
2018	Rank	Line Line	Score FD	ears at Rush		eele.cor -Att-Yds	<u>m)</u> TO's		Projected	Box S	core		1.	.53	Ohio St ST's vs.		Category			Team & Margin				PI	il's Forecast:			
Ohio St	3	-30	30 29	32-92	33-	44-412	¥								Minnesota ST's	3	Las Vegas Line			Ohio St By 14	Minn	ocota hac	lost the last 1	1 meetings /	act win 'OO' -	and drage	ed the last 1	3 in the series
Minnesota	-	59.5	14 19	33-178	13-:	23-218	-3	278 yards	Projected Ohio St	l Rushin Minnes		153 yard	ds		Ohio St K vs.		Las Vegas Total			62.5 Points	at ho	me (last v	in here '81).	In their last g	ame (2018),	Minny he	eld OSU to ju	st 92 rush yards
							Ę		Projected	d Passin	g				Minnesota K		+/- Ratings											ost here since a Big 10 foe.
								250 yards	Ohio St Projected Ya			248 yard		.75	Ohio St Coache vs.	s	-			Ohio St By 6.8	Last	time here	(14), OSU w	on 31-24 (-13). Ohio State	does hav	e only 6 sta	ters back on
							Tal	528 yards	Ohio St	Minnes	sota	401 yard			linnesota Coach	ies	Game Grade			Ohio St By 20.2				eaking in a QB ATS as an AF.				are #129 on my both sides of
-							5	41 points	Projected I Ohio St	Final Sco Minnes		29 point	ts 7	.78	Ohio St Pts vs.	0.67	Computer Yards			Ohio St 528-401	the b	all includir	ig a huge O-L	ine and vetera	an QB Tanner	Morgan	and RB Moha	med Ibrihim.
								41 points	Experience			2.7 politi			Minnesota Pts		Computer Points				1*111111			Chart. Fleck is 9-24. Day has				drubbed in the 85.4 ppg!
-							2	129	Ohio St Team Sched	Minnes		1		POSITIO	ONAL EDGE AWAF	RDED TO:				Ohio St 41-29			. 3	.,				
								4	Ohio St	Minnes		38			Ohio St		Phil Steele			Ohio St by 17			O.L	io St At	Minne	·cot-	24	
															By 7.11 Point	ts							Un	io St 41	. Minne	SULG	24	

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Uab By 16.5	UAB has won the last 3 meetings SU but they last met in 2005. JSU was OVC Champs last year, losing in the FCS Quarterfinals and finished 10-3 including a 19-10 win over FIU. UAB is
Las Vegas Total	51.5 Points	0-2 ATS vs FCS foes. Jacksonville HC John Grass enters his 8th year amassing a 68-21 record over his first seven seasons, and led JSU to the FCS Title Game in 2015. JSU has made the FCS playoffs 6 out of the 7 years Grass has been the HC here. Last season JSU played 4
+/- Ratings	Uab By 4.9	games in the fall and after losing to Florida St in the opener they won their next 3 games including a win at FIU. In the spring JSU jumped out to a 4-0 OVC start & won all 4 games by at least 17 pts but then fell to Austin Peay despite holding them to 238 yds (JSU was -5
Game Grade	Uab By 19.1	TO's). JSU would go on to win their final 2 OVC games by double digits and blew out Davidson 49-14 in the first round of the FCS playoffs before losing in the next round to Delaware 20-14. They actually led Florida St 14-0 last year. UAB has 17 returning starters and is my
Computer Yards	Uab 6965	favorite to win CUSA again. Bill Clark is a Jacksonville St graduate. UAB is 15-0 vs FCS school and 4-3 ATS. They only beat Central Arkansas by 10 (-20.5) and Alabama St by 5 (-39) the last two years. Jacksonville St has actually won 4 of their last 12 meetings with the FBS
Computer Points	Uab 35-12	including wins over Ole Miss and have covered 4 of the last 5 including 2015 when they only lost to #6 Auburn 27-20 (+47.5). I am high on UAB but the angles and the fact this is a rivalry keeps it closer than what my computer has.
Phil Steele	Uab by 17	
		UAB 34 Jacksonville St 17

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Lag Vagag Line		
Las Vegas Line	Coastal Carolina By 34	These two only met 2 times as FCS foes. Last meeting was in the 1st round of the '15 FCS
	•	playoffs when CCU lost 41-38 on a made FG as time expired. The Bulldogs went 2-10 last
Las Vegas Total	54.5 Points	year and last made the playoffs in `16. The Citadel was -12 in TO's last year and had 3 close losses and won 2 of their last 3 games. Both teams run option offenses with The Citadel's led
. / Datings		by QB Jaylan Adams who was the #1 rusher as a soph. The Citadel did upset an option team
+/- Ratings	Coastal Carolina By 26.4	in 2019 when they took down Georgia Tech 27-24. They also upset South Carolina in 2017.
Game Grade		They played 3 FBS foes last year losing by a half point ATS to Clemson and by 2.5 pts ATS to USF and easily covered at an option team when they only lost to Army 14-9 and were
	Coastal Carolina By 40.6	+30.5. The previous two years they upset GT and covered at Alabama when they were
Computer Yards	Coastal Carolina 76135	shockingly tied with the Tide 10-10 at half (51 in a 50-17 loss. Coastal moved up from FCS in 2017 and is 3-1 SU and 2-2 ATS vs FCS teams since then. Last year they beat Campbell 43-21 but were -27.5. Coastal has 19 starters back from their 11-1 team but is just 3-7 ATS as
		a HF and has a huge game vs Kansas on deck. The Citadel knows how to defend the option
Computer Points	Coastal Carolina 40-13	and their offense will shrink the clock and they should keep this one closer than expected.
Phil Steele		
	Coastal Carolina by 24	Best Bet: Citadel 13 (+) Coastal Carolina 37
		Dest Det. Citadei 13 (+) Coastai Carollila 37

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Fiu By 33.5	First meeting. Last year FIU was held to 156 total yards in 19-10 loss vs FCS Jacksonville St.
Las Vegas Total	50.5 Points	The Sharks went 10-2 in Division II play in 2018 but in their move up to the FCS they went just 0-10 SU and were -136 ypg in FCS play. In the spring they showed improvement and
+/- Ratings	Fiu By 37.8	went 2-2 with wins over Bryant and Merrimack (also new to FCS). This is only the 4th year of program and 1st ever mtg vs an FBS opponent. FIU did not have a good 2019 as Covid wreaked havoc on them as they went 0-5 with an offense that put up just 281 ypg on
Game Grade	Fiu By 32.6	offense. This year FIU figures to be hungry and need to bounce back big and gain confidence for the season. Long Island played two good FCS teams in 2019 and lost those by 38-3 to
Computer Yards	Fiu 66631	South Dakota St and 35-7 to Villanova. FIU is 4-1 since 2015 vs FCS foes but is 1-3 ATS including last years' upset loss. Coming off a 0-5 season the Panthers are a hungry team that
Computer Points	Fiu 46-0	needs to build confidence with the only hesitation being that Butch Davis is 5-11 ATS as a home favorite.
Phil Steele	Fiu by 49	
	,	FIU 52 Long Island 3

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Ball St By 25.5	
Las Vegas Total	59.5 Points	Ball St is 7-1 SU vs FCS foes since '13 including 6 straight wins. Cardinals are just 1-3 vs Fighting Leathernecks including a 24-14 loss in their last meeting in 2000. WIU made the playoffs in '17 but under new HC Jared Elliot they went just 5-6 in '18 and then 1-11 in '19.
+/- Ratings	Ball St By 41.9	WIU was just 1-5 SU in the spring season in MVFC play and was -97 ypg. Western rushed for just 56 ypg (2.5) last year. Ball St had 4 straight losing seasons to start the Mike Niu era and
Game Grade	Ball St By 38.0	lost their opener last year but won their last 7 with an upset of Buffalo in the MAC title game and an upset of ranked San Jose in the Arizona Bowl to finish 7-1 and #23. Ball St has 10
Computer Yards	Ball St 799-33	starters back on offense and 10 on defense but also have a target on their back this year and have a big game at Happy Valley vs Penn State next week. Ball State is 7-16 ATS as a HF the
Computer Points	Ball St 51-14	last 7 years.
Phil Steele	Ball St by 38	Ball St 48 Western Illinois 10

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Buffalo By 45	
Las Vegas Total	55.5 Points	Buffalo lost only previous mtg 20-0 in 1987 when both were D-III & the Seahawks finished as the D-III National Champ. Wagner went 0-2 this past spring but only lost to UConn 24-21 in '19. In that '19 season they were just 1-11 and -88 ypg. Unlike most FCS teams Wagner
+/- Ratings	Buffalo By 45.0	only returns 7 starters from the spring! Speaking of losing players there was a mass exodus from the Buffalo team after their head coach Leipold bolted for Kansas the new HC Maurice Linguist opted not to retain any of the coaching staff. Buffalo was dominant last year and
Game Grade	Buffalo By 72.2	favored in the MAC title game but were upset and then beat Marshall in the bowl. Leipold was an outstanding 12-3-1 ATS as a HF the last 4 years. Buffalo is 11-1 SU vs the FCS with an upset loss to Albany in 2016 but are just 3-6 ATS the last 9 vs FCS. Wagner is 0-11 SU vs
Computer Yards	Buffalo 76074	the FBS and just 3-7-1 ATS. The Bulls do have a big game vs Nebraska on deck but have been hearing all offseason that they will take a big fall this year and may be out to prove they will not. Wagner had just 242 ypg on offense in '19 and 166 ypg in the spring and take on a
Computer Points	Buffalo 52-0	top notch D returning 6 starters.
Phil Steele		
	Buffalo by 42	Buffalo 45 Wagner 3

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Utah By 34.5	
Las Vegas Total	46.5 Points	Utah has won all 5 meetings (2-1 ATS). Last time here ('18), the Utes trailed the Wildcats 10-0 in the 2Q before turning it on as Utah outgained Weber St 608-61 and out-FD'd them 25-3 in a 41-10 victory (-23'). Utah is my #4 Surprise team with 10 returning starters on offense
+/- Ratings	Utah By 10.6	and 9 on defense. Weber St has won 4 straight Big Sky titles making the playoffs all 4 years including a loss in the semifinals to James Madison in 2019. They have been winning with defense and have had the Big Sky's best defense overall the last 3 years. They are the
Game Grade	Utah By 36.3	favorites to win the Big Sky again this year. Bronson Barron was the new QB in the spring and hit 52% with a 7-2 ratio. They did lose a 1,000 yard rusher from '19 but the top 3 RB's combined for 1164 yards rush in just 6 spring games. Utah is 9-0 SU but 4-5 ATS vs FCS
Computer Yards	Utah 801109	teams since 2008 although 4-2 ATS the last 6 with an average win by 35.3 ppg. Weber St is 0-28 vs FBS teams since 2000 but have covered 5 of the last 7 losing their last 2 SU by 6 to SDSt and by 6 to Nevada. Utah has a huge game vs BYU on deck. They are 14-6 ATS as a HF
Computer Points	Utah 44-3	the last 4 years but 2-5-1 ATS vs non conf the last 3 years.
Phil Steele	Utah by 31	
		Utah 37 Weber St 6

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Tulsa By 30	First meeting. Tulsa has been a perfect 11-0 SU against FCS foes the last 23 years. Tulsa
Las Vegas Total	55.5 Points	most recently faced an FCS foe in '18 when they opened with C Arkansas, a 38-27 win. Tulsa has also covered their last 5 vs the FCS! They are off a trip to the AAC title game and their
+/- Ratings	Tulsa By 23.8	first bowl since 2016. UCDavis is led by Dan Hawkins who in his first year back at his alma mater took a team off 7 straight losing seasons and guided them to 10 wins and 7 Big Sky
Game Grade	Tulsa By 33.3	wins both Aggie records. In the spring they had to replace QB Jake Maier who threw for 3,563 (65%, 28-13) in `19. QB Hunter Rodrigues (6-13-62-0-0 in `19) started all 5 throwing
Computer Yards	Tulsa 78559	for 931 (65.5%, 8-4) & was the #5 rusher (95, 2.2, 2 td). After going just 5-6 in '19 they were 3-2 in the spring and +89 ypg with losses to #2 Weber St and #9 EWash. Since 2015
Computer Points	Tulsa 45-10	UCDavis is 1-7 vs FBS teams SU but did upset San Jose St and are 7-1 ATS with 5 straight covers and no loss by more than 25. Tulsa has state rival Okla St and Ohio State on deck.
Phil Steele	Tulsa by 25	Tules 20 HC Davis 42
		Tulsa 38 UC Davis 13

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Wku By 17.5	The Hilltoppers and Skyhawks will rekindle a rivalry that has not been played in 20 years.
Las Vegas Total	55 Points	WKU is 4-0 all-time against UT Martin, with all four matchups as consecutive season openers from 1997-2000: WKU won those four contests with a combined score of 196-17. The Skyhawks went 3-4 in the Spring, and they last played CUSA foe in '18, when they lost at MT
+/- Ratings	Wku By 17.6	61-37. UTM was a poor 2-9 in '18 but with new QB John Bachus went 7-5 in 2019 losing at Florida 45-0. In their 2-4 spring they were +5 ypg and they lose QB Bachus. WKU has just 10 starters back this year from a team that was a disappointing 5-7 one season after
Game Grade	Wku By 21.5	surprising everyone in Helton's first year by going from 3-9 to 9-4. They have a FCS feel to them as they not only brought in Houston Baptist's offensive coordinator but also his star QB
Computer Yards	Wku 63828	in Bailey Zappe and top 3 receivers. WKU is just 3-2 SU the last 5 vs FCS foes and have lost all 5 ATS including outright losses to Central Arkansas and Maine. UTM is 0-12 vs the FBS with an average loss by 32.8 ppg and after having a 5-0 ATS streak in these games from
Computer Points	Wku 41-13	2015-2017 they have lost the last 4 ATS. Helton is 2-6 ATS as a HF and 2-8 ATS vs non conf and they do have the Army option on deck next week.
Phil Steele	Wku by 24	WKU 41 UT Martin 17
		WKU 41 UT Mai till 17

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line		
Las vegas Lille	New Mexico By 23.5	First meeting. Lobos have failed to cover their last 3 games vs FCS foes but have won 6 in a
La - Vana - Tatal	-	row SU vs the FCS with an average win by 33.6 ppg and the only game won by less than 24
Las Vegas Total	68.5 Points	was vs powerful Sam Houston St by 8 in '19. The Houston Baptist Huskies only became a program in 2013. HBU played 4 games in the fall LY (3 vs FBS tms) and nearly upset Texas
L / Batings		Tech only losing 35-33 with a 57-31 loss to North Texas and a 66-38 loss to Louisiana Tech.
+/- Ratings	New Mexico By 39.5	They did lose their QB, Offensive Coordinator and 3 top WR's to WKU this year so do not
Game Grade		figure to be as strong. Houston Baptist is just 18-59 in Vic Shealys 7 years as head coach. New Mexico has a new QB in Kentucky transfer Terry Wilson and Rocky Long's defenses can
	New Mexico By 12.4	be confusing to veteran QB's let alone a first time starter. While the Lobos have NMSt on
Computer Yards		deck they did not have a single one of their home games in New Mexico last year should have a decent sized and hungry home crowd and have some momentum after pulling a pair of
compater raras	New Mexico 773-99	upsets to end the year and unlike HBaptist they have 17 returning starters. The Lobos have
Computer Points		lost 9 in a row ATS as a HF and Long was 3-12 ATS as a HF his last 3 years at SDSt but they
Computer Points	New Mexico 51-24	need a confidence builder and the Huskies fit that bill.
Phil Steele		
i iii oteele	New Mexico by 28	New Mexico 51 Houston Baptist 23
		Hew Mexico 31 Houston Baptist 23

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Univ By 3	First meeting. UNLV has lost 3 games to FCS foes since 2011 including the '17 opener when
Las Vegas Total	67.5 Points	the Rebels (-45) lost 43-40 to Howard in the biggest upset in College Football history! The Eagles went 5-2 this past spring & lost in the 1st Round of the playoffs. They have not had a
+/- Ratings	Eastern Washington By 10.8	losing season since 2006 and has 18 returning starters. Aaron Best returns for his fifth year as their HC and took over after a 2016 team went 12-1 then lost in the semi-final playoff. He
Game Grade	Univ By 1.4	has made the playoffs in 2 of his 3 years (1-2 in playoffs) despite the presence of dynamic QB Eric Barrier who has a 76-18 ratio the last 3 years, and he returns. UNLV had a first-year
Computer Yards	Univ 701-127	head coach last year and no spring practice and went just 0-6 but figures to be much improved this year. UNLV is just 7-5 SU and 5-7 ATS vs the FCS since 2010. EW is 3-7 SU
Computer Points	Univ 40-30	the last 10 vs the FBS but 10-5 ATS their last 15 vs FBS teams and UNLV is 3-7 ATS as a HF the last 4 years.
Phil Steele	Univ by 6	UNIV 40 Englary While the 24
		UNLV 40 Eastern Washington 34

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Arizona St By 44.5	1st meeting. Last year the Sun Devils played their latest home opener ever (Dec 5) and lost their
Las Vegas Total	55.5 Points	first home opener in 22 years (25-18 vs ÚCLA). The Thunderbirds went 1-5 this past spring but all 5 losses were by 8 points or less. After going 9-3 in '17 (most wins ever as an FCS team)
+/- Ratings	Arizona St By 44.5	Southern Utah has now gone 1-10, 3-9 and 1-5 the past 3 seasons. In the spring they had to replace their QB and top 2 rushers and new QB Justin Miller threw for 1697 (66%, 15-4) in just 6
Game Grade	Arizona St By 53.7	games. ASU is loaded with 20 starters back from a team that beat Arizona 70-7 and Oregon St 46-33 in their last two games last year. ASU is 14-0 SU vs FCS teams since 2002 but are 1-3 ATS the last 4 matchups only beating Sacramento St 19-7 (-35.5) in 2019 and Cal Poly 35-21 (-37) in
Computer Yards	Arizona St 85258	2015. Southern Utah has lost their last 11 vs FBS foes including going 0-5 ATS the last 5 with the average loss by 37 ppg. Last week I used San Jose St -22' on my Phil Steele Plus tour with
Computer Points	Arizona St 55-3	selections and they won for me 45-14 with the Thunderbirds QB Miller hitting 15-29-117 and they were outgained 543-250. ASU only has UNLV on deck and are very explosive.
Phil Steele	Arizona St by 42	Arizona St 52 Southern Utah 10
		Alizona St 32 Southern Stan 10

																		0		OVED	I													
						I	North			Tar H	eels							OVER/)	OVERALL: 0-0					Virg		ech Ho	kies						
								Tai	r Heels L	.ogs			TEAM		S DANK	FC	\E	vs. A 0-0		vs. ACC 0-0				AD		Hokie	s Logs			TEA		PS RANK-		FOE
TM REC FO	E REC	TM CONF	CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	TM	FOE	DATE		FOE	SCORE	E W/L	OFF D		JIMIN	DEF	ST	0-0	NCAA RANKING		TM REC FOE	TM FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +	/- ТМ	FOE D	ATE	FOE	SCO	RE W/L		•••	ST OF		
0-0		0-0		136	125	10			at Virginia				9 3	5 43		23	77	2	020 TEAM RANK	INGS	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0			10 9/4		rth Carolina			76	23	77 9		35 43
	0-0 0-0		0-0		122			9/11 9/18	Georgi Virgini						59 95	101 79	114 119	North Ca	rolina	Virginia Tech	0-0 0-0	0-0	117 132		9/1		ddle Tennes est Virginia	ssee				98		09 125 30 68
	0-0		0-0		124			9/25	at Georgi						62	43	96	#11	Rush Off	#10	0.0		132		9/2	!5 Ri	hmond					0.		50 00
	0-0		0-0		114			10/2	Duke Florida						105	102	11	#19	Pass Off	#88	0-0	0-0	407		10/							2/		7 13
	0-0		0-0		127			10/9	Miami,						26 5	37 17	72 31	#7 #12	Total Off Score Off	# 31 #43	0-0	0-0	137 126		10/		tre Dame tsburgh					43		21 35
								10/23	Bye									#121	Sks Allowed	#86	0-0	0-0	121		10/	'23 Sy	racuse					84	4 6	58 18
	0-0 0-0		0-0		137			10/30 11/6	at Notre I Wake						20 39	7 63	13 65	#51 #88	Rush Def Pass Def	#88 #109	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	124 129				orgia Tech ston College	•				62		43 96 54 45
	0-0		0-0		126				at Pittsbu						43	21	35	#62	Total Def	#104	0-0	0-0	114		11/			<u> </u>				10		02 11
								11/20	Woffor	rd								#66	Score Def	#83	0-0	0-0	133			20 at Mi	ami, Fl					5	5 1	17 31
	0-0		0-0		129			11/2/	at NC Sta	ite					38	13	26	#5 #9	Sks By Ypg Diff	#5 #62	0-0	0-0	129		11/	27 at Vi	ginia					95	5 /	79 119
										-								-2	Total TO's	+3														
					Net			Offens		Comp	Yds		Def		Comp	Yds							Net			ffense	Com	ıp Yds			Defense	Con	mp Y	14-
	Score			Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY		Att	Pass		-	-RY YPC			Sks				Sc	ore	Yds FD	TO's	-	-RY YF				-	RA-RY 1		mp 1	
at Virginia Te																					North Carolin													
Georgia Si	t														_	_		Heels			Middle Tenne							_		1				
Virginia at Georgia Te	ech																	je je		Hokies	at West Virginia Richmond									1				
Duke																		I	S	꽃	Bye													
Florida St Miami, Fl																		Tar	8	Ĭ	Notre Dame Pittsburgh													
Bye																		a.	AVERA	Virginia Tech	Syracuse													
at Notre Dam																		Carolina	\$	ě	at Georgia Tech													
Wake Fore at Pittsburgh																		은	Σ	o	at Boston Colleg Duke	e												
Wofford																		S	EAM	<u>=</u>	at Miami, Fl													
at NC State																		£	_	<u>5</u>	at Virginia													
																		North		5														
																		Ž																
Average Confere			0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%		0.0%		-0 0.0 -0 0.0			0.0%				Average Conference		0.0 0.0)-0 0.)-0 0.			0.0%			0.0 0.0		0 0.0%
Away	nce		0-0		0.0	0.0		0-0	0.0	0.0%				-0 0.0			0.0%				Home		0.0 0.0)-0 0.)-0 0.						0.0		0 0.0%
																			osition by Position															
																		North Ca	<u>rolina</u> <u>V</u> Iorth Carolina QB	irginia Tech /WR														
																		0.34	vs.															
																			Virginia Tech Di North Carolina R															
																			vs.	0.41														
																			Virginia Tech L	3's														
																		0.74	North Carolina	DL														
																		0.74	vs. Virginia Tech [DL														
																			North Carolina	DL														
																		0.36	vs. Virginia Tech C															
																			North Carolina L															
																		0.52	vs.															
																			Virginia Tech Ri North Carolina D		ł													
																		0.44	vs.															
	. =																		Virginia Tech QB															
2020	t Five	/ears Rank	<u>1eetin</u> Line	gs (Last Score	22 ye	Rush	Comp-/	eie.com Att-Yds	1). TO's	-			Project	ed Box	Score	1			North Carolina S	T's 0.90	Category		Team & Margir						Phil's For	ecast:				
North Carolina		8	-3	56	31	43-399	18-2	3-257		Ş									Virginia Tech S	Γ's	Las Vegas Line		N Carolina By 5				with ACC							
Virginia Tech 2019		19 Rank	57.5 Line	45 Score	25 FD	48-260 Rush	15-29 Comp-/		0 TO's	- E	201	1 yards	Proje North Caro	cted Rus		218 \	orde	0.30	North Carolina	К	Las Vegas Total		64 Points		ost last 7 i OT. VT had	wnen oper won 4 st	ning season raight serie	away fro s meetino	ım nome. 15 until las	Last time st year's lo	nere in `: oss. Hokie	s are on 6	eis iost 5-2 ATS	43-41 in 6 run vs NC
North Carolina		Rank -	-3.5	Score 41	FD 23	Rush 40-143			10.2	ē	201	ı yards		ina Virg cted Pas		218)	arus	0.30	vs. Virginia Tech	ĸ			04 POINTS		lome tean	n is 4-0 A	rs. This is c	only 2nd i	nome ope	ner vs Pov	ver 5 foe s	since 199	8 (lost 1	to OSU in
Virginia Tech		-	57	43	23	60-254	14-2	1-236	-2	of C	268	8 yards	North Caro	ina Virg	inia Tech	224 y	ards		North Carolina Coa		+/- Ratings		N Carolina By 6	.6	15). Last y	ear NC ha	nd two 1,00 ut trailed 2	00-yard r	ushers firs	t time sin	ce 2015 and Hooker	and NC ha	nd 656 y	yards. VT ored 14 pt
2018 North Carolina		Rank -	Line 58.5	Score 19	FD 21	Rush 38-235	22-35		TO's	ple	150	8 yards	Projecte North Caro			441 y	arde	0.70	vs. Virginia Tech Coa	ches	Game Grade		N Carolina By 4	i	n :54 spar	after rec	over an ons	side kick t	o pull to 4	42-37 afte	er 3Q. NC	two TD's !	56-37 i	in a win 56
Virginia Tech		-	-6.5	22	20	41-154	20-3		0	Ta	408	o yaius		ed Final		441)	uius		North Carolina				Caronna by 4				Howell and eturning st							
· · · giilla retti		Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		TO's	- to	34	points	North Caro	ina Virg	inia Tech	31 p	oints	3.40	vs.	1.31	Computer Yards		N Carolina 468-4				ecurring sc oe unbeatal							
2017		-	52	7	11	42-54	8-22	2-118		÷	-		Experie	ence Ran	kinas				Virginia Tech P	ts	Computer Points			s	ince the n	nagazine v	vent out so							
2017 North Carolina		14	-20 F	50	21	46-101	15-2	7-202	2	Ę.		70				0	Ω				Computer Foliat		N Carolina 24-3					r I dilli coi		ith the de	pui up irc	int vs a ve	eteran i	INC
2017		14 Rank	-20.5 Line	59 Score	21 FD	46-181 Rush	15-27 Comp-/		3 TO's	Retu	ı	70	North Caro Team Sc	ina Virg	inia Tech	9	8	POSI	TIONAL EDGE AWA			'	N Carolina 34-3	51 C	Offensive li	ne.		, I dill coi	recified #	ith the de	ptii up irc	int vs a ve	eteran i	INC
2017 North Carolina Virginia Tech							Comp-/	Att-Yds 33-58		Retr		70 64	North Caro	ina Virg hedule S	inia Tech trength	9	-	POSI	North Carolii By 2.08 Poin	na	Phil Steele	'	N Carolina 34-3		Offensive li		orth Ca							INC

					Ola	Dom	inion	Monar	chc							OVERA	LL:	OVERALL:	1				Wal.c	Eoros	t Da-	non D	10255	nc				
					Olu		liffifOff lonarchs l		CIIS							0-0 vs. CU		0-0 vs. ACC					wake			ons Logs		115				
TM REC FOE RE	. TM	FOE	TM +/- FOE	: + /-	AP	Pic	Dilai Ciis I	Logs			TEAM		RANK	F		0-0		0-0	TM REC FOE	TM FOE	TM +/- FOE	+1-	AP	Dellic	on Deacc	Jiis Lugs			TEAM		RANK	FOE
0-0	CONF 0-0	CONF		32 TI	M FOE			FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF D		OFF 39	DEF 63	ST	-	NCAA RANKING 020 TEAM RANKI				132 10		FOE.	DATE 9/4		minion	SCORE	W/L	OFF DE		OFF 125	DEF ST
0-0	0-0		101 1	32		9/4	at wake Hampi				125 1.	24 93	39	63	65				0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	132 10)1		9/4	Norfoll				39 63	65	125	124 93
0-0		0-0		33		9/18	at Liberty	.y					34	55	75	Old Dom		Vake Forest	0-0	0-0		27		9/18	Florida	St St					26	37 72
0-0 1-0		0-0		15 05		9/25	Buffal at UTEP						116 96	104 115	112 41	#119 #108	Rush Off Pass Off	#62 #26	0-0 0-0	0-0		19		9/25	at Virginia Louisv						95 63	79 11°
0-0		0-0		14									97	61	39	#123	Total Off	#28	0-0	0-0					at Syraci						84	68 18
0-0		0-0	1	12		10/16							112	75	20	#121 #129	Score Off Sks Allowed	#21 #103	0-0	0-0	1.	10				W D-i					109	82 55
0-0		0-0	1	10		10/23		iana Tech					110	87	98	#129	Rush Def	#103	0-0	0-0		.4			Duke	West Point	ı				109	102 11
0-0		0-0		08			at FIU						120	99	21	#53	Pass Def	#93	0-0	0-0		16			at North (9	35 43
0-0		0-0		16 17		11/13		la Atlantic e Tennessee					91 98	44 109	108 125	# 44 #68	Total Def Score Def	#91 #92	0-0 0-0	0-0		19		11/13	NC Sta			-			38 6	13 26 2 67
0-0		0-0		08			Charlo						121	113	103	#64	Sks By	#64	0-0	0-0		19			at Boston						24	64 45
																#110	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#58 +10														
																-1	lotal IO's	+10														
				et		Offen		Comp	Yds		Def		Comp								N			Offense	_	Comp	Yds		Defe		Comp	
st Wake Forest	ore		Yds I	D TO)'s FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD RA	-RY YPC	Att	Pass	Sks			S	Old Dominion		Yds F	р то'	s FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD RA-I	RY YPC	Att	Pass Sk
Hampton																S		Deacons	Norfolk St													
at Liberty Buffalo																5		ä	Florida St at Virginia													
at UTEP																ā	(0	۵	Louisville													
at Marshall																Monarchs	B GE	5	at Syracuse													
WKU Bye																		Demon	Bye at Army West P	oint												
Louisiana Tech																5	AVERA	ē	Duke													
nt FIU Florida Atlantic																	Σ	듩	at North Carolin NC State	a												
at Middle Tenness	ee															Dominion	EAM	Forest	at Clemson													
Charlotte																ŏ	-	ē	at Boston Colleg	je												
																PIO		<u>.</u>														
																0		Wake														
Average		16-30			.1 17			54.6%	176									≥	Average		0.0 0.0			0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-		0.0%	0 0.0
Conference Away		15-32 17-32	-140.4 -! -115.7 -4		.4 17 .3 16	33-85 34-92		51.3% 53.5%	179 175		22 41- 21 39-			229					Conference Home		0.0 0.0			0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-		0.0%	0 0.0
																	osition by Position I															
																Old Dom	Inion W Old Dominion QB/	WR														
																	vs.	0.81														
																	Wake Forest DE															
																	vs.	1.30														
																	Wake Forest LB															
																	Old Dominion O	1.67														
																	Wake Forest D	L														
																	Old Dominion D	1.89														
																	Wake Forest O															
																	Old Dominion LE															
																	vs. Wake Forest RE	0.93														
																	Old Dominion DE															
																	vs. Wake Forest QB/	1.44														
Last Fi	e Years	Meetin	gs (Last 2)	2 years	at PhilS	teele.con	m).				Droiset	ad Dav	Coore				Old Dominion ST		Category		Team & Mar	gin						Phil's Forec	ast:			
lone											Project	ea BOX	score				vs.	0.09	Las Vegas Line													
								nts			Proie	cted Rush	ning			\vdash	Wake Forest ST Old Dominion I				Wake Forest	oy 31	1st m	eeting de	spite the	schools or	nly being	174 mile	s apart and	for ODU fi	rst game	in two years
								nte	-4	yards	Old Domin	ion Wak	e Forest	331	ards		vs.	2.40	Las Vegas Total		63 Points	1	after	not playin	g last yea	ar. Monarc	chs have	covered 3	straight vs	ACC foes	including	win over 1 all-time vs
								°C	76	yards	Proje	cted Pass		400	/ards	—	Wake Forest K		+/- Ratings		Wake Forest B	v 33 E	curre	nt CUSA fo	oes but th	ne 2 losse:	s came ir	1941 &	1990. WF h	ad won 1	0 straight	home open
								e of	/0	, 0105		d Yardag		+00	, 41 43		vs.	2.15	Gama Ganda		ake i trest B	, 55.5	until l	ast year's	loss to C	lemson, b	out they v	vere only	3-7 ATS in	those. Wa	ke was ju	ıst 4-5 last st 10 returni
								Tab	72	yards	Old Domin	ion Wak	e Forest	730	ards	١	Vake Forest Coad		Game Grade	١	Wake Forest By	102.0										st 10 returni ind Wake ha:
								- E	16	points	Project Old Domin	ed Final S		55 p	oints	0.00	Old Dominion P	12.69	Computer Yards		Wake Forest 7	30-72	no filr	n on then	n under H	IC Ricky R	Rahne wh	o is an ur	defeated 2r	nd year he	ad coach.	. Wake only
								Ē	10			ence Ran					Wake Forest Pt	s	Computer Point					orfolk St o pressive r		na is 9-5	AIS as a	⊓F the la	st 4 years a	na gets th	ieir seasoi	n started on
								Retu	1	127	Old Domin			2	7	POSI	TIONAL EDGE AWAI	RDED TO:	Comparer 1 ome	·	Wake Forest	55-16										
								Retu		127 118	Old Domin Team Sc Old Domin	hedule S	trength	_	7	POSIT	Wake Fores		Phil Steele	<u> </u>	Wake Forest				20.5			=0 C'	ld Don			

						D.:-1	- DI	Da ::							1	OVERAL	L:	OVERALL:	<u> </u>						~la e!	_44 -	40 :::							
								Devils	5							0-0		0-0									49ers							
TM REC FOE REC	TM	FOE			AP	В	lue Devil	s Logs			TEAM	PS I	RANK	FOE		vs. ACC	-	vs. CUSA 0-0	TM REC FOE	TM FOE			AP		45	ers Log	JS			TEAM		-PS RANK		FOE
	CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- F		TM F	_		FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF DEF		OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKING	SS				/- FOE +/-	тм		DATE	FO	E	SCORE	W/L			T OFF		
0-0	0-0		114	108		9/4 9/11	at Char	rlotte th Carolina Ai	&T		105 102	11	121	113	103		20 TEAM RANK		0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	108	3 114				Duke Gardner	r-Webb			121	113 1	03 105	5 10.	2 11
0-0		0-0		132		9/18	Nort	hwestern	ω.				89	53	74	Duke		Charlotte	0-0	0-0		122		9	/18 at	Georgia	St					59		
0-0 0-0		0-0		108 136		9/25 10/2							127 9	121		#72 #70	Rush Off Pass Off	#75 #69	0-0 1-0	0-0		117 124				Middle T Illinois	Tennessee	9				98		
0-0		0-0		124		10/2		h Carolina rgia Tech					62	35 43		# 70 # 78	Total Off	#80	0-0	1-0 0-0		108			0/2 at							66 120	94	
0-0		0-0		129			at Virgi	inia					95	79	119 #	#91	Score Off	#76								Bye								
0-0		0-0		132		10/23	Bye at Wak						39	63		‡125 ‡110	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#53 #111	0-0 0-0	0-0		116 112			0/23 0/30 at	Florida	Atlantic					91 112		
0-0		0-0		126		11/6		burgh					43	21		#64	Pass Def	#36	0-0	0-0		117				Rice						123		
0-0 0-0		0-0		125			at Virgi						76 63	23 70	77 #	#99	Total Def	#77 #89	0-0 0-0	0-0		110			1/13 at	Louisian						110 97		
0-0		0-0		133		11/20		ni, Fl					5	17		#115 #15	Score Def Sks By	#117	0-0	0-0		114 101			1/20 1/27 at							125		
															4	#98	Ypg Diff	#85																
																-19	Total TO's	+2																
				Net		Offe	ense	Comp	Yds		Defen		Comp	Yds								Net			Offense		Comp	Yds		D	efense	Com	ıp Yds	s
Scor	re		Yds	FD	TO's F	FD RA-R	Y YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD RA-R	Y YPC	Att	Pass	Sks				Sco	re	Yds	FD FD	TO's	FD I	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD F	RA-RY Y	PC Att	: Pas	ss Sks
at Charlotte North Carolina A	.&T																		Duke Gardner-Webl)	1													
Northwestern																			at Georgia St															
Kansas at North Carolina																8		Ś	Middle Tennes at Illinois	see	-													
Georgia Tech																Devils	ES	ē	at FIU															
at Virginia																۵	×	49ers	Bye															
Bye at Wake Forest																Duke Blue	AVERA	ᅙ	Florida Atlanti at WKU															
Pittsburgh																둞		Charlotte	Rice															
at Virginia Tech																ē	IEAM	ᇤ	at Louisiana Tech Marshall	l														
Louisville Miami, Fl																Ž	#	Š	at Old Dominion															
																		•																
Average		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%				Average	0-	-0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0 0	.0 0.09	% 0	0.0%
Conference		0-0 0-0			0.0	0 0-0				0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%		0.0%				Conference Home		-0 0.0 -0 0.0		0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%			.0 0.09		0.0%
Away		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	U	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	U	0.0%	Pos	sition by Position	Edges	ноте	0-	-0 0.0	0.0	0.0	U	0-0	0.0	0.0%	U	0.0%	U	0-0 0	.0 0.09	% U	0.09
																Duke		Charlotte																
																	Duke QB/WR	0.23																
																	Charlotte DB's																	
																0.43	Duke RB's																	
															- 1	0.42	vs. Charlotte LB's																	
																	Duke OL																	
															1	1.46	vs. Charlotte DL																	
																	Duke DL																	
																	vs.	0.50																
															-		Charlotte OL Duke LB'S																	
															(0.89	vs.																	
																	Charlotte RB's	5																
																0.43	VS.																	
																	Charlotte QB/V	VR																
Last Five	e Years Rank	Meetin Line	gs (Last) Score			Steele.co					Projecte	d Box	Score			3.42	Duke ST's		Category		Team	n & Margin						F	Phil's Forec	cast:				
Duke	- Kank	-10				10-17-127		v									Charlotte ST's		Las Vegas Line		Du	ike By 6										olocking a		
Charlotte	-	55	19	22 5	1-177	18-36-171	-1	ent				ed Rushi			. [2.50	Duke K		Las Vegas Total				W	vent 31/	4 for TD	and led	24-7 at h	alf despi	ite Charlo	otte havir	ig 12-9 Fl adding a	D edge. Du 75/4 drive	uke got	a 5/1 TC
								ont	203	yards	Duke Project	Cha ted Passi	rlotte ina	172 ya	rds	2.50	vs. Charlotte K				60.	5 Points	v	Vaters) f	or TD wit	th :55 in	153-19 w	vin with	Charlotte	having 2	22-16 FD	edge, 42:	27-17:3	33 TOP
								of C	206	yards	Duke	Cha	rlotte	210 ya			Duke Coaches		+/- Ratings		Duk	ke By 3.9	e	dge and	Duke on	nly 401-3	348 yard-	edge. 49	ers have	e lost thei	r last 3 op	eners wh	en play	ing FBS
	_	_		_			_	o e	410	vord-	Projected			202.		1.50	vs. Charlotte Coach		Game Grade		D. 1	40 By 3 0	N	ID. They	won at	CUSA for	e MT 41-1	18 in '19	. Duke h	as just 6	returning	starters of	on both	offense
								E E	410	yards	Duke Projecte		rlotte core	382 ya	ius		Duke Pts	163			Duk	ke By 3.9										S vs non Non P5 fo		
								<u>-</u> و	36	points	Duke	Cha	rlotte	33 po	nts 1	.0.63	vs.	0.73	Computer Yards		Duke	e 410-382										Non P5 to 2 win sea		
								fer	,	116	Experier Duke	nce Rank	ings rlotte	113	<u> </u>	DO CTT	Charlotte Pts	DDED ==	Computer Points		Duk	ke 36-33	c	utcliffe i	is a devel	lopment	al HC and	was hu	rt by the	lack of sp	oring and	preparatio		
								8	,					113		PUSITI		אטבט וס:	-		Duk	55.33	t	неіг -19	ın ıOʻs a	and they	wiii get c	or to a si	ıronger s	tart this	year.			
											Team Sch	eaule Str	rengtn						Dhil Chaolo															
										51	Duke		rengtn rlotte	94			Duke By 9.90 Poin		Phil Steele		Duk	ke by 14					Du	ke 3:	7 Cha	rlott	e 23			

																				•													
							Michi	-	St Spart	ans							OVERA 0-0		OVERALL: 0-0					N	lorthw			dcats					
								Sparta	ns Logs			TEAM	nc	RANK	FOI	-	vs. BIG		/s. BIG 10 0-0			_		AP	-	Wildcat	s Logs			TEAM	nc.	RANK	FOE
TM REC	FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	- тм	FOE DA	TE	FOE	SCOR	E W/L	OFF DEF		OFF	DEF	ST	0-0	NCAA RANKING		TM REC FOE	TM FO	NF TM +/-	- FOE +/-	TM FC	E DATE		FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF DE		OFF	DEF ST
0-0		0-0		124	132		9/4		orthwestern			78 90	116	89	53	74	2	020 TEAM RANKI	NGS	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-	0 132	124		9/4		igan St			89 53	74	78	90 116
	0-0		0-0		133		9/11		oungstown St liami, Fl					5	17	31	Michiga	n.St N	orthwestern	0-0	0-	0	114		9/11	at Duke	ana St					105	102 11
	0-1		0-1		130		9/25	5 N	lebraska					65	54	99	#122	Rush Off	#64	0-0	0-	0	123		9/25	Ohio						88	110 54
	0-0		0-0		112 128		10/2		VKU utgers					112 99	75 49	20 5	#56 #106	Pass Off Total Off	#95 #92	0-1	0-	1	130		10/2	at Nebr	aska					65	54 99
	0-0		0-0		141			l6 at In						30	8	58	#114	Score Off	#92	0-0	0-	0	128		10/16		ers					99	49 5
	0.0		0-0		122		10/2		ye					41	F0.	40	#53	Sks Allowed	#40	0-0	0-		132			at Mich						41	50 40
	0-0		0-0		132 127		10/3		lichigan urdue					41 48	50 71	40 101	#57 #73	Rush Def Pass Def	#46 #23	0-0	0- 0-		134 143		10/30 11/6	Iowa	esota					27 64	98 61 22 4
	0-0		0-0		121		11/1	13 M	laryland					61	77	89	#58	Total Def	#26	0-0	0-	0	142		11/13	at Wisc	onsin					23	16 83
	0-0 0-0		0-0		145 142		11/2	20 at O	hio St enn St					4 18	15 12	50 9	#100 #90	Score Def Sks By	#9 #77	0-0 1-0	0- 1-		127 124		11/20	Purd at Illino						48 66	71 101 94 49
	0-0		0-0		142		11/2	./ F	eiiii St					10	12	,	#100	Ypg Diff	#53	1-0	1-	U	124		11/2/	at IIIII	115					00	34 43
																	-9	Total TO's	+4														
					Net		Of	fense	Com	p Yds		Defer	ise	Comp	Yds								Net		Offen	ise	Comp	yds Y		Defe	ise	Comp	Yds
	Score			Yds	FD	TO's	FD RA-		PC Att		Sks	FD RA-F				Sks				s	core	Yds		TO's F	D RA-RY				Sks		Y YPC		
at Northwe Youngst																				Michigan St Indiana St							-				-	-	
roungsti at Miami, F																	v		ţ	at Duke		-		-									
Nebrask																	Spartans		Northwestern Wildcats	Ohio													
WKU at Rutgers																	Ť	E	멾	at Nebraska Bye													
at Indiana																	Ď	AG	≥	Rutgers													
Bye																		<u> </u>	Ε	at Michigan													
Michigan at Purdue																	St	\ <u>\{\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</u>	ţ	Minnesota Iowa													
Maryland	i																Michigan	EAM	ē	at Wisconsin													
at Ohio St Penn St																	ij	Ŧ	2	Purdue at Illinois													
reilli St																	<u>:</u>		핕	at Illiliois													
																	Σ		ŝ														
Avera	10		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0-	·n r	0.0 0.09	% 0	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%			_	Average		0-0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	6 0	0.0%	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0 0.09
Confe			0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0-		0.0 0.09			0 0-0				0.0%				Conference	e	0.0	0.0		0-0	0.0	0.09		0.0%	0 0-			0 0.09
Away			0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0-	0 0	0.0 0.09	% 0	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%				Home		0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.09	6 0	0.0%	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0 0.09
																ŀ	Michiga	n St No	rthwestern														
																		Michigan St QB/1															
																		vs. Northwestern Di	0.53 3's														
																F		Michigan St RB															
																	0.08	vs. Northwestern Li	l'e														
																-		Michigan St Ol															
																		vs.	0.04														
																-		Northwestern I															
																		vs.	0.50														
																		Northwestern C															
																		Michigan St LB'	0.10														
																		Northwestern RI															
																	0.50	Michigan St DB	s														
																		vs. Iorthwestern QB,	w _R														
	ast Five	Years	Meetin				PhilSteele.c					Projecte	d Boy	Score				Michigan St ST	s	Categor	у	Team	& Margin						Phil's Fore	cast:			
2020		Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yo		ro's			riojecte	u DUX	Jeore				vs.	1.22	Las Vegas Line					thwestern	is playin	g with leg	itimate re	venge aft	er suffering i	ts only reg	gular seas	son loss of the
Michigan St		-	41	29	17	47-195										ļ		Northwestern S	l's			Northwe	estern By 3										went for it or he 2nd try an
Northwesterr	1	11	-13.5	20	18	37-63	22-44-222		-3 -9		2		ted Rush		171			Michigan St K		Las Vegas Tota			D - 1	a fla	g for def p	oass inter	ference p	icked up. I	Mich St go	t a 75 yd pa	ss to Nailo	or & 22 yo	d FG off those
2019 Michigan Ch		Rank	Line	Score	FD 10	Rush	Comp-Att-Yo		ro's	10	2 yards	Michigan S	: North ted Pass		174 ya	aras		VS.	0.10			46	Points										75/10 37/8 T -20 and on la
Michigan St Northwesterr			-9 36	31 10	19 17	40-109 44-139			-2 EO	20	6 yards	Michigan S		-	204 va	ards	-	Northwestern		+/- Ratings		Northwee	stern By 11	play	NW ran la	ateral pla	y from ow	n 9 and N	1St recov	er for TD and	misleadin	ng final, 29	9-20. The
Northwesterr 2018	1	Park	Jina	Score	1/ FD	44-139 Duck	19-3/-126		ور م		o yai us	Projected			∠04 ya	ai us		riicingan St Coac	2.40			NUITIWES	cern By 11	Spa						st time since			here ('19), 3-gm losing
Michigan St		20	-10.5	19	22	24-96			9	30:	8 yards	Michigan S	_		378 v	ards		Vs. Northwestern Coac		Game Grade		Northwee	stern By 18	stre	ak to NW	with a 3:	l-10 (-9)	win. Mich	St has 16	returning s	arters and	d is much	improved
Northwesterr		-	43.5	29	19	20-8	31-47-373		to Table	30	- 10.03	Projecte			570 ye	33	-	Michigan St Pts				.to.tiwes	by 10	afte	r having a	first yea	r HC and	no spring	practice v	rith just 8 re	turning st	arters las	
2017		Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yo		ro's E	22	points	Michigan S			31 po	ints	0.58	vs.	4.90	Computer Yard	s	Northwest	tern 378-3	os Big	Ten Title g	ame. Th	s year the	ey are #1	30 on my	Exp Chart w	ith just 4	starters b	back on each
Michigan St		16	-2.5	31	28	31-95	39-57-445		Ę,			Experie						Northwestern P						side	of the bal	I but coa	ch Fitzger	ald is conf	ident in t	ne replacem	nts and t	hat they	won't drop
Northwesterr	1	-	41.5	39	28	28-64	34-50-368		1		90	Michigan S		-	130	0	pner	TIONAL EDGE AWAI	EDED TO:	Computer Point	s	Northwe	stern 31-2							and Mich S ne playing wi			AD the last
2016		Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yo		10's			Team Sch					7031								8-1 ATS I			J		, .,			
		-	-6.5	40	18	23-51	27-42-424				6	Michigan S			24	.		Northwester	1	Phil Steele		Northwe	stern by 1	0		. D				20	4: ala'-		+ 20
Michigan St																									KAC	TO							
dichigan St Northwesterr	1	-	42	54	26	51-209	27-35-281		1									By 4.31 Point	ts							ot Dei	× 14	OI CIIV	veste	rn 30 I	41CHIS	gan S	ι 20

					161	N4: -1 :									1	OVERA	LL:	OVERALL:	<u> </u>				n.c.	-1-1-									
					w		gan Br		5							0-0 vs. M/		0-0 vs. BIG 10					Mı	_		lverii	nes						
TM REC FOE REC	TM	FOE	TM +/- FOE		AP	Вго	oncos Logs	5		-	TEAM	PS R	ANK	FO	E	VS. M/ 0-0		0-0	TM REC FOE	TM FOE ONF CONF		. A	P	wo	lverines	Logs			TE	AM	PS RA	NK	FOE
		CONF		•••		DATE	FOE		SCORE			ST	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKIN	is			TM +/- FOE +/		FOE	DATE		OE	SCORE	E W/L	OFF	DEF	ST		DEF ST
0-0	0-0		114 1	32		9/4 a	at Michigan Illinois St			4	9 93	100	41	50	40		020 TEAM RANK		0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0 0-0				9/4 9/11	at Wester Washir	n Michiga	in		41	50	40	49 19	93 100 27 57
0-0		0-0		26		9/18 a	at Pittsburgh	h	-				43	21	35	W Michi		Michigan	0-0	0-0	112			9/18	Northe	rn Illinois						114	119 88
1-0 0-0		0-0		24 15		9/25 10/2 a	San Jose	St					92 116	58	64	#39	Rush Off Pass Off	#94 #44	0-0	0-0	128 142			9/25 10/2	Rutger at Wiscon							99	49 5 16 83
0-0		0-0		30		10/2 a	at Buffalo Ball St			_			87	104 88	112 97	#23 #17	Total Off	#44	0-0	0-0 0-1	130				at Wiscon at Nebras							23 65	16 83 54 99
0-0		0-0		21		10/16	Kent St						54	123	127	#12	Score Off	#67						10/16	Bye								
0-0		0-0	1	19		10/23 a	at Toledo Bye			_			72	51	113	#17 #58	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#21 #82	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0				10/23	Northw at Michiga							89 78	53 74 90 116
0-0		0-0		16		11/3	Central M	Michigan					77	89	42	#78	Pass Def	#98	0-0	0-0	141			11/6	Indian	a						30	8 58
0-0 0-0		0-0		9 17		11/9	Akron at Eastern M	Michigan		_			124 107	125 118	123 29	#61 #95	Total Def Score Def	# 89 #96	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	142 121				at Penn S at Maryla							18 61	12 9 77 89
0-0		0-0		12			at Northern						114	119	88	#56	Sks By	#101	0-0	0-0				11/27	Ohio S							4	15 50
																#27	Ypg Diff	#90															
						_				_						-3	Total TO's	-3															
				et		Offense		Comp	Yds		Defense		Comp	Yds							Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense			Yds
at Michigan	re		Yds F	то то	s FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks F	D RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks				Score at Western Michiga	n	Yds FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Sks
Illinois St					+-					-+									Washington														
at Pittsburgh																Ś		S	Northern Illinois														
San Jose St at Buffalo					+-					-						Broncos		<u>=</u>	Rutgers at Wisconsin														
Ball St																Ģ	GES	ē	at Nebraska														
Kent St at Toledo																ā	≴	Michigan Wolverines	Bye Northwestern														
Bye																Michigan	AVERA	š	at Michigan St														
Central Michigar	n															<u>.</u>	₹	⊆	Indiana														
Akron at Eastern Michiga	n				+-					-						Ę.	IEAM	ğ	at Penn St at Maryland														
at Northern Illinois																Σ	F	<u>=</u>	Ohio St														
																≥		Ξ̈															
										-								_															
Average		0-0		.0 0.		0-0	0.0	0.0%			0-0	0.0	0.0%		0.0%				Average		0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0-0		0.0%	0 0.09
Conference Away		0-0		.0 0.0	0 0	0-0	0.0	0.0%		0.0%		0.0	0.0%		0.0%				Conference Home	0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0.09
•																	osition by Position																
															ŀ	W Michi	gan W Michigan OB/	Michigan WR															
																	vs.	0.23															
															ŀ		Michigan DB's W Michigan RB																
																0.20	vv michigan Ko vs.	5															
																	Michigan LB's																
																0.22	W Michigan O vs.	-															
																0.22	Michigan DL																
																	W Michigan D																
																	vs. Michigan OL	0.24															
															ŀ		W Michigan LB																
																	vs. Michigan RB's	1.09															
															ŀ		W Michigan DB	's															
																	vs.	0.35															
Last Fiv	e Years	Meetin	gs (Last 22	2 vears	at PhilSte	ele.com						D					Michigan QB/V W Michigan ST		Category		Team & Margin							Phil's For	recast:				
2018	Rank	Line	Score F	D Rus	sh Comp-/	-Att-Yds	TO's			Pr	ojected	ROX 2	core				vs.	0.59	Las Vegas Line														
	- 21	54.5 -28		17 40-1		34-85 18-143	. , /	ıts	1		Droinete	d Duch!	na		}		Michigan ST's W Michigan K				Michigan By 1	7	In their	last me	eting (18	3), the Wo	olverine	s snappe	d a 4-gar	me losing	streak (their lon	gest losing
Western Michigan		-28	49 4	20 35-3	00 13-10	5 175		ıter	168 yaı	rds V	Projected / Michigan	a Kusnii Mich		189 y	ards		w Michigan K	1.00	Las Vegas Total		67 Points												17 (17-13), are just 2-1
Western Michigan Michigan	2.1							Co	1		Projecte						Michigan K		+/- Ratings				SU & 3-	-12 ATS	in road o	peners sir	nce '05 ((wins, Pu	ırdue `14	Akron \2	20) and	did cove	at #4 USC
	21										/ Michigan	Mich	ugan	303 y	ards		W Michigan Coad	hes 0.70			Michigan By 22	.7	in 2017	. They h	ave cove	red the la	ast 3 sea	ason one					
	21							e of	234 ya			ardage	Total												West this	s vear led	hv OR F	Flehv and	ners that 19 starte	t were on ers back o	the roa	d. Weste	rn is my pic
									234 yai 403 yai	Pirds W	rojected Y / Michigan	Mich	igan	492 y	ards		Michigan Coach	es	Game Grade		Michigan By 5.	4	They ha	eve lost t	their last	5 ATS as	by QB E an AD.	Eleby and Michigan	d 9 starte has 10 s	ers back o starters b	on offens back on (e and 10 Offense a	on defense and 7 on
	21							to Table of	403 ya	P irds W	rojected Y / Michigan Projected	Mich Final Sc	igan c ore		Ī	0.42	W Michigan Pt	es	Game Grade Computer Yards				They ha	eve lost to and off	heir last a 2-4 se	5 ATS as ason mak	by QB E an AD. ke my M	Eleby and Michigan ost Impr	d 9 starte has 10 s oved List	ers back o starters b t. They ar	on offens back on 0 e 8-6 AT	e and 10 Offense a 'S as a H	on defense and 7 on F and do
								Table		Points Wints W	rojected Y / Michigan	Mich Final Sc Mich	nigan c ore nigan	492 y	Ī	0.42		es	Computer Yards		Michigan By 5. Michigan 492-4		They had Defense have a lat home	ave lost to and off big home but 3 c	their last a 2-4 se e game v of those v	5 ATS as ason mak s Washing vere befor	I by QB E an AD. ke my Magton on re no ho	Eleby and Michigan ost Improdeck. The ome crow	d 9 starte has 10 s oved List ie Wolver ids last y	ers back o starters b t. They are rines have ear and th	on offens back on (re 8-6 AT e actuall hey will	e and 10 Offense a 'S as a H y lost 4 i now hav	on defense and 7 on
	21							Table	403 ya	Pirds Wints W	rojected Y / Michigan Projected / Michigan Experienc / Michigan	Mich Final So Mich e Ranki Mich	igan c ore igan i ngs igan		oints		W Michigan Pt vs.	es 4.20				03	They had Defense have a lat home	ave lost to and off big home but 3 c	their last a 2-4 se e game v of those v	5 ATS as ason mak s Washing vere befor	I by QB E an AD. ke my Magton on re no ho	Eleby and Michigan ost Improdeck. The ome crow	d 9 starte has 10 s oved List ie Wolver ids last y	ers back o starters b t. They are rines have	on offens back on (re 8-6 AT e actuall hey will	e and 10 Offense a 'S as a H y lost 4 i now hav	on defense and 7 on F and do n a row SU
	21							Table	403 yai	Pirds Wints W	rojected Y / Michigan Projected / Michigan Experienc	Mich Final So Mich e Ranki Mich	nigan core nigan ings nigan ength	43 pc	oints 0		W Michigan Pt vs. Michigan Pts	es 4.20	Computer Yards		Michigan 492-4	D3 4	They had Defense have a lat home	ave lost to and off big home but 3 c	their last a 2-4 se e game v of those v only has I	5 ATS as ason mak s Washing vere befor Illinois St	d by QB E an AD. ke my Magton on re no ho on deck	Eleby and Michigan ost Improdeck. The ome crow giving the	d 9 starte has 10 s oved List ne Wolver ds last y nem a so	ers back o starters b t. They are rines have ear and th	on offens back on C re 8-6 AT e actuall hey will ional edg	e and 10 Offense a 'S as a H y lost 4 i now hav le.	on defense and 7 on F and do n a row SU

The content of the																																
Mart											ts						0-0	: (6					
March Call								Bla	ack Knigh	nts Logs														P	anthers Logs						FO	
The column The	TM REC	FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- F0	OE +/-	AP TM F	OE DAT	TE.	FOE	SCORE W/L					ST		ICAA RANKING		TM REC FOE TI	M FOE NF CONF	TM +/- FOE +/		OE DATE	FOE	sc	CORE W/L					ST ST
Projected Box Section Se	0-0		0-0					9/4	at Geo	rgia St				59	101	114								9/4	Army West	Point				14 109	82	55
\$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \fr								-,									Army		Georgia St					-,		na					35 113	43 103
10																	4	Rush Off	#37											22		25
\$\$\text{\$\tex{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$		0-0				130								87							0-0			10/2		St				37	25	28
Control Cont		0-0		0-0		142								23	16					0-0	0-0	96								130	126	130
Part 10								10/2	3 Wak							65 #2	22	Sks Allowed	#32					10/23	Texas St					100		79
## 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19						425																				n				104		90
Column C		0-0		0-0		125								82	97											olina					33 40	7 87
Column C								11/2	0 UMa	ISS					129	84 #	6	Score Def	#80	0-0	0-0	110		11/20	Arkansas St					86	112	66
The column The		0-0		0-0		133								34	55					0-0	0-0	122		11/27	Troy					80	81	94
March Marc		0-0		0-0		121								117	92																	
Second Content																																
### Company 50 1		Score					TO's									Ske				Score			TO's								Yds Pass	Sks
Consection	at Georgia				Tus		.05	. C KA-P	/PC	Att	F 433 3K5	TD KA-K		ALL	F 033	J. 3				Army West Point		.us FD	103	I KM-KT	110 1	Р	3KS	PD R	mai I	. C Att	F 033	JNS
The content																																
The following content of the following conte																	ts		S													
The following content of the following conte		J.1.															듄	S	je je													
Projected Box Score		-															Ē	B	Ħ													
Projected Box Score																	Ä	l <u>&</u>	Pa													
Antergrage	Bye																ō		ĸ	at GA Southern												
Antergrage																	8	Σ	e o													
Average																		E	Ē													
Average	at Liberty																Ę	-	ē													
Average 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0																	Ā		Ğ													\longrightarrow
Conference O-0 0.0	1 Ivavy																															-
Amay 0-0 0,0																																0.0%
Position by Position Edges							0.0	0 0-0														0.0 0.0										0.0%
Amy Q8/WR Amy R8 14 Vr. Georgia St D1 Amy D1 Georgia St D1 Amy D1 Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score 2.30 Amy D1 Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score 2.30 Amy D1																																
No. Compile St. Dis.																-				4												
Army Ris																		vs.	0.75													
1-41 Vs.																	•		5													
Category St. IIIs																1.4	41															
Second St. Category Cat																		Georgia St LB's	•													
Georgia St D.L Army DB Secretary S																			0.24													
Secretaria Sec																			0.21													ŀ
Georgia St OL Army Bis Store For Rush Comp-Att-Yds To's Army West Point 4.5 21 20 61-278 6-11-65 Cognis St Ol																		Army DL														
Army LB'S 0.29 Georgia St RB's Secretary Followed Box Score Followed Residue																																
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) New Year Point - 4.5 21 20 61-278 6-11-65 Georgia St 8 23 32-147 20-28-232 1 Projected Box Score Segment and the standard and th																				1												
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) 2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds Army West Point - 4.5 21 20 61-278 6-11-65 Georgia St - 55.5 28 23 32-147 20-28-232 1 Projected Box Score Projected Box Score Projected Box Score 254 yards Army Georgia St Projected Passing Army Georgia St Projected Passing Army Georgia St ST S Army Georgia St ST S S Georgia St ST S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S																		vs.														
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) 2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush CompAtt-Vis Georgia St QB/WR Army West Point - 4.5 21 20 61-278 6-11-65 Georgia St 22 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Box Score Projected Box Score Projected Rushing Army Georgia St 159 yards Foregrafia St 75 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Rushing Army Georgia St 159 yards Foregrafia St 75 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Rushing Army Georgia St 159 yards Foregrafia St 75 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Rushing Army Georgia St 159 yards Foregrafia St 75 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Rushing Army Georgia St 159 yards Foregrafia St 75 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Rushing Army Georgia St 159 yards Foregrafia St 75 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Rushing Army Georgia St 159 yards Foregrafia St 75 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Rushing Army Georgia St 159 yards Foregrafia St 75 years at PhilSteele.com) Army Georgia St 75 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Rushing Army Georgia St 159 yards Foregrafia St 75 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Rushing Army Georgia St 159 yards Foregrafia St 75 years at PhilSteele.com) Army Georgia																-			5	1												
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) 2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Vds Army West Prinit4.5 21 20 61-278 6-11-65 Georgia St - 55.5 28 23 32-147 20-28-232 1 Projected Box Score Projected Box Score Projected Box Score Seorgia St 159 yards Army Georgia St 28 yards Army Georgia St 228 yards Army Georgia St 228 yards Army Georgia St 228 yards Army Georgia St 28 yards Army Georgia St 387 ya																			0.18													
20.9 Rank Line Score FD Rush Complex Point - 4.5 21 20 66-1278 6-11-16 Georgia St By 2 Amy West Point - 4.5 21 20 66-1278 6-11-16 Georgia St By 2 Projected Rushing 254 yards Army Georgia St 159 yards Projected Passing 64 yards Army Georgia St By 2 Army Georgia St By 2 Army Georgia St By 2 254 yards Army Georgia St By 4.2 318 yards Projected Final Score Projected Final Score Army Georgia St By 4.5 30 points Final Score Army Georgia St By 4.5 Army Georgia St Georgia St By 4.5 Army Georgia St Georgia St By 4.5 Army Georgia S																	Ge	eorgia St QB/W	VR													
Army West Point4.5 21 20 61-278 6-11-65 Georgia St - 55.5 28 23 32-147 20-28-232 1 Frojected Rushing Army Georgia St Projected Passing Army Georgia St Projected Passing Army Georgia St		ast Five										Projecte	d Box	Score		,	30					Team & Margin					Phil's Fo	orecast:				
254 yards Army Georgia St Projected Passing 64 yards Army Georgia St G		Point		-4.5	21	20	61-278	6-11-65		v)								Georgia St ST's		Las Vegas Line		Georgia St By 2	!									
Projected Passing 64 yards Army Georgia St Z28 yards Projected Yardage Total 318 yards Army Georgia St Army Ge	Georgia St		-	55.5	28	23	32-147	20-28-232	1	ent	25.				4					Las Vegas Total		40.5	1 20	st time here	(°19) was only	nrior ma	eetina Arm	v led 21-14	30 & 21-	20 into 40) but lost ?	28-2n
Projected Yardage Total The Minimum Georgia St St St St Caches The Minimum Georgia St										ont	254 yards				159 ya	ds 1.2	20					49.5 Points	as	a 4' point AF	 Black Knights 	s have los	st 4 straigh	t road opene	ers going	1-3 ATS b	ut took #7	7
Projected Yardage Total The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir The middle of the year. The Panthers have 19 returning starters includir										of C	64 yards	Army	Geor	rgia St	228 ya					+/- Ratings		Georgia St By 4.	2 Mic	chigan to OT	in '19. Georgia	St is jus	st 2-6 ATS i	in home oper	ners since	e joining t	ne SBC bar	:k in
Experience Rankings Georgis St Pts Computer Briefs										ole			Yardage	e Total		0.7	75	vs.		Game Grade			the	em in the mi	ddle of the yea	r. The Pa	ะ บุบเงก and anthers hav	ve 19 returni	ເບ ລ43 t0 ing starte	rs includin	g QB Com	elius
Experience Rankings Georgia St Pts Georgia St Pts Su at nome and 5-b-1 AIS as a HF.										La Car	318 yards				387 ya	as	Ge		ies			Georgia St By 2.	Bro									
Experience Rankings Georgia St Pts Computer Brints										n to	30 points	Army	Geor	rgia St	34 poi	nts 5.6		vs.		Computer Yards	6	Georgia St 387-3					Jerr Monker	ı ıs 10-10-2	as an AD	and Shaw	II EIIIOT IS	11-11
										Ę	E4				4.5					Computer Points		Georgia St 34 3			40							
Town Schodule Strength										Re	54	Army Team Sche			16		POSITIO		RDED TO:	L		Georgia St 34-3	0									
123 Army Georgia St 96 Georgia St by 3 Georgia St 24 Army 21											123				96			•		Phil Steele		Georgia St by 3				iecra	nia St	24 Arm	nv 21			
By 3.66 Points Georgia St 24 Army 21																	В	y 3.66 Point	ts							eorg	jia St i	∠ + AFM	.y ZI			

																			OVER		OVERALL:																	
							Ka			/ildcat	S								0-0	0	0-0						Sta	nford			I							
								Wi	Idcats L	ogs									vs. BI		/s. PAC 12							Cardin	al Logs	3								
TM REC	FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- I	FOE +/-	AP TM		DATE		FOE	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF		PS RAN		FOE DEF	ST	0-0	NCAA RANKING	0-0 is	TM REC FOE REC	TM FO	NF 1	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP TM	FOE D	ATE.	FOE		SCORE	W/L	OFF	AM DEF	PS R/ ST	OFF	FOE DEF	ST
0-0		0-0		125	131		9	9/4	† Stanfor	'd					19			37		2020 TEAM RANKI	NGS	0-0 0-0			131 125		9/4	† Kar	sas St				58	67	37	53	96	19
	0-0		0-0		126			9/11 9/18	South Nevad	ern Illinois						25	62	14	Kansa	is St	Stanford	0-0 0-0		-0 -0	134 112		9/1 9/1	1 at US	C nderbilt							12 111		12 106
	0-0		0-0		132		9	9/25	at Oklaho							45	36	71	#77	Rush Off	#93	1-0	0-	-0	131		9/2	5 UC								28	32	82
	0-0		0-0		149			10/2	Oklaho	oma						1	3		#105	Pass Off	#22	0-0		-0	135		10/		egon							11		78
	0-0		0-0		146			10/9 10/16	Bye Iowa S	St						2	19		#103 #82	Total Off Score Off	# 44 #58	0-0 0-0		-0 -0	134 133		10/	9 at Ari 16 at Wa	zona St shington	n St						13 42		23 128
	0-0		0-0		126			10/23	at Texas							31	45	53	#42	Sks Allowed	#26						10/	23 By	e									
	0-0		0-0		139 108			10/30	TCU at Kansa:	-						17 127			#81 #111	Rush Def Pass Def	#114 #47	0-0 0-0		-0 -0	133 135		10/		shington	n						19 21		57 38
	0-0		0-0		132			11/13	West \							60			#98	Total Def	#94	0-0		-0	124			13 at On								71		69
	0-0		0-0		129			11/20	Baylor							93			#85	Score Def	#78	0-0		-0	129		11/	20 Ca	lifornia							81		107
	0-0		0-0		139			11/27	at Texas							15	34		#20 #112	Sks By Ypg Diff	#101 #70	0-0	0-	-0	137		11/	27 No	tre Dame	e						20	7	13
																			-3	Total TO's	+2																	-
					Net			Offense	•	Comp	Yds			efense		Comp	Yds								Net		0	fense	r	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds	
	Score			Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY		Att	Pass	Sks		RA-RY Y				Sks				Sc	ore		Yds FD	TO's		-RY YP		Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att		Sks
† Stanford																						† Kansas St																
Souther																						at USC at Vanderbilt		-														-
at Oklahon																			4		<u>-</u>	UCLA																
Oklahon	ma																		<u>5</u>	GES	Ξ.	Oregon at Arizona St																
Iowa St																			Wildcats	AG	Ē	at Washington S	it															_
at Texas T	ech																		3	AVERA	ပ	Bye																
TCU at Kansas																			ţ	\ \ \	臣	Washington Utah																
West Vi	rginia																		C.	TEAM	و	at Oregon St																
Baylor at Texas																			Kansas		Stanford Cardinal	California Notre Dame																
at rexas																			ē		St	Notre Dame																
Avera	ne		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0	0.0%	0 (0.0%				Average		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0 0	-0 0.	n n	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0	0.0%
Confe			0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 (0.0%				Conference	2	0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0 0	-0 0.	0 0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0	0.0%
Away			0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 (0.0%		Danielan bu Danielan f		Home		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0 0	-0 0.	0 0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0	J.0%
																			Kansa	Position by Position E as St	Stanford Stanford																	
																				Kansas St QB/W	VR																	
																			0.07	vs. Stanford DB's																		
																		-		Kansas St RB's																		
																			0.21	vs.																		
																		-	_	Stanford LB's Kansas St OL																		
																			0.39	vs.																		
																		-		Stanford DL Kansas St DL																		
																				vs.	0.68																	
																				Stanford OL																		
																				Kansas St LB'S	0.77																	
																				Stanford RB's																		
																				Kansas St DB's	0.11																	
L																				vs. Stanford QB/W																		
	ast Five												Projec	ted Bo	ox Sc	ore				Kansas St ST's		Category	,		Team & Margin							Phil's Fore	ecast:					
2016 Stanford		Rank 8		Score 26		Rush 30-105			TO's										2.45	vs. Stanford ST's		Las Vegas Line			Kansas St By 3													
Kansas St		-	47.5	13		32-92	19-41		-1	ents				ected R				f		Kansas St K		Las Vegas Total						al site o										The
										onte	207	yards	Kansas	St jected P	Stanfo		169 ya	irds		vs. Stanford K	1.40	regas roldi			53 Points	Fa	arm whe	re Stanfo	rd won	26-13	3 but d	did not o	over (-14). Th	e Cardi	nal defe	ense rac	ked
										of Co	173	yards	Kansas		assing Stanfo		278 ya	ırds	-1	Kansas St Coach	ies	+/- Ratings		5	Stanford By 6.2			s (includi										
										rable o			Projec	ted Yard	dage To	otal				vs.	1.05	Game Grade				uı		man and as an AD										
											380	yards	Kansas Proje	St cted Fin	Stanfo al Sco		447 ya	irds	-	Stanford Coache Kansas St Pts				S	Stanford By 7.8	w	on them	all. Star	ford's 4	4 wins	last y	ear wer	re by 1	, 5, 3 ar	nd 1. Th	ney do l	ave 14	
										r to	30	points	Kansas	St	Stanfo	ord	33 poi	ints	3.12	vs.	4.01	Computer Yards		St	anford 447-380			starters l cluding (
										tur		88		rience R			110	.		Stanford Pts		Computer Point	s		Stanford 33-30	D-	tarters ii T.	icruullig (יווטוי טאַ	mpsull	. coac	JIIaW	15 1100	парру С	15 D	cing pla	yeu at S	, aill
										Re		od	Kansas Team S	st Schedule	Stanfo e Stren		118	·	POSI	Charles awar	RDED TO:				эсантога 33-30													
												42	Kansas		Stanfo		16			Stanford		Phil Steele			Stanford by 2				C+	anf	ord	32 K	ane	as St	30			
											•									By 0.89 Point	ts								31	ann	Jiu	32 N	a1130	ى حى	30			

																	OVERA	A11.	OVERALL:															
									nawks								0-0)	0-0					Ke	entucl	•		ts						
						AD	W	Varhawks	Logs		-	TFAM	DC	RANK	FO	E	vs. St 0-0		vs. SEC 0-0				AE		Wild	dcats Lo	gs			7.	FAM	PS RA	NV	FOE
TM REC	FOE REC	CONF	CONF	TM +/- I		TM FC	DE DATE		FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKIN	GS	TM REC FOE		TM +/- FOE +/	'- тм	FOE	DATE	FO	E	SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF ST
0-0		0-0		96	125		9/4		ıcky			130 126	130	67	42	52	2	2020 TEAM RANK	INGS	0-0 0-0		125 96				ULM				67	42	52		126 13
							9/11 9/18		on St								ULM	1	Kentucky	0-0	0-0	124				Missouri							75	74 30
	0-0		0-0		122		9/25	Troy						80	81	94	#125	Rush Off	#33	0-0	0-0			9)/25 at	South Ca							40	73 62
	0-0 0-0		0-0		127		10/2		tal Carolina					35	40	87	#66	Pass Off	#122 #113	0-0	0-0	135 144				Florida								26 59
	0-0		0-0		133		10/9		gia St tv					59 34	101 55	114 75	#117 #120	Total Off Score Off	#113	0-0	0-0 0-0				.0/9 .0/16 at	LSU Georgia							16 3	10 16 4 2
	0-0		0-0		106		10/23	3 Sout	n Alabama					122	107	105	#103	Sks Allowed	#61					1	.0/23	Bye								
	0-0 0-0		0-0		126 112			at Appa at Texa						37 100	25 114	28 79	#123 #45	Rush Def Pass Def	#55 #56	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0				.0/30 at .1/6	Miss St Tenness							50 79	28 51 65 33
	0-0		0-0		110		11/6		nsas St					86	112	66	#115	Total Def	#49	0-0	0-0				.1/0 .1/13 at									116 10
	0-0		0-0		144			at LSU						16	10	16	#124	Score Def	#48	0-1	0-0	94		1	1/20	New Mex	xico St						115	130 56
	0-0		0-0		128		11/27	7 at Louis	iana					47	33	7	#86 #122	Sks By Ypg Diff	#77 #97	0-0	0-0	130		1	.1/27 at	Louisville	9						63	70 80
																	#122 -9	Total TO's																
																			. 10															
	Score			Yds	Net FD	TO's F	Offe	ense Y YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	Defens FD RA-R		Comp	Yds	Sks					ore	Net Yds FD	TO's		Offense RA-RY	VDC	Comp	Yds	Cl	FD	Defense RA-RY		Comp	
at Kentucky				T ds	FU	os F	ь ка-R	TPC	Att	Pass	SKS	FD KA-R	TPC	Att	Pass	SKS				ULM	oid	Tas FD	10's	FU	KA-K f	TPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FU	KA-KY	TPU	Att	rass Sk
Bye																				Missouri														
Jackson : Trov	St			1															v	Chattanooga at South Caroli	12													
at Coastal C	Carolina																S		at	Florida	na													
Georgia																	ULM Warhawks	AVERAGES	Kentucky Wildcats	LSU														
Liberty	1-1																Ja.	§	5	at Georgia														
South Ala at Appalach																	Ė		>	Bye at Miss St														
t Texas St	it																Š	4	ξ	Tennessee														
Arkansas	as St																Σ	TEAM	ă	at Vanderbilt														
at LSU at Louisiana	ıa																		Ę	New Mexico at Louisville	St													
																	_		ᇫ															
Averag	ige		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%				Average	0	-0 0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0.0
Confer			0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%				Conference	e 0	-0 0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0.0
Away			0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%		Position by Position	F4	Home	0	-0 0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0.0
																	ULN		Kentucky	1														
																		ULM QB/WR																
																		vs. Kentucky DB	1.46															
																		ULM RB's		1														
																		vs.	1.43															
																		Kentucky LB	S	-														
																		VS.	1.91															
																		Kentucky DI																
																		ULM DL	1.89															
																		vs. Kentucky Ol																
																		ULM LB'S																
																		vs. Kentucky RB	1.15															
																		ULM DB's	3	1														
																		vs.	1.13															
	act Eive	Varre	Masti-	ne (Lact	22 2000	c at Phile	Steele.co	m)									\vdash	Kentucky QB/	WR	Categor	,	Team & Margir							Phil's For	recas*•				
None La	war rive	-rears	eun	ys (LdSI	er year	a at Pilil	Jeele.co	ш				Projecte	d Box	Score				VS.	1.69			reant & margir			4 -0 00		M T							and t
									S									Kentucky ST		Las Vegas Line		Kentucky By 3												only loss are 20-1
									ten	77.	ards	Project	ed Rush	ing tucky	284 y	arde		ULM K vs.	1.25	Las Vegas Tota		54.5 Points		home o	peners a	gainst f	Non P5 t	foes. U	JLM has	4 wins	all-time	vs curi	rent SE	C teams &
									.uo	// >	aius		ed Pass		204 y	urus		vs. Kentucky K																is in '12 (3
									of C	105	yards	ULM	Ken	tucky	200 y	ards		ULM Coaches		+/- Ratings		Kentucky By 32												king over a his could b
													V	Total				vs. Kentucky Coac	1.50	Game Grade		Kentucky By 34	LQ	mostly a	a new te									
										103	vardo	Projected			101	arde		wenterky coac						-1							stuff bac	k in this	s one ar	nd keep
									Table	182	yards	Projected ULM Projected	Ken	tucky	484 y	ards		ULM Pts						pienty o	f surpris	ses for i	more wi	innable	games	s on ded	stuff bac ck. ULM	k in this was 0-1	s one ar LO last y	nd keep /ear losing
										182 9 pc		ULM Projected ULM	Ken I Final S Ken	tucky core tucky	484 y 43 pc		0.00	ULM Pts vs.	13.41	Computer Yard		Kentucky 484-1	92	by 24 p	pg and is	s 2-9 AT	more wi	innable on conf	games and 5-	s on dec -10 ATS	stuff bac ck. ULM as an A	k in this was 0-1 D the la	s one ar 10 last y ast 3 yea	nd keep /ear losing ars. ULM c
									Table	9 pe	oints	ULM Projected ULM Experien	Ken I Final S Ken ce Rank	tucky core tucky ings	43 pc	oints		ULM Pts vs. Kentucky Pt	13.41	Computer Yard	s	Kentucky 484-1	.82	by 24 p not have season	pg and is e the lea and has	s 2-9 AT nd in a s a new o	more wi rS vs no single ga offensiv	innable on conf ame las	games and 5- st year. dinator	s on dec -10 ATS . Kentue and nee	stuff bac ck. ULM	k in this was 0-1 D the la ff a disa	s one ar 10 last y 1st 3 ye ppointir	nd keep /ear losing ars. ULM o ng 5-6
									Table	9 pe		ULM Projected ULM	Ken d Final S Ken ce Rank Ken	tucky core tucky ings tucky		oints		ULM Pts vs. Kentucky Pt TIONAL EDGE AW	13.41	Computer Point	s		.82	by 24 p not have season	pg and is e the lea	s 2-9 AT nd in a s a new o	more wi rS vs no single ga offensiv	innable on conf ame las	games and 5- st year. dinator	s on dec -10 ATS . Kentue and nee	stuff bac ck. ULM as an A cky is of	k in this was 0-1 D the la ff a disa	s one ar 10 last y 1st 3 ye ppointir	nd keep /ear losing ars. ULM o ng 5-6
									Table	9 po	oints	ULM Projected ULM Experien ULM	Ken I Final S Ken Ce Rank Ken	tucky core tucky ings tucky	43 pc	oints	POSI	ULM Pts vs. Kentucky Pt	13.41 RDED TO:		s	Kentucky 484-1	9	by 24 p not have season	pg and is e the lea and has	s 2-9 AT nd in a s a new o	more wi rS vs no single ga offensiv Stoops i	innable on conf ame las re coord is 15-8	games and 5- st year. dinator ATS as	s on dec -10 ATS . Kentuc and nec s a HF.	stuff bac ck. ULM as an A cky is of	k in this was 0-1 D the la ff a disa et that u	s one ar 10 last y 1st 3 ye ppointir	nd keep /ear losing ars. ULM o ng 5-6

						Ωk	laho	ma S	ooner	rs							0	VERALI	: (OVERALL:						т	ulane	Gree	en Wa	ave						
								oners Lo									vs	0-0 s. BIG 1	2	0-0 vs. AAC								en Wav								
TM REC FOE REC	TM	FOE	TM +/- F	OE + /-	AP				- J			TEAN	•	PS RAN		FOE		0-0		0-0	TM REC FOE	TM FO	OE ,	TM +/- FOE +/-		•	0.0	<u> </u>	c Logo				AM	PS RAN		FOE
0-0	O-0	CONF	149		TM 2	9		at Tulane			RE W/L	OFF 1		ST 22			ST 73		NCAA RANKING O TEAM RANKI		0-0 0-0			127 149	TM	FOE 2	DATE 9/4	Oklah		SCOR	E W/L	OFF 69	DEF 72		OFF 1	DEF ST 3 22
0-1		0-1		130			9/11 9/18	Wester	rn Carolina ska	a					65	54	99	klahoma	1	Tulane	0-0	0-)-0	138			9/11 9/18	Morga at Mississ	n St sippi						7	60 10
0-0		0-0		132			9/25		/irginia						60		68 #4		Rush Off	#16	0-0)-0	122			9/25	UAB								29 47
0-0 0-0		0-0		125 139				at Kansas † Texas	S 51						53 15	34	19 #1 6 #1		Pass Off Total Off	#107 #62	0-0 0-0)-0)-0	128 121			10/2 10/9	at East C Housto								95 44 48 3
0-0 0-0		0-0 0-0		139 108			10/16	TCU at Kansas							17 127		15 #9 117 #9		Score Off Sks Allowed	#23 #124	0-0	0.)-0	123			10/16 10/23	Bye at SMII							29	84 32
0-0		0-0		126		1	10/30	Texas									53 #1	.2	Rush Def	#42	0-0	0-)-0	137			10/30	Cincin	nati						46	5 48
0-0		0-0		129			1/1/6	Bye at Baylor							93	38	70 #8		Pass Def Total Def	#116 #79	0-0 0-0)-0)-0	130 129			11/6 11/13	at UCF Tulsa								69 76 39 129
0-0		0-0		146		1	1/20	Iowa S	St						2	19	110 #3	1	Score Def	#59	0-0	0-)-0	116			11/20	USF							108	108 85
0-0		0-0		132		1	11/2/ a	at Oklaho	oma St						45	36	71 #4		Sks By Ypg Diff	#3 #78	0-0	0-)-0	123			11/2/	at Mempl	his						36	66 60
																	+!	5	Total TO's	+5																
				Net			Offense		Comp				efense			Yds								Net			Offense RA-RY		Comp				Defense		Comp	
at Tulane	e		Yds	FD	TO's	FD I	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pa	ss Sks	FD I	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks				Oklahoma	ore		Yds FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Sk
Western Carolina Nebraska	9																				Morgan St															
West Virginia																		r.		e <	at Mississippi UAB															
at Kansas St † Texas					-1													Sooners	GES	Wave	at East Carolina Houston															
TCU																		8	S 8	<u></u>	Bye															
at Kansas Texas Tech																			AVERA	Green	at SMU Cincinnati															
Bye at Baylor																		Ē	Σ	Ō	at UCF Tulsa															
Iowa St																		Oklahoma	IEAM	Tulane	USF															
at Oklahoma St																		ž	_	듬	at Memphis															
																		U		-																
Average		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%		0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0	.0%				Average		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0	1.0%	0 0.0
Conference Away		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%		0.0%	0	0-0		0.0%		.0%				Conference Home	9		0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0 0-0		.0%	0 0.00
Away		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.076		0.0%	U	0-0	0.0 (7.076	0 (tion by Position E		nome		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	U	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0-0	0.0 0	1.076	0 0.0
																	0	klahom O	<u>a</u> klahoma QB/V	<u>Tulane</u> VR																
																	1.8	88	vs. Tulane DB's																	
																			Oklahoma RB's	5																
																	0.5	56	vs. Tulane LB's																	
																			Oklahoma OL																	
																	1.5	58	vs. Tulane DL																	
																	1.1		Oklahoma DL																	
																	1.1		vs. Tulane OL																	
																	1.1		Oklahoma LB'S vs.	•																
																			Tulane RB's																	
																	1.4		Oklahoma DB's vs.	5																
Last Five	Voore	Montin	ac (Lact	22 vos	rc at Di	hilStool	o com												Tulane QB/WF		Categor	,		Team & Margin							Phil's For	ocasti				
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-At	t-Yds	TO's				Projec	cted B	ox Sc	ore				vs.	0.18	Las Vegas Line										rım s ror	ccast:				
Tulane Oklahoma	- 2	59 - 34	14 56		62-237 32-197	6-12- 20-30-		0	nts			Pro	jected R	Rushina			-		Tulane ST's Oklahoma K				Ol	klahoma By 31.	.5											nan, a 56
									onte	1	71 yards	Oklaho	ma	Tulan	e	153 yaı	ds 0.8	30	vs. Tulane K		Las Vegas Tota			68.5 Points									a P5 for sed to be			ney hosted irst true
									of C	3	50 yards	Oklaho		Tulan	e	165 yaı		_	Tulane K klahoma Coach	ies	+/- Ratings		Ol	klahoma By 18.	.9	road o	pener s	ince '12	when th	he Soor	ers play	ed at U	TEP. OU 37-30.	lost their	ir first	road
									ple	5	20 yards	Project Oklaho	ted Yard	dage To Tulan		317 yaı	0.8 ds		vs. Tulane Coache:		Game Grade		CI	klahoma By 20.	4	Weste	rn Carol	ina on d	deck and	they a	re my p	ick to t	he win th	ne nation	nal title	this year
									to Ta			Proje	cted Fin	nal Sco	re				Oklahoma Pts		Computer Yard															ne and had
									Ē	5	0 points	Oklaho Expe	_{ma} rience R	Tulan Ranking		21 poir	ts 9.3	34	vs. Tulane Pts	0.18			Ok	dahoma 520-3	17	crowd	may no	t be as	big as n	ormal	n short		With the			
									Retu		74	Oklaho		Tulan	e	63		POSITIO	NAL EDGE AWAR	RDED TO:	Computer Point	s	0	klahoma 50-21	l	this ga	ıme it m	nay be a	good o	ne to a	void.					
																										1										
											61	Oklaho		Tulan		80			Oklahoma By 9.16 Point		Phil Steele		0	klahoma by 32	2				Old	nk a) T	ane 1	7		

						Pen	n St	Nittar	nv I i	ons							OVER		OVERALL:					v	iscor	nsin B	adae	ers						
								ny Lions	•								0- vs. B		0-0 vs. BIG 10							adgers Lo	_							
TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- F0	DE +/-	AF							TEAM	PS R			DE	0-		0-0	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP						TEAM		ANK	FOE	
0-0	0-0		142	142	TM 19	FOE 12	DATE 9/4	at Wiscon		SCORE	W/L	OFF DEF 18 12	ST 9	OFF 23	DEF 16	ST 83		NCAA RANKING 2020 TEAM RANKI		0-0 0-0			142 142	TM FOE 12 19		FO Penn		SCORE V	N/L	OFF DEF 23 16	ST 83	OFF 18	DEF 12	ST 9
0-0		0-0		130			9/11	Ball St						87	88	97	Pen	n.St	Wisconsin	0-0		0-0	117		9/11		n Michi	į				107	118	29
0-0		0-0		132			9/18 9/25	Auburn						22	20	25	#53	Rush Off	#61	0-0		0-0	137		9/18 9/25	Bye † Notre I	Dame					20	7	13
0-0		0-0		141			10/2	Indiana						30	8	58	#40	Pass Off	#106	0-0		0-0	132		10/2	Michig	an					41	50	40
0-0		0-0		143			10/9 10/16	at Iowa Bve						64	22	4	#36 #56	Total Off Score Off	#100 #88	1-0 0-0		1-0 0-0	124 120		10/9 10/16	at Illinois	West Po	,				66 109	94 82	49 55
1-0		1-0		124			10/23	Illinois						66	94	49	#107	Sks Allowed	#32	0-0		0-0	127		10/23	at Purdu						48	71	101
0-0 0-0		0-0		145 121			10/30 11/6	at Ohio St at Marylai	t nd					4 61	15 77	50 89	#29 #27	Rush Def Pass Def	#7 #34	0-0 0-0		0-0	143 128		10/30 11/6	Iowa at Rutge	re					64 99	22 49	4 5
0-0		0-0		132			11/13	Michiga						41	50	40	#21	Total Def	#9	0-0		0-0	132		11/13		western	ı				89	53	74
0-0 0-0		0-0		128 124			11/20	Rutger at Michiga						99 78	49 90	5 116	#57 #45	Score Def Sks By	#13 #94	0-1 0-0		0-1	130 134		11/20	Nebra at Minne						65 27	54 98	99 61
0-0		0-0		124			11/2/	at Michiga	an St					78	90	110	#45	Ypg Diff	#94	0-0		0-0	134		11/2/	at minne	sota					2/	98	01
																	-8	Total TO's	0															
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds		Defense		Comp	Yds								Net		Offense		Comp	Yds		Defense		Comp	Yds	
Sco	re		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD RA-RY		Att	Pass	Sks				Sc	ore		Yds FD	TO's FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks
at Wisconsin Ball St																	-			Penn St Eastern Michi	gan													
Auburn																	و	2		Bye	J-''													
Villanova																	ion	5	5	† Notre Dame									_					
Indiana at Iowa																	-	ES	ğ	Michigan at Illinois														_
Bye																	9		Badgers	Army West P	oint													
Illinois at Ohio St																	Nitto A			at Purdue Iowa														
at Maryland																	Ž	\ \{\leq \	is.	at Rutgers														
Michigan Rutgers																	ů	FEAM AVERAGES	Wisconsin	Northwestern Nebraska														
at Michigan St																	2	-	<u>.s</u>	at Minnesota														
																	000	5	≥															
																	•	-																
Average Conference				0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-0 0 0-0	0.0	0.0%		0.0%				Average Conference		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0 0-0		0.0%		.0%	0 0-0 0 0-0	0.0	0.0%		0.0%
Away					0.0	0	0-0		0.0%		0.0%	0 0-0					1			Home	!		0.0 0.0	0.0 0					.0%			0.0%	0 1	
																	Pen	Position by Position I	Edges Wisconsin															
																	Pen	Penn St QB/Wi																
																		vs.	0.35															
																		Wisconsin DB's Penn St RB's	S															
																		vs.	0.56															
																		Wisconsin LB's Penn St OL	5															
																	0.36	vs.																
																		Wisconsin DL Penn St DL																
																		vs.	0.00															
																		Wisconsin OL																
																	0.30	Penn St LB'S																
																		Wisconsin RB's	s															
																	0.67	Penn St DB's vs.																
																		Wisconsin QB/W	VR															
Last Five	Years Rank	Meetin	ngs (Last Score		ars at I	Comp-A		n). TO's				Projected	Box S	core			1.53	Penn St ST's vs.		Category			Team & Margin					P	hil's Fon	ecast:				
Penn St	21	-8.5	22	23	47-183	19-25	-160	10.5	90			-					1.33	Wisconsin ST's	5	Las Vegas Line	[Wisconsin By 5.5							ast 4. In their				
Wisconsin	-	53.5	10		37-209	9-20		-2	ent	107		Projecte			160			Penn St K	0.10	Las Vegas Total			FO Deinte							cored Wiscons Wisconsin was				
2017 Penn St	Rank 8	Line 46.5			Rush 29-51	22-31		TO's	,	107 y	ards	Penn St Projecte	Wisco d Passir		162	yards		vs. Wisconsin K	0.10				50 Points	havin	g outscor	red their o	pponen	nts 247-36	(by 35	5.2 ppg!). The	y scored	10 pts in	a span	of
Wisconsin	6	-3			49-241	16-21	-174	2	of C	210 y	ards	Penn St	Wisco	onsin	188	yards		Penn St Coache	es .	+/- Ratings		'	Wisconsin By 5.6							much improve I QB Graham I				
									Return to Table of Contents	317 y	ards	Projected Y Penn St	'ardage' Wisco		350	yards		vs. Wisconsin Coach	nes	Game Grade			Wisconsin By 6.7	Surpr	ise Team	this year	or non-	-top 10 tea	am tha	t has a great	shot at t	he playo	f. Penn S	St is
									o Ta			Projected	Final Sc	core				Penn St Pts		Computer Yards	_			did or						eason was +1				
									Ē	21 pc	ints	Penn St Experience	Wisco e Ranki		28 p	oints	2.86	vs. Wisconsin Pts	1.01		_	W	Visconsin 350-31	ATS o	n the roa	ad vs rank	ed tean	ns the last	5 year	rs but also 3-1	ATS as	an AD th	e last 4 y	years.
									etu	99	9	Penn St	Wisco	onsin	6	50	POS	ITIONAL EDGE AWAR	RDED TO:	Computer Points	<u> </u>		Wisconsin 28-21	will ca	ι is just : all it right	14-20-1 A : at the nu	ns as a imber.	arr with V	visky. I	I find it tough	ιο go vs	eitner te	am nere	50
										45		Team Scheo Penn St	dule Stre Wisco		-	31		Penn St		Phil Steele			Wisconsin by 4											
										45	,	renn St	WISC	UHSIN	3	0.1		By 1.85 Point	ts				**isconsin by 4			,	Wise	consir	1 27	Penn 9	st 23			

	_	
Rice Owls	OVERALL: OVERALL: 0-0 0-0	Arkansas Razorbacks
Owls Logs	vs. CUSA vs. SEC	Razorbacks Logs
TH REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	0-0 0-0 NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC FOE TM FOE TM+/- FOE+/- AP TEAMPS RANK FOE REC CONF CONF TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST
0-0 0-0 117 127 9/4 at Arkansas 123 105 81 52 31 111	2020 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 127 117 9/4 Rice 52 31 111 123 105 81
0-0 0-0 121 9/11 Houston 73 48 3 0-0 0-0 139 9/18 at Texas 15 34 6		0-0 0-0 139 9/11 Texas 15 34 6 0-0 0-0 119 9/18 GA Southern 104 86 90
9/25 Texas Southerr	#108 Rush Off #78	0-0 0-0 143 9/25 † Texas A&M 14 6 36
0-0 0-0 116 10/2 Southern Miss 102 80 46	#81 Pass Off #54 #104 Total Off #67	
0-0 0-0 124 10/16 at UTSA 70 59 34	#99 Score Off #86	
0-0 0-0 122 10/23 at UAB 74 29 47 0-0 0-0 105 10/30 North Texas 68 117 27		
0-0 0-0 126 11/6 at Charlotte 121 113 103		
0-0 0-0 112 11/13 WKU 112 75 20 1-0 0-0 105 11/20 at UTEP 96 115 41		
0-0 0-0 110 11/27 Louisiana Tech 110 87 98	#10 Sks By #77	
	#66 Ypg Diff #95	
	+1 Total T0's +5	
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds		Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks at Arkansas		Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks Rice
Houston		Texas
at Texas Texas Southern	- 	GA Southern † Texas A&M
Southern Miss	S	at Georgia
Bye at UTSA	is s	at Mississippi Auburn
at UAB	Rice Owls AM AVERAGES sas Razorbacks	Ark Pine Bluff
North Texas at Charlotte		Miss St at LSU
WKU	Ric TEAM ansas	at Alabama
at UTEP Louisiana Tech	Rice TEAM A	Missouri
Louisidid Tecil	ੈਂ	
	□ <	
Average 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0%	, 	Average 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0%
Conference 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% Away 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0		Conference 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% Home 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0%
Away 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0%	Position by Position Edges	nome 0-0 0.0 0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0-0 0.0 0.0% 0 0.0%
	Rice Arkansas Rice OB/WR	
	vs. 1.10	
	Arkansas DB's Rice RB's	
	vs. 2.17	
	Arkansas LB's	
	Rice OL vs. 0.62	
	Arkansas DL	
	Rice DL vs. 0.85	
	Arkansas OL	
	Rice LB'S vs. 0.75	
	Arkansas RB's	
	Rice DB's vs. 0.29	
	Arkansas QB/WR	
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com). None Projected Box Score	Rice ST's 0.98 vs.	Category Team & Margin Phil's Forecast:
	Arkansas ST's	Las Vegas Line Arkansas By 19.5
Projected Rushing 76 yards Rice Arkansas 205 yards	1.10 Rice K	These two haven't played since Arkansas left the SWC back in 1990 which ended a streak of 62 consecutive seasons with a matchup. Arkansas leads series 35-29-3 & won 16 of the past
8 Projected Passing	Arkansas K	18. Arkansas has won 11 straight openers vs non-conf teams. Owls are 2-8 SU in road
146 yards Rice Arkansas 222 yards Projected Yardage Total	Rice Coaches 0.50 vs.	18, lost 42-10. Rice made a late addition in QB Luke McCaffrey (PS#22) joining a veteran
222 yards Rice Arkansas 427 yards	Arkansas Coaches	Game Grade team with 19 starters back. Rice is 9-4 ATS as an AD under Bloomgren. Arkansas has another old rival on deck but a much bigger one in Texas. The Hogs have 19 returning
Projected Final Score	2.58 NS 5.79	Computer Yards starters and are actually a favorite for the first time under Pittman and playing a non conf
17 points Rice Arkansas 29 points Experience Rankings	2.58 vs. 5.79 Arkansas Pts	game for the first time as well. Rice has a style of play that shrinks the clock and last year
81 Rice Arkansas 76	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Computer Points they even upset an undereated Marshall on the road. Arkansas 29-17
Team Schedule Strength 101 Rice Arkansas 2	Arkansas	Phil Steele Arkansas by 10 Book Book + Bigo 17 (1) Avisoness 37
	By 3.21 Points	Best Bet: ★ Rice 17 (+) Arkansas 27

					F		o St Bulld	ogs						1	-0	OVERALL: 0-0						on Duck	cs			
						В	ulldogs Logs									vs. PAC 12					D	ucks Logs		1		
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE+	/- TM	AP FOE	DATE	FOE	SCORE W/L	TEAM OFF D		RANK OFF	DEF	OE ST	0	NCAA RANKING	0-0	TM REC FOE	TM FO)E TM +/- F0E+	/- AP /- TM FO	E DATE	FOE	SCORE W/	TEAM L OFF DEF	PS RANK ST OFF	FOE DEF ST
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	121 101			8/28	Connecticut	45-0 W	33 8	5 126	129	127	109		2020 TEAM RANKI		0-0 1-0		0 135 121	11	9/4	Fresno St	,	11 14	78 33	85 126
1-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	125 135	i	11	9/4	at Oregon Cal Poly		0	0 0	11	14	78	Fres	sno St	Oregon	0-0	0-	0 145		9/11 9/18	at Ohio St			4	15 50
1-0		0-0	131				at UCLA				28	32	82	#74	Rush Off	#60	0-0	0-	0 116		9/18	Stony Broo Arizona	DK		103	106 102
0-0		0-0	105			9/25	UNLV				119	122	121		Pass Off	#48					10/2	at Stanford			58	67 37
0-1		0-0	122	!		10/2	at Hawaii Bye				90	57	86	# 6	Total Off Score Off	# 48 #42	0-0	0-	0 129		10/9 10/16	Bye California			81	56 107
0-0		0-0	125	;			at Wyoming				83	46	63	#1	Sks Allowed	#30			0 131			at UCLA			28	32 82
0-0		0-0				10/23					25	62	14	#2	Rush Def	#73			0 122		10/30				55	47 95
0-0		0-0	121			10/30	at San Diego St Boise St	t .			85 44	41 52	17 10	#1	Pass Def Total Def	#68 # 66	0-0		0 133 0 133		11/6	at Washingtor Washingtor	n n S		19 42	27 57 91 128
0-0		0-0	116			11/13	New Mexico				94	120	122	#1	Score Def	#61	0-0	0-	0 135		11/20	at Utah			21	9 38
		0-0				11/20	Bye at San Jose St							#120		#90		0-	0 124		11/27	Oregon St			71	83 69
1-0		0-0	124			11/2/	at San Jose St				92	58	64	#1 O	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#59 -9	+									
So			Yds FD		FD	Offens RA-RY				ense -RY YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks						Yds FD		Offense RA-RY			Defense s FD RA-RY	YPC Att	Yds Pass Sks
Connecticut	ore	45-0	431 16				6 4.1 27-3			-35 1.1			4	-			Fresno St	core	Tas FD	10 5 FI) KA-KT	TPC Att	: Pass Ski	S PD RA-RT	TPC Att	Pass Sks
at Oregon																	at Ohio St									
Cal Poly at UCLA					+									-	v		Stony Brook Arizona									
UNLV														1 :	Bulldogs	w	at Stanford									
at Hawaii														1 1	<u> </u>	용	Bye									
Bye at Wyoming														- 3	St Buildo Averages	Ducks	California at UCLA									
Nevada														١,	St E	_	Colorado									
at San Diego St														9		ō.	at Washington									
Boise St New Mexico														1	Fresno	Oregon	Washington at Utah	St								
Bye														1 3		ō	Oregon St									
at San Jose St														i	正											
																	-									
Average			431.0 16.0			38-150				-35 1.1			13.3%				Average		0-0 0.0 0.0			0.0 0.0%			0.0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Conference	!		0.0 0.0				0.0 0.0%			-0 0.0 -0 0.0	0.0%		0.0%				Conference		0-0 0.0 0.0 0-0 0.0 0.0			0.0 0.09			0.0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Away		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0		0-0	0.0 0.0 //	0 0.070	0 0	-0 0.0	0.070		0.0 /0		Position by Position E	Edges	Home		0-0 0.0 0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0 0.07	0 0.0	70 0 0-0	0.0 0.070	0 0.070
														Fres		Oregon										
															Fresno St QB/W	0.39										
															Oregon DB's											
															Fresno St RB's	0.62										
															vs. Oregon LB's	0.62										
															Fresno St OL		1									
															vs. Oregon DL	0.70										
															Fresno St DL		1									
															vs.	1.22										
														<u> </u>	Oregon OL Fresno St LB'S		4									
														1	vs.	0.74										
															Oregon RB's											
															Fresno St DB's	0.80										
															vs. Oregon QB/WF											
Last Fiv	e Year:	s Meeti	ngs (Last 22	years a	t PhilS	teele.co	om)		Project	ed Box	Score				Fresno St ST's		Categor	ny .	Team & Margi	1	·	·	Phil	's Forecast:	·	
None														-	vs. Oregon ST's	2.25	Las Vegas Line		Oregon By 20	.5					- (72) than	
							sh ts			cted Rush					Fresno St K		Las Vegas Tota	. –			no nas pla erence. Th	yeu more non- ie Bulldogs woi	-conf games vs n the first 2 me	current Pac-12 for etings ('81-'82) v	:s (/3) than an Oregon but ha	y otner ive lost 7
							S S	90 yards	Fresno S		regon	237	yards		vs.	2.45	weyas 10td		64 Points	stra	ght (4-3 A	TS). Seven of	the 9 games in	this series were d	ecided by one s	core (4.7 ppg).
							Return to Table of Contents	260 yards	Proje Fresno S	t Or	s ing regon	281	yards		Oregon K Fresno St Coach	es	+/- Ratings		Oregon By 14					von 42-25 (-34') ii year in which Kalei		
							9 e			d Yardag	e Total				vs.	2.00	Game Grade			coad	h with no	spring. QB Jak	e Haener is a tr	ansfer from Wash	ngton and is si	irrounded by
							Tab	351 yards	Fresno S	t Or ed Final S	regon Score	519	yards	<u> </u>	Oregon Coache Fresno St Pts				Oregon By 19	.5 talei				nd WR's Jalen Crop bal is just 6-8 ATS		
							\$	26 points	Fresno S		regon	39 p	oints	0.00	vs.	11.17	Computer Yard	5	Oregon 519-3	51 gam	e at Ohio !	State on deck	plus Fresno has	a GUB edge after	beating Conne	ticut 45-0 last
							E	•		ence Rani	kings				Oregon Pts		Computer Point	ts 🛌		wee				gs are a much imp esting starters late		
							Re	26	Fresno S Team Sc	t Or hedule St	regon trenath	10	01	POS	SITIONAL EDGE AWAR	RDED TO:			Oregon 39-2	5 3141	c game on	. Seck could lid	are the bruins r	coming ocal ters ldtl	uney get dil	-uu.
								129	Fresno S		regon	2	27		Oregon		Phil Steele		Oregon by 1	1		0-	1000n 41	Erosno S	· 27	
															By 11.17 Poin	its						Ur	egon 41	Fresno S	2/	

					٧	Vest	t Virg	ginia	a Mou	ntai	iners								ERALL: 0-0	c	OVERALL:						Ma	aryla	nd T	erra	pins	;						
						AP	Mo	ountaiı	ners Log	S			TEAM		S RANK		FOE		BIG 12 0-0	·	s. BIG 10 0-0						AP	Ter	rrapins	Logs			$\overline{}$	TEAM	- 0:	S RANK		OE
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	CONI		- FOE +/	TM	FOE	E DAT	E	FOE	S	CORE W	/L	OFF DEF	ST	OFF		ST		N	CAA RANKING	S	TM REC FOE			TM +/- FO		TM FOE	DATE		FOE	sco	RE W/	L O	FF DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST
0-0	0-0		132	121			9/4 9/11		laryland ong Island				60 30	68	61	77	89		2020	TEAM RANKIN	NGS	0-0 0-0	0-	1-0 0-0	121	.32		9/4 9/11		st Virgir vard	nia		6	51 77	89	60	30	68
0-0		0-0		125			9/11		'irginia Te						76	23	77	West	t Virginia	a	Maryland	1-0		1-0	1	.24			at Illir							66	94	49
0-0		0-0		149 126			9/25		klahoma exas Tech						1 31	3 45	22 53			Rush Off Pass Off	#81 #35	0-0 0-0		0-0		.21		9/25	Kei	nt St			_			54 64	123 22	127 4
0-0		0-0		129			10/9	at B	aylor						93		70	#49	,	Total Off	#53	0-0		0-0		.45		10/9	at Ohi	o St			+			4	15	50
0-0		0-0		139			10/16	6 B	ye						17	11	15	#83		Score Off Sks Allowed	#97 #53	0-0		0-0		.34		10/16	By at Min							27	98	61
0-0		0-0		146			10/30) Ic	owa St						2	19	110	#31		Rush Def	#117	0-0		0-0	1	.41		10/30	Inc	iana						30	8	58
0-0 0-0		0-0		132 125			11/6		klahoma ansas St	St					45 53		71 19			Pass Def Total Def	#28 #86	0-0 0-0		0-0		.42		11/6	Per at Mic	nn St hinan Si	+		+			18 78	12 90	9 116
0-0		0-0		139			11/20) Te	exas						15	34	6	#24		Score Def	#81	0-0		0-0	1	.32		11/20	Mic	higan						41	50	40
0-0		0-0		108			11/27	7 at K	ansas						127	121	117	#42 #15		Sks By Ypg Diff	#77 #73	0-0		0-0	1	.28		11/27	at Rut	gers			+		_	99	49	5
																		+2		Total TO's	-7																	
				Net			Offer	nse	Cor	mp	Yds		Defen	se	Com	p Yds										let		Offense	e	Com	p Yd	İs	_	Defens	se	Comp	Yds	
So	ore		Yds		TO's	FD		Y YE				iks	FD RA-R				Sks						Score				TO's FD	RA-RY					s F		Y YPC		Pass	Sks
at Maryland Long Island												_							Z.			West Virgin Howard	ia										+					
Virginia Tech																			Mountainers		S	at Illinois																
at Oklahoma Texas Tech												_							ţaj		<u>ڇ</u>	Kent St Iowa											+					
at Baylor																			5	Ë	널	at Ohio St											\perp					
Bye at TCU												+							۶	ığ	ē	Bye at Minnesota											+					
Iowa St																			<u>.e</u>	AVERAGES	<u> </u>	Indiana											工					
Oklahoma St at Kansas St												+							Ξ	Σ	Ĕ	Penn St at Michigan St											+					
Texas																			Virginia	TEAM	ž	Michigan											#					
at Kansas																			2	-	Maryland Terrapins	at Rutgers											+					
																			est		_												4					
Average		0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.	.0 0.0	%	0 0.0	0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	6 0	0.09	6	≥			Average		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0	0.09	6 0	0.0	% 0	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Conference Away	!		0.0		0.0								0 0-0				0.09					Conferen	ce	0-0			0.0 0	0-0						0 0-0				0.0%
Away		- 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.	.0 0.0	70	0 0.	070	0 0-0	0.0	0.09	b U	0.05	0	Positi	ion by Position E	dges	nome		0-0	0.0	7.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0	0.05	10 U	0.0	70 J C) 0-0	0.0	0.070	- 0	0.076
																		West	Virgini	ia J t Virginia QB/	Maryland wp																	
																				vs.	0.24																	
																				Maryland DB's est Virginia RB																		
																		0.47		vs.																		
																				Maryland LB's /est Virginia OI	L																	
																		0.24		vs.																		
																				Maryland DL /est Virginia DI																		
																		0.64		vs.																		
																				Maryland OL est Virginia LB	's																	
																		0.97		vs.																		
																		-		Maryland RB's est Virginia DB																		
																		0.03		vs.																		
Last Fiv	e Yea	rs Mee	ings (L	ast 22	ears at	t Phils	Steele.c	om)							•			+		aryland QB/W est Virginia ST		Catego	ry		Team & Ma	rgin						Phil	l's Foreca	ıst:				
None												Р	rojecte	d Box	Scor	е				vs.	0.34	Las Vegas Line																
																		-		Maryland ST's		regus Line	•		W Virginia	Ву З	Maryla	and has l	lost 9 o	f the las	st 10 (2	2-8 ATS)	vs We	st Virginia	and has	sn't beat	en WVU	at
									y		160	lo	Project) uncide			Vest Virginia K		Las Vegas Tota	al		F7 - 5 -									n the serie f each sea:				
									ģ		160 yard	is V	West Virgini Projec		aryland sing	129	yards	0.80		vs. Maryland K		-			57.5 Poir	ıtS	again	from '10	0-'15. W	/VU has	won B	32B meet	tings vs	s the Terps	s includii	ing the la	st time	nere
									urn to Table of Con		240 yard	ls V	Vest Virgini		aryland	244	yards	-	West	t Virginia Coac	hes	+/- Ratings			W Virginia E	y 8.0	Tagov	iaola at (QB and	were po	otent w	ith him	last year	and 40-37 ar putting	up 45 o	n Minne	sota and	35 on
									50				Projected	Yardag	ge Total			0.95		vs.		Game Grade												. They only osive on of				
									4		400 yard	ls V	West Virgini		aryland	373	yards		-	aryland Coache		oame Grade			W Virginia E	y 3.0	rated	defense	compar	ed to M	1D's #6	7 rated	unit. Th	he schools	are just	t a little	over 3 h	ours
									9				Projecte							est Virginia Pt		Computer Yard	ds											sually bring run into te				
											31 point	s V	West Virgini		aryland	26	points	4.09		vs.	0.58			v	V Virginia 40	0-373	they s	truggle.	In Mike	Locksl	ey's tw	o years t	they an	re 1-11 SU	J when t	they rust	for less	than
									e e		103	v	Experier West Virgini		aryland		67		_	Maryland Pts		Computer Poin	nts		W Virginia 3	11-26	last ye	ear held	all but 1	wnen ti wo tea	ms und	der that t	g rusn. total.	. West Virg	,iiild IIâS	my #15	uerense	allu
											203		Team Sch				٠,	P		NAL EDGE AWAR					giind s													
											76		West Virgini		aryland		23		W	Vest Virginia	'	Phil Steele			W Virginia I	y 10								M				
																			Ву	y 3.51 Point	s								V	est	Vir	ginia	30	Mary	iand	20		

							India	ına Hoo	siers							OVE		OVERALL:					lo	wa H	lawkey	es						
							Н	loosiers Log	ıs									/s. BIG 10							eyes Logs							
TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE	. TM +,	/- FOE +/-		AP					TEAM		RANK		OE	0	-0	0-0	TM REC FOE REC	TM FOE	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP						TEA		PS RANK		OE
0-0	0-0		141		TM	F0E		at Iowa	S	CORE W/L	OFF D	EF ST 8 58			ST 4		NCAA RANKINGS 2020 TEAM RANKIN		0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0		TM		DATE /4	FOE Indiana	SCORE	W/L	OFF 64	DEF 22	ST OFF 4 30	DEF 8	ST 58
							9/11	Idaho								Ind	liana	Iowa	0-0	0-0	146				it Iowa St					2	19	110
0-0 0-0		0-0		137 112			9/18 9/25	Cincinnat at WKU	ti				46 112	5 75	48 20	#112		#56	0-0 0-0	0-0				/18 /25	Kent St Colorado S					54 118	123 76	127 92
0-0		0-0		112			10/2	at WKU at Penn St					112		9	#112	Pass Off	#56	0-0	0-0					t Maryland	ot.				61	76	89
							10/9	Bye								#93	Total Off	#86	0-0	0-0	142		10	0/9	Penn St					18	12	9
0-0		0-0		124			10/16		St				78		116		Score Off	#40		0-0	127			0/16	Purdue					48	71	101
0-0 0-0		0-0		145 121			10/23	Ohio St at Maryland					4 61	15 77	50 89	#26 #34	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#30 #13		0-0	142			0/23 0/30 a	Bye it Wisconsin					23	16	83
0-0		0-0		132			11/6	at Michigan					41	50	40	#75	Pass Def	#37	0-0	0-0	132		11	1/6 a	t Northweste	ern				89	53	74
0-0 0-0		0-0		128 134			11/13		10				99 27		5 61	# 47 #22	Total Def Score Def	#12 #10	0-0 1-0	0-0 1-0				1/13 1/20	Minnesota Illinois					27 66	98 94	61 49
0-0		0-0		127			, .	at Purdue	.a				48				Sks By	#10		0-1					it Nebraska					65	54	
							,-:									#71	Ypg Diff	#39						-,								
																+8	Total TO's	+9														
				Net			Offens	se (Comp	Yds	Def	ense	Comp	Yds							Net		0	ffense	Com	p Yds			Defense	Comp	Yds	
Sco	ore		Yds		TO's	FD				Pass Sks	FD RA				Sks				Sco	re	Yds FD	TO's			YPC Att		Sks		RA-RY			Sks
at Iowa Idaho				_					_							4			Indiana at Iowa St				_			_	_	1	_			_
Idaho Cincinnati			+			1					-					-			at Iowa St Kent St													
at WKU																	yo 📗	10	Colorado St													
at Penn St Bye																4 3	e s	Hawkeyes	at Maryland Penn St													
Michigan St																1 3	a Hoosie	ē	Purdue													
Ohio St																	우 뿝	₹	Bye													
at Maryland at Michigan																- 7	_ ≨ _ _	표	at Wisconsin at Northwestern													
Rutgers																1 :	E ≥	_ 	Minnesota													
Minnesota																1	Indiana Hoosiers TEAM AVERAGES	Iowa	Illinois													
at Purdue			-													- !	5 '	ĭ	at Nebraska													
Average			0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0 0	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0	-0 0.0	0.0%	. 0	0.0%	_			Average		0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0 0.09	6 0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%
Conference	!		0.0		0.0					0 0.0%		-0 0.0							Conference		1-0 0.0 0.0	0.0			0.0 0.09		0.0%			0.0 0.0%		0.0%
Away		0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%				Home	0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	6 0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%
																	Position by Position E	Iowa														
																	Indiana QB/WR	1														
																	vs.	0.30														
																	Iowa DB's Indiana RB's															
																	vs.	0.69														
																	Iowa LB's Indiana OL															
																	vs.	0.27														
																	Iowa DL															
																	Indiana DL vs.	0.08														
																1	vs. Iowa OL	0.08														
																	Indiana LB'S															
																1.18	vs. Iowa RB's															
																	Indiana DB's		1													
																1.32	vs. Iowa QB/WR															
Last Five	e Yea	rs Mee	ings (L	ast 22 v	ears a	t PhilSt	teele.co	om)					•				Iowa QB/WR Indiana ST's		Category		Team & Margin						Phil's F	orecast:				
2018	Rank	Line	Score	e FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's			Project	ed Box	Score	9			vs.	2.49	Las Vegas Line													
Indiana	-	52.5 -4			25-67 32-159		42-263 33-320	1	tents		Dea!	cted Rusi	nine				Iowa ST's Indiana K				Iowa By 3.5	,	indiana is	s openin	ng B2B years	s vs a Bin	10 oppo	nent (LY v	rs PSU) fo	or the 1st ti	ne S/'81	-′82
IOWd	-	-4	42	Zb	52-159	, 21-	JJ-J2U			60 yards	Indiana		ing Iowa	145	yards	1	vs.	1.30	Las Vegas Total		46 Points	(NW). Inc	diana ha	as dropped th	he last 3	in the se	ries (0-3	ATS) and	the last 3 h	ere losin	g 7 of
									to Table of Con			cted Pass					Iowa K		+/- Ratings						SU. Indiana owa QB Stan							
-									e of	211 yards	Indiana Projecte	d Yardag	iowa e Total		yards	1	Indiana Coaches	0.40	,		Iowa By 7.1	h	nave cove	ered 7 in	n a row as a	n AD with	h two out	tright ups	ets and t	hey only ha	ve Idaho	on
									abl	271 yards	Indiana		Iowa		yards		Iowa Coaches	2.40	Game Grade		Iowa By 7.9				one of the ho t 6 games b							
									2			ted Final				2.50	Indiana Pts		Computer Yards			r	ival Iowa	State of	on deck. Iow	va has 7 s	starters b	ack on bo	th sides	of the ball a	nd Indy h	has 17
									Ē	21 points	Indiana Experi	ence Ran	lowa kings	24	points	2.50	vs. Iowa Pts	5.54			Iowa 341-271		oack over nere.	rall. This	s is Tom Alle	n's first e	ver trip t	o Kinnick	stadium.	Tough to g	vs eithe	er team
									Retu	40	Indiana		Iowa		112	POS	SITIONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:	Computer Points		Iowa 24-21	in the second	iere.									
										10		hedule S			43		Iowa		Phil Steele		Iowa by 4											
										10	Indiana		Iowa		43		By 3.04 Point	s			10Wa by 4					Iowa	24 1	India	na 20)		
																•	_,		•													

							•	H Redh		KS						0	-0	0-0				C		nati Bear	cats			
					•••		Redha	awks Logs	S		TEAM		RANK		OE		MAC -0	vs. AAC 0-0					Be	arcats Logs		TEAM	PS RANK	FOE
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- F	OE +/-	TM	FOE D	ATE	FOE	so	CORE W/L	OFF DE			DEF	ST	-	NCAA RANKING		TM REC FOE	TM FOE CONF CONF	TM +/- FOE +/	. TM FOE	DATE	FOE	SCORE W		ST OFF	DEF ST
0-0	0-0		120	137		8 9/4	4 at	Cincinnati			113 10		46	5	48		2020 TEAM RANKI		0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	137 120	8	9/4	Miami, OH		46 5	48 113	103 91
0-0		0-0		134		9/1		Minnesota Long Islan					27	98	61	Miar	ni, OH	Cincinnati	0-0	0-0	141		9/11	Murray St at Indiana			30	8 58
0-0		0-0		120				: Army West					109	82	55	#99	Rush Off	#21	0-0	0-0	141		9/25	Bye			30	0 30
0-0		0-0		116		10,	, -	Central Mic					77	89	42		Pass Off	#57		0-0				at Notre Dame	e		20	7 13
0-0 0-0		0-0		117 99		10,		Eastern Mic Akron	chiga				107 124	118 125	29 123	# 89 #63	Total Off Score Off	# 24 #19	0-0 0-0	0-0			10/9 10/16	Temple			106 10	111 118 69 76
0-0		0-0		130			/23 at						87	88	97		Sks Allowed	#19	0-0	0-0				at Navy			117	92 120
0-0		0-0		123			/30 at						88	110	54		Rush Def	#17		0-0				at Tulane			69	72 73
0-0 0-0		0-0		115 84				Buffalo Bowling Gr					116 126		112 115		Pass Def Total Def	#42 #16		0-0			11/6	Tulsa at USF			51 108	39 129 108 85
0-0		0-0		04			,	Bye Bye	reen				120	120	113	#53	Score Def	#10	0-0	0-0			11/20				29	84 32
0-0		0-0		121				Kent St					54	123	127	#86	Sks By	#17		0-0				at East Caroli	na		56	95 44
																#79	Ypg Diff	#11										
																0	Total TO's	+5										
				Net		01	ffense	Co	mp '	Yds	Defe	nse	Comp	Yds							Net		Offense	Com	p Yds	Defens	Comp	Yds
Sco	ore		Yds	FD	TO's	FD RA	A-RY	YPC A	Att F	Pass Sks	FD RA-F	RY YPC	Att	Pass	Sks					ore	Yds FD	TO's FD	RA-RY	YPC Att	Pass Si	s FD RA-RY	YPC Att	Pass Sks
at Cincinnati at Minnesota											1					-{			Miami, OH Murray St							+		
Long Island																	y,		at Indiana									
at Army West Po																	Ž	뀵	Bye									
Central Michig at Eastern Michig																-	ES	ũ	at Notre Dame Temple									
Akron	,															1 :	H Redhawks AVERAGES	Bearcats	UCF									
at Ball St																۱ ا	8 H		at Navy									
at Ohio Buffalo																- :	D B	蓋	at Tulane Tulsa									
Bowling Green	n															- (n, O	Cincinnati	at USF									
Bye																	Miami,	÷	SMU									
at Kent St																┥.	<u>.</u>	ءَ.	at East Carolina									
																1 :	Σ	0										
Average Conference			0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0 0.0		0 0.0%					0.0%				Average		0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.09			0.0 0.0%	0 0.09
Away			0.0	0.0	0.0					0 0.0%					0.0%				Home		0.0 0.0	0.0 0		0.0 0.09			0.0 0.0%	0 0.09
																	Position by Position E											
ĺ																Mian	ni, OH O Miami, OH QB/W	Cincinnati /R	-									
ĺ																	vs.	1.58										
ĺ																	Cincinnati DB's											
ĺ																	Miami, OH RB's	1.61										
ĺ																	vs. Cincinnati LB's											
ĺ																	Miami, OH OL		1									
ĺ																	vs. Cincinnati DL	1.92										
ĺ																-	Miami, OH DL		-									
ĺ																0.08	vs.											
ĺ																	Cincinnati OL											
1																0.14	Miami, OH LB'S											
1																3.14	Cincinnati RB's											
1																	Miami, OH DB's											
																	vs. Cincinnati QB/W	1.72										
Last Five	e Years	Meetir	ıgs (Las	t 22 ye	ars at P	hilSteele	e.com)				Dundant	.d D.	C				Miami, OH ST's		Category	,	Team & Margin				Phi	's Forecast:		
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-1	Yds	TO's			Projecte	a Rox	Score				vs.	0.70	Las Vegas Line									
Cincinnati Miami, Oh	-	-17.5 49	35 13		48-234 40-64	14-30-18		0	29		Droice	ted Rush	nina			-	Cincinnati ST's Miami, OH K				Cincinnati By 22				4			
2018	Rank	49 Line	Score	FD FD		Comp-Att-1		0 TO's	ē	41 yards	Miami, OH		ning ncinnati	178	yards		ws.	0.45	Las Vegas Total		50.5 Points					e to COVID-19. If ie the all-time ser		
Cincinnati	-	49.5	21		51-188	6-11-45	5		3		Projec	ted Pass	sing				Cincinnati K		+/- Ratings			ATS a	as a HF th	e last 3 years.	RedHawks las	t defeated the riva	l Bearcats here	in 2005, losing
Miami, Oh 2017	- Rank	-1 Line	0 Score	11 FD	19-59 Rush	22-41-13 Comp-Att-1				162 yards	Miami, OH		ncinnati	304	yards		Miami, OH Coach	es 0.65	.,		Cincinnati By 22		st 14 str	aight (5-9 ATS	by 20 ppg! F	rst met 1888 & p looks even strong	ayed every year	from 1945-
Cincinnati	nafik -	48.5	21		35-139	24-43-22			to Table of	203 yards	Projected Miami, OH		e rotar ncinnati	482	yards		vs. Cincinnati Coache		Game Grade		Cincinnati By 25					iooks even strong ii does have 19 re		
Miami, Oh		-5	17	14	32-70	16-31-22	21	1	ĕ	,	Projecte	d Final S	Score				Miami, OH Pts		Computer Yards			3 gar	nes last y	ear. They play	tough defense	and special team	and their offen	se likes to
	Rank	Line	Score			25-38-27		TO's	Ē	14 points	Miami, OH		cinnati	37	points	0.22	vs. Cincinnati Pts	8.64			Cincinnati 482-2	shrin focus	K the cloc	k to keep then se games and	n in games. Co is iust 2-11 🍱	ach Martin is on a 'S in non conf gan	25-9 run in MA es the last 4 ve	L play SU as he ars.
2016	-	-16	27		49-185	25-38-27	/0				Experie	nce Rani	Kings				Cincinnati Pts		Computer Point	_				and games and	, JUNE 2 1 1 M	com gan		
Cincinnati	-	57	20	14	30-65	13-24-74	48	1	둟	32			cinnati		95	PO	STITIONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:	Computer Fount	•	Cincinnati 37-1	1					, , ,	
	-	57	20	14	30-65	13-24-24	48	1 1	Kett	32	Miami, OH Team Sch	l Cin redule St	trength			РО	SITIONAL EDGE AWAR	RDED TO:	-	•	Cincinnati 37-1							
Cincinnati	-	57	20	14	30-65	13-24-24	48	1	Ketu	32 113	Miami, OH	l Cin redule St			95 72	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWAR Cincinnati By 8.42 Point		Phil Steele		Cincinnati 37-1			Cinc	cinnati 3	8 Miami,		

																	_																
					N			Thunde	_		i						0	-0	OVERALL: 0-0				1	lavy I		•	en						
							Thund	lering Her	d Logs										vs. AAC					Mids	nipmen	Logs							
TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	TM A	FOE	DATE	FOE	F	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF DEF		RANK OFF	DEF	FOE ST	0	-0 NCAA RANKINGS	0-0	TM REC FOE TM	FOE F CONF	TM +/- FOE+/-	AP TM FOE	DATE	FO)F	SCORE	W/L	OFF	AM DEF	PS RAN		FOE DEF ST
0-0	0-0		114	121			9/4	at Navy				93 61				120		2020 TEAM RANKIN		0-0 0-0 0-0				9/4	Marsh	hall		,-	117	92	120	93	61 39
							9/11	NC Cen							0.5		Mai	shall	Navy	0-0	0-0			9/11	Air Fo								97 12 48 3
0-0 0-0		0-0		128 126			9/18	East Ca at Appalac						56 37	95 25	44 28		Rush Off	#50	0-0 0-0	0-0			9/18	at Houst	ton							48 3 69 76
0-0		0-0		117			10/2	at Middle 1	Tenness					98	109	125	#79	Pass Off	#125	0-0	0-0	123		10/2	SMU							29	84 32
0-0 0-0		0-0		101 105			10/9	Old Don at North Te						125 68	124 117			Total Off Score Off	#122 #119	0-0 0-0	0-0			10/9 10/16	at Memp Cincin							36 46	66 60 5 48
0-0		0-0		103			10/10		exas					00	11/	- 21	#32	Sks Allowed	#89	0-0	0-0				at Tulsa								39 12
0-0		0-0		108			10/30	FIU						120		21	#6	Rush Def	#104	0-0	0-0	137		10/30	at Notre	Dame							7 13
0-0 0-0		0-0		116 122			11/6	at Florida	Atlantic					91 74	44 29	108 47		Pass Def Total Def	#15 #52	0-0	0-0	128		11/6 11/13	East 0	Carolina	9					56	95 44
0-0		0-0		126				at Charlott	te					121	113			Score Def	#75	0-0	0-0	112			at Temp	le						106	111 11
0-0		0-0		112			11/27	WKU						112	75	20	#29	Sks By	#116	0-0	0-0	120		11/27		West P	(109	82 55
																	#18	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#114 -2					12/4	Bye								
																	13	10tai 10 3															
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds		Defer		Comp								Net		Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense			Yds
at Navy	Score		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD RA-F	Y YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	_			Score Marshall		Yds FD	TO's FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Sk
NC Central																	1	2		Air Force													
East Carolin																			_	at Houston													
at Appalachian at Middle Tenn																			ē	UCF SMU													
Old Dominio	on																		Ē	at Memphis													
at North Texas	\$																١.	Marshall Inundering	Midshipmen	Cincinnati at Tulsa													
Bye FIU																	-		<u>18</u>	at Notre Dame													
at Florida Atlar	ntic																١.		Ξ̈́	East Carolina													
UAB at Charlotte																	- !	EAM	>	Bye at Temple									-				
WKU																	- 1		Navy	Army West Point													
																	•	5	Z	Bye													
																	- 1	<u> </u>											-				
Average		0-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%		≥		Average	0-1	0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	.0%	0 0.0
Conference	ce		0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0		0.0%			0 0-0				0.0%				Conference	0-1		0.0 0	0-0		0.0%		0.0%		0-0			0 0.0
Away		0-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%		Position by Position E	daes	Home	0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0	.0%	0 0.0
																	Mar	shall	Navy														
																	0.33	Marshall QB/WF	2														
																	0.33	vs. Navy DB's															
																		Marshall RB's															
																		vs.	1.25														
																		Navy LB's Marshall OL															
																	0.83	vs.															
																		Navy DL Marshall DL															
																	1.16	warshall DL vs.															
																		Navy OL															
																		Marshall LB'S	0.16														
																		Navy RB's	0.16														
																		Marshall DB's		1													
l																	0.70	vs. Navy QB/WR		ĺ													
		Mask	ings (La	st 22 y	ears at	PhilSte	ele.cor	n)					d D-	C				Marshall ST's		Category		Team & Margin						Phil's	Forecast:				
<u>Last</u> Fi	ve Yea	rs meet									P	Projecte	n ROX	score	•		2.82	vs.		Las Vegas Line													
Last Fi	ve Yea	rs Meet								, —							-	Navy ST's Marshall K				Marshall By 2.5	Navv	will onen	2021								
Last Fi	ve Yea	rs Meet						L	ş	1		Projec	ted Ruck	ning											trie 2021	1 seaso	n at hor	me Sept	t. 4 agair	nst Marsh	all. That o	ontest i	s also
<u>Last Fi</u> None	ve Yea	rs Meet							ten	134 ya	ards	Projec Marshall	ted Rush	ning Navy	200	yards		vs.	0.55	Las Vegas Total		47 Points	mear	ingful as	t comme	emorate	es the 5	0th ann	niversary	of the pl	ane crash	that de	vastated t
<u>Last Fi</u> None	ve Yea	rs Meet							ten			Marshall Projec	ted Pass	Navy sing				vs. Navy K		Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings			mear Marsi	ingful as all footba	t comme Il prograr	emorate m. Jack	es the 5 Lengye	0th and	niversary ime athle	of the pletic direct	ane crash or at Nav	that de y, was h	vastated t ired as he
<u>Last Fi</u> None	ve Yea	rs Meet							ten	134 ya 177 ya	irds	Marshall Project Marshall	ted Pass	Navy sing Navy		yards yards		vs. Navy K Marshall Coache		+/- Ratings		47 Points Navy By 11.3	mear Marsl coach killed	ingful as all footba to rebuil when the	t comme Il prograr I Marsha plane cr	emorate m. Jack ill footb rashed.	es the 5 Lengye all after MARSH	Oth and el, longti 37 play : Not or	niversary ime athle ers and i nly first n	of the pletic direct numerou neeting,	ane crash or at Nav s support out only o	that de y, was h personr ther 2 r	vastated t ired as he iel were neetings v
<u>Last Fi</u> None	ve Yea	rs Meet					<u> </u>		ten		ards	Marshall Projec	ted Pass N Yardag	Navy sing Navy	95			vs. Navy K	s				mear Marsl coach killed Servi	ingful as all footba to rebuil when the se Acaden	t comme Il prograr I Marsha plane cr nies were	emorate m. Jack ill footbi rashed. e in 192	es the 5 Lengye all after MARSH 20 & 19	Oth and el, longti 37 play : Not or 97 vs A	niversary ime athle yers and i nly first n irmy. The	of the pletic direct numerou neeting, Herd are	ane crash or at Nav s support out only o 2 2-4 SU i	that de y, was h personr ther 2 r n road o	vastated t ired as he iel were neetings v peners bu
<u>Last Fi</u> None	ve Yea	rs meet							ten	177 ya 311 ya	ards ards	Marshall Projected Marshall Projected Marshall Projected	ted Pass N Yardag N d Final S	Navy sing Navy e Total Navy Score	95 295	yards yards		vs. Navy K Marshall Coache vs. Navy Coaches Marshall Pts	s 3.45	+/- Ratings Game Grade		Navy By 11.3 Marshall By 9.9	mear Marsl coach killed Servi have	ingful as nall footba to rebuil when the ce Acaden covered 5 openers	t comme Il prograr I Marsha plane cr nies were straight before las	emorate m. Jack ill footbi rashed. e in 192 includi st year	es the 5 Lengye all after MARSH 20 & 199 ing LY's 's 55-3 (Oth and 37 play 37 play Not or 97 vs A 38-14 (+1) blo	niversary ime athle yers and i nly first n urmy. The win at W owout los	of the pletic direct numerou neeting, Herd and KU. NAV	ane crash for at Nav s support but only o 2 2-4 SU i f: Navy ha	that de y, was h personr ther 2 r n road d ad won f	vastated t lired as he lel were neetings v openers bu five straigh
<u>Last Fi</u> None	ve Yea	rs meet							ten	177 ya	ards ards	Marshall Projected Marshall Projected Marshall Projected Marshall	ted Pass N Yardag M d Final S	Navy sing Navy le Total Navy Score Navy	95 295	yards	5.83	vs. Navy K Marshall Coache vs. Navy Coaches Marshall Pts vs.	s	+/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards		Navy By 11.3	mear Marsl coach killed Servi have home	ingful as nall footba to rebuil when the se Acaden covered 5 openers lidshipme	t comme Il prograr I Marsha plane cr nies were straight before las	emorate m. Jack ill footbi rashed. e in 192 includi st year	es the 5 Lengye all after MARSH 20 & 199 ing LY's 's 55-3 (Oth and 37 play 37 play Not or 97 vs A 38-14 (+1) blo	niversary ime athle yers and i nly first n urmy. The win at W owout los	of the pletic direct numerou neeting, Herd and KU. NAV	ane crash or at Nav s support but only o e 2-4 SU i f: Navy ha	that de y, was h personr ther 2 r n road d ad won f	vastated t lired as he lel were neetings v openers bu five straigh
Last Fi None	ve Yea	rs meet							ten	177 ya 311 ya	ards ards ints	Marshall Projected Marshall Projected Marshall Projected	ted Pass Yardag d Final S	Navy sing Navy le Total Navy Score Navy	95 295 23	yards yards		vs. Navy K Marshall Coache vs. Navy Coaches Marshall Pts	s 3.45 5.41	+/- Ratings Game Grade		Navy By 11.3 Marshall By 9.9	mear Marsl coach killed Servi have	ingful as nall footba to rebuil when the se Acaden covered 5 openers lidshipme	t comme Il prograr I Marsha plane cr nies were straight before las	emorate m. Jack ill footbi rashed. e in 192 includi st year	es the 5 Lengye all after MARSH 20 & 199 ing LY's 's 55-3 (Oth and 37 play 37 play Not or 97 vs A 38-14 (+1) blo	niversary ime athle yers and i nly first n urmy. The win at W owout los	of the pletic direct numerou neeting, Herd and KU. NAV	ane crash for at Nav s support but only o 2 2-4 SU i f: Navy ha	that de y, was h personr ther 2 r n road d ad won f	vastated t lired as he lel were neetings v openers bu five straigh
Last Fi None	ve Yea	rs meeu							Return to Table of Contents	177 ya 311 ya 24 po 41	ards ards ints	Marshall Projected Marshall Projected Marshall Projected Marshall Experier Marshall Team Sch	ted Pass Yardag d Final S	Navy sing Navy e Total Navy Score Navy kings Navy trength	95 295 23	yards yards points		vs. Navy K Marshall Coache vs. Navy Coaches Marshall Pts vs. Navy Pts	s 3.45 5.41	+/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards Computer Points		Navy By 11.3 Marshall By 9.9 Marshall 311-295 Marshall 24-23	mear Marsl coach killed Servi have home	ingful as nall footba to rebuil when the se Acaden covered 5 openers lidshipme	t comme Il prograr I Marsha plane cr nies were straight before las	emorate m. Jack ill footbi rashed. e in 192 includi st year	es the 5 Lengye all after MARSH 20 & 199 ing LY's 's 55-3 (Oth and 37 play 37 play Not or 97 vs A 38-14 (+1) blo	niversary ime athle yers and in a light first in army. The win at Woowout los	of the pletic direct numerou neeting, Herd and KU. NAV	ane crash for at Nav s support but only o 2 2-4 SU i f: Navy ha	that de y, was h personr ther 2 r n road d ad won f	vastated t lired as he lel were neetings v openers bu five straigh
Last Fi None	ve Yea	rs meeu							ten	177 ya 311 ya 24 po	ards ards ints	Marshall Projected Marshall Projected Marshall Projected Marshall Experier Marshall	ted Pass Yardag d Final S	Navy sing Navy le Total Navy Score Navy kings	95 295 23	yards yards points		vs. Navy K Marshall Coache vs. Navy Coaches Marshall Pts vs. Navy Pts	3.45 5.41	+/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards		Navy By 11.3 Marshall By 9.9 Marshall 311-295	mear Marsl coach killed Servi have home	ingful as nall footba to rebuil when the se Acaden covered 5 openers lidshipme	t comme Il prograr I Marsha plane cr nies were straight before las	emorate m. Jack ill footbi rashed. e in 192 i includi ist year ced a Cl	es the 5 Lengye all after MARSH 20 & 19! ing LY's 's 55-3 (USA foe	Oth and 37 play : Not or 97 vs A 38-14 v (+1) blo when t	niversary ime athle yers and in a light first in army. The win at Woowout los	of the pletic direct numerou neeting, Herd and KU. NAV ss to BYU ned at FA	ane crash for at Navis s support but only o e 2-4 SU i f: Navy ha U in '17, a	that de y, was h personr ther 2 r n road d ad won f	vastated t lired as he lel were neetings v openers bu five straigh

																		_																		
i						Mia	-		ırricaı	nes								OVEI 0	-0	VERALL: 0-0					Alal	oama				de						
						,	Hur	rricanes	Logs			TEAM		PS RA	NV-	FO)E		ACC -0	vs. SEC 0-0			_		A.D.	Crim	son Ti	de Logs	S		_	TEAM		-PS RANK-		FOE
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE	TM +/- F	OE +/-	TM	FOE	DATE	F	OE	SCORE	W/L		DEF		OFF	DEF	ST	-	NCAA RANKINGS		TM REC FOE REC	TM FO	E TM+/-F	DE +/- TN	FOE	DATE		FOE	sco	ORE W	W/L C	OFF DI		ST OF		
0-0	0-0			158	14		9/4	† Alaban				5	17	31	8	1	1		2020 TEAM RANKIN	GS	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	0 158	133 1	14	9/4	† Miar					8 :	1	1 5		7 31
0-0 0-0		0-0		126 124			9/11 9/18		lachian St gan St						37 78	25 90	28 116	Mia	ni, Fl	Alabama	0-0	0-1	0	135		9/11	Mei at Flor				_	_		32	! 26	5 59
				12.1			9/25	Centr	ral Conn S	31					70		110	#66	Rush Off	#45	0-0	0-0	0	116		9/25		ıthern M	Mis:					10	2 80) 46
0-0		0-0		129			10/2	Virgin	nia						95	79	119		Pass Off	#5	0-0	0-1		138		10/2		sissippi						7		
0-0		0-0		136			10/9	Bye at North	Carolina						9	35	43	#32 #27	Total Off Score Off	#5	0-0 0-0	0-1		143 132			at Tex	as A&M s St	1					14 50		
0-0		0-0		129			10/23	NC St	tate						38	13	26	#116	Sks Allowed	#67	0-0	0-1	0	120		10/23	Ter	nessee						79	6.5	5 33
0-0 0-0		0-0		126				at Pittsbi							43	21 43	35		Rush Def	#20 #71	0-0 0-1	0-1		144		10/30	LSU							16		
0-0		0-0		124 127			11/6	at Florida	gia Tech la St						62 26	37	96 72	#67 #71	Pass Def Total Def	#71	0-0	0-1		94 127		11/6 11/13		w Mexico ansas	:0 :5					11 52		
0-0		0-0		125			11/20	Virgin	nia Tech						76	23	77	#54	Score Def	#17	0-0	0-1		132			at Aut							22		
0-0		0-0		114			11/27	at Duke							105	102	11	#17 #50	Sks By Ypg Diff	#7 #5																
																		+4	Total TO's	+10																
Scon	re		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		efense A-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks					ore	Yds	Net FD TO	s FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp			Sks	FD RA	fense 1-RY Y	PC At		
† Alabama			745	70	10.5	FD	- A-RI	170	Au	rass	JRS	FD .	A. KI	.,,	ALL	ass	- ORS	-			† Miami, Fl		Tus	.5 10		RA-KT	170	Att		3		. J RA	1	. C At	, Pa	SK:
Appalachian St	t																			d)	Mercer										\equiv					
Michigan St Central Conn S	St																	- 1	ß	Tide	at Florida Southern Mis	e	_								-+					
Virginia	J.C.																	1 :	g 0	Ε.	Mississippi	13														
Bye] .		5	at Texas A&M															
at North Carolina NC State																		- !	M AVERAGES	Crimson	at Miss St Tennessee										-+					
at Pittsburgh] :		赁	LSU															
Georgia Tech																		ī	- 🗧		New Mexico	St														
at Florida St Virginia Tech																		٠.	TEAM	Ĕ	Arkansas at Auburn										-+					
at Duke																		1	TEAL	Alabama																
																		- 5	Ě	<u> </u>											_					
																		-		⋖											-+					
Average		0-0		0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%				0.0%		0.0%				Average			0.0 0.		0-0	0.0							0.0		
Conference Away		0-0		0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%				0.0%		0.0%				Conference Home			0.0 0.		0-0	0.0	0.0%			.0%			0.0 0.0		
Away		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	U	0-0	0.0	0.0%		0.0%	U	0-0	0.0	0.0%	U	0.0%		Position by Position Ed	lges	nome		0.0	0.0 0.) 0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	70 (0 0.0	0%	0 0	J-U (0.0	% U	0.0
																		Miar		labama																
																			Miami, FI QB/WF	0.32																
																			Alabama DB's	0.32																
																			Miami, FI RB's																	
																			vs. Alabama LB's	1.58																
1																			Miami, FI OL																	
																			vs.	0.75																
																		-	Alabama DL Miami, FI DL																	
																			vs.	0.53																
																		<u></u>	Alabama OL																	
																		1	Miami, FI LB'S	0.49																
																		\bot	Alabama RB's	0.79																
																		0.39	Miami, FI DB's																	
																		0.39	vs. Alabama QB/WF																	
<u>Last Five</u>	Years N	4eetin	gs (Lasi	t 22 ye	ars at	PhilSte	ele.cor	n)				Projec	tod R	ov Se	core				Miami, FI ST's		Categor	1	Team & F	largin						Ph	hil's Forec	cast:				
												· · · · · ·	ceu D	.U. 30	-51-6				vs. Alabama ST's	1.00	Las Vegas Line		Alabama I	v 19 5	Alabar	na has v	von the	ir last 1	19 sea	son one	eners. T	his would	d he the	first Alah	ama-Mia	ami
None									a ts	1		Proj	ected F	Rushin	g			—	Miami, FI K						meetii	ng since	New Ye	ear's Day	y 199	3 when	the Tid	de scored	the ups	et to win	the 199	92
None									9	4 .				Alaba	ma	193 y	ards	1	vs.	2.50	Las Vegas Tota		61.5 Pc	ints	nation	al cham	pionshi	p. Bama	a has ı	won the	air last 1	10 Neutra		nonore h	25 ppg	. Bama i
None									<u> </u>	86	yards	Miami,						1	Alabama K		+/- Ratings									ich also	hosts S	SEC Title (al Site o	IM lost No	eutral S	ite onen
None									Con			Pro	jected I			312.	rarde		Miami, Fl Coacho	5			Alahama		LU LSC	i ides La	U & FL	in '18 8	& '19.	Miami g	hosts S gets QB	SEC Title (B D'Eriq Ki	Game. I	JM lost No from his	ACL an	d hopefu
None									e of Con		yards yards		jected I	Alaba	ma	312 y	/ards		Miami, Fl Coache vs.	2.15			Alabama I	y 26.9	he is 1	.00%. T	he Hun	in '18 8 ricanes a	& `19. and th	Miami g ne much	hosts S gets QB h more v	SEC Title (B D'Eriq Ki veteran t	Game. I ing back team wi	JM lost No from his th 19 ret	ACL an	d hopefu tarters
None									Table of Con	235		Proj Miami, Project Miami,	jected I Fl ted Yar Fl	Alaba dage T Alaba	ma T otal ma	312 y 504 y			vs. Alabama Coache	2.15	Game Grade		Alabama I		he is 1	.00%. Ti the Tide	he Hun has jus	in '18 8 ricanes a st 11 los	& `19. and th sing th	Miami g ne much neir #1 [hosts S gets QB h more v DC QB,	SEC Title (B D'Eriq Ki	Game. I ing back team wi DC WR's	JM lost No from his th 19 ret s, #1 DC l	ACL an urning s RB and :	d hopefu tarters 3 startin
None									to Table of Con	235 321	yards yards	Proj Miami, Projec Miami, Proje	jected I FI ted Yar FI cted Fir	Alaba dage T Alaba nal Sco	ma Fotal ma ore	504 y	/ards	0.39	vs. Alabama Coache Miami, FI Pts	2.15	Game Grade		Alabama I	y 27.9	he is 1 while OL's. I games	:00%. Ti the Tide Not only as Mian	he Hun has jus does B ni lost t	in '18 8 ricanes a st 11 los ama rat so Clems	& '19. and th sing th te the son las	Miami g ne much neir #1 [edge in st year 4	hosts S gets QB h more v DC QB, opener 42-17 a	SEC Title (B D'Eriq Kii veteran t two #1 E rs but the and to No	Game. I ing back team wi DC WR's e also fa orth Can	JM lost No from his th 19 reto s, #1 DC l re much l olina 62-2	ACL and arming some and a contract of the cont	d hopefu tarters 3 startin big avorite
None									urn to Table of Con	235 321	yards	Project Miami, Project Miami, Proje Miami,	jected I FI ted Yar FI cted Fir	Alaba dage T Alaba nal Sco Alaba	ma Fotal ima ore ima		/ards	0.39	vs. Alabama Coache	2.15	Computer Yards			y 27.9	he is 1 while OL's. I games lean ir	.00%. Ti the Tide Not only as Mian this ga	he Hun has jus does Ba ni lost t me is tl	in '18 8 ricanes a st 11 los ama rat so Clems ne UNDI	& '19. and th sing th te the son las ER as	Miami g ne much neir #1 [edge in st year 4 the Tide	hosts S gets QB h more DC QB, opener 42-17 a le have t	SEC Title (B D'Eriq Kii veteran t two #1 I rs but the and to No the best (Game. I ling back team wi DC WR's e also fa orth Can defense	JM lost No from his th 19 reto s, #1 DC l re much l olina 62-2 in the co	ACL and arming some and a control of the control of	d hopefu tarters 3 startin i big avorite nd their
None									Return to Table of Con	235 321 23 p	yards yards	Project Miami, Project Miami, Proje Miami, Exper Miami,	jected I FI ted Yar FI cted Fin FI rience I	Alaba dage T Alaba nal Sco Alaba Rankin Alaba	ima Fotal ima ore ima igs ima	504 y	ards oints		vs. Alabama Coache Miami, FI Pts vs.	2.15 9.31			Alabama I	y 27.9 04-321	he is 1 while OL's. I games lean ir	.00%. Ti the Tide Not only as Mian this ga	he Hun has jus does B ni lost t me is th much	in '18 8 ricanes a st 11 los ama rat so Clems ne UNDE includin	& '19. and th sing th te the son las ER as ng thei	Miami g ne much neir #1 [edge in st year 4 the Tide r coordir	hosts S gets QB h more DC QB, opener 42-17 a le have t	SEC Title (B D'Eriq Kii veteran t two #1 E rs but the and to No	Game. I ling back team wi DC WR's e also fa orth Can defense	JM lost No from his th 19 reto s, #1 DC l re much l olina 62-2 in the co	ACL and aming some and a sector in the secto	d hopefu tarters 3 startin i big avorite nd their
None									Return to Table of Con	235 321 23 p	yards yards points	Project Miami, Project Miami, Proje Miami, Exper	jected I FI ted Yar FI cted Fin FI rience I FI Schedul	Alaba dage T Alaba nal Sco Alaba Rankin Alaba	ma Fotal ma ore ma igs ima ngth	504 y 40 pe	vards oints 24		vs. Alabama Coache Miami, FI Pts vs. Alabama Pts	2.15 9.31	Computer Yards		Alabama I	sy 27.9 04-321 40-23	he is 1 while OL's. I games lean ir	.00%. Ti the Tide Not only as Mian this gai e lost so	he Hun has jus does B ni lost t me is th much	in '18 8 ricanes a ricanes a st 11 los ama rat- ro Clems ne UNDB includin as 10 st	& `19. and th sing th te the son las ER as ng thei tarters	Miami g ne much neir #1 [edge in st year 4 the Tide r coordir s back.	hosts S gets QB h more of DC QB, opener 42-17 a le have t inator a	SEC Title (B D'Eriq Kii veteran t two #1 I rs but the and to No the best (Game. I ing back team wi DC WR's e also fa orth Can defense y Diaz is	JM lost No. from his th 19 retros, #1 DC I re much lolina 62-2 in the cost taking o	ACL and aming some and a sector in the secto	d hopefu tarters 3 startin i big avorite nd their

						UI	Mass	Minutem	en							RALL: O	VERALL:					Pittsbu	rgh Pan	thers					
								utemen Logs	_								vs. ACC						inthers Logs						
TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FO	E +/-	AP					TEAM		RANK		0E		-0 NCAA RANKINGS	0-0	TM REC FOE	TM FOE	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP					TEAM	PS RANK-	FC	
0-0	0-0	COMP	95 1	.26	тм	FOE	DATE 9/4	FOE at Pittsburgh	SCORE W/L	OFF DEF 128 129	ST 84	OFF 43	DEF 21	ST 35		2020 TEAM RANKINGS		0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0		TM	FOE DATE	FOE UMass	SCORE	W/L	OFF DEF 43 21	ST OF 35 12		ST 84
0-0		0-0	1	.29			9/11	Boston College				24	64	45	UM	1ass P	ittsburgh	0-0	0-0	120		9/11	at Tennesse				79	65	33
0-0		0-0		.17			9/18 9/25	Eastern Michig at Coastal Carolin				107 35	118 40	29 87			#109	0-0	0-0	114		9/18	Western I New Ham				49	93	100
0-0		0-0		.19			10/2	Toledo	114			72	51	113			#39	0-0	0-0	124			at Georgia T				62	43	96
0-1		0-0	1	.01			10/9	Connecticut				129	127	109		Total Off	#79			105		10/9	Bye						
0-0		0-0	1	.27			10/16	Bye at Florida St				26	37	72	#127 #26	Score Off Sks Allowed	#60 #100		0-0			10/16	at Virginia T Clemson				76	23 2	77 67
0-0		0-0		26				at Liberty				34	55	75	#128	Rush Def	#5	0-0	0-0	133		10/30	Miami, Fl				5	17	31
							11/6 11/13	Rhode Island Maine							#39 #119	Pass Def Total Def	#86 #24	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0			11/6 11/13	at Duke North Car	rolin			10	102 35	11 43
0-0		0-0	1	.20				at Army West Po	ir			109	82	55	#122		#40	0-0	0-0			11/13		TOIIII			95		119
0-1		0-0		94			11/27	at New Mexico St	t			115	130	56		Sks By	#1	0-0	0-0	121		11/27	at Syracuse	!			84	68	18
															#127 -5	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#47 +4												
															-3	10tai 10 3													
So				iet	ro'a	ED	Offense		Yds Daes Ske	Defens ED BA-BY		Comp		Ct.						Net Vde ED	TC'-	Offense			Sks	Defense	Con		6/
at Pittsburgh	ore		Yds	FD T	ГО's	FD	RA-RY	YPC Att	Pass Sks	FD RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	-			UMass	ore	Yds FD	TO's	FD RA-RY	YPC A	itt Pass	SKS	FD RA-RY	YPC At	Pass	Sks
Boston Colleg																		at Tennessee											
Eastern Michi at Coastal Carol					_										┨ .	- E	ē	Western Mich New Hampsh											
Toledo	ıııd.														1	Minutemen TEAM AVERAGE	Panthers	at Georgia Tech											
Connecticut																	겉	Bye											
Bye at Florida St															- 1		Ъ	at Virginia Tech Clemson											
at Liberty															1 :	Minu		Miami, Fl											
Rhode Island Maine																	5	at Duke North Carolin											
at Army West P	oint														1 1		Pittsburgh	Virginia	a										
at New Mexico S	it															UMAS	ŧ	at Syracuse											
																	<u> </u>												
Average Conference			-431.0 -1 0.0 (0.0	9	31-35 0-0	1.1 46.7% 0.0 0.0%				69.2%		0.0%				Average Conference		0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0	0 0-0			0.0%	0 0-0	0.0 0.0		0.0%
Away			-431.0 -1					1.1 46.7%		25 38-15								Home		1-0 0.0 0.0		0 0-0			0.0%		0.0 0.0		0.0%
																Position by Position Ed													
															UM	Mass Pri	ttsburgh												
																vs.	1.19												
															-	Pittsburgh DB's UMass RB's													
																UMASS KB'S	2.34												
																Pittsburgh LB's													
																UMass OL	2.00												
															1	vs. Pittsburgh DL	2.00												
																UMass DL		1											
															1	vs. Pittsburgh OL	1.69												
																UMass LB'S		1											
															1	VS.	2.29												
															-	Pittsburgh RB's UMass DB's		-											
															1	vs.	1.55												
l ast Fiv	e Vear	s Meeti	ngs (Last 2	77 ves	rs at D	PhilSto	ele co	m)							-	Pittsburgh QB/WI UMass ST's	R	Category	,	Team & Margin					Phil's Fo	recast.			
None	- 1 6913	- rieeu	-9-1-00-1	A-01	- a. r	mote				Projected	Box S	Score				vs.	0.43								· iiii s FO	·ccu3t.			
								ħ								Pittsburgh ST's		Las Vegas Line		Pittsburgh By 3		irst meeting.	Pitt OC Mark	Whipple wa	s head co	oach of the Mir	utemen fron	1998-200	03,
1								Ę	10 yards	Projecte UMass		i ng burgh	230	yards	1	UMass K vs.	1.90	Las Vegas Total		56 Points						o in '98. Whipp outemen are 5			
								Con		Project	ed Passi	ng				Pittsburgh K		+/- Ratings			l l	oss to NC St i	in '05 coming	vs BC. UMa:	ss is 0-3	SU & ATS since	e moving up	o FBS in 2	012
-								o de	114 yards	UMass Projected	Pittsl		327	yards		UMass Coaches	1.65	. , natings		Pittsburgh By 35		ncluding a 55	i-21 (+17') lo	ss to BC in ':	18. Pitt is	s 6-1 SU but o ynamic RB in I	nly 1-4-1 ATS	in home	rous
								to Table of Contents	124 yards	UMass		burgh	557	yards	1	vs. Pittsburgh Coache		Game Grade		Pittsburgh By 43	. 7 r	eceivers and	a veteran Olir	ne. They hav	ve my nu	ımber 28 defei	ise. Walt Bell	dealt with	
								ř s		Projected	Final S	core				UMass Pts		Computer Yards			r	idiculous circu	umstances an	nd schedule I	last year	and they lost l	y an average	score of 4	0-3 in
								Ē	8 points	UMass Experien		burgh inas	46 p	ooints	0.00	vs. Pittsburgh Pts	15.03	-		Pittsburgh 557-1		Colorado trans	sfer Tyler Lytle	e (PS#34) t		rat could be a rat QB. Pitt ha			
								Retu	106	UMass	Pittsl	burgh	6	55	POS	SITIONAL EDGE AWARD	DED TO:	Computer Point	5	Pittsburgh 46-8			st 8-15-1 ATS						
									78	Team Sche				50		Pittsburgh		Phil Steele		Pittsburgh by 3									
1									/8	UMass	Pittst	burgh		30		By 15.03 Point	s			Fittsburgh by 3			P	ittsbur	rgh 4	5 UMass	s 10		

						C Mi	ichiç	gan Cl	hippe	ewas	;								ERALL: 0-0		OVERALL: 0-0						Miss	ouri	Tigei	rs						
							Chi	ippewas	Logs			_							. MAC		vs. SEC							Tigers L	ogs							
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FO	E +/-	TM AP	FOE	DATE		OE	SCORE	W/L	OFF	M DEF	PS R ST	OFF	DEF	OE ST	-	0-0	AA RANKING	0-0	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP TM F	DE DATI		FOE	SCOR	E W/L	OFF	DEF	PS RANK		FOE DEF ST
0-0	0-0		116	124				at Missou		SCORE	. **/L	77	89	42	75	74	30			TEAM RANKI		0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	124 116		9/4		tral Mich		L W/L	75	74			89 42
							9/11		rt Morris									СМ	lichigan		Missouri	0-0		0-0	125			at Ken							67	42 52
0-0 0-0		0-0		144 108			9/18	at LSU FIU							16 120	10 99	16 21			Rush Off	#90	0-0		0-0	129		9/18 9/25	at Bost	Missouri : ton Colle			+			24	64 45
0-0		0-0		120			10/2	at Miami	i, OH						113	103	91	#85		Pass Off	#32			0-0	120		10/2	Ten	nessee							65 33
0-0		0-0		123 119			10/9 10/16	at Ohio Toledo	lo.						88 72	110 51	54 113			Total Off Score Off	#59 #79			0-0	105 143		10/9		th Texas as A&M							117 27 6 36
0-0		0-0		112			10/10		nern Illinoi	it					114	119				ks Allowed	#49			0-0	143		10/10					+			14	0 30
							10/30											#30		Rush Def	#64			0-0	112			at Van								116 106
0-0		0-0		114			11/3	at Weste		ji					49 54	93 123	100 127			Pass Def Total Def	#85 #70			0-0	145 117		11/6	at Geo	rgia ith Caroli	lin					3 40	4 2 73 62
0-0		0-0		130				at Ball S							87	88	97	#74		Score Def	#86			0-0	135		11/20					1				26 59
0-0		0-0		117			11/26	Easte	ern Michiga	а					107	118	29	#61		Sks By	#56			0-0	127		11/27	' at Arka	ansas						52	31 111
																		#63 + 6		Ypg Diff Total TO's	#60 -4											+				
																		1.0		10141 103																
_			-	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	-	Comp	Yds	Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp		Sks								Net Yds FD		Offen D RA-R		Comp		Sks		Defense RA-RY			Yds Pass Sks
at Missouri	ore		Yds	FD	10's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	KA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks					Central Mich	igan		Yds FD	TO's F	D RA-R	Y YPC	Att	Pass	SKS	FD	KA-RY	YPC A	Att	Pass Sks
Robert Morris																			10			at Kentucky										1				
at LSU FIU																		-	ja ja			SE Missouri at Boston Colle														
at Miami, OH																			ě	(n	Ñ	Tennessee	ge									+				
at Ohio																			g .	Ë	ē	North Texas														
Toledo Northern Illin	nis																	-	Chippewas	AVERAGES	Tigers	Texas A&M Bye										+-				
Bye	0.5																			N N	Έ.	at Vanderbilt										+				
at Western Mich	igan																		<u>a</u>	<u> </u>	5	at Georgia										1				
Kent St at Ball St																		-	Michigan	TEAM	Missouri	South Caroli Florida	na									+				
Eastern Michi	gan																		<u> </u>	F	Ξ	at Arkansas										+				
																																1				
																		-	O			-										+				
Average					0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0-0	0.0	0.0%		0.0%					Average			0.0 0.0		0-0		0.0%		0.0%				.0%	0 0.0%
Conference	!				0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0-0	0.0	0.0%		0.0%					Conferenc	e		0.0 0.0		0-0 0 0-0				0.0%			0.0 0.	.0%	0 0.0%
Away		0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0-0	0.0	0.070		0.070		0-0	0.0	0.070	-	0.0 /		Position	n by Position E	Edges	Tionie		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.070		0.070		0-0	0.0 0.	.0 /0	0 0.07
																		<u>C Mi</u>	ichigan		Missouri															
																			CMIC	chigan QB/W vs.	0.22															
																				lissouri DB's																
																		0.22		4ichigan RB's	s															
																		0.22		vs. Iissouri LB's																
																				Michigan OL																
																		0.33		vs. Missouri DL																
																				Michigan DL		=														
																		1		vs.	0.06															
																		-		Missouri OL Michigan LB'S	s	-														
																		0.21		vs.																
																				lissouri RB's																
																			СМ	4ichigan DB's vs.	s 0.57															
																			Mis	vs. souri QB/WI																
Last Fiv	e Year	rs Meeti	ngs (Last :	22 yea	ars at I	PhilSte	ele.co	m).	4			Proje	cted E	Box S	Score				C M	Michigan ST's		Categor	у		Team & Margin						Phil's I	Forecast:				
none																			м	vs. Iissouri ST's	0.60	Las Vegas Line	П		Missouri By 14	Firs	t meeting	. Chippev	was are (0-9 SU	but 3-3-	-1 ATS vs	s SEC inclu	uding cov€	ering vs	Kentucky i
									an ts				jected						С	Michigan K		Las Vegas Tota				`18	(35-20) 8	as a 17 pt	t AD. CM	1 is 4-3	SU & 6-3	-1 ATS in	road open	ners includ	ling out	right wins a
					_		_		a t	178	yards	C Mich		Miss		157	yards	2.00		vs.		was veyas 10td	•		60.5 Points											st year's los is 17-1 SU
									ŭ	159	yards	C Mich	ojected nigan	Passir		320	yards	-		Missouri K higan Coach	nes	+/- Ratings	П		Missouri By 12.1	11-	7 ATS vs	G5 foes. I	Missouri	i does h	ave an S	SEC game	e on deck	in Kentuck	ky but i	s on a 12-5
									Return to Table of Contents			Proje	cted Ya	rdage	Total			1.15		vs.		Game Grade				AIS								QB Conno		lak and 6 men class
									Tab	338	yards	C Mich		Miss a Reni		477	yards	-		souri Coache Michigan Pts		June Grade			Missouri By 14.2	one	e the MA	called of	ff the sea	eason ar	nd then v	when it g	got restart	ed had to	play sh	orthanded
									2	28	points	C Mich	ected Fi nigan	Inal Sc Miss		34	points	3.91		wichigan Pts vs.	1.44	Computer Yard	s		Missouri 477-338									ington and		
									Ę			Exp	erience	Ranki	ings					Aissouri Pts		Computer Point	is -			his	trips to M									log with the
									Ret		18	C Mich	nigan Schedu	Miss			92	PC		AL EDGE AWAR	RDED TO:				Missouri 34-28	Chi										
											95	C Mich		Miss			21		С	Michigan		Phil Steele			Missouri by 7			M:-		: -	1 C		Mist		7	
																			Ву	2.47 Point	ts							MIS	ssou	ri 34	+ Cer	истан	MICH	igan 2	4/	

																				_														
						L			Bulldo	gs							OVER 0-	0	0-0					Mi		t Bulld	_	;						
EOE	тм	EOE				I.P.	Е	Bulldogs	Logs			TEAM	PS	RANK	FO	F	vs. C		vs. SEC 0-0	EOE	TM FOE			AP	Bull	dogs Logs	S		_	TEAM	PS	RANK	FOE	
	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-		тм	FOE			FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF DEF	ST ST	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKINGS	5	TM REC FOE	CONF CON	F IM +/- FOE		TM FOE	DATE	FOE		CORE W		OFF DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST
0-0	0-0		110	132			9/4 9/11	at Miss	S St Louisiana			111 87	98	50	28	51	1	2020 TEAM RANKIN		0-0 0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0		.10 .29		9/4	Louisiana NC State				50 28	51	111 38	87 13	98 26
0-0		0-0		123			9/18	SMI	J					29	84	32	LAI		Miss St	0-0	0-0	1	23	9	9/18 8	at Memphis						36	66	60
0-0 0-0		0-0		105 129			9/25	Nor at NC	th Texas State					68 38	117	27 26	#118 #74	Rush Off Pass Off	#127 #20	0-0 0-0	0-0		44		9/25	LSU at Texas A8	&M		_			16 14	10 6	16 36
							10/9	Bye	2								#115	Total Off	#101					1	10/9	Bye								
1-0 0-0		0-0 0-0		105 124			10/16	at UTE						96 70	115 59	41 34	#79 #111	Score Off Sks Allowed	#108 #121	0-0 0-0	0-0		58 12		0/16	Alabama at Vanderbi			_			8 111	1116	106
0-0		0-0		101			10/30	at Old	Dominion					125	124	93	#99	Rush Def	#26	0-0	0-0	1	25	1	10/30	Kentucky	у					67	42	52
0-0 0-0		0-0		122 126			11/6	at UAE	rlotte					74 121	29 113	47 103	#60 #83	Pass Def Total Def	#107 #54	0-0 0-0	0-0		27 32			at Arkansas at Auburn	s					52 22	31 20	111 25
0-0		0-0		116			11/20	Sou	thern Miss	3				102	80	46	#97	Score Def	#60					1	1/20	Tenness								
0-0		0-0		117			11/27	at Rice	9					123	105	81	#64 #115	Sks By Ypg Diff	#29 #88	0-0	0-0	1	38	1	1/27	Mississip	opi		_			7	60	103
																	-2	Total TO's	-8															
				Net			Offen	se	Comp	Yds		Defen	nse	Comp	Yds							N	let		Offense	Co	omp	Yds		Defens	e e	Comp	Yds	
Sco	re		Yds	FD	TO's	FD				Pass	Sks	FD RA-R				Sks					ore			TO's FD					Sks i		Y YPC		Pass	Sks
at Miss St SE Louisiana																	-			Louisiana Te NC State	:h								+					
SMU																				at Memphis														
North Texas at NC State																	9	20	S	LSU at Texas A&M									+					
Bye																	5		ĕ	Bye														
at UTEP UTSA																	1		Bulldogs	Alabama at Vanderbilt									-					
at Old Dominion																	Toch Buildoor	AVERAGES	<u></u>	Kentucky														
at UAB Charlotte																	1 3		St	at Arkansas at Auburn									+					
Southern Miss	3																ř	ТЕАМ	Miss	Tennessee S	t													
at Rice																	_	5 .	Σ	Mississippi									+					
Average		0-1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1			Average	0	-0 0.0 0	0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.	.0%	0 0.0	.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Conference			0.0	0.0	0.0					0	0.0%	0 0-0				0.0%				Conference				0.0	0-0	0.0 0.	.0%			0 0-0		0.0%		0.0%
Away		0-1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%		Position by Position E	dges	Home		-0 0.0 0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0 0.	.0%	0 0.0	.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	3.0%
																	LAT	ech LA Tech QB/WR	Miss St															
																		vs.	0.72															
																		Miss St DB's LA Tech RB's																
																		vs.	1.27															
																		Miss St LB's LA Tech OL																
																		vs.	1.17															
																		Miss St DL LA Tech DL																
																	0.09	vs.																
																		Miss St OL LA Tech LB'S																
																	0.29	vs.																
																		Miss St RB's LA Tech DB's																
																		vs.	0.82															
Last Five	. Year	s Meet	nas (l.a	st 22 v	ears at	PhilSt	teele.co	om)										Miss St QB/WR LA Tech ST's		Categor	,	Team & Mar	rain					Dhi	hil's Forec	ast:				
2018	Rank	Line	Score Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds					Projecte	d Box	Score				vs.	1.28			ream & Mai	· 9III					Pn	3 Foreca					
Louisiana Tech	-	48.5	3	15	32-140		-31-98											Miss St ST's		Las Vegas Line		Miss St By	23							re was 201				
Mississippi St 2017	21 Rank	-23.5 Line	45 Score	26 FD	36-289 Rush		29-243 -Att-Yds	1 TO's	ents	27	yards	Project LA Tech	ted Rush	i ng iss St	106 y	ards	1.60	LA Tech K vs.		Las Vegas Tota		52.5 Poin	te	wins but time vs I	trailed A Tech	31-3 at ha	alf, was won th	outgaine ne last 4 m	:d 532-2 meeting	238 in 42 p s, all since	oint (+2: 2011, M ^e	3') loss. I SU has w	1SU is 11 on 4 stra	-3 all- iaht
Louisiana Tech	Rank -	68	Score 21	20	50-152		30-163	10's	Ĕ	27	yarus		ا۳ ted Pass		100 Å	ai US	1.00	vs. Miss St K				32.3 POIN	nto	season o	peners	but did lose	e to So	outh Alaba	ama in 2	2016 as 28	pt favori	ites. This	is year tv	wo for
Mississippi St	-	-9.5			40-327		20-132	2	ပို	180	yards	LA Tech		iss St	343 y	ards		LA Tech Coaches	5	+/- Ratings		Miss St By 2	26.3	Mike Lea national	ch and champs	ıast year tl s which pla	ney op	ened the s an covera	season i	with 632 y whole game	ards in a e. Miss S!	upset of t is much	tne defer improved	iding d with
									ole c			Projected					1.20	vs.		Game Grade				8 starter	s back o	on both sid	des of t	he ball and	nd the be	enefit of a s but did go 9	spring. In	his 2nd	year at V	Vash
									Ta	208	yards	LA Tech Projecte		ss St Score	449 y	ards		Miss St Coaches LA Tech Pts				Miss St By 2	24.5	season. S	Skip Hol	ltz has 17	returni	ing starter	ers and s	ome key tr	ransfers p	olus will h	ave a mu	uch
									÷	17	points	LA Tech		iss St	35 po	oints	3.18	vs.	5.26	Computer Yards		Miss St 449-	-208							aker who o y have SEL				
									Return to Table of			Experie		-				Miss St Pts		Computer Point	5			tough ga	me vs l	NCSt. In 20	018 LT	went into	o Baton	Rouge vs				
									œ		49	LA Tech Team Sch		iss St	93	3	POS	ITIONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:	ļ		Miss St 35-	-17	L1 IS 22-	12-2 AT	TS as an Al	וט tne li	ast 10 yea	ars.					
											88	LA Tech		rengtn iss St	8			Miss St		Phil Steele		Miss St by	17											
																		By 2.08 Points	s						M	lississ	sipp	ı St 3	7 Lo	uisiar	ıa Te	ch 2)	

					Lo	uisia	ana	Ragin	Caju	ns							OVEI 0	RALL: O'	VERALL: 0-0						Texa	s Long	horr	15					
						R	Ragin	Cajuns Log	gs								vs.	SUN vs	s. BIG 12						Lo	onghorns L	.ogs						
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE	+/	AP M FO		ATE	FOE		ORE W/L	OFF	M DEF	PS R/	ANK OFF	DEF	DE ST	0	-0 NCAA RANKINGS	0-0	TM REC FOE	TM FO	OE TM +/-	FOE +/-	AP TM FC	E DAT	E FOE	_	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF DEF	PS RANK- ST OF		FOE EF ST
0-0	0-0		128 13		23 2			t Texas	SU	OKE W/L	47	33	7	15	34	6		2020 TEAM RANKIN		0-0 0-0		-0 139	128	21 2		Louisia		SCURE	W/L	15 34	6 4		3 7
						9/1	1	Nicholls									Loui	siana	Texas	0-0	0	-0	127		9/11	at Arkans					52	! 3	111
0-0 0-0		0-0	12 12			9/1		Ohio It GA Souther						88 104	110	54		Rush Off	#35	0-0 0-0		-0	117 126		9/18		Tools				12		05 81 15 53
0-0		0-0	10			10/		t GA Souther						122	86 107	90 105	#20 #82	Pass Off	#27			I-O	139		10/2		recn				1		15 53 .1 15
0-0		0-0	12			10/	/9	Appalachia						37	25	28	#42	Total Off	#19						10/9	Bye							
							/16	Bye									#30	Score Off	#10			1-0	149		10/1						1		3 22
0-0		0-0	11			10/		t Arkansas S Texas St	št					86 100	112 114	66 79	#22 #91	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#89		0	I-O	132 129		10/2	3 Oklaho 0 at Baylor					45	3	36 71 38 70
0-0		0-0	12			11/		Georgia St	:					59	101	114		Pass Def	#110			-0	146			at Iowa S					2		9 110
0-0		0-0	12				/13 at							80	81	94		Total Def	#68			-0	108		11/1						12		21 117
0-0		0-0	12 96					t Liberty						34	55 126	75		Score Def	#63 #64	0-0		i-0	132			0 at West V					60		68 19
0-0		0-0	90)		11/	/27	ULM						130	126	130	#34	Sks By Ypg Diff	#64		U	-0	125		11/2	7 Kansas	s St				5:	, ,	19
																	+10		+9														
So	ore		Yds FI		D's FI		fense L-RY	YPC At		'ds ass Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks					core	Yds	Net FD	TO's F	Offer D RA-F		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	Defense FD RA-RY	YPC At		
at Texas			, a.s. Fi	- 10		_ KA		At	Р	ORS				Att	. 455	JRS				Louisiana		105	.,	.03 F	- RA-P		Aut	. uss		TO RM-RT	THE A		ans ons
Nicholls] ,	v		at Arkansas													
Ohio																	-	cajuns		Rice													
at GA Southern at South Alaban																	- :	ž	υs	Texas Tech at TCU													
Appalachian	St																- 0	ا <u>بی</u> ا د	5	Bye													
Bye																		Kagin Ca	Longhorns	† Oklahoma													
at Arkansas St Texas St																	- 1	ag ag	Ĕ,	Oklahoma S at Baylor	t												
Georgia St																		¥ {	2	at Iowa St													
at Troy																		Louisiana	exas	Kansas													
at Liberty																			×	at West Virginia	9												
ULM																		<u>s</u>	<u>"</u>	Kansas St													
																	- 7	9 9															
																	-	-															
Average Conference			0.0 0.0		.0 0)-0)-0	0.0 0.0		0 0.0%		0-0		0.0%	0	0.0%				Average Conference		0-0 0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0-0	0.0 0.0		0 0.0%
Away	:		0.0 0.0		.0 0)-0	0.0 0.0		0 0.0%		0-0		0.0%	0	0.0%				Home		0-0 0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0%		0.0%		0.0 0.0		0 0.0%
																		Position by Position Ed															
																	Loui	siana Louisiana QB/WR	Texas														
																		VS.	0.51														
																		Texas DB's															
																		Louisiana RB's															
																		vs. Texas LB's	0.14														
																		Louisiana OL															
																	0.27	vs.															
																		Texas DL															
																		Louisiana DL vs.	0.92														
																		Texas OL	0.52														
																		Louisiana LB'S															
																		vs. Texas RB's	0.75														
																		Louisiana DB's		-													
																	0.75	vs.															
1 5	- V	- M	(1		4 -1	ICA											4	Texas QB/WR															
None Last FIV	e Year	s meeti	ngs (Last 22	year	s at Phil	isteele	.com)				Proje	cted I	Box S	core			1.21	Louisiana ST's		Categor	У	Team &	Margin						Phil's Fe	orecast:			
								_ (0								7	Texas ST's		Las Vegas Line		Texas	By 8	Far	r voars	ago Marril	and of	tunnod .	Toyac	in Tom Herma	n'e dobut a	amo '	2rd
								Return to Table of Contents	D			ojected						Louisiana K		Las Vegas Tota										in rom Herma LA was outgai			
1								Ē		180 yards	Louisi		Tex		219 y	yards	1	vs. Texas K	0.70	922.00		58 Pc	ints	Caj	uns are	2-20 all-tin	ne vs	current	t B12 te	eams but ups	et Iowa St la	st yea	ar on the
								Ş	1	169 yards	Louisi	ojected iana	Tex		258 y	yards		Louisiana Coaches	s	+/- Ratings		Texas E	y 16.0	roa	d in the	season ope	ener 3	31-14 (+	+11') a	nd Iowa St fir	ished #9 A	۱. The	2
								d	0		Proje	cted Ya	rdage 1	Total			1.50	vs.		Game Grade										m since '09 v			
1								-		349 yards	Louisi		Tex		477 y	yards		Texas Coaches		dame Grade		Texas E	y 14.6							n-cont roes th Texas has 15			
									3	27 points	Proje	ected F	inal So Tex		35 p	ointe	3.72	Louisiana Pts	3.01	Computer Yard	5	Texas 4	77=340	lea	ning ne	w schemes	s on bo	oth offe	ense an	d defense. Lo	uisiana has	10 sta	rters back
1								E		27 politis		iana erience			oo p	onico	3.72	vs. Texas Pts	3.01	C	_	. CAGS 4	. , ,,,,,,			le of the ba	all and	this is	Napier	s best team y	et! He is 7-	2-1 A7	S as an
								e .	į.	6	Louisi	iana	Tex	as	10	09	POS	SITIONAL EDGE AWARD	DED TO:	Computer Point	rs	Texas	35-27	AD.									
										0.2		Schedu				19		Louisiana		Phil Steele		Texas	by 7										
1										93	Louisi	апа	Tex	d5	4	13		By 0.71 Points				lexas	Jy /				Tex	xas 3	34 L	ouisiana	27		
								_	_								-	_, 0., 01110															

					S			St Spar	tans								OVER	-0	OVERALL: 0-0								rojan	S						
					••		Sparta	ns Logs			TEAM		PS RAN		FOE		vs. M 0-		vs. PAC 12 0-0					•••		Trojan	ıs Logs			_	TEAM	PS RA	4 NIV	FOE
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE	+/-	M FO	E DAT	TE	FOE	SCOR	RE W/L		DEF				ST	0-	NCAA RANKING		TM REC FOE REC	TM FO	NF TM+/	/- FOE +/-	TM I	OE DA	TE	FOE	sco	ORE W	//L 0	DFF DEF	ST ST	OFF	DEF :
0-0	0-0		124			8/28		outhern Uta			92	58	64					2020 TEAM RANKI		0-0 1-0		-0 134		15	9/4		San Jose S	St			12 19	12	92	58
1-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	124 13	34	15	5 9/4 9/11		SC ve			0	0	0	12	19	12	San Jo	ose St	USC	0-0 0-0		-0 -0	131 133		9/11		Stanford Washington	C					58 42	67 : 91 1
0-1		0-0	12	22			at Ha							90	57	86	#92	Rush Off	#119			-0	124		9/25		Oregon St			_			71	83
0-0		0-0	11			9/25	at W	estern Mich								100	#1	Pass Off	#12	0-0	0-	-0	122		10/2	2 at 0	Colorado						55	47
0-1 0-0		0-0	94 12			10/2		lew Mexico : olorado St								56 92	#4	Total Off Score Off	# 45 #32		0-	-0	135		10/9		Utah Bye						21	9
0-0		0-0	12			10/9		an Diego St								17	#1	Sks Allowed	#49		0-	-0	137				Notre Dam	ne		_			20	7
0-0		0-0	10)5		10/2	3 at UN	NLV						119	122	121	#16	Rush Def	#50	0-0	0-	-0	116		10/3	30 A	Arizona						103	106 1
0-0 0-0		0-0		25		10/3	0 W at Ne	/yoming								63 14	#3 #3	Pass Def Total Def	#46 # 43	0-0 0-0		-0 -0	134 129				Arizona St California	t					13 81	24 56 1
0-0		0-0	11			11/6		tah St								24	# 3 #5	Score Def	#43	1-0		-0	131			20 l				_			28	32
						11/2		ye									#127	Sks By	#75	0-0	0-	-0	132		11/2	27 E	BYU						57	78
1-0		0-0	12	21		11/2	7 Fr	resno St						33	85	126	#2	Ypg Diff	#41															
																	-2	Total TO's	+5											_				
			Ne			Offe		Comp				efense			Yds								Net		Offe		Com				Defense		Comp	Yds
Southern Utal		AE + -	Yds FE 293 4		0's FE	D RA-I			Pas 30 410		FD R					Sks 1				San Jose St	ore	Yds	FD	TO's	FD RA-	RY Y	PC Att	t Pa	ass Sk	ks F	FD RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass 5
at USC		45-14	293 4	• .	-2 21	1 25-1	1.33 5.	o 18-3	0 410	.0 0	1/ 3	1-111	J.0 1	1/-31	139	1	l			San Jose St Stanford										+				
Bye																	u	n		at Washington S	St													
at Hawaii																	2	₹		Oregon St														
New Mexico S																	ţ	ES	S	at Colorado Utah										+				
t Colorado St																	Spartans	8 8	ᇤ	Bye										_				
San Diego St																		AVERAGES	Trojans	at Notre Dame														
at UNLV Wyoming																	Ü	n ≩	F	Arizona at Arizona St										-+				
at Nevada									-								990[EAM	USC	at California										_				
Utah St																	2	임 [월]	Š	UCLA														
Bye Fresno St																	San			BYU										+				
TTESHO SC																	ΰ	ň												_				
A		45.14	202.0	^ .	20 21		122 5	0 (0.0)	0/ 41	0 000	17 2		3.6 5	4.00/	120	2.20/						0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0-	0 0		0/ /		00/	0 0-0		0.00/	0 0.
Average Conference			293.0 4.0 0.0 0.0		2.0 21	23-1						1-111 0-0		4.8% 0.0%		3.2% 0.0%				Average Conference		0.0 0.0			0 0-		0.09				0 0-0		0.0%	0 0.
Away			0.0 0.		0.0 0											0.0%				Home		0.0			0 0-		.0 0.09			.0% (0 0.
																	San Jo	Position by Position E	USC USC															
																	301170	San Jose St QB/V																
																		vs.	0.53															
																		USC DB's San Jose St RB's																
																		VS.	2.19															
																		USC LB's																
																		San Jose St OL																
																		vs. USC DL	0.30															
																		San Jose St DL																
																	0.46	vs.																
																	\vdash	USC OL San Jose St LB's	s	-														
																		vs.	0.24															
																		USC RB's	_	4														
																		San Jose St DB's	s 1.63															
																		USC QB/WR																
Last Five	Years	s Meeti	ngs (Last 22	2 year	s at Phil	Steele.	com)				Projec	ted B	ox Sc	ore				San Jose St ST's	s 0.20	Category	,	Team	n & Margin							nil's Foreca				
								2										vs. USC ST's	0.20	Las Vegas Line		Uso	c By 14	Fit	th meet	ing. Sa	n Jose S	St is 0-	-4 vs US	SC (2-2	2 ATS) wit 6-3. SJSt h	h their n	nost rec	ent game
												ected R						San Jose St K		Las Vegas Total											6-3. SJSt r jht upset a			
								ē.	5	57 yards	San Jose	e St iected P	USC		97 yar	rds		vs. USC K	0.95			59.5	5 Points	he	re ('09),	the Tr	rojans tra	ailed t	the Spar	artans 3	3-0 in the	2Q before	e explo	ding for 5
								Return to Table of Con	2.5	30 yards	San Jose		USC		364 ya	rds		San Jose St Coach	hes	+/- Ratings		Usc	By 15.0	ur	answere	ed pts ((6 rush, 1	2 pass	s td's) ir	in a 56-	-3 blowout	t win (-34	4). The	Trojans a
								e e			Project	ted Yard	dage To	otal				vs.		Game Grade											ATS as a r they wer			
								Tab	28	88 yards	San Jose	e St cted Fi n	USC nal Sco		461 ya	rds	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	USC Coaches San Jose St Pts				Usc	By 10.7	co	meback.	. San J	ose St h	as the	schedu	luling ed	dge as the	y have a	GUB a	nd a bye
								5	2	20 points	San Jose		USC		36 poi	nts	0.46	vs.	6.04	Computer Yards		Usc	461-288								Utah and			
								Ē			Exper	rience F	Ranking	gs				USC Pts		Computer Point	s										and they do			
								- Set		13	San Jose		USC		89		POS	SITIONAL EDGE AWAR	RDED TO:			Uso	c 36-20				am in the				5 65 611	and n		0
										130	Team S San Jose		e Stren USC		33			USC		Phil Steele		Uso	c by 14						246			- 20		
																		By 5.58 Point	ts								U	ISC :	34 S	an J	Jose St	. 20		

The control of the co																													
Mart							0				s						0-	-0	0-0				Pu						
The control of the							_	В	Beavers Logs						_									Boile	rmakers Logs	S			
The content of the	TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE F CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	TM A	FOE	DATE	FOE		SCORE W/L						0-			TM REC FOE	TM FOE CONF CONF	TM +/- FOE +/	- ^{AP} - TM FOE	DATE	FOE	SCORE W/			
Second Column		0-0		124				9/4	at Purdue		,-			48	71	101								9/4	Oregon St			101 71	83 69
## Projected Box Score Part Part	0-1		0-0		122									90	57	86	Oreg	on St	Purdue										
Column C	0-0		0-0		134									12	18	12	#32	Rush Off	#124							e			
The content of the			0-0					,							27					0-0								27	98 61
Column C	0-0		0-0		133					n St				42	91	128				0-0	0-0	143						64	22 4
Dec	0-0		0-0		135									21	9	38													
## Projected Box Sore 19																													
Column C																									at Ohio St	t			
Projected Box Score										t											0-0	132				rn			
No. Column Colu	0-0		0-0		135			11/27	at Oregon					11	14	78				0-0	0-0	141		11/27	Indiana			30	8 58
State Mark																													
State 10																													
Company Comp	Sco	ore		Yds		TO's	FD									Sks				S	ore		TO's FD						
## According 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1																													
## Company Com																	-		"										
Self-ford																	۱ ا	<u>n</u>	52										
Self-ford																		မှု မှု	紊	Minnesota									
Self-ord		t															1 3	8 8	Ĕ			-							
Saddrod	Utah																		<u>ē</u>	Wisconsin									
Saddrod																	1	ਨ <u>₹</u>	<u>.</u>										
Annay																	1 :	5 ≥	6 0										
Average																		5 1	ž										
Average 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	at Oregon																}	5 1	Ĭ	Indiana									
Conference 0-0 0.0																	j `		4										
Conference O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Augrage		0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0 0	00/	0 00%	0 00	0.0	0.00/	0	0.00/	_			Ανοκοσο	0	0 00 00	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.00		0, 0, 0,0	0.0 0.00/	0 0.0%
Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteels.com). Projected Box Score Projected Rishing Oregon St E7 Vs. Purdue G1/Vs. Oreg		2	0-	0.0																									
Coregon St. GRUWN 0.0 Oregon St. GRUWN	Away		0-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0-0	0.0 0.	.0%	0 0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%				Home	0-	-0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	6 0 0.0	% 0 0-0	0.0 0.0%	0 0.0%
A-0 Purdue Bix																				-									
Projected Box Score																		Oregon St QB/W	'R										
Oregon St DL vs. Departed LB's Vs. D. 20 Purdue DL Vs. D. 20 Purdu																	0.40	VS.											
Projected Box Score Projected Box Score																			:	1									
None Projected Box Score Purdue OL Oregon St Us Purdue OR Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.24 Purdue OR Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.01 Purdue OR Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.01 Purdue OR Vis. 1.02 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.01 Purdue OR Vis. 1.01 Vis. Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.01 Purdue OR Vis. 1.01 Vis. Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.02 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.04 Vis. It is Vegas line Purdue By T Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.05 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.05 Vs. 1.06 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.06 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.07 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.01 It is Vegas line Purdue By T Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.02 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.04 It is West Lafeyer' The only time the two teams have played was 1967, the Beaver's famed Gant Killers. OSU, coming off back-to-back losses to Washington and BWL, best the No. 2 Soliermank OSU, coming off back-to-back losses to Washington and BWL, best the No. 2 Soliermank Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.06 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.06 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.07 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.08 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.09 Oregon St Dis Vs. 1.00 Oregon St Dis																			0.26										
Nome Projected Box Score																				-									
Oregon St LPS																			0.20										
Vec. 0.85 Purdue 0.85 Purdue 0.85 1.24 Vec. 1.25 V																													
Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) None Projected Box Score 1,50 Projected Rushing 137 yards Projected Rushing 137 yards Projected Passing Oregon St. Purdue Projected Rushing St. Sale Purdue Pts Purdue St. Solonts Purdue By 7 Oregon St. Coaches Purdue By 7 Team Schedule Strength Purdue By 3.6 Oregon St. Coaches Purdue By 3.6 Oregon St. Purdue Projected Rushing Oregon St. Purdue																	1		0.85										
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Box Score 1.50 Vs. Purdue QR/WR 1.01 Vs. Purdue QR/WR 1.01 Vs. Purdue QR/WR 1.01 Vs. Purdue QR/WR 1.02 Vs. Purdue QR/WR 1.02 Vs. Purdue QR/WR 1.03 Vs. Purdue QR/WR 1.04 Vs. Purdue QR/WR 1.05 Vs.																		Purdue OL											
Projected Box Score Projected Rushing Oregon St S''s Purdue GB/WR Projected Rushing Oregon St Purdue Projected Yardage Total Oregon St Purdue Projected Yardage Total Oregon St Purdue Projected Yardage Total Oregon St Purdue Specificate Graph St Cores Projected Wardage Total Oregon St Purdue Specificate Graph St Cores Oregon St Purdue Oregon St Purdue Specificate Graph St Score Specifi																	1 24												
Projected Box Score 1.50 Projected Box Score 1.50 Purdue Q8/WR 1.50 Purdue STs P																	1.24												
Projected Box Score Projected Box Score Projected Box Score 1.50 Projected Rushing 1.50 Purdue STs Pu																		Oregon St DB's											
Projected Rushing 137 yards Oregon St Purdue Projected Yardage Total 399 yards Projected Flan Score 30 points Projected Plan Score 30 points Projected Flan Score 30 points Projected Flan Score 30 points Projected Flan Score 30 points Projected Plan Score 30 points Plan Wedue Score 30 points Plan Manigh Purdue																	1												
Projected Rushing 137 yards Oregon St Purdue Projected Passing 262 yards Projected Yardage Total 399 yards Oregon St Purdue 399 yards Oregon St Purdue 452 yards Projected Final Score 30 points Projected Final Score 30 poin	Last Five	e Yea	rs Meet	ngs (La	st 22 y	ears at	PhilSte	eele.co	<u>m)</u>			Projected	Boy 9	Score		_				Categor	1	Team & Margin				Phil	's Forecast:		
Projected Rushing Oregon St Purdue Projected Passing 262 yards Projected Passing 262 yards Projected Passing 262 yards Projected Passing 263 yards Projected Passing 264 yards Projected Passing 265 yards Projected Passing 265 yards Projected Passing 266 yards Projected Passing 266 yards Projected Passing 267 yards Projected Passing 268 yards Projected Passing 269 yards Projected Passing 269 yards Projected Passing 260 yards Projected Passing 260 yards Projected Passing 260 yards Projected Passing 260 yards Purdue K Projected Passing 260 yards Purdue K Projected Passing 260 yards Purdue K Projected Passing 260 yards Purdue By 6.1 Same Grade Purdue By 3.6 Same Grade Purdue By 6.1 Same Grade Purdue By 3.6 Same Grade Purdue By 6.1 Same Grade	None											. Tojecteu	DUX 3				1.50			Las Vegas Line		Purdue Rv 7	The o	only time	the two teams	have played w	as 1967, the Beau	ers' famed Giar	t Killers season
ream Scnedule Strength 29 Oregon St. Purdue 59 29 Oregon St. Purdue 59 Purdue by 4										£ 5		Projecte	d Rushi	ing						Las Vocas Total			OSU,	coming o	ff back-to-back	k losses to Was	hington and BYU, I	peat the No. 2 I	oilermakers 22
ream Schedule Strength 29 Oregon St. Purdue 59 29 Oregon St. Purdue 59 29 Oregon St. Purdue 59 20 Oregon St. Purdue 59 20 Oregon St. Purdue 59 21 Oregon St. 20 Oregon St. 20										re St	137 yards	Oregon St	Pur	rdue	127	yards	1		1.60	Las vegas Tota		68.5 Points							
ream scheaule strengtn 29 Oregon St Purdue 59										ŭ	262 yards				325	vards	-		es	+/- Ratings		Purdue By 6.1	team	s in one s	eason by defea	ating #2 Purdu	e 22-14 in West L	afayette (only r	neeting),
ream scheaule strengtn 29 Oregon St Purdue 59										e		Projected Y	'ardage	Total			1	vs.	0.95	Game Grado			Deati /c//9	ng #1 US n) that D	C 3-0 and tyin	g #2 UCLA 16	-16. This is the 16t	th time in the la	st 41 years
ream scheaule strengtn 29 Oregon St Purdue 59										E E	399 yards				452	yards	<u> </u>			Jame Grade		Purdue By 3.6	has 9	returnin	g starters on b	oth offense an	d defense and off 3	straight losing	season need to
ream Schedule Strength 29 Oregon St. Purdue 59 29 Oregon St. Purdue 59 29 Oregon St. Purdue 59 20 Oregon St. Purdue 59 20 Oregon St. Purdue 59 21 Oregon St. 20 Oregon St. 20										2	30 points				35 n	ooints	3.14	-		Computer Yard		Purdue 452-39							
ream scheaule strengtn 29 Oregon St Purdue 59										Ě	·	Experience	e Ranki	ings				Purdue Pts		Computer Point	5		Broh	m is on a	1-7 run as a H	IF. Jonathan Si			
29 Oregon St Purdue 59 Purdue Phil Steele Purdue by 4 Purdue by 4 Purdue 24 Oregon St 20										Se.	43				į	51	POS		DED TO:			Purdue 35-30	as he	is on a 9	-0 ATS run in t	that role.			
By 1.72 Points Purdue 34 Oregon St 30											29					59				Phil Steele		Purdue by 4			р	rduo 24	Orogon S	+ 30	
																		By 1.72 Points	s						Pu	i due 54	Oregon S	. 30	

					Texa	s Tec	h Red	Raider	s						OVER		OVERALL: 0-0					Houst	on Coug	ars				
						Red F	Raiders Lo	gs							vs. B1	IG 12	vs. AAC						ougars Logs					
TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/	- тм	AP FOE	DATE	FOE	ccon	E W/L	TEAM OFF DEF	PS RA	ANK OFF	FO DEF	DE ST	0-	O NCAA RANKINGS	0-0	TM REC FOE	TM FO	OE TM +/-	FOE +/-	AP TM FOE DATE	FOE	SCORE	TEAN W/L OFF		PS RANK ST OFF	FOE DEF ST
0-0	0-0	COM	126 121				† Houston	SCOR	E W/L	31 45	53	73	48	3		2020 TEAM RANKING		0-0 0-0		-0 121	126	TM FOE DATE 9/4	Texas Tec				3 31	45 53
						9/11	SF Austin								Texas	Tech	Houston	0-0		-0	117		at Rice				123	105 81
0-0 0-0		0-0	108 139			9/18 9/25 a	FIU at Texas					120 15	99 34	21 6	#63	Rush Off	#83	0-0	0	-0	121	9/18 9/25	Grambling Navy	St			117	92 120
0-0		0-0	139				at Texas at West Virgi	inia				60	30	68	#33	Pass Off	#83	0-0		-0	121	10/2	at Tulsa				51	39 129
						10/9	Bye								#37	Total Off	#54	0-0		-0	127	10/9	at Tulane				69	72 73
0-0		0-0	139			10/16	TCU					17	11	15	#59	Score Off	#53					10/16						
0-0 0-0		0-0	108 125			10/23 8	at Kansas Kansas Si	t				127 53	121 96	117 19	#53 #92	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#65 #70	0-0 0-0		I-O	128 123	10/23 10/30		ina			56 29	95 44 84 32
0-0		0-0	149				at Oklahoma	3				1	3	22	#103	Pass Def	#63	0-0	0	-0	116		at USF				108	108 85
0-0 0-0		0-0	146 132			11/13	Iowa St Oklahoma	- C+				2 45	19 36	110 71	#100 #108	Total Def	# 59 #81	0-0 0-0		I-O	112 123	11/13 11/19	at Temple Memphis				106 36	111 118 66 60
0-0		0-0	129			11/20 11/27 a		a St				93	38	70	#64	Score Def Sks Bv	#36	0-0		-0	101		at Connecticu	ıt			129	127 109
						Í									#68	Ypg Diff	#57											
															-9	Total TO's	-10											
			Net			Offense	C	omp Yds		Defense		Comp	Yds								Net	Offens	e Com	ıp Yds	D	Defense	Comp	Yds
Sco	re		Yds FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY		Att Pass	Sks	FD RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks				Se	core	Yds	FD	TO's FD RA-RY				RA-RY Y		Pass Sks
† Houston SF Austin															-			Texas Tech at Rice										
FIU FIU					1										1	5		at Rice Grambling S	t									
at Texas															7	3	ýs	Navy										
at West Virginia Bye															Daidore	S	Cougars	at Tulsa at Tulane										
TCU																	Б'n	Bye										
at Kansas															Pad		ပိ	East Carolina	1									
Kansas St at Oklahoma															-		=	SMU at USF										
Iowa St															1 1 1		ş	at USF at Temple										
Oklahoma St															F	IEAM	Houston	Memphis										
at Baylor															۱ ۲	8 -	운	at Connecticut										
															Toyac	5												
															-	-												
Average Conference			0.0 0.0	0.0	0	0-0		.0% 0	0.0%	0 0-0		0.0%		0.0%	4			Average Conference		0-0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0 0-0 0.0 0 0-0			1.0% 0 1.0% 0		0.0 0.0%	0 0.0%
Away			0.0 0.0	0.0		0-0		.0% 0	0.0%			0.0%		0.0%				Home		0-0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0 0-0					0.0 0.0%	0 0.0%
																Position by Position E	dges Houston											
															Texas	Texas Tech QB/W												
															0.27	vs.												
																Houston DB's Texas Tech RB's												
																vs.	0.21											
																Houston LB's												
															0.09	Texas Tech OL												
															0.09	vs. Houston DL												
																Texas Tech DL												
																vs. Houston OL	0.79											
																Texas Tech LB'S	6											
															1.24	vs.												
																Houston RB's Texas Tech DB's	5											
															0.32	vs.												
					DI 1101											Houston QB/WR												
Last Five		Meetin Line	Igs (Last 22) Score FD	ears at	Comp-A		TO's			Projected	Box S	core				Texas Tech ST's vs.	2.23	Categor	y	Team &	Margin			P	hil's Forecast:			
Texas Tech	-	69.5	63 36	41-99	43-59	9-605		9								Houston ST's		Las Vegas Line		Texas Te	ech By 1	Houston Jean	is the all-tim	e series 18.	·13-1 over the	e Red Rai	iders but b	eve lost the
Houston 2017	- Rank	-1.5	49 28	40-173			1 TO'e	temts	Luned-	Projected			150	inud-	0.15	Texas Tech K		Las Vegas Tota		64.55	loints				combine for 1			
Z017 Texas Tech		Line 68.5	Score FD 27 17	Rush 33-200	29-45			135 05	yards	Texas Tech Projected	Hous I Passin		159 y	ards	0.15	vs. Houston K				64.5 P	oints	yds to QB Bo	wman, 63-4	9 loss. This	is the 2nd tim	ne in the	last 5 year	's ('18) the
Houston	-	-6.5	24 25	31-110	32-52		-4	295	yards	Texas Tech	Hous	ton	238 y	ards		Texas Tech Coach		+/- Ratings		Texas Tec	ch By 5.3				son at NRG S turning starte			
								<u>e</u>) yards	Projected Ya Texas Tech	ardage T Hous		397 y	ards		vs. Houston Coacher	0.25	Game Grade		Houston	By 1 4	and has 6 po	sitions that r	ank in my t	op units in th	e magaz	ine. Housto	n has 9
								Ë +3€	, jaius	Projected F			397 Y	arub	\vdash	Texas Tech Pts	_	C		ouscon	, 1.7				I and are my			
								33	points	Texas Tech	Hous	ton	31 pc	oints	2.07	vs.	3.48	Computer Yard	•	Texas Tech	h 430-39		y have 4 pos eir best team		top units. Bo	oun coach	ies are in ti	ieir 3rd year
								t t	71	Experience Texas Tech	Rankin Hous		3	1	D	Houston Pts ITIONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:	Computer Point	5	Texas Te	ch 33,21	EV/EN	Jest team					
								Ret		Team Sched			3	-	POS	Houston	DED 10:	Phil Steele										
									62	Texas Tech	Hous	ton	7	0				Priil Steele		Texas Te	ech by 3		Tex	as Tec	h 34 Hou	uston	31	
																By 1.41 Points	S											

						Вау	lor Bear	´S							OVER 0-		OVERALL: 0-0						Tex	as St Bobca	ts					
							Bears Logs								vs. B1	IG 12	vs. SUN							Bobcats Logs		_				
TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/	′- тм	AP 1 FOE	DATE	FOE	se	CORE W/L	TEAM OFF DEF		RANK OFF	FOI DEF	E ST	0-	O NCAA RANKING	0-0 35	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP TM FO	DE DATE	FOE	SCORE W/L	TEAM OFF	DEF	PS RAN		FOE DEF ST
0-0	0-0		129 112				at Texas St		,	94 38		100	114	79		2020 TEAM RANK		0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	112 129		9/4	Baylor		100		79	94	38 70
0-0		0-0	108			9/11	Texas Sout at Kansas	hern				127	121	117	Bay	rlor	Texas St	0-0		0-0	108		9/11 9/18	at FIU Incarnate Word					120	99 21
0-0		0-0	146			9/18	Iowa St					2	19	110	#123	Rush Off	#95	0-0		0-0	117		9/18	at Eastern Michigar					107	118 29
0-0		0-0	132			10/2	at Oklahoma S					45	36	71	#72	Pass Off	#58						10/2	Bye						
0-0		0-0 0-0				10/9 10/16	West Virgin BYU	ia				60 57	30 78	68 8	#116 #100	Total Off Score Off	# 84 #70	0-0 0-0		0-0 0-0	106 122		10/9 10/16	South Alabama Troy						107 105 81 94
0.0		0 0	132			10/23	Bye					37	70	Ü	#119	Sks Allowed		0-0		0-0	122			at Georgia St						101 114
0-0		0-0	139			10/30	Texas					15	34	6	#85	Rush Def	#115	0-0		0-0	128			at Louisiana						33 7
0-0		0-0 0-0	139 149			11/6 11/13	Oklahoma					17 1	11 3	15 22	#32 #51	Pass Def Total Def	#114 #126	0-0		0-0 0-0	96 119		11/6 11/13	ULM GA Southern						126 130 86 90
0-0		0-0	125			11/20	at Kansas St					53	96	19	#65	Score Def	#116	0-0		0-0	127		11/20	at Coastal Carolina	I				35	40 87
0-0		0-0	126			11/27	Texas Tech					31	45	53	#56	Sks By	#90	0-0		0-0	110		11/27	at Arkansas St					86	112 66
															#102 +8	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#117 -3													
6-	ore		Net Yds FD	TO'	's FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC A		Yds Pass Sks	Defens FD RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks					icore		Net Yds FD	TO's FI	Offer D RA-RY		Yds Pass Sks		efense RA-RY			Yds Pass Sks
at Texas St	ore		TUS FD	10	5 FD	KA-KT	TPC A	u i	Pd55 3K5	FD KA-K1	T TPC	Att	PdSS	385				Baylor	core		Tas PD	105 11	D KA-KT	TPC Att	PdSS SKS	FD F	СА-КТ	TPC	ALL P	7d55 5K5
Texas South	ern																	at FIU												
at Kansas Iowa St																		Incarnate W												
at Oklahoma St																, o	ats	Bye	iiguii											
West Virginia	1														Roare		Bobcats	South Alaba	ima											
Byu															ä	₹ ≴	2	Troy at Georgia St												
Texas																		at Louisiana												
at TCU															ź		ş	ULM												
Oklahoma at Kansas St															Raylor	TEAM AVERAGES	exas	GA Souther at Coastal Care												
Texas Tech																-	.ã	at Arkansas St												
																	_													
Average			-73.2 -1.2			33-90				20 41-18								Average			-125.3 -5.6									270 2.9%
Conference	9		9 -73.2 -1.2 9 -40.0 -0.4			33-90				20 41-18 19 39-13								Conference	ce		-137.0 -6.0 -132.7 -5.0		0 29-12							268 2.9%
7.1107			10.0 0.1		0 15	51 75	2.5 02.	.,,,	250 7.1270	15 55 15	5.5	00.570		0.570		Position by Position	Edges	Tiome		51 15	152.7 5.0	0.5	5 51 15.	01.5%	207 7.270	20 .	U 27 1	5.0 0.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2.070
															Bay		Texas St													
															0.49	Baylor QB/WI vs.	R													
																Texas St DB's	5													
																Baylor RB's														
															0.96	vs. Texas St LB's														
																Baylor OL														
															0.30	vs.														
																Texas St DL Baylor DL		+												
1																vs.	0.05													
																Texas St OL Baylor LB'S		4												
1															0.58	Baylor LB'S														
																Texas St RB's														
1															0.97	Baylor DB's vs.														
															0.37	vs. Texas St QB/W	/R													
Last Fiv	e Yea	rs Meeti	ngs (Last 22	years	at PhilSt	eele.cor	n).			Projected	d Box 9	Score				Baylor ST's		Catego	ry		Team & Margin				Phil's For	ecast:				
None										,						vs. Texas St ST's	0.19	Las Vegas Line	П		Baylor By 14	8th	meetina.	Baylor holds a 7-0 le	ead. 1st meeting	in San Marc	os. 1st	meetina	was in 1	.909! Last
										Projecte	ed Rushi	ng				Baylor K		Inc Varior 7			Juj. 0. by 14	met	in '07 wh	en Baylor won 34-2	7 when the Bobo	ats were ar	FCS sc	hool and	there w	as no line.
									169 yards	Baylor	Tex	as St	125 ya	ards		vs.	0.50	Las Vegas Tota			53.5 Points			since '12 in non-con lost by 7 points. TX						
							Š	5	241 yards	Project Baylor	ed Passi	ng as St	179 ya	ards		Texas St K Baylor Coache	ıs	+/- Ratings	П		Baylor By 14.5	first	time Texa	as St hosted Baylor.	Texas St was mu	ch better th	nan its 2	2-10 reco	rd last y	ear and has
								9		Projected '	Yardage	Total				vs.		Game Grade				1/1		starters but Spavital st year HC's with no						
								3	409 yards	Baylor		as St	305 ya	ards		Texas St Coach	es	Jame Graue			Baylor By 13.3	He l	nas 17 ret	urning starters and	a vastly improved	d squad and	they or	nly have	FIU on o	leck. The
1								3	35 points	Projected Baylor		core as St	24 po	ints	3.31	Baylor Pts vs.	0.74	Computer Yard	is [Baylor 409-305	offe	nses are c	lose, but Baylor has	a huge edge on o	defense (#2	7 vs #1	119). Las	t year T	exas St lost
										Experien	ce Ranki	ings				Texas St Pts		Computer Poin	te					UTSA by 3 in 20T Lo chools are only separ						
								2	62	Baylor Toom Sobo		as St	34	ı	POS	ITIONAL EDGE AWA	RDED TO:	- Computer Point			Baylor 35-24		his one.	,	,	•				-
									32	Team Sche Baylor		engtn as St	84			Baylor		Phil Steele	П		Baylor by 7				24 =		u			
										-,	. 28					By 2.56 Poin	its							Ba	ylor 31 T	exas S	t 24			

					Sv	raciis	e Ora	naan	non							OVERA		OVERALL:							Ohi	o Bok	ncate							
					Эу		ngemen	_	iicii							0-0 vs. A		0-0 vs. MAC								Bobcats Lo								
FOE	тм	FOE			AP	Ora	ngemen	Logs			TEAM	PS	RANK	FOE		VS. A		0-0	FOE	TM FOR	E			AP		DODCALS L	bys			т	EAM	PS RA	ANK	FOE
TM REC FOE REC		CONF	TM +/- FOE +/		FOE	DATE	FC	DE	SCORE	W/L	OFF DE		OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKING		TM REC FOE			-/- FOE+/-		FOE	DATE	FC		SCOR	RE W/L	OFF		ST		DEF ST
0-0	0-0	0-0	121 123				at Ohio				84 68	18	88 99	110 49	54	2	2020 TEAM RANKI	NGS	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	0 12	3 121			9/4	Syracus				88	110	54	84	68 18
0-0		0-0	128			9/11 9/18	Rutger						99	49	5	Syrac	use	Ohio	0-0	0-0	n	128			9/11 9/18	Duquesi at Louisian							47	33 7
0-0		0-0	133			9/25	Liberty						34	55	75	#121	Rush Off	#17	0-0	0-0	0	132				at Northwe							89	53 74
0-0		0-0	127				at Florida						26			#109	Pass Off	#116	0-0			99			10/2									125 123
0-0		0-0	132 146			10/9 10/16	Wake						39 6	63 2	65 67	#125 #115	Total Off Score Off	# 91 #25	0-0 0-0	0-0		116 115			10/9	at Buffalo	Michigan						77 116	89 42 104 112
0-0		0-0	125				at Virginia						76		77	#126	Sks Allowed	#23	0-0			121			10/23	Kent St								123 127
0-0		0-0	129			10/30	Boston	College					24	64	45	#108	Rush Def	#97	0-0			120			11/2	Miami, (103 91
0-0		0-0	130			11/6	Bye						63	70	00	#97 #114	Pass Def Total Def	#23 # 53	0-0			117			11/9	at Eastern Toledo	Michigan							118 29 51 113
0-0		0-0	130				at Louisvi at NC Sta						38	70 13	80 26	#114	Score Def	#11	0-0	0-0	U	119			11/10	Bve							72	51 113
0-0		0-0	126			11/27	Pittsbu						43	21	35	#23	Sks By	#120	0-0	0-0	0	84				at Bowling	Green						126	128 115
																#124	Ypg Diff	#77																
																+3	Total TO's	+4																
So			Net Yds FD	TO's		Offense RA-RY	VDC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	Defe		Comp		Sks					ore	Yd	Net s FD	TO's		Offense RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass			Defense RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass Sks
t Ohio	ore		Tas PD	10.5	FU	KA-KT	TPC	Att	PdSS	SKS	FD RA-I	er ipc	Att	PdSS	SKS				Syracuse	ore	TO	א דט	10 5	FD	KA-KT	TPC	Att	Pass	5 585	FU	KA-K1	TPC	Att	Pd5S SKS
Rutgers																			Duquesne															
Albany																en			at Louisiana	_														
Liberty t Florida St																Orangemen		10	at Northwestern at Akron	1														
Wake Forest																g e	AVERAGES	Bobcats	Central Mich	igan														
Clemson																Ĕ	l ĕ	ŏ	at Buffalo															
t Virginia Tech Boston Colleg																Ţ.		ğ	Kent St Miami, OH															
Bye	ge																•		at Eastern Mich	igan														
t Louisville																cuse	TEAM	Ohio	Toledo															
t NC State																2			Bye at Bowling Gree															
Pittsburgh																Syra		•	at Bowling Gree	en														
																Ś																		
Average Conference			-198.6 -12.5 -197.3 -13.2			29-92	3.2	51.5%			26 46-2		65.4%	255	7.0%				Average		-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17	39-217	5.6	66.7%	146	16.7%	b 19	40-194			195 4.39
Away					13	29-92				11 8%	26 46-1	96 43	64 6%	262 (39-217	5.6	66 7%	146	16.7%	6 19	40-194			
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13 12	29-92 32-118	3.1 3.7	52.0% 47.0%	170	11.8%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%				Conference Home	e 34-	-17 -26 -10 1.0	.7 -1.3	1.3	17	39-217 41-270	5.6 6.7	66.7% 71.9%	146 102	16.7% 12.5%	b 19	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.39 172 7.89
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13 12	29-92 32-118	3.1		132	11.8%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%		Position by Position I		Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	16.7% 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.3% 172 7.8%
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13	29-92 32-118	3.1		132	11.8% 14.9%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	Syrac Syrac	use	Ohio	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	16.7% 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.39 172 7.89
	<u> </u>	12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13	29-92 32-118	3.1		132	11.8% 14.9%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%			Ohio	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	16.7% 2 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.39 172 7.89
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13	29-92 32-118	3.1		132	11.8% 14.9%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	Syrac	use Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's	Ohio /R	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	5 16.7% 2 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.39 172 7.89
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13	29-92 32-118	3.1		170	11.8%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	Syrac 0.34	Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's	Ohio /R	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	5 16.7% 2 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.39 172 7.89
	-	12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13 12	29-92 32-118	3.1		170	11.8%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	Syrac	Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs.	Ohio /R	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	5 16.7% 2 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.39 172 7.89
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13	29-92 32-118	3.1		170	11.8%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	Syrac 0.34	Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's	Ohio //R	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	5 16.7% 9 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.39 172 7.89
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13 12	29-92 32-118	3.1		170	11.8%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	Syrac 0.34	use Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs.	Ohio //R	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	5 16.7% 9 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.39 172 7.89
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13 12	29-92 32-118	3.1		132	11.8% 14.9%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	0.34 0.92	Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL	Ohio //R	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	6 16.7% 2 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.39 172 7.89
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13 12	29-92 32-118	3.1		170	11.8% 14.9%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	0.34 0.92	use Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs.	Ohio //R	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	6 16.7% 2 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.39 172 7.89
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13 12	29-92 32-118	3.1		170	11.8% 14.9%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	0.34 0.92	vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's Vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse RB vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL Vs. Ohio DL Syracuse DL	Ohio.	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	6 16.7% 2 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.3° 172 7.8°
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13 12	29-92 32-118	3.1		170	11.8% 14.9%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	0.34 0.92 0.62	Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL Syracuse DL vs. Ohio OL	Ohio. /R 6	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146	6 16.7% 2 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.39 172 7.89
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13 12	29-92 32-118	3.1		170	11.8%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	0.34 0.92	use Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL Syracuse OL Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL Syracuse DL vs.	Ohio. /R 6	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	6 16.7% 2 12.5%	6 19 6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.3°
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13 12	29-92 32-118	3.1		170	11.8%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	0.34 0.92 0.62	Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL Syracuse DL vs. Ohio OL	Ohio. Onio. Onio. Onio.	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	6 16.7% 12.5%	b 19 b 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.3°
		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	13 12	29-92 32-118	3.1		132	11.8%	26 46-1 25 40-1	96 4.3 70 4.2	64.6% 67.7%	262 (278 (6.8%	0.34 0.92 0.62	use Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL Syracuse DL vs. Ohio OL Syracuse DE's vs. Ohio RB's Syracuse DB's vs.	Ohio. (R) (0.09)	Conference	e 34-	-17 -26	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146 102	6 16.7% 12.5%	b 19 b 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.3°
ggp Elv		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7		132	14.9%	25 40-1	70 4.2	67.7%	278 (6.8%	0.34 0.92 0.62	USE Syracuse QB/W VS. Ohio DB'S Syracuse OL VS. Ohio LB'S Syracuse OL VS. Ohio DL Syracuse DL Syracuse DL Syracuse DS'S VS. Ohio RB'S Syracuse DB'S VS. Ohio QB/WR	Ohio. (R	Conferenc Home	e 34-38-	-17 -26. 10 1.0	.7 -1.3 0 2.0	1.3	17				146	2 12.5%	6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.3°
Last <i>Fiv</i>		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7		132	14.9%	26 46-1 25 40-1	70 4.2	67.7%	278 (6.8%	0.34 0.92 0.62	use Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL Syracuse DL vs. Ohio OL Syracuse DE's vs. Ohio RB's Syracuse DB's vs.	Ohio. (R	Conference Home	e 34-38-	-17 -26. 10 1.0	.7 -1.3	1.3	17				146	i 16.7%	6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.3°
<u>Last Fiv</u> one		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7		170	14.9%	Projecte	70 4.2	67.7%	278 (6.8%	0.34 0.92 0.62	USE Syracuse QB/W VS. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's VS. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL VS. Ohio DL Syracuse DL's VS. Ohio OL Syracuse BL'S VS. Ohio RB's Syracuse ST's VS. Ohio ST's Ohio ST's	Ohio. (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	Conferenc Home	e 34-38-	-17 -26. 10 1.0	.7 -1.3 0 2.0	1.3	17				146	2 12.5%	6 16	40-194 37-199	5.4	52.9%	195 4.3°
<u>Last Fiv</u> one		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7		132	14.9%	Projecte Projecte	ed Box	Score	278 (5.8%	0.34 0.92 0.62	USE Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL Syracuse DL vs. Ohio OL Syracuse BB'S vs. Ohio QB ys Syracuse DS vs. Ohio QB/WR Syracuse ST's vs. Ohio ST's Syracuse KB'S Syracuse ST's	Ohio. (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	Conferenc Home	e 34-38-	Team Syrar	m & Margin	1.3	17 18	41-270 me hostin	6.7	71.9% foe. Ohio	102	Phil's For	ecast:	37-199	5.4	52.9% comb 11:	172 7.8%
Last Fiv		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7	47.0%	132	14.9%	Projecte Projecte Syracuse	ed Box ted Rush	67.7% Score	278 (5.8%	0.34 0.92 0.62	USE Syracuse QB/W VS. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's VS. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL VS. Ohio DL Syracuse DL VS. Ohio OL Syracuse DB's VS. Ohio RB's Syracuse CB/WS. Ohio RB's Syracuse ST's VS. Ohio ST's Syracuse ST's VS. Ohio ST's	Ohio. (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	Conferenc Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota	e 34-38-	Team Syrar	.7 -1.3) 2.0	1.3	17 18	me hostin	ng an ACC 1916 & 19	71.9% foe. Ohio 21. Bobca	102 b is 0-2 cats are	Phil's Foravs Syracu.	ecast: use bein	g outscor ACC tean	5.4	comb 11:	172 7.8°
<u>Last Fiv</u> one		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7	Contents	132	14.9%	Projecte Projecte Syracuse	ed Box ted Rush	67.7% Score	278 (5.8% 5.9%	0.34 0.92 0.62	USE Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL Syracuse DL vs. Ohio OL Syracuse BB'S vs. Ohio QB ys Syracuse DS vs. Ohio QB/WR Syracuse ST's vs. Ohio ST's Syracuse KB'S Syracuse ST's	Ohio. IR 0.09 1.20	Conferenc Home	e 34-38-	Team Syraa 55	m & Margin	1.3	First ti	me hostin were in 1 as a 4 pt	6.7	foe. Ohio 21. Bobca 4th strain	102 b is 0-2 catas aree	Phil's Forever Syracu	ecast: use bein current use has has ehas e	ag outscor ACC team opened or	red by a consincludin	comb 11: ling a 20- ad and 3r	I-0 but 10 loss at P d out of 4
<u>Last Fiv</u> one		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7	of Contents	163 y 212 y	yards	Projecte Project Syracuse Project Syracuse Project Syracuse	ed Box ted Rush Otted Pass Otty	Score ning Dhio sing hio	191 ya 165 ya	5.8% 5.9%	0.34 0.92 0.62	USE Syracuse QB/W VS. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's VS. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL VS. Ohio DL Syracuse DL VS. Ohio OL Syracuse LB'S VS. Ohio RB's Syracuse DB's VS. Ohio SYracuse ST's VS. Ohio ST's Syracuse K VS. Ohio K Syracuse Coache VS.	Ohio. IR 0.09 1.20 1.10	Conferenc Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota	e 34-38-	Teal Syrac 555	m & Margin cuse By 1	1.3 3.0	First ti First ti in '19 that ti	me hostin were in 1 as a 4 pt t ney open a	ng an ACC 1916 & 19 AD. This is at a Non-Fo b be a muc	foe. Ohio 21. Bobca 4th strais 5 foe. The	o is 0-2	Phil's Fon vs Syracu 4-27 vs 6 4-27 vs 6 4-5 Syracu ge beat M m with 19	ecast: use bein current ise has come 140 Foetun 640 Foetun 640 Foetun 640 Foetun	g outscor ACC team opened or WM in '18 ing starte	5.4 5.4 Fred by a c. fred by	comb 11: Siling a 20- da and 3rd and 3	172 7.8° 1-0 but 10 loss at P d out of 4 5-42. The Oline (last
<u>Last Fiv</u> one		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7	of Contents	163 y 212 y	14.9%	Projecte Projec Syracuse Projec Syracuse Projecte Syracuse	ed Box ted Rush otted Pass Of Yardage	67.7% Score ning bhio bhio bhio bhio bhio bhio bhio bhio	278 (5.8% 5.9%	0.34 0.92 0.62	USE Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL Syracuse DL vs. Ohio OL Syracuse EB'S vs. Ohio QB/WR Syracuse ST's Ohio ST's Syracuse ST's Syracuse ST's Syracuse Ns. Ohio ST's Syracuse T's Syracuse Ns. Ohio ST Syracuse Ns. Ohio ST Syracuse Ns. Ohio Caches Ohio Coaches Ohio Coaches	Ohio //R 0.09 1.20 1.10	Conference Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings	e 34-38-	Teal Syrac 555	.7 -1.3) 2.0 m & Margin cuse By I.	1.3 3.0	First ti games jh that ti Orang year ti	me hostin were in 1 as a 4 pt . as e figure to ney were .	ng an ACC 1916 & 19 AD. This is at a Non-F b be a muc scratching	foe. Ohio 21. Bobca 4th strair 5 foe. The h-improve for a star	o is 0-2 tats are ight yes e Oranger riting 5	Phil's Form vs Syracu 4-27 vs Cuge beat M n with 15	ecast: use bein current see has contact AAC foe to TE in	37-199	red by a c ns including 8's road c rers, depth	comb 11: ling a 20 and and 3r apopener 5! h on the	1-0 but 10 loss at F 4-3-42. The Oline (last 4d out last
<u>Last Fiv</u> Ione		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7	to Table of Contents	163 y 212 y 374 y	yards yards	Projecte Projecte Syracuse Projecte Syracuse Projecte Syracuse Projecte Syracuse	ed Box ted Rush Otted Pass Ot Yardage	67.7% Score ning bhio bhio bhio bhio bhio bhio bhio bhio	191 ya 165 ya 356 ya	rds rds rds	0.34 0.92 0.62 0.34 0.00 0.00	USE Syracuse QB/W VS. Ohio DB'S Syracuse RB'S VS. Ohio LB'S Syracuse OL VS. Ohio DL Syracuse DL VS. Ohio OL Syracuse DB'S VS. Ohio RB'S Syracuse DB'S VS. Ohio ST'S Syracuse K VS. Ohio ST'S Syracuse Cach VS. Ohio Coaches Syracuse Pts Syracuse Pts Syracuse Coach Syracuse Pts Syracuse Pts Syracuse Syracuse Coach Syracuse Pts	Ohio //R 0.09 1.20 1.10	Conference Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings	e 34-38-	Team Syrac 55 Oh Syrac Syrac 55 Oh Syrac	m & Margin cuse By 1. 5 Points io By 5.4 cuse By 1.	1.3 3.0	First ti games in 19 that ti O'arang year ti	me hostin were in 1 as a 4 pt as e figure to e figure to eve were e	ng an ACC 1916 & 19 AD. This is at a Non-F b be a muc scratching has a vete	foe. Ohio 21. Bobce 4th straight 55 foe. The th-improve for a star ran team	to is 0-2 tats are eight year et oranged team ved team ve	Phil's Form vs Syracc. 4-27 vs ra Syracc. n with 15 and more of the control of th	ecast: use bein current use has in AAC foe 9 return ed TE in g start	g outscor ACC team opened of the first state of the	red by a c ns includi ns the roa f's road c f's ro, depth	comb 11: 52.9% siling a 20- 30 d pane 75: 6 h on the who opte who opte who opte who opte for the	L-0 but 10 loss at P d out of 4 5-42. The loss at d out at the Oline (last std out last st. They only
<u>Last Fly</u> Ione		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7	to Table of Contents	163 y 212 y 374 y	yards	Projecte Projec Syracuse Projecte Syracuse Projecte Syracuse Projecte Syracuse Projecte Syracuse	ed Box ted Rush Otted Pass Ot Yardage	Score Score ing shio shio shio shio shoot	191 ya 165 ya	rds rds rds	0.34 0.92 0.62	USE Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL Syracuse DL vs. Ohio OL Syracuse EB'S vs. Ohio QB/WR Syracuse ST's Ohio ST's Syracuse ST's Syracuse ST's Syracuse Ns. Ohio ST's Syracuse T's Syracuse Ns. Ohio ST Syracuse Ns. Ohio ST Syracuse Ns. Ohio Caches Ohio Coaches Ohio Coaches	Ohio. IR 0.09 1.20 1.10	Conferenc Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yard:	y y	Tear Syrara 55 Oh Syrar Syrar Syrar	m & Margin cuse By 1. .5 Points io By 5.4 cuse By 1.0 cuse By 1.0	1.3 3.0	First ti gameso that ti Orang year ti year. ti	me hostin were in 1 as a 4 pt . hey open a e figure to tey were e	ng an ACC 1916 & 19 AD. This is at a Non-F b be a muc scratching has a vete	foe. Ohio 21. Bobca 4th strain 55 foe. The h-improve for a star ran team Ohio did u	o is 0-2 tats are light yea wed tear tring 5	Phil's Fore vs Syrace. 4-27 vs ys Syrace ge beat M n with 10 7 returnil	ecast: use bein tise has of the	g outscor ACC tead or WM in '11 ing starte	red by a c ns including 8's road a first market HTT RB's ust 8 lett!	comb 11: ling a 20, and and 3r opener 5! opener 5! of your termen loid of your termen loid.	I-0 but 10 loss at P d out of 4 5-42. The Olline (last st. They only e summer s e summer
Last Fiv None		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7	of Contents	163 y 212 y 374 y 26 p	yards yards	Projecte Projecte Syracuse Project Syracuse Project Syracuse Project Syracuse Syracuse Syracuse Experie Syracuse	ed Box tted Rush otted Pass I Yardage otd Final S once Rank	Score Score ining bhio sing bhio ce Total bhio kings bhio kings	191 ya 165 ya 356 ya	6.8% 5.9%	0.34 0.92 0.62 0.34 0.00 0.34 0.00 0.2 0.22 0.22	USE Syracuse QB/W VS. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's VS. Ohio LB'S Syracuse OL VS. Ohio DL Syracuse DL VS. Ohio OL Syracuse DS's VS. Ohio RB'S Syracuse DB's VS. Ohio RB'S Syracuse ST's VS. Ohio ST'S Syracuse K VS. Ohio CS Syracuse Cach VS. Ohio Caches Syracuse Pts VS.	Ohio //R 0.09 0.09 1.20 2.99	Conference Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade	y y	Tear Syrara 55 Oh Syrar Syrar Syrar	m & Margin cuse By 1. 5 Points io By 5.4 cuse By 1.	1.3 3.0	First ti gameso that ti Orang year ti year. ti	me hostin were in 1 as a 4 pt . hey open a e figure to tey were e	ng an ACC 1916 & 19 AD. This is at a Non-F b be a muc scratching has a vete on deck. C	foe. Ohio 21. Bobca 4th strain 55 foe. The h-improve for a star ran team Ohio did u	o is 0-2 tats are light yea wed tear tring 5	Phil's Fore vs Syrace. 4-27 vs ys Syrace ge beat M n with 10 7 returnil	ecast: use bein tise has of the	g outscor ACC tead or WM in '11 ing starte	red by a c ns including 8's road a first market HTT RB's ust 8 lett!	comb 11: ling a 20, and and 3r opener 5! opener 5! of your termen loid of your termen loid.	I-0 but 10 loss at P d out of 4 5-42. The Olline (last st. They only e summer s e summer
<u>Last Fiv</u> Yone		12-35	-198.4 -13.4	-0.6	12	32-118	3.7	turn to Table of Contents	163 y 212 y 374 y 26 p	yards yards yards yards obints	Projecte Projec Projec Syracuse Projecte Syracuse Projecte Syracuse Experie	ed Box ted Rush outled Pass	Score Score ining bhio sing bhio ce Total bhio kings bhio kings	191 ya 165 ya 356 ya 29 poi	rds rds nnts	0.34 0.92 0.62 0.34 0.00 0.34 0.00 0.2 0.22 0.22	USE Syracuse QB/W vs. Ohio DB's Syracuse RB's vs. Ohio LB's Syracuse OL vs. Ohio DL Syracuse DL vs. Ohio OL Syracuse EB'S vs. Ohio RB's Syracuse ST's Ohio QB/WR Syracuse ST's Syracuse ST's Syracuse ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Syracuse ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Syracuse ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio ST's Ohio Ft's	Ohio //R 0.09 0.09 1.20 2.99	Conferenc Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yard:	y y	Team Syrac Syrac Syrac Oh	m & Margin cuse By 1. .5 Points io By 5.4 cuse By 1.0 cuse By 1.0	1.3 3.0	First ti gameso that ti Orang year ti year. ti	me hostin were in 1 as a 4 pt . hey open a e figure to tey were e	ng an ACC 1916 & 19 AD. This is at a Non-F b be a muc scratching has a vete on deck. C	foe. Ohio 21. Bobca 4th strais 5 foe. The h-improve for a star ran team	b is 0-2 tats are light yer eed tear rting 5 with 1 unexpece head m	Phill's Fon ys Syracu 4-27 vs q ar Syracu ge beat M n with 15 returning 7 returning tanan. I will	ecast: use bein current use has of AAC foe 9 return ed TE in ing start	g outscor ACC tead or WM in '11 ing starte	red by a a ns includi n the roa 8's road c 18's, dept/ HT RB's ust 8 lette n (retired,	comb 11: ling a 20- jopener 5! opener 6! who opte temen loi of your	I-0 but 10 loss at P d out of 4 5-42. The Olline (last st. They only e summer s e summer

						Akron									OVERA 0-0)	OVERALL: 0-0								urn Ti	-						
				40		Zips L	Logs			TEAM	DC D	RANK	FOF		vs. M		vs. SEC						40	1	Tigers Log	ıs			TEAM		-PS RANK	FOF
M REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	Total Tota			FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF DEF	ST ST	OFF	FOE DEF	ST	0-0	NCAA RANKIN	0-0 IGS	TM REC FOE	TM I	ONF T	M +/- FOE+	/- TM	FOE	DATE	FO	E	SCORE	W/L	OFF DE		T OFF	FOE DEF
0-0	0-0				9/4		ourn			124 125	123		18	25	2	020 TEAM RAN	INGS	0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	132 99			9/4	Akron				22 18	3 2	124	125 1
0-0		0-0	112				nple (ant					106	111	118	Akro	п	Auburn	0-0		0-0	142			9/11	Alabama at Penn St	St					18	12
0-0		0-0	145									4	15	50	#84	Rush Off	#66	0-0		0-0	122			9/25	Georgia	St					59	101 1
0-0		0-0										88	110	54	#119	Pass Off	#71	0-0		0-0	144				at LSU						16 3	10
0-0		0-0 0-0			-		wling Greer mi, OH	in .				126 113	128 103		#119 #118	Total Off Score Off	# 76 #89	0-0 0-0		0-0	145 127			10/9 10/16 a	Georgia at Arkansas	i					52	4 31 1
0-0		0-0	115									116	104	112	#100	Sks Allowe								10/23	Bye							
0-0 0-0		0-0 0-0						inai				87 49	88 93	97 100	#122 #41	Rush Def Pass Def	#65 #79	0-0 0-0		0-0	138 143			10/30	Mississip at Texas A8						7	60 1
0.0		0.0	114					ilgai				73	- 55	100	#112	Total Def	#67	0-0		0-0	132			11/13	Miss St	KI-I					50	28
0-0		0-0										54		127	#123	Score Def	#41	0-0		0-0	117				at South Ca						40	73
0-0		0-0	119		11/	27 at Tole	edo					72	51	113	#101 #123	Sks By Ypg Diff	#23 #74	0-0		0-0	158			11/27	Alabama						8	1
															-3	Total TO's																
S	ore			TO's			Comp Att		Sks	Defense FD RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks				Sco	ore		Net Yds FD	то'	s FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	Defe FD RA-	nse RY YF		Yds Pass 5
at Auburn																		Akron														
Temple Bryant																		Alabama St at Penn St														
at Ohio St																		Georgia St														
Ohio																S	<u>S</u>	at LSU														
t Bowling Gree t Miami, OH	211														Akron Zips	TEAM AVERAGES	g	Georgia at Arkansas														
Buffalo															Z	E	Ë	Bye														
Ball St at Western Micl	nigan														6	₹	Ξ	Mississippi at Texas A&M														
Bye	iiguii														ᅔ	Σ	ρ	Miss St														
Kent St															•	臣	Auburn Tigers	at South Carolin	a													
at Toledo																	_	Alabama														
Average		17 /1	-178.5 -4.8	0.5	16 25	142 4.0	56.19	06 140	17 60/-	21 40-248	2 6 2	66 00%	212	7.1%				Average		25 25	-23.2 -2.3	0.4	21	36-163	4.6	59.7%	220	E E0/.	23 39-1	162 4	2 6469	242 7
Conferenc	e		-178.5 -4.8							21 40-248				7.1%				Conference		26-24				37-173	4.7	59.6%						
Away		17-50	-186.3 -7.3																											166 4	2 66 3%	262 0
			10015 715	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%				Home		31-20		1.0	20	37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	100 4.	.2 00.57	202 0.
			100.3 7.3	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		osition by Position		Home	3			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	100 4.	.2 00.5 //	202 8.
			100.5	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	<u>% 161</u>	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%	Akro		Auburn	Home				1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	.00 4.	.2 00.3 //	202 6.
			100.3	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	<u> </u>	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W vs.	Auburn /R 1.14	Home	Š			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	100 4.	.2 00.3 //	202 6.
			100.3	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	<u> </u>	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W	Auburn IR 1.14	Home	<u> </u>			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	1.00 4.	.2 00.3 //	202 6.
			100.5	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.2%	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB' Akron RB's vs.	Auburn //R 1.14 s 1.59	Home	3			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	100 4.	.2 00.3 //	202 6.
			200.5	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB' Akron RB's vs. Auburn LB's	Auburn //R 1.14 s 1.59	Home	3			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	4.	.2 00.3 //	202 6.
			2003	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB' Akron RB's vs.	Auburn //R 1.14 s 1.59	Home	3			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	4.	.2 00.3 n	202 6.
				-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB' Akron RB's vs. Auburn LB's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL	Auburn 1.14 5 1.59	Home	3			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	4.	2 00.5 %	202 6.
			2003	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB' Akron RB's vs. Auburn LB's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL	Auburn (R 1.14 s 1.59	Home	3			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	4.	2 00.5 //	202 0.
			2003	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB' Akron RB's vs. Auburn LB's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL vs. Auburn DL	Auburn 1.14 1.59 1.48	Home	3			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1		2 00.5 %	202 0.
			1.0	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB' Akron RB's vs. Auburn LB' Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL Akron LB'S	Auburn 1.14 1.15 1.59 1.48	Home	3			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1			202 0.
			110	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB' Akron RB's vs. Auburn LB's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL vs. Auburn DL	Auburn R 1.14 5 1.59 1.48 2.29	Home	3			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1		30.27	202 0.
			1.00	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB's Akron RB's vs. Auburn LB's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL vs. Auburn OL Akron LB'S vs. Auburn RB's	1.14 1.59 1.71 1.71 1.71 1.71 1.71 1.71 1.71 1.7	Home	3			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	7.		202 0.
			1.00	-1.0	17 38-	174 4.6	54.29	% 161	16.7%	24 45-334	4 7.5	74.1%	187	7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB' Akron RB's vs. Auburn LB's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL Akron DL Akron B's vs. Auburn RB's	Auburn IR 1.14 5 1.59 1.48 2.29 1.71 5	Home	3			1.0		37-183	5.0	63.6%	217	6.1%	24 39-1	7.		202 0.
<u>Last Fi</u>	ve Years	s Meetir	ngs (Last 22 y				54.29	% 161	16.7%					7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB's Akron RB's vs. Auburn LB's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL vs. Auburn OL Akron LB'S vs. Auburn RB's	Auburn	Home	3	31-20				37-183	5.0	63.6%		6.1%			2. 30.2 //	202 0.
<u>Last Fi</u>	ve Years	s Meetir					54.29		16.7%	Projected				7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB's Akron RB's vs. Auburn B's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL Akron DL Akron DB's vs. Auburn RB's Akron DB's vs. Auburn QB/W Akron STS vs.	Auburn			31-20	-28.0 -3.6	,		37-183	5.0	63.6%						202 0.
<u>Last Fi</u> None	ve Years	s Meetir					54.2°	% 161	16.7%		l Box S	Score		7.4%		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB's Akron RB's vs. Auburn LB's Akron OL vs. Auburn LB's vs. Auburn OL Akron DL Akron DB's Akron DB's Akron QB's Akron QB/W Akron OB'S	Auburn	Category Las Vegas Line		31-20	-3.6	,	20				PI	'hil's Foreca	ast:			
<u>Last Fiv</u> Hone	ve Years	s Meetir					ltents		16.7%	Projected Projecte Akron	I Box S	Score ing				Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL Akron DL Akron DL Akron DB's vs. Auburn DB's Akron ST's Auburn ST's Akron ST's	Auburn	Category		31-20	-28.0 -3.6	,	7 The Z	ips are 0	i-7 all tim	e vs SEC	Pi C foes in	'hil's Foreca	ast: a 42-0 los	ss here	: in 1988,	cheir only
<u>Last Fi</u> Kone	ve Years	s Meetir					Contents	60	yards	Projected Projected	I Box S	Score ing burn ing	282 ya	irds		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB' Akron OL vs. Auburn DB' Akron DL vs. Auburn OL Akron LB'S vs. Auburn OL Akron ST's vs. Auburn QB/W Akron ST's Auburn ST' Akron ST	Auburn	Category Las Vegas Line		31-20	Team & Margir Auburn By 37	,	The Z	ips are 0 meeting. 3-1 ATS	i-7 all tim While Ak	e vs SEC e vs is ju 4. Aubul	Pi C foes ir C st 2-9 S Irn has s	ncluding SU & 4-7 a brand-	ast: a 42-0 los 7 ATS vs P: 7 ATS vs P:	ss here 5 team Bryan	in 1988, s since '1 Harsin, V	their only I they are ho was jus
<u>Last Fi</u>	ve Years	s Meetir					ltents	60	yards yards	Projected Projecte Akron Projecte	I Box S ad Rushii Aub ed Passir Aub fardage	Score ing burn ing burn	282 ya 271 ya	ırds		Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL Akron DL Akron DL Akron DB's vs. Auburn DB's Akron ST's Akron ST's Auburn ST's Auburn ST's Auburn ST's Auburn ST's Auburn ST's Auburn ST's Akron K vs. Auburn ST's	Auburn	Category Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings		A	Team & Margir Auburn By 37 55 Points	7	The Z prior SU & 13-24	ips are 0 meeting. 3-1 ATS i as a HF	i-7 all tim While Ak their last at Boise	e vs SEC ron is ju 4. Aubur until hev	C foes in ust 2-9 S rush has a went 7-1	ncluding SU & 4-7 a & ATS th	ast: a 42-0 los 7 ATS vs P! new HC in he last 2 y	is here 5 team Brayan Bears. A	in 1988, is since '1 Harsin, v kuburn ha	cheir only I they are ho was jus QB Nix ar
<u>Last Fi</u> None	ve Years	s Meetir					Table of Contents	60 97 156	yards	Projected Projecte Akron Projectet Akron Projectet Akron	l Box S d Rushii Aub ed Passii Aub fardage Aub	Score ing burn ing burn ing burn burn ing	282 ya	ırds	Akco	Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB' Akron RB's vs. Auburn LB'S Akron DL vs. Auburn DL Akron LB'S vs. Auburn QB/W Akron ST's vs. Auburn QB/W Akron ST's Akron KS Auburn Cach Akron KS Auburn CST Akron KS Auburn CST Akron KS Auburn CST	Auburn	Category Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total		A	Team & Margir Auburn By 37	7	The Z prior SU & 13-24 RB Bis	ips are 0 meeting. 3-1 ATS i as a HF gsby back	-7 all tim While Ak their last at Boise i k with 15	e vs SEC ron is ju 4. Aubui until he v returnin	C foes in ust 2-9 S urn has a went 7-: y starte	ncluding SU & 4-7 a brand- ters. Akri	ast: a 42-0 los 7 ATS vs P: 7 ATS vs P:	ss here 5 team Bryan Strong	in 1988, is since 'l Harsin, v Nuburn ha ger than t	cheir only 1 they are ho was jus QB Nix ar le last two
<u>Last Fi</u>	ve Years	5 Meetir					Contents	60 97 156	yards yards	Projected Projecte Akron Projecte Akron Projected Y	I Box S d Rushii Aub d Passii Aub (ardage Aub (Final Bu	Score ing burn ing total total burn core	282 ya 271 ya	irds irds	0.20	Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL Akron DL Akron DL Akron DB's vs. Auburn DB's Akron ST's Akron ST's Auburn ST's Auburn ST's Auburn ST's Auburn ST's Auburn ST's Auburn ST's Akron K vs. Auburn ST's	Auburn	Category Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings		A A	Team & Margir Auburn By 37. uburn By 37.	8	The Z prior SU & 13-24 RB Biry years They	ips are 0 meeting. 3-1 ATS i as a HF gsby bacl but have are 2-7 A	-7 all tim While Ak their last at Boise k with 15 e winnabla TX5 on the	e vs SEC ron is ju 4. Aublu returnin g games	Pi St 2-9 S Firn has a on deck	ncluding SU & 4-7 b brand- ers. Akrık k and m	a 42-0 los 7 ATS vs P: new HC in he last 2 y yon is much lay not wan	ss here 5 team Bryan aears. A strong g SU	in 1988, is since 'I Harsin, v kuburn ha ger than t et too bee Auburn o	cheir only I they are ho was jus QB Nix ar le last two t up here.
<u>Last Fi</u>) None	ve Years	is Meetir					Table of Contents	60 97 156	yards yards yards points	Projected Projected Akron Projected Y Akron Projected Akron Experience	I Box S d Rushir Aub dd Passir Aub f Final S db f Final S db dc dc dc dc dc dc dc dc dc	Score ing burn ing burn t Total burn core burn ings	282 ya 271 ya 553 ya 45 poi	ards ords	Akco	Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB's Akron RB's Vs. Auburn B's Akron OL Vs. Auburn DL Akron DL Vs. Auburn OL Akron DB's vs. Auburn RB's Akron BB's Vs. Auburn ST Vs. Auburn Akron ST's Vs. Auburn Ks Akron Ks Akron Ks Auburn Ks Akron Cach Akron Coach Akron Pts	Auburn	Category Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards		A	Team & Margir Auburn By 37 S5 Points uburn By 43. uburn By 43.	8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	The Z prior SU & 13-24 RB Biry years They	ips are 0 meeting. 3-1 ATS i as a HF gsby bacl but have are 2-7 A	-7 all tim While Ak their last at Boise k with 15 e winnabla TX5 on the	e vs SEC ron is ju 4. Aublu returnin g games	Pi St 2-9 S Firn has a on deck	ncluding SU & 4-7 b brand- ers. Akrık k and m	ast: a 42-0 los 7 ATS vs P: new HC in he last 2 y; on is much lay not wa	ss here 5 team Bryan aears. A strong g SU	in 1988, is since 'I Harsin, v kuburn ha ger than t et too bee Auburn o	cheir only I they are ho was jus QB Nix ar le last two t up here.
Last Fit None	ve Years	s Meetir					Table of Contents	60 97 156	yards yards	Projected Projected Akron Projected Akron Projected Akron Projected Akron Akron	I Box S d Rushii Aub Aub Aub Falu Aub Aub Aub Aub Aub Aub Aub Aub Aub Au	Score judge	282 ya 271 ya 553 ya	ards ords	0.20 0.20	Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB's Akron OL Akron DL Akron DL Akron DL Akron DL Akron DE's vs. Auburn DB's vs. Auburn QB/W Akron ST's vs. Auburn ST Akron ST vs. Auburn ST Akron K Akron Coach Akron Pts vs. Auburn Coach Akron Pts Akron Pts Akron Pts Akron Pts Auburn ST	Auburn	Category Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards Computer Points		A	Team & Margir Auburn By 37. uburn By 37.	8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	The Z prior SU & 13-24 RB Biry years They	ips are 0 meeting. 3-1 ATS i as a HF gsby bacl but have are 2-7 A	-7 all tim While Ak their last at Boise k with 15 e winnabla TX5 on the	e vs SEC ron is ju 4. Aublu returnin g games	Pi St 2-9 S Firn has a on deck	ncluding SU & 4-7 b brand- ers. Akrık k and m	a 42-0 los 7 ATS vs P: new HC in he last 2 y yon is much lay not wan	ss here 5 team Bryan aears. A strong g SU	in 1988, is since 'I Harsin, v kuburn ha ger than t et too bee Auburn o	cheir only I they are ho was jus QB Nix ar le last two t up here.
Last Fix	ve Years	5 Meetir					Table of Contents	60 97 156	yards yards yards points	Projected Projected Akron Projected Y Akron Projected Akron Experience	I Box S d Rushii Aub Aub Aub Falu Aub Aub Aub Aub Aub Aub Aub Aub Aub Au	Score ing burn ing burn tour burn core ings burn ings burn	282 ya 271 ya 553 ya 45 poi	ards ords	0.20 0.20	Akron QB/W vs. Auburn DB's Akron OL vs. Auburn DL Akron DL vs. Auburn OL Akron EB'S vs. Auburn OL Akron BB's vs. Auburn QB/W Akron ST's vs. Auburn QB/W Akron ST's vs. Auburn Cach Akron Cach vs. Auburn CAC Akron CACh Akro	Auburn	Category Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards		A A	Team & Margir Auburn By 37 S5 Points uburn By 43. uburn By 43.	886666	The Z prior SU & 13-24 RB Biry years They	ips are 0 meeting. 3-1 ATS i as a HF gsby bacl but have are 2-7 A	-7 all tim While Ak their last at Boise k with 15 e winnabla TX5 on the	e vs SE(ron is ju 4. Aubu 4. Aubu 1. Teturin 1. Teturi	Pi C C foes ir ist 2-9 9 starte g starte g starte on deck nder Art	ncluding SU & 4-7- Formula of the superior of	a 42-0 los 7 ATS vs P: new HC in he last 2 y yon is much lay not wan	is here 5 team Bryan ears. A strong nt to g g SU. y year.	in 1988, is since 'I Harsin, v kuburn ha ger than t et too bee Auburn o	cheir only I they are ho was jus QB Nix ar le last two t up here.

						ITSA	Roadı	runne	ore							OVER	RALL:	(OVERALL:	T						Illine	is Fig	hting	Illin							
							drunner		=13							0- vs. C		,	1-0 vs. BIG 10	H							Fighting I	_		<u>. </u>						
TM REC FOE REC	тм	FOE	TM +/- FOE +	/-	AP			3 LOG3			TEAM		S RANK	FO		0-	-0		1-0		TM REC FOE	TM F	FOE TM	1+/- FOE+/-	AP							TEAM		S RANK	FO	
0-0	O-0		124 124		FOE		at Illinois	OE	SCORE	W/L	70 59		OFF 66	DEF 94	ST 49			AA RANKING EAM RANKII			0-0 0-0		ONF 1"		TM	FOE DA		FOE		0-22 \		OFF DEI			DEF 54	ST
	0-0					9/4	Lamar	r			70 39	34				υт		LOPI KANKII	Illinois		1-0 0-0	1-0 (0-0 1	127 124		9/4	UTS	4		U 22 1	**	0 0		70	59	99 34
0-0		0-0	117			9/18		e Tennesse	se				98	109	125						0-0		0-0	129		9/11								95	79	119
0-0		0-0	123 105			10/2	at Mempl						36 119	66 122	60 121	#18 #89		Rush Off Pass Off	#59 #111		0-0 0-0		0-0 0-0	121 127		9/18		rland ue						61 48	77 71	89 101
0-0		0-0	112	!		10/9	at WKU						112	75	20	#46		Total Off	#109	9	0-0	(0-0	108		10/2	2 Cha	lotte						121	113	103
0-0 0-0		0-0				10/16	Rice at Louisia	ana Tech					123 110	105 87	98	#68 #89		Score Off ks Allowed	#53 #9		0-0		0-0	142		10/9		onsin						23	16	83
0.0		- 0 0	110			10/23		Jila Tecil					110	07	50	#63		Rush Def	#60		0-0	(0-0	142			23 at Peni							18	12	9
1-0 0-0		0-0	105				at UTEP	M:					96	115 80	41	#50 #50		Pass Def	#65 #55		0-0		0-0 0-0	128 134		10/3								99	49 98	5 61
0-0		0-0	116 122			11/13		nern Miss					102 74	29	46 47	#44		Total Def Score Def	#33		0-0		0-0	134		11/0	at Minr	esota						27	90	- 61
0-0		0-0	105	i		11/27	at North	Texas					68	117	27	#29		Sks By	#117		0-0		0-0	143			0 at Iow							64	22	4
																#49 +7		Ypg Diff Total TO's	#99 0		0-0	(0-0	132		11/2	27 Nort	hwestern						89	53	74
Sci	ore		Yds FD		FD	Offens RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	Defer FD RA-R		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks						Score	•		Net rds FD	TO's	FD RA-	fense RY YPC	Con		Yds Pass S	Sks	Defei FD RA-F		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks
at Illinois			FD	103		I.A-KT	.,,,	Au		545	. D RA-R	170	ALL	. 233	Cm3					+	Nebraska			-66 -1	0	18 48-						19 39-1				5
Lamar Middle Tenne				-		-							-							Ę.	UTSA t Virginia						-			-						
at Memphis	55E6		-													ű	2		III	at	t Virginia Maryland															
UNLV																9	א ב	S		at	it Purdue															
at WKU Rice																Boadriin	Ę	AVERAGES	Fighting	H	Charlotte Wisconsin															
at Louisiana Tec	:h															-	Ę	8	臣		Bye															
Bye																Š	ğ	Ī₹	<u>i</u> 6	at	t Penn St															
at UTEP Southern Mis	S																	Σ	证	at	Rutgers it Minnesota															
UAB																Š	T	TEAM	oj.		Bye															
at North Texas																ITCA	<u>"</u>		Illinois	at	t Iowa Northwestern															
																_	,		Ħ		Horamestern															
Average		28-26	32.0 1.7	0.6	22	41-215	5 5.2	60.0%	200	6.2%	20 38-1	62 4.3	60.7%	221	6.9%					⊩	Average	3	30-22 -6	6.0 -1.0	0.0	18 48-1	167 3.5	78.9	20% 1	159 15.	5.8%	19 39-1	60 4.1	50.0%	232	15.6%
Conference	:	29-23	38.4 1.9	0.9	21	42-219	5.2	57.1%	183	8.6%	19 35-1									H	Conference		30-22 -6			18 48-1			9% 1	159 15.	5.8%	19 39-1	60 4.1	50.0%	232	15.6%
Away		22-29	-56.3 -2.0	0.7	20	41-192	4.7	57.9%	159	8.5%	22 39-1	75 4.5	61.2%	232	4.9%					F	Home		0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0	%	0 0.0	.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%
																UT		n by Position E	Illinois																	
																	UT	TSA QB/WR																		
																0.50	II	vs. Ilinois DB's																		
																	ι	UTSA RB's																		
																0.84	т.	vs. Illinois LB's																		
																		UTSA OL		1																
																0.06		vs.																		
																		Illinois DL UTSA DL		-																
																		vs.	0.13	3																
																		Illinois OL UTSA LB'S		4																
																0.29	,	vs.																		
																		Illinois RB's		4																
																	,	UTSA DB's vs.	0.24																	
																		nois QB/WR	l I	L																
Last Fiv	e Yea	rs Meet	ngs (Last 22	years	at PhilSi	teele.co	m)				Projecte	d Box	Score				,	UTSA ST's	0.07	F	Category		Te	eam & Margin						Phil's	s Forecast					
Hone											-						I	vs. Illinois ST's	0.87	La	as Vegas Line		II	linois By 5.5		irst meetin	a Illinoici	5-4 SII 4	(3.5 AT	'S in lines	d damor	e) ve CUSA	teams	In ite lact	meeting	ve a
								y;			Project	ted Rusi	ning					UTSA K		t.	W- : :		-	.,		CUSA oppor	ent (17),	Illinois he	ld WKU	to just 6	6 rush y	ds incl a t	otal of -5	yards in	the 2H ir	n a 20-
								tent	210	yards	UTSA		llinois	225 y	ards			vs.	2.10		as Vegas Total			49 Points		win (+7).										
								Cont				ted Pas						Illinois K		+	-/- Ratings				i	toadRunnei ncluding up	set win ov	er Baylor	in '17. I	Illinois ha	as 9 ret	urning sta	rters on	both offer	nse and d	defense
								- Jo	182	yards	UTSA		llinois	211 y	ards		UT	rsa Coaches		L			II	linois By 6.2	ā	nd a loade hey were r	d O-Line ar	d have a	game u	under the	eir belt e	edge havir	ng played	l Nebraska	a last wee	ek.
								ble	302	yards	Projected UTSA		le lotal	435 y	ards		TILL	vs. nois Coaches	0.15	G	Same Grade		TI	linois By 6.6	i:	32-28 as	a HF in his	career ar	nd Illino	is is just	12-19	the last 1) years i	n that role	e. Jeff Tra	ylor
								to Table	3,72	, 2. 43	Projecte			+33 y	_,			UTSA Pts		+						lid a great j	ob at UTS/	last year	r getting	g them to	o 7-4 ar	nd a bowl	game an	d this yea	r has 10	
								Ē	27 p	ooints	UTSA		llinois	31 pc	ints	1.69		vs.	3.50	C	Computer Yards		Illi	nois 435-392		tarters bac ATS as an										
								Retur			Experie						1	Illinois Pts		_c	Computer Points				t	ut Sitkows	ki despite	ood num	bers las	st week w	was not	that impr				
								~		2	UTSA		llinois	15	5	POS	SITIONA	L EDGE AWAR	RDED TO:	É	. ,		I	llinois 33-27		ounted as	passes and	QB Peter	s will be	e out this	s week.					
									1	12	Team Sch UTSA		trength llinois	12	,			Illinois		Pi	hil Steele		T	llinois by 10												
									1	**	UISM	11	1013	1.	•		Bv ·	1.81 Point	ts	F				1015 DY 10					Illin	ois 3	34 U	TSA 2	4			

Part												0	VERALL	: (OVERALL:	T							_					
Mathematical Control of the contro													0-0	-	0-0													
No	EOE TM EO			AP.	Owls	Logs		TEAM	PS RA	NK	FOE	٧		١.		EOE	TM E)E		ΔP	G	Gators Log	gs		TEAM	PS RANK	;	FOE
The content of the		F TM +/- FOE+	/- TM		ATE	FOE	SCORE W/L							NCAA RANKING		TM REC REC	CONF CO	NF III T			DATE	FOI	E	SCORE W/L				
								91 44					202	O TEAM RANKI	NGS					13			tlantic		32 26			
Part	0-0	119								104	86 90)	FAU		Florida													
State Stat				9/	25 at Air	Force										0-0	0-	-0	120		9/25	Tennesse	ee					65 33
The content will be content	0-0 0-0	108									99 2:																	42 52
1	0-0	122								/4	25 4.												iii.					
Column				10	/21 at Cha	arlotte						3 #7	72	Sks Allowed	#72						10/23	Bye						
Column C																							rolina					
## Part	0-0	101																	117									75 02
Column C																												
Note 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	0-0 0-0	117		11	/27 Mid	Idle Tenness	e			98	109 12					0-0	0-	-0	127		11/27	Florida S	t				26	37 72
Mart																												
Mart						_																						
## Annual Controlled 1	Score			_								s				S	ore	Yds		TO's FD		YPC						
State Stat		1.2																- 120			120 100			7 220				
Transport																												
## Projected Box Score March Marc																												
Arrange 19:1 977 62 0.0 18 6:18 45 53.9% 144 8.0% 18 37:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 20 61.11 18 54.0% 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 1														ιο .	v													
Arrange 19:1 977 62 0.0 18 6:18 45 53.9% 144 8.0% 18 37:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 20 61.11 18 54.0% 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 1													w	ij	٥													
Arrange 19:1 977 62 0.0 18 6:18 45 53.9% 144 8.0% 18 37:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 20 61.11 18 54.0% 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 1													Ę	ığ	at													
Arrange 19:1 977 62 0.0 18 6:18 45 53.9% 144 8.0% 18 37:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 20 61.11 18 54.0% 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 1													Ó		G													
Arrange 19:1 977 62 0.0 18 6:18 45 53.9% 144 8.0% 18 37:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 20 61.11 18 54.0% 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 1													⊃	₹	a		na											
Arrange 19:1 977 62 0.0 18 6:18 45 53.9% 144 8.0% 18 37:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 20 61.11 18 54.0% 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 1													¥	Σ	Ē													
Arrange 19:1 977 62 0.0 18 6:18 45 53.9% 144 8.0% 18 37:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 27:15 42 54.9% 18 20 61.11 18 54.6% 18 20 61.11 18 54.0% 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 18 20 61.11 1													_	 	÷													
Conference 22-91 3-1.5 0.0 0.3 18 04-202 4.8 53-24 1.7 54-59 120 5.69 120 5.69 20 11.15 Anney 18-28 4.4 1.0 0.2 19 38-181 4.7 54-59 120 5.79 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 0.0 0.9 29 380 4.49 120 37-141 3.8 02.19 199 0.99 11.15 FOR INCIDENT PRODUCT PROD	Middle Tennessee											-			_	Florida St												
Conference 22-91 3-1.5 0.0 0.3 18 04-202 4.8 53-24 1.7 54-59 120 5.69 120 5.69 20 11.15 Anney 18-28 4.4 1.0 0.2 19 38-181 4.7 54-59 120 5.79 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 0.0 0.9 29 380 4.49 120 37-141 3.8 02.19 199 0.99 11.15 FOR INCIDENT PRODUCT PROD																												
Conference 22-91 3-1.5 0.0 0.3 18 04-202 4.8 53-24 1.7 54-59 120 5.69 120 5.69 20 11.15 Anney 18-28 4.4 1.0 0.2 19 38-181 4.7 54-59 120 5.79 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 0.0 0.9 29 380 4.49 120 37-141 3.8 02.19 199 0.99 11.15 FOR INCIDENT PRODUCT PROD																												
Projected Bushing Proj						52.6%	144 8.6%																					
Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistetic.com) Projected Box Score Projected B																												
FAU GL/W 1.07 Florida DP Projected Box Score Provide Straight Projected Box Score Projected Box Score O.5 Florida Straight																												
Projected Box Score 16 years												-	FAU		Florida													
Projected Bassing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Bass Score Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteels.com) Projected Rushing Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years															0.28													
Projected Box Score																												
Projected Box Score Projected Box Score Projected Box Score Projected Rushing 116 yards FAU By ws. Plorida ST: Florida ST:														FAU RB's														
Projected Rushing Projected Rushing Projected Rushing Projected Rushing Projected Rushing Projected Rushing Projected Passing Projected Pas														vs. Florida LB's	1.06													
Projected Box Score																1												
FAU BLS Vs. Florida PLS															1.07													
September Sept												-				4												
FAU LB'S Vs. Florida BPs Vs. Florida QB/WR FAU DB'S Vs. Florida QB/WR FAU DB'S Vs. Florida QB/WR FAU ST'S Vs. Florida QB/WR FAU ST'S Vs. Florida ST'S															0.44													
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhiliSteele.com) Projected Box Score 0.04 FAU Bis Vs. Florida QB/WR 16 years FAU Florida ST's Fl												L		Florida OL														
Florida RPs Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) None Projected Box Score FAU B ¹ s vs. 116 yards FAU Florida 37 FAU Florida 37 points FAU Florida 37 point																												
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) None Projected Box Score Projected Rushing 116 yards FAU Florida 29 yards FAU Florida 204 yards FAU Florida 37 points Projected Parage Total 121 yards Projected Finds Coordes Projected Finds Coordes Projected Parage Total FAU Florida 37 points Florida 50 years Florida												0.4	44															
Florida QB/WR None Projected Box Score Projected Rushing 16 yards FAU Florida Flori																1												
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) None Projected Box Score Projected Rushing I16 yards FAU Florida 204 yards Projected Passing I25 yards FAU Florida 326 yards Projected Yardsge Total Year FAU Florida 530 yards Projected Final Score I6 points FAU Florida 530 yards Projected Final Score I6 points FAU Florida 530 yards Projected Final Score I6 points FAU Florida 530 yards Projected Final Score If points FAU Florida 37 points Experience Rankings I1 FAU Florida 121 FAU Florida 121 FAU Florida 121 Team Schedule Strength I17 FAU Florida 13 I17 FAU Florida 13 I17 FAU Florida 13 I18 FINAL Florida 13 I19 Florida 13 I19 Florida 13 I10 Florida I13 I17 FAU Florida 13 I18 FINAL Florida 13 I19 Florida I13 I19 Florida I13 I19 FINAL Florida I13 I10 Florida I13 I11 FAU															0.00													
Projected Rushing 116 yards FAU Florida 204 yards Projected Passing 125 yards FAU Florida 326 yards Projected Yardage Total 241 yards FAU Florida 530 yards Projected Final Score 16 points FAU Florida 537 points FAU Florida 547 yards Florida 547 yar	Last Five Vears Mod	tings (Last 22	vears at	PhilSteel	e.com)							_			1	Cata	v	Tor	& Maroin					phille F	ocast.			
For its a by the fourth matchup between the stores of since ago and the fourth time the Owls will have gained against the Owls, with a 20-14 (-29.5) win in 2015 being eigheit to play the fourth matchup between the stores of since ago and the fourth time the Owls will have gained against the Owls, with a 20-14 (-29.5) win in 2015 being with a 20-14 (-29.5) win in 2015	None	rmige (Edst 22	y vui 3 dl	э.сеі				Projected	Box So	core		0.0	04				,							PINI S FOR	.cu3t.			
Projected Rushing 116 yards FAU Florida 204 yards Projected Passing 125 yards FAU Florida 326 yards Projected Florida Score 16 points FAU Florida 37 points Florida 47 points FAU Florida 37 points Florida 530-241 Team Schedule Strength Tam Schedule Strength TAN Florida 121 FAU Florida 131						Si										Las Vegas Line		Florid	a By 23.5	This v	vill be the fo	ourth mate	chup betv	veen the school	s since 2007, ar	d the fourtl	n time t	the Owls will
Projected Passing Projected Pa						en	116				204				3.50	Las Vegas Tota			Daint	have	to travel to	Gainesville	e to play	the Gators. Flor	ida has won all t	hree games	agains	t the Owls,
Experience Rankings 11 FAU Florida 121 Team Schedule Strength 117 FAU Florida 13 117 FAU Florida 13 117 FAU Florida 13 118 Florida 13 119 Florida 13 119 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 1110 Florida 1						ont	116 yards				204 yards				2.50			52.	Points									
Experience Rankings 11 FAU Florida 121 Team Schedule Strength 117 FAU Florida 13 117 FAU Florida 13 117 FAU Florida 13 118 Florida 13 119 Florida 13 119 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 1110 Florida 1						of C	125 yards	FAU	Florid	da	326 yards					+/- Ratings		Florid	a By 15.0	includ	ling 2011 v	when they	beat FAU	41-3. The Gato	ors are 22-5-1 a	gainst CUSA	schools	s, last loss
Experience Rankings 11 FAU Florida 121 Team Schedule Strength 117 FAU Florida 13 117 FAU Florida 13 117 FAU Florida 13 118 Florida 13 119 Florida 13 119 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 1110 Florida 1						ole (Game Grade				was ir	1961 vs F	Rice. Florid	a is one o	f the least exp'	teams in the c	ountry with	just 5 s	starters back
Experience Rankings 11 FAU Florida 121 Team Schedule Strength 117 FAU Florida 13 117 FAU Florida 13 117 FAU Florida 13 118 Florida 13 119 Florida 13 119 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 110 Florida 15 1110 Florida 1						Ē	241 yards				530 yards	·			5			Florid	a By 37.1	on ea fast. I	cn side of ti Mullen is 9-	ne ball and -6-1 ATS as	ı nas a hı s a HF he	uge game with l re. Willie Tannai	bama in two we t finally had an	eks so needs offseason w	to get th his t	up to speed team and
Experience Rankings 11 FAU Florida 121 Team Schedule Strength 117 FAU Florida 13 11 FAU Florida 13 117 FAU Florida 13 118 Florida 13 119 Florida 13 119 Florida 13 110 Florida 13 1110 Florida 13 1						\$	16 points				37 points	0.4	48		7.46	Computer Yard	s	Florid	530-241	has 1	0 starters b	back on ea	ch side of	the ball. FAU is	26-10-1 ATS a	an AD the	last 9 y	ears. QB
11 FAU Florida 121 POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO: Florida 37-16 Team Schedule Strength 117 FAU Florida 13 Florida 13 Florida 13 Florida 17 Florida 17 Florida 17 Florida 17 Florida 37-16 Florida 37-16 Florida 37-16 Florida 37-16 Florida 17-1 Florida 37-16 Florida 17-1 Florida 37-16 Florida 17-1 Florida 37-16 Florida 37-						E								Florida Pts		Computer Point				Nkosk	i Perry is fr	rom Miami	Fla, RB Jo	ohnny Ford is de	angerous, and th	e D held for	es to 60	ypg less
117 FAU Florida 13 Florida 13 Florida 17 Florida 17 Florida 27 FAU 20						Ret	11				121		POSITIO	NAL EDGE AWAR	RDED TO:			Flori	da 37-16	tnan	LITEIF SEASOI	ıı average.						
							117				13			Florida		Phil Steele		Flori	da by 17									
													В	By 6.98 Point	s								Fl	orida 37	FAU 20			

						N II	linoi	s Hus	kies	;							OVER 0-			VERALL:					Geo	gia T	ech Yell	ow Ja	ackets						
								ies Logs									vs. 1	-		/s. ACC						_	low Jackets I	-							
TM REC FOE REC	тм	FOE ,	M +/- FOE	+/-	AP							EAM	PS RA		FOE		0-			0-0	TM REC PEC	TM F	OE T	M +/- FOE+/-	AP						AM	PS RAI		FOE	
0-0	0-0		112 12		тм і	OE DA		FOE Georgia Te		SCORE W/	L OFF	DEF 119		OFF 62	DEF 43	ST 96		NCAA RA 2020 TEAM			0-0 0-0				TM FO	9/4	FOE Northern II		SCORE W/L	OFF 62	DEF 43	ST 96			ST
0-0		0-0	112 12			9/1		Nyoming	CII		114	115	88	83	46	63					0-0 0-0	0-0 0	J-0	124 112		9/11	Kennesaw			02	43	90	114	119	- 00
0-0		0-0	13			9/1	8 at M	1ichigan						41	50	40	NIII			orgia Tech	0-0		0-0	146			at Clemson						6	2	67
0.0		0.0		_		9/2		1aine						407	440	20	#99	Rush		#41	0-0		0-0	136		9/25	North Caro	lina							43
0-0 0-0		0-0	11 11			10/	2 E 9 at To	astern Mic	chigar		_			107 72	118 51	29 113	#53 #87	Pass Total		#92 #71	0-0 0-0)-0)-0	126 114		10/2	Pittsburgh at Duke							21 102	35 11
0-0		0-0	84			10/		Bowling Gr	een					126		115	#90	Score		#95	0.0		, 0	111		10/16	Bye						103	102	
0-0		0-0	11	6				Central Mic	:higan					77	89	42	#42	Sks Al		#89	0-0		0-0	129			at Virginia						95		119
0-0		0-0	17	1		10/	30 B 3 at K	Sye			_			54	123	127	#77 #81	Rush		#93 #113	0-0 0-0)-0)-0	125 133		10/30	Virginia Te at Miami. Fl	ch						23 17	77 31
0-0		0-0	13			11/		Ball St			_			87	88	97	#76	Total		#111			0-0	129		11/13		lege					-		45
0-0		0-0	11	5			17 at B	Buffalo						116	104	112	#119	Score		#109	0-0	C)-0	137			at Notre Dam						20	7	13
0-0		0-0	11	4		11/2	23 V	Vestern M	ichiga					49	93	100	#94	Sks		#45	0-0	C	0-0	145		11/27	Georgia						3	4	2
											_						#89 -3	Ypg Total		#101 -7															_
											_						-3	Total	103																
			Ne				fense			Yds		Defense			Yds									Net		Offen		Comp	Yds		Defense		Comp		
Sco			Yds Fi) T	'0's	FD RA-	RY Y	PC A	Att	Pass Sk	s FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks				(0		ore		Yds FD	TO's FE	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks
at Georgia Tech Wyoming											+-									ë	Northern Illin Kennesaw S														_
t Michigan											_									Jackets	at Clemson	•													_
Maine																	9	3		<u>ă</u>	North Carolin	na													
Eastern Michig at Toledo	gan																	y y		>	Pittsburgh at Duke														
Bowling Green	n										-						9			<u>6</u>	Bye														
t Central Michig																	i	AVERAGES		Yellow	at Virginia														
Bye																		2 \$		`_	Virginia Tech	ı													
t Kent St Ball St																	9	Σ		등	at Miami, Fl Boston Colle	ne .													_
t Buffalo											_						Tilinoic Huckies	TEAM		Tech	at Notre Dame	ge													_
Western Michi	igan																2		•	<u>a</u>	Georgia														
																	-	•		<u>.</u>															
											+-									Georgia															—
Average	2	25-39	-51.3 0.	2 -	0.5	21 37-	127 3	3.4 57	.5%	241 6.3	% 21	37-174	4.8	65.0%	245	6.9%				Ğ	Average	2	4-37 -	69.4 -4.2	-0.7 20	41-191	1 4.7 5	53.7%	199 8.1%	24	43-189	4.4 5	8.7%	270	5.9%
Conference			-51.3 0.	2 -	0.5	21 37-	127 3	3.4 57	.5%	241 6.3	% 21	37-174	4.8	65.0%	245	6.9%					Conferenc	e 2	4-35 -	-56.1 -3.8	-0.4 20	40-187	7 4.6 5	54.5%	194 9.3%	23	42-183	4.4 5	7.8%	254	6.0%
Away	2	28-34	23.0 1.	7 (0.0	22 38-	141 3	3.7 62	.6%	253 7.0	/o 21	36-149	4.2	64.1%	222	10.3%		Position by Po	nsition Ed	nes	Home	2	7-41 -	148.2 -8.2	-0.2 18	39-184	4 4.8 5	52.5%	188 8.9%	27	46-211	4.6 5	9.6%	309	6.5%
																	N Illi			orgia Tech															
																		N Illinois																	
																		vs Georgia T		0.82															
																		N Illino		•															
																		vs		0.77															
																		Georgia T		:															
																		N Illino		0.86															
																		Georgia 1		0.00															
																		N Illino																	
																		vs		1.00															
																		Georgia N Illino																	
																		vs		1.42															
																		Georgia T		;															
																		N Illino		0.99															
																		Vs Georgia Te																	
Last Five	e Years M	Meeting	ıs (Last 2	2 year	rs at Ph	ilSteele	.com)				Pro	ected I	Boy 6	core				N Illino			Categor	,	1	Team & Margin					Phil's For	ecast:					
lone											Pioj	ecteu I	50X 30	COIE			0.05	vs			Las Vegas Line														
									ts		r	rojected	Duchin					Georgia T N Illin					Geo	orgia Tech By 1	First	meetina.	This is only GT	's 2nd n	neeting ever a	gainst a f	MAC foe.	beat BG i	in '18 63	3-17. GT	Γha
									je E	128 yards			Georgia		224 ya	ards		N IIII		0.65	Las Vegas Tota			57 Points	their	13-game	home opener	win stre	eak broken last	year vs	UCF but a	all 13 of t	hose wi	ins were	e vs
									Co		F	rojected	Passin	g				Georgia	Tech K		+/- Ratings				ATC		Jackets are 0-5								
									6	187 yards	NI	llinois	Georgia	Tech	229 ya	ards		N Illinois			+/- Katings		Geor	rgia Tech By 16			t ACC teams. F 13), Northwes								
									9	315 vard-		jected Ya Ilinois	rdage T Georgia		453 ya	rde		vs Georgia Teo		0.85	Game Grade		Gan	rgia Tech By 8.	year	s 3 of the	switch from th	ne option	offense to the	e spread	and this i	is by far (Collins be	est team	n.
									to Table	315 yards		ilinois ojected F			453 Ya	n us		N Illino		-5	1		960	ngia recir by 8.	illey		e Keenesaw St								
									5	22 points			Georgia		38 po	ints	0.05	vs		7.36	Computer Yards		Geor	gia Tech 453-3			nock is also in l does have an e								
									2		Ex	perience						Georgia 1			Computer Point	s =			coule		ge games.		Jan Jill		gc. ou.		,. 0		-
									ě	104			Georgia		37		POS	ITIONAL EDG		ED TO:			Geo	orgia Tech 38-2	2		•								
												n Schedu	Ile Stre Georgia		40			Georgia	a Tech		Phil Steele		Ger	orgia Tech by 1	R										
										85	NI	llinois																							
										85	N 1	llinois	Georgia	recii				By 7.31	Points	<u> </u>				orgia reen by 1			Georgia	Tec	ch 41 No	rthe	rn Ill	linois	23		

				_	Georgia	a Rul	Idoas	<u> </u>						Т	OVERAL	L:	OVERALL						Cla	emson	Tiger	•						
					-	ldogs Log	_								0-0 vs. SE0	•	0-0 vs. ACC						Civ	Tigers I	_							
FOE TM	FOF			AP	Build	uogs Log	<u>as</u>		TE	AM	PS RANK-		FOE		0-0	-	0-0	FOE	TM FO	F		A	P	rigers	.ogs			TEAM	м -	-PS RANK	F	FOE
	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE+	TM	FOE	DATE	FOE		SCORE W/L			ST OF		EF S			NCAA RANKIN		TM REC FOE REC			- FOE +/-		FOE DAT		FOE	sco	RE W/L			ST OF		ST
0-0 0-0	0-0	145 146 122		3		Clemson			3	4	2 6		2 6		20	20 TEAM RAN	INGS	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-	0 146	145	3	5 9/4					6	2 6	57 3	4	2
0-0	0-0	117				South Ca	arolina		_		40		3 6		Georgia	1	Clemson	0-0	0-	0	124		9/11		Carolina S jia Tech)T		_		62	43	96
0-0	0-0	112				t Vanderbi					11		16 10		#54	Rush Off	#7	0-0	0-	0	129		9/25							38	13	26
0-0	0-0	127				Arkansas					52		1 11		#45	Pass Off	#7	0-0	0-	0	129		10/2		n College					24	64	45
0-0	0-0 0-0	132 125			10/9 at 10/16	Kentucky			+		67				# 40 #38	Total Off Score Off	#1	0-0	0-	0	121		10/9	Bye 6 at Syrac	LICA			+		84	68	18
0.0	0 0	123				Bye	,				0,	-	, J.		#72	Sks Allowe					126			3 at Pittsb						43		35
0-0	0-0	135			10/30 + F	Florida					32		!6 5!	9 :	#3	Rush Def	#1	0-0	0-	0	127		10/30	0 Florid	a St					26		72
0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	124				Missouri			_		75	7	4 3i 5 3:		#89 #15	Pass Def Total Def	#4		0-		130		11/6							63		109
0-0	0-0	120			11/13 at 11/20	Charlesto			_		75	, 0	3.		#19	Score Def	#1				101 132		11/1		Forest			+		129		65
0-0	0-0	124			11/27 at						62	2 4	3 9		#12	Sks By	#:				117			7 at South						40		62
															#20	Ypg Diff	#7															
									_						-2	Total TO's	+1	+														
_		Net			Offense			Yds		Defense	Con										Net		Offe		Comp			_	Defense		p Yds	
Score Clemson		Yds FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Sks	FD	RA-RY Y	YPC At	t Pa	ass SI	(S				† Georgia	core	Yds	FD	TO's	FD RA-R	RY YPC	Att	Pas	s Sks	FD R	RA-RY Y	PC Att	Pass	Sks
UAB																		South Caroli														
South Carolina																		Georgia Tec	h													
t Vanderbilt Arkansas									+						g		Ņ	at NC State Boston Colle	ge									+				
t Auburn															Bulldogs	AVERAGES	Tigers	Bye	3-									1				
Kentucky															ĕ	lă	Ē	at Syracuse														
Bye Florida				-					-						B	H H		at Pittsburgh Florida St														
Missouri									+						<u>a</u>	€	ō	Louisville														
t Tennessee															Georgia	TEAM	lemson	Connecticut														
Charleston Southern															õ	Ŧ	<u>e</u>	Wake Forest at South Caroli														
t Georgia Tech									+					_	Ğ		ū	at South Caroli	na													
																												1				
																												1				
Average Conference	32-20 33-20	103.1 2.4 98.6 2.3						250 6.5% 233 6.4%			2.4 65.7 2.3 65.8		49 8.9 53 7.5					Average Conferenc		-20 175. -20 197.		0.7 1.1	25 34-1 26 37-1		66.5% 66.2%			16 3	37-113 3. 35-108 3		% 214 % 210	
Away	32-21			20	37-191		54.9%	231 7.0%	6 20	34-95	2.8 65.4	1% 25	51 9.5	%				Home			7 11.0	1.7	25 36-1	59 4.5	66.7%		5 3.6%	14 3	34-93 2	1.8 48.6	% 180	13.69
																sition by Position																
														-	Georgi	Georgia QB/\	Clemson WR	1														
																vs.	0.2															
														-		Clemson DB Georgia RB		-														
																Georgia KB Vs.	0.3															
																Clemson LB																
																Georgia OL																
																vs. Clemson Di	1.1															
																Georgia DL																
														0	0.83	vs.																
														-		Clemson O		-														
														1	1.05	vs.	•															
																Clemson RB																
																Georgia DB	s 0.2															
																vs. Clemson QB/																
Last Five Years	Meetin	igs (Last 22	years a	t PhilSt	eele.com)	Ĺ			Proje	ected Bo	ny Scor	۰,				Georgia ST		Categor	у	Team	& Margin						Phil's Fore	ecast:				
lone		·	· <u></u>						Fioje	cteu bi	JA 3001	•		2	2.75	VS.	_	Las Vegas Line		CI -	aan C T											
							nts		Pr	ojected R	ushina			\vdash		Clemson ST Georgia K	5	+		Clem	son By 3		Noutral Cit-	gamo at P-	nk Of ^~ -	orice Ct-	dium wh	ich ic alac :	cita of th-	lact 4 AC	Title as	mor
							nte	122 yards	Geo	rgia	Clemson		84 yards			vs.	0.4	Las Vegas Tota	· _	51	Points		Neutral Site which were a									
							3		Pr	rojected P						Clemson K		+/- Ratings					beat Auburn	26-19. ÚG	A owns the	e series	lead 42-1	8-4 and wo	on the mo	ost recent	meeting	in 201
							e of	246 yards	Geo Proi e	rgia ected Yard	Clemson		306 yards	5		Georgia Coaci	nes 0.4			Clems	on By 2.5		45-21. UGA season open									
							turn to Table	369 yards	Geo		Clemson		390 yards	,		vs. Clemson Coac		Game Grade		Clems	on By 3.0		Daniels and	ors, iast 108 put up 37.2	ppg. The I	Dogs ha	iii 13. Ge ave one of	the best d	Jefenses ir	the cour כב	itry and 9)
							Ë			jected Fin						Georgia Pts		Computer Yard					starters back	on offense	. Clemson	loses T	revor Lawı	rence but D	DJ Uiagalel	leilei put	лр 37.3 p	pg in h
							*	26 points	Geo		Clemson		27 points	4	4.63	vs. Clemson Pt	2.8	Joinputer raru		Clems	n 390-369	9	two starts Cl game and th							s is an ear	ıy season	playof
																							Danne and th					of their dan	mes.			
									Exp	perience R			115		DOSTT			Computer Point	s	Clem	son 27-26					eu III aii	tne rest o	of their gar	mes.			
						_	Returr	110	Exp Geo		Clemson	h	115		POSITI	ONAL EDGE AW		-	s		son 27-26					eu iii aii	the rest (of their gar	mes.			
									Exp Geo	rgia Schedule	Clemson	h	115 56				ARDED TO:	Computer Point Phil Steele	s		son 27-26							of their gan				

				S	outhe	ern M	iss G	older	n Eag	gles							OVER		OVERALL: 0-0							South A	Alaba	ma Ja	ıgua	ırs						
						Gold	en Eagle	es Logs									vs. Cl	JSA	vs. SUN								Jaguar	s Logs								
REC FOE	TM CONF C	FOE	TM +/- FOE +/		AP						TEA		PS RA		FOE		0-0		0-0	TM REC FOE	TM I	FOE T	M +/- FO	E+/-	AP							TEAM		PS RANK		FOE
0-0	0-0	ON	116 106	IM	FOE			F OE n Alabama		RE W/L	0FF 102	DEF 80	ST 46	0FF 122	DEF 107	ST 105	-	NCAA RANK 2020 TEAM RA				0-0		116	TM	OE DATE	Sou	FOE thern Miss		SCORE		0FF I		ST 0		B 0
						9/11	Gram	bling St									Southern		South Alabam	0-0		0-0		84		9/11		ling Greer	1							28
0-0		0-0	122			9/18	Troy							80	81	94										9/18		rn St								
0-0		0-0 0-0	158 117			9/25	at Alabai	ima						8 123	105	1 81	#69 #77	Rush Of Pass Of		0-0		0-0		128		9/25 10/2	Bye	siana						1	17 :	33
1-0		0-0	105			10/2	UTEP							96	115		#82	Total O		0-0		0-0		112		10/2										.14
0-0		0-0	122			10/16	UAB							74		47	#87	Score 0		0-0		0-0		119		10/16		Southern								36
						10/23	Bye	_									#96	Sks Allow		0-0		0-0		96			at ULM									.26
0-0		0-0 0-0	117 105			10/30		e Tenness Texas	se					98 68	109 117	125 27	#86	Rush De		0-0 0-0		0-0		110 122		10/30	at Troy	ansas St						8		.12 31
0-0		0-0	124				at UTSA							70	59		#64	Total D		0-0		0-0		126				alachian S	it					3		25
0-0		0-0	110					iana Tech	1					110	87	98	#86	Score D		0-0		0-0		120			at Ten									55
0-0		0-0	108			11/27	FIU							120	99	21	#71	Sks By		0-0	1	0-0		127		11/27	Coa	stal Caroli	ina					3	35 4	40
																	#81 -4	Ypg Dif																		
																		1014111	_																	
			Net			Offense		Comp				Defense			Yds									Net		Offen		Cor		Yds			fense		omp \	
South Alabar	ore		Yds FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pas	s Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	v			Southern Mis	ore		Yds	FD	TO's	FD RA-RY	YPC	: At	tt	Pass	Sks	FD R	A-RY Y	PC A	Att P	ass :
Grambling S																	Eagles			at Bowling Gree																
Troy																	a	,	ırs	Alcorn St																
Alabama																	ш		'n	Bye																
Rice UTEP																	e	ES	Jaguar	Louisiana at Texas St																
UAB																	2	P G	רי	GA Southern																
Bye																	Golden	AVERAGES	π	at ULM																
Middle Tenne	ssee																Ŋ	I ₹	Jai	Arkansas St																
North Texas UTSA																	Miss	Σ	Alabama	at Troy at Appalachian	St															
Louisiana Te	:h																			at Tennessee	50															
FIU																	Ĕ	-	uth	Coastal Card	lina															
																	۳		7																	
																	-														_					
																	Ħ		So																	
Average	2	25-32	-29.7 -2.7	-0.4	19	37-159	4.3	62.4%	b 213	8.8%	22	40-181	4.5	62.9%	221	5.4%	Sout		So	Average	2	20-27 -	77.8	1.2	-0.1	20 38-11:	1 2.9	62.4	4%	247	11.4%	21 37	7-174 4	4.7 66.	.1% 2	162 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5%	Southern		So	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
	. 2	25-26		-0.3	18		4.5		203	9.6%	22		4.0	61.5%	201	5.5%			S		e 1		96.4 -	1.4	0.3		5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%		7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%		Position by Posit	S	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	F Souther	Position by Posit	on Edges South Alabam	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	Souther 0.17	Position by Posit n Miss Southern Miss vs.	on Edges South Alabam QB/WR	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	Souther 0.17	osition by Posit n Miss Southern Miss vs. South Alaban	on Edges South Alabam QB/WR a DB's	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	Souther 0.17	Position by Posit n Miss Southern Miss vs.	on Edges South Alabam QB/WR a DB's	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3.
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	Souther 0.17	Position by Posit in Miss Southern Miss vs. South Alaban Southern Mis vs. South Alaban	on Edges South Alabam QB/WR a DB's s RB's a LB's	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3.
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64	Position by Position by Position hy Position hy Position Niss vs. South Alabam Southern Miss vs. South Alabam Southern Miss Southern Miss vs.	on Edges South Alabam QB/WR a DB's s RB's a LB's	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3.
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	Souther 0.17	Position by Posit in Miss Southern Miss vs. South Alaban Southern Mis vs. South Alaban	on Edges South Alabam QB / WR a DB's RB's RB's a LB's	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64	Position by Position Miss Southern Miss South Alaban Southern Mis vs. South Alaban Southern Mi	on Edges South Alabam QB/WR a DB's is RB's s ALB's	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64	Position by Position by Position Miss Southern Miss South Alaban Southern Mis Vs. South Alaban Southern Mi Vs. South Alaban Southern Mi	on Edges South Alabam 2B/WR a DB's s RB's a LB's s OL na DL	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15	Position by Positin Miss Southern Miss Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis Vs. South Alaban Southern Mi Vs. South Alaban Southern Mi Vs. South Alaban Southern Mi	on Edges South Alabam 2B / WR a DB's s RB's a LB's s OL na DL ss DL	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04	Position by Position Miss Southern Miss Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis Southern Mis Southern Mis South Alaban Southern Mis Southern Mis Southern Mis	on Edges South Alabam 2B / WR a DB's s RB's a LB's s OL na DL ss DL	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15	Nosition by Position by Position In Miss Southern Miss Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis Vs. South Alaban Southern Miss Southern Miss Southern Miss Southern Miss South Alaban Southern Miss South Alaban Southern Miss Vs. South Alaban Southern Miss Vs.	On Edges South Alabam 28 /WR a DB's s RB's a LB's s OL na DL s LB'S a RB'S	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04	rosition by Position by Position by Position by Position by Position by Position W.S. South Alabam Southern Miss Southern Miss South Alabam Southern Mis South Alabam Southern Mis South Alabam Southern Mis South Alabam Southern Mis	on Edges South Alabam 2B/WR a DB's s RB's s a LB's s OL s DL ss DB's	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	. 2	25-26	-4.8 -3.7	-0.3	18	36-161	4.5	62.4%	203	9.6%	22	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04	rosition by Position In Miss Vs. South Alban Southern Mis Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis Vs.	South Alabam 2B/WR a DB's s RB's a LB's s OL na DL ss DL na OL s LB'S a RB's a RB's o D.	Conferenc	e 1	19-26 -	96.4 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	2 2	25-26 28-32	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7	-0.3 0.7	18	36-161 41-190	4.5 4.6	62.4%	203	9.6%	22 24	42-167 38-152	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04	rosition by Position by Position by Position by Position by Position by Position W.S. South Alabam Southern Miss Southern Miss South Alabam Southern Mis South Alabam Southern Mis South Alabam Southern Mis South Alabam Southern Mis	on Edges South Alabam 26 / WR a DB's s RB's a LB's a LB's so OL na DL st DS s DB's 0.4i	Conferenc Home	e 1	19-26 -	-96.4 -89.5 -	1.4	0.3	20 39-10	5 2.7	64.7	7%	233	12.9%	21 37 22 36	7-179	4.9 68.	.3% 2	57 3
Conference	e Years M	25-26 28-32	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7	-0.3 0.7 Vears a Rush	18 18 18 Comp	36-161 41-190	4.5 4.6	62.4%	203	9.6%	22 24	42-167	4.0	61.5%	201	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04	rosition by Position by Position by Position by Position by Position by Position W.S. South Alabam Southern Mis Vs.	South Alabam Re / WR a DB's s RB's s LB's s OL na DL s DB's s BB's a RB's o OL s DB's s DB's o O.40	Conference Home	e 1	19-26 - 19-27 -	96.4	1.4 1.8	0.3	20 39-10(20 38-11-	5 2.7	64.: 59.9	77% 99%	233 230	12.9% 12.7%	21 37 22 36	7-179 6 152 6	4.9 68. 4.3 67.	.3% 2 .3% 2	257 3
Last Fiv.	e Years M	25-26 28-32	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7	-0.3 0.7 vears a Rush 37-95	at Philst Comp 22-	36-161 41-190 41-190 -Att-Yds 32-314	4.5 4.6	62.4%	203	9.6%	Proje	42-167 38-152	4.0 4.0	61.5% 65.1%	201	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04 1.82	rosition by Poelt miss Southern Miss Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis Vs.	on Edges South Alabam RB/WR a DB's s RB's a LB's so DL ss DL ss DL ss DL ss DB's 0.44 85 DB's 0.49 85 DB's 0.49 85 DB's 0.49	Conferenc Home	e 1	19-26 - 19-27 -	-96.4 -89.5 -	1.4 1.8	0.3 0.3	20 39-10(20 38-11)	5 2.7 4 3.0	64.; 59.9	77% 99%	233 230 Phi	12.9% 12.7%	21 37 22 36	7-179 4 3-152 4	4.9 68. 4.3 67.	.3% 2	157 3 181 3
Last Fiv	e Years M	25-26 28-32	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7	-0.3 0.7 Vears a Rush	at Philst Comp 22-	36-161 41-190	4.5 4.6	62.4%	203	3 9,6% 3 12.7%	Proje	42-167 38-152	4.0 4.0 80x Sox Sox	61.5% 65.1%	201 289	5.5%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04 2.00	rosition by Position Miss Southern Miss Southern Miss South Alabama Southern Mis South Alabama South Alabama Southern Mis South Alabama	on Edges South Alabam RB/WR a DB's s RB's a LB's so DL ss DL ss DL ss DL ss DB's 0.44 85 DB's 0.49 85 DB's 0.49 85 DB's 0.49	Conference Home		19-26 19-27	7eam & Mi	1.4 1.8	0.3 0.3 6 6 6 6 6 6	20 39-10(20 38-11-	6 2.7.4 3.0	64 59.9	South meetir	Phi Alabam	12.9% 12.7%	21 37 22 36 22 36	e vs Sout	thern Miswhen US	ss. He p sA (+13	layed T) won
Last Fiv	e Years M	25-26 28-32	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7	-0.3 0.7 vears a Rush 37-95	at Philst Comp 22-	36-161 41-190 41-190 -Att-Yds 32-314	4.5 4.6	62.4% 53.5%	203	9.6%	Proje	42-167 38-152	4.0 4.0 4.0 Rushin	61.5% 65.1%	201 289	5.5%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04 1.82	rosition by Poelt miss Southern Miss Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis Vs.	on Edges South Alabam Re / WR a DB's s RB's a LB's ss OL na DL ss DL ss DL a RB's s DB's QB/WR 0.4i QB/WR a ST's ss K	Conference Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota		19-26 19-27	96.4	1.4 1.8	5 K: EE: EE: SISISSE	ne Womma d for the G C. SM makes	ck's firsts	game at gles. 2nd out for revipe to Mobile 19 to Mobile 1	South meetje	Phi Alabam g, first Siden Eag	12.9% 12.7%	21 37 22 36 22 36 22 36 21 36 22 36 21 36 22 36 21 36 22 36 21 36 22 36 21 36	e vs Sout	thern Missing therm with the second the seco	ss. He p sA (+13 ersersers)	layed T) young
Last Fiv.	e Years M	25-26 28-32	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7	-0.3 0.7 vears a Rush 37-95	at Philst Comp 22-	36-161 41-190 41-190 -Att-Yds 32-314	4.5 4.6	62.4%	15	3 9,6% 3 12.7%	Proje Pr Southe Pr Southe	42-167 38-152 ojected B ojected Irn Miss S ojected Irn Miss S	4.0 4.0 4.0 Rushin South Alim So	COPE 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	201 289	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04 1.82 2.00 0.90	rosition by Position by Position by Position by Position by Position Mississipport of the Mis	on Edges South Alabam 2B/WR a DB's s RB's s LB's s OL s DL s DL s DL s DL s DL s DB's a RB's s T'S s S T'S s S T'S s S T'S	Conference Home		19-26 - 19-27	7eam & Mi	1.4 1.8	5 Ka	ne Womma d for the Gd liss 32-21 sC. SM make S vs non co	ck's first	game at gles. 2nd out for rev ip to Mob	South meetir vien. Go. id. g. with	Phi Alabam rg, first South hidden Eag two diff	12.9% 12.7%	21 37 22 36 22 36 22 36 22 36 22 36 23 27 A ² 0-3 SU/1 1terim he	e vs Sout ust year Sis in hon in 22 Ad Coach	thern Missian Grant Market Mar	ss. He p 3 A (+13 ers sinc beta list since the sinc beta list since the sinc	layed T ') won e joinius e joinus e joinus e joinus e joinus e you per
Conference Away Last Five 0 thern Miss	e Years M	25-26 28-32 	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7	-0.3 0.7 vears a Rush 37-95	at Philst Comp 22-	36-161 41-190 41-190 -Att-Yds 32-314	4.5 4.6	62.4% 53.5%	155	3 9.6% 3 12.7%	Projection	42-167 38-152 ojected I in Miss S ojected I m Miss S ojected I m Miss S ojected V in	4.0 4.0 4.0 Rushin South Ala rdage T	COPE 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	201 289 1 120 ya 252 ya	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04 2.00	rosition by Position Miss Southern Miss South Alaban Southern Mis Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis Vs. South Alaban Southern Mis South Alaban Southern Mis South Alaban Southern Mis South Alaban Southern Mis South Alaban South Alaban Southern Mis South Alaban Southern Mis South Alaban Southern Mis South Alaban Southern Mis South Alaban	on Edges South Alabam 28 / WR a DB's s RB's a LB's a LB's so OL na DL ss DL na OL s LB'S a RB's a RB's a ST's a ST's a ST's a ST's a ST's	Conference Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings		19-26 19-27 -	96.4	1.4 1.8 a By 1.5	5 Ka Fr. Si	ne Womma d for the Gc ILS: 39-10: 50-20: 50-	ck's first tinflast sa shift size in first tinflast sa shift size in first tinflast y	game at gles. 2nd out for rev ip to Mob ear dealin inherited	South meetir venge. ile. Gol g with I and fe	Phi Alabam ng, first South iden Eact two diff	12.9% 12.7%	21 37 22 36 22 36 22 36 23 36 24 36 25 36 26 36 27 36 27 36 27 36 27 37 37 28 36 28	e vs Sout st year 2-2 ATS is defen in C	thern Misian of the state of th	ss. He p p 33.3% 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	layed T ') won e joining SM yates
Last Fiv.	e Years M	25-26 28-32 	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7	-0.3 0.7 vears a Rush 37-95	at Philst Comp 22-	36-161 41-190 41-190 -Att-Yds 32-314	4.5 4.6	62.4% 53.5%	155	3 9,6% 3 12.7%	Projective	42-167 38-152 ojected I m Miss S of m Miss S m m Miss S	4.0 4.0 Rushin South Ala Passin South ala South Ala South Ala South Ala South Ala	61.5% 65.1% 199 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	201 289 1 120 ya 252 ya	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04 1.82 2.00 0.90	rosition by Poeith Miss Southern Miss South Alabana Southern Mis South Alabana Southern Mis South Alabana	South Alabam 2B/WR a DB's s RB's s SOL an DL ss DL an DL ss DL an OL ss DB's a RB's a DB's a DB's a SD's a ST's a ST's as ST's	Conference Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota		19-26 19-27 -	16am & Mi	1.4 1.8 a By 1.5	5 Ka Fr Fr S S S A W W K K K	ne Womma d for the Gd ilss 32-21 s. C. SM makes SC. SM makes of the talenarks on the reem Walks	ck's first to did not so so SM is so so SM is so so SM is so sfirst to the source of t	game at general genera	South meetir venge. ile. with I and fe	Phil Alabam ng, first South Aiden East He del the del the el line a el el line a el li	12.9% 12.7%	21 37 22 36 22 36 e at home in came la si 2-7 A 0-3 SU/1 ne a conten QB Jake 8 Jake 9	e vs Sout ist Service styles 12 ATS is in hor 12 ATS is in hor 12 ATS is in hor 12 ATS is in hor 13 ATS in hor 14 ATS in hor 15 ATS in hor 16 ATS in hor 16 ATS in hor 17 ATS in hor 17 ATS in hor 18	thern Mis when US in me opening in road of the ne opening in road of the the the the USA, US/Sb 11 to 15 to	sss. He p a sss. He ss	layed T ') won e joinir se
Last Fiv. 0 therm Miss	e Years M	25-26 28-32 	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7	-0.3 0.7 vears a Rush 37-95	at Philst Comp 22-	36-161 41-190 41-190 -Att-Yds 32-314	4.5 4.6	62.4% 53.5%	15	3 9.6% 3 12.7%	Proje Pr Southe Proje Souther Proje P	42-167 38-152 ojected II ojected Signification ojected Variania	4.0 4.0 Rushin South Ala Passin South Ala Gauge Ala inal Scc	GCORE GCORE Jalabama	201 289 1 120 ya 252 ya 373 ya	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04 1.82 2.00 0.90 0.50	rosition by Position by Positi	South Alabam 2B/WR a DB's s RB's s SOL an DL ss DL an DL ss DL an OL ss DB's a RB's a DB's a DB's a SD's a ST's a ST's as ST's	Conference Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings		19-26 19-27 Sout	96.4 89.5 -	1.4 1.8 a By 1.5 nts	5 K-	ne Womma d for the Gc Silss 32-21 s C. SM make S vs non cot th the talen arks on the reem Walke d a brand-n	ck's firsts Jolden Ea o SM is. Se first tr the has	game at gles. 2nd out for record of the state of the stat	South meetir venge. ile. Gold and g with and fefensiv to go a a	Phi Alabamang, first South . Widen Eagles two differee line a ellong wi	12.9% 12.7% 12.7% 12.7% 12.7% 12.7% 12.7% 12.7%	21 37 22 36 22 36 e at home proper land land land land land land land land	e vs Soutt ist year r TS in hou ad coach der in Cl dala in Cl Jalen To	thern Missississississississississississississ	ss. He p 9 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	layed T a) wo n sM was sery ple westion h) and dayne.
Conference Away Last Fiv. 20 uthern Miss	e Years M	25-26 28-32 	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7	-0.3 0.7 vears a Rush 37-95	at Philst Comp 22-	36-161 41-190 41-190 -Att-Yds 32-314	4.5 4.6	62.4% 53.5%	15	3 9.6% 3 12.7%	Proje Pr Southe Pr Southe Pr Southe Southe Pr Southe Southe Pr Southe Pr Southe	42-167 38-152 ojected I m Miss S G jected I' m Miss S G jected I' m Miss S M m M m M m M m M m M m M m M m M m M m	4.0 4.0 4.0 Rushin South Ala Passin South Ala South Ala South Ala	61.5% 65.1% 65.1% labama re labama ore	201 289 1 120 ya 252 ya 373 ya	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04 1.82 2.00 0.90	rosition by Poeith Miss Southern Miss South Alabana Southern Mis South Alabana Southern Mis South Alabana Southern Mis South Alabana Southern Mis South Alabana Southern Mis South Alabana	on Edges South Alabam RB/WR a DB's s RB's a LB's so DL ss ST's a RB's a ST's ss K ma K oaches coaches coaches	Conference Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yard		19-26 19-27	96.4	1.4 1.8 a By 1.5 nts	5 K: K: S: S: S: S: S: S: A:	ne Womma d for the G diss 32-21 s. vs. on the the talen arks on the reem Walke d a brand-n me stadium	ck's first olden East of State	64.3 59.3 game at general gene	South meetir venge. g with fefensiv to go a ear bu!	Phi Alabam ng, first South to two diffest two diffest two diff tels the allong wit tvery firming st	12.9% 12.7%	21 37 22 36 22 36 e at home in programe le a is 2-7 A 7 0-3 SU/1 neterim he e a conten ged WR's so this is Solitis Solitis is Solitis is Solitis is Solitis is Soliti	e vs Sout in 152 e st year vs Sy year 2-2 ATS ii der in Cl Jalen To their firs	4.9 68.8 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67.	ss. He page size of the state o	layed Ti) won : e joinini SM was ery ples uestiond Vayne. it as th
Conference Away Last Fiv. 220 Under Miss	e Years M	25-26 28-32 	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7	-0.3 0.7 vears a Rush 37-95	at Philst Comp 22-	36-161 41-190 41-190 -Att-Yds 32-314	4.5 4.6	62.4% 53.5%	15	3 9.6% 3 12.7%	Projection of the projection o	42-167 38-152 ojected II rn Miss S ojected Yan rn Miss S octed Ya	4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Rushin South Ala rdage T South South Ala Rankin South Ala Rankin	G1.5% G5.1% G5.1% G5.1% G5.1% G6.1%	201 289 1 120 ya 252 ya 373 ya	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04 1.82 2.00 0.90 0.50 7.20	Nosition by Poeit miss Southern Miss Vs. South Alabama Southern Mis Southern Mis Vs.	South Alabam BB/WR a DB's s RB's as LB's ss OL ana DL ss DL ana OL ss DL as ST's a ST'	Conference Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade		19-26 19-27 Sout	96.4 89.5 -	1.4.1.8 1.8 a By 1.5 nts 7.1 3.8 4.2 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7	5 K-S	ne Womma d for the Gc Silss 32-21 s C. SM make S vs non cot th the talen arks on the reem Walke d a brand-n	ck's first olden East of State	64.3 59.3 game at general gene	South meetir venge. g with fefensiv to go a ear bu!	Phi Alabam ng, first South to two diffest two diffest two diff tels the allong wit tvery firming st	12.9% 12.7%	21 37 22 36 22 36 e at home in programe le a is 2-7 A 7 0-3 SU/1 neterim he e a conten ged WR's so this is Solitis Solitis is Solitis is Solitis is Solitis is Soliti	e vs Sout in 152 e st year vs Sy year 2-2 ATS ii der in Cl Jalen To their firs	4.9 68.8 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67.	ss. He page size of the state o	layed Tir. y won a e joining. self of the self of th
Conference Away	e Years M	25-26 28-32 	-4.8 -3.7 -83.3 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7 -5.7	-0.3 0.7 vears a Rush 37-95	at Philst Comp 22-	36-161 41-190 41-190 -Att-Yds 32-314	4.5 4.6	62.4% 53.5%	15	2 yards 12 yards 14 yards 16 yards 17 yards 18 yards 19 yards 19 yards 20 yards	Projector Projec	42-167 38-152 ojected I ojected I or n Miss S office of the state	4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Rushin South Ala South Ala Rankin South Ale Rankin South Ale Renkin	61.5% 65.1% 65.1% documents 65	120 ya 252 ya 373 ya 27 poi	5.5% 3.3%	0.17 0.64 0.15 1.04 1.82 2.00 0.90 0.50 7.20	Nosition by Poeith Miss Vs. South Alabama Southern Mis Vs.	on Edges South Alabam Re / WR a DB's a LB's ss OL and DL ss DL and OL ss DB's QB/WR 3 ST's a	Conference Home Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yard		19-26 19-27 Sout	96.4	1.4.1.8 1.8 a By 1.8 7.1 7.1 -3.73	5 K-S	ne Womma d for the Go Iliss 32-21 s C.C. SM make S vs non co th the talen arks on the reem Walke d a brand-n me stadium ar (good sig	ck's first olden East of State	64.3 59.3 game at general gene	South meetir venge. g with fefensiv to go a ear bu!	Phi Alabam ng, first South to two diffest two diffest two diff tels the allong wit tvery firming st	12.9% 12.7%	21 37 22 36 22 36 e at home in programe le a is 2-7 A 7 0-3 SU/1 neterim he e a conten ged WR's so this is Solitis Solitis is Solitis is Solitis is Solitis is Soliti	e vs Sout in 152 e st year vs Sy year 2-2 ATS ii der in Cl Jalen To their firs	4.9 68.8 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67. 4.1.3 67.	ss. He page size of the state o	layed Tig 3.81 3.99 j yolining by yolining

					Ken	t St Golden Fla	ashes					_	VERALL:	: (OVERALL: 0-0						Tex	as A&M A	ggies						
						Golden Flashes Log	s						vs. MAC		vs. SEC							Aggies Logs							
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE	TM +/- FOE +/-	. тм	P FOE	DATE FOE	SCORE W/L	TEAM OFF DEF	PS RAN		FOE DEF S		0-0	NCAA RANKING	0-0	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/	- AP	FOE D/	ATE FOE	SCORE	w./	TEAM OFF DI		PS RANK T OFF	DEF FO	DE ST
0-0	0-0		121 143	••••		9/4 at Texas A&M	SCORE W/L	54 123				5		O TEAM RANKI		0-0 0-0		0-0		•••	9/4		SCORE	W/L	14 (6 54	123	127
						9/11 VMI							Kent St		Texas A&M	0-0		0-0	122		9/1	1 † Colorado					55	47	95
0-0		0-0	143 121			9/18 at Iowa 9/25 at Maryland						9 #3		Rush Off	#26	0-0 0-0		0-0 0-0	116 127		9/1 9/2)				94 52	120 31	122 111
0-0		0-0	84			10/2 Bowling Green	n				128 1:			Pass Off	#61	0-0		0-0	132		10/						50	28	51
0-0		0-0	115			10/9 Buffalo				116	104 1	.2 #:	1	Total Off	#33	0-0		0-0	158		10/	9 Alabama					8	1	1
0-0		0-0 0-0	114 123			10/16 at Western Michi 10/23 at Ohio	igaı					00 #: 4 #1		Score Off Sks Allowed	#37 #17	0-0 0-0		0-0	124 117		10/	16 at Missouri 23 South Caro	lina				75 40	74 73	30 62
0-0		0-0	123			10/30 Bye				00	110 3	#12		Rush Def	#17	0-0		0-0	117		10/		illia				40	/3	02
0-0		0-0	112			11/6 Northern Illino					119 8			Pass Def	#58	0-0		0-0	132		11/						22	20	25
0-0		0-0 0-0	116 99			11/13 at Central Michig 11/20 at Akron	jan				89 4 125 12	2 #8		Total Def Score Def	#13 #30	0-0		0-0	138		11/	 13 at Mississippi 20 Prairie View 	u A & M				7	60	103
0-0		0-0	120			11/27 Miami, OH						1 #9		Sks By	#20	0-0		0-0	144			27 at LSU	T AGI				16	10	16
												#(Ypg Diff	#14														
												+:	1	Total TO's	+6														
			Net			Offense Comp		Defense			Yds								Net				Comp Yds		Defe		Comp	Yds	
at Texas A&M	ore		Yds FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY YPC Att	Pass Sks	FD RA-RY	YPC	Att I	Pass Si	cs				Kent St	ore		Yds FD	TO's	FD RA	-RY YPC	Att Pass	Sks	FD RA	RY Y	PC Att	Pass	Sks
VMI																† Colorado													
at Iowa													ē			New Mexico													
at Maryland													-S		es	† Arkansas													
Bowling Gree Buffalo	::1												Flashes	ES	Aggi	Miss St Alabama													
at Western Mich	iigan												_	TEAM AVERAGES	Ă	at Missouri													
at Ohio Bye													St Golden	H H	A&M	South Caroli Bye	na												
Northern Illin	iois												ō	€	& 4	Auburn													
at Central Michi	gan												G	Σ	S	at Mississippi													
at Akron Miami, OH													ซ	"	exas	Prairie View at LSU	A&M												
Filalli, Oli													Ħ		Ę	at 250													
													Kent																
Average		50-38	182.5 10.5	0.3	32	50-283 5.7 71.49	% 324 4.0%	22 43-262	6.2 5	51.6%	162 12.	1%				Average		33-22	121.9 5.9	0.6	23 38-	205 5.5 6	2.9% 234	2.3%	18 28	-92 3.	.3 63.2%	225	9.0%
Conference	2	50-38	182.5 10.5	0.3	32	50-283 5.7 71.49	% 324 4.0%	22 43-262	6.2 5	51.6%	162 12.	1%				Conference	e	32-21	120.7 6.4	0.6	24 38-	203 5.4 6	3.0% 234	1.4%	17 28	-92 3.	.3 63.8%	224	8.6%
Away		52-47	108.5 9.0	0.0	32	52-265 5.1 64.3%	% 358 4.3%	23 49-388	8.0 4	11.5%	127 4.9	%	Docit	tion by Position I	dnes	Home		30-22	59.8 2.0	0.5	23 35-	183 5.3 5	9.7% 223	2.4%	21 32-	113 3.	.5 63.6%	233	7.1%
													Kent St	-	exas A&M														
														Kent St QB/WI	0.92														
													1	vs. Texas A&M DB'															
														Kent St RB's															
														vs. Texas A&M LB's	1.07														
														Kent St OL															
														vs.	0.98														
														Texas A&M DL Kent St DL	•	_													
														vs.	2.30														
														Texas A&M OL															
														Kent St LB'S vs.	2.66														
													1	Texas A&M RB															
														Kent St DB's	0.80														
													Те	vs. exas A&M QB/\															
Last Fiv	e Year	s Meeti	ngs (Last 22 y	ears at	PhilSte	eele.com)		Projected	Box Sc	ore				Kent St ST's	0.48	Categor	у		Team & Margin				Phi	il's Fore	cast:				
None								•					7	vs. Texas A&M ST'		Las Vegas Line		Te	exas A&M By 2	8.5	ret moeti	ng & first trip to Te	vac cinco '9F /II	TED) '	Ont St 0 15	all_time	o ve curron	E CEC for	
						ents			d Rushing					Kent St K		Las Vegas Tota				Th	ney are ju	st 1-8 ATS in thos	se games since 1	995, t	neir only cov	er being	g as a 28' p	oint AD t	o Ole
						Conter	112 yards	Kent St Projecte	Texas A		333 yard	5		vs. Texas A&M K	1.40				67.5 Points	M	iss in '18	(38-17). This is or	nly A&M's 2nd m	eeting	against a cu	rrent M	AC school a	fter beat	ing Ball
1						of C	218 yards	Kent St	Texas A		249 yard	,		Kent St Coache	s	+/- Ratings		Te	exas A&M By 2	6.8 ar	. 11 15 51 nd just 1	5-23. The Aggies h returning starter o	on the OLine and	need!	oeieiise but to get them	some co	e a mst tiff onfidence b	e startin efore tou	g QD igheer
						ole o		Projected Y						vs. xas A&M Coacl	1.15	Game Grade				ga	ames aris	e. The offensive lin	e is actually mor	re taler	ited than th	e Joe Mo	oore Award	finalist li	ine
1						to Table	330 yards	Kent St Projected	Texas A Final Sco		582 yard	-	Te	xas A&M Coacl Kent St Pts	nes			Te	exas A&M By 2			ear. Kent St may h allowing 6.2 ypc. I							
						ıı to	23 points	Kent St	Texas A	A&M	48 points	0.0		vs.	11.76	Computer Yards	s	Te	xas A&M 582-3	330 ye	ears the o	ffense has put up	an amazing 42.7	7 ppg v	s non P5 te	ams but	just 7.6 p	g vs thr	ee P5
						Ē	68	Experienc Kent St	e Ranking Texas A		111			Texas A&M Pts		Computer Point	s		exas A&M 48-			g by 36.3 ppg. Ke with a possible ru				пеу аес	iae to risk	дь Crum	iate in
<u> </u>						Re	00	Team Sched					POSITIO	NAL EDGE AWAI	KUED TO:	Bl. II Ch													
1							126	Kent St	Texas A		19		_	Texas A&M		Phil Steele		1	exas A&M by 2	28		T	exas A&M	1 49	Kent	St 21	1		
													Ву	y 11.76 Poin	its									,					

						15	U Tig	ers							OVER		OVERALL:	· T							UCI	LA Brui	ns							
							Tigers Lo								0-0 vs. S		1-0 vs. PAC 12	,								ruins Logs								
TM REC FOE	TM CON	FOE F CONF	TM +/- FOE+	1-	AP					TEAM	PS RAM		FOE		0-0)	0-0		TM REC FOE	TM F	OE TI	M +/- FOE+/-	AF			numa Loga				TEAM		S RANK	FOE	
				TN		DATE	FC	DE	SCORE W/L	OFF DEF		OFF		ST		NCAA RANKIN							тм	FOE	DATE	FOE		SCORE W		FF DEF				ST
0-0	0-0	U	144 131	. 16	ь	9/4	at UCLA McNee	se		16 10	16	28	32	82		2020 TEAM RANK			0-0 0-0 1-0 0-0		0-0 0-0	131 122 135 144		16	8/28 9/4	Hawaii		44-10 V		0 0	82 0	90 16		86 16
0-0		0-0	116			9/18		l Michiga	n			77	89	42	LSU	ı	UCLA	F	10 00	00 0		133 111			9/11	Bye				<u> </u>		10	10	-10
0-0		0-0					at Miss St					50			#107	Rush Off	#9		1-0)-0	121			9/18	Fresno St						33		126
0-0		0-0	132 125			10/2	Auburr at Kentuc					22 67		25 52	#16 #35	Pass Off Total Off	#11 # 6 !		0-0 0-0	0	0-0 0-0	131 134			9/25 a 10/2	t Stanford Arizona St						58 13	67 24	37 23
0-0		0-0				10/16	Florida					32		59	#39	Score Off			0-0		0-0	116				it Arizona						103		102
0-0		0-0				10/23	at Mississ					7		103	#98	Sks Allowed	d #6	5	0-0	C	0-0	133		1	10/16 a	it Washingto	n					19	27	57
			158			10/30	Bye					8	1		#71	Rush Def	#1		0-0		0-0	135			10/23	Oregon						11		78
0-0 0-0		0-0				11/6	at Alaban Arkans					52			#128 #124	Pass Def Total Def	#80		0-0	U	0-0	135			10/30 a 11/6	Bye						21	9	38
0-0		0-0	96			11/20	ULM						126 1	130	#98	Score Def			0-0		0-0	122			11/13	Colorado						55		95
0-0		0-0	143	1		11/27	Texas	A&M				14	6	36	#36	Sks By	#12		0-0		0-0	134			11/20 a							12		12
															#92 +9	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#12		0-0	C	0-0	129		1	11/27	California						81	56	107
															.,	10.01 10 8	+2	+																-
			Net			Offense		Comp	Yds	Defense			Yds									Net			Offense		Comp	Yds		Defen			Yds	
	core		Yds FD	то	's FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Sks	FD RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass 5	Sks					Sco			Yds FD 123 4	TO's		RA-RY		Att	Pass Sk		FD RA-R				Sks 2
at UCLA McNeese					-					+								-	Hawaii LSU	4	4-10	123 4	2	20 4	43-244	5.7	12-22	148 2	2 1	16 21-2	6 1.2	∠8-53	243	
Central Michi	igan				-					1								l	Bye		-													-
at Miss St																			Fresno St															
Auburn at Kentucky																S	v	а	at Stanford Arizona St		-													
Florida					_					 					ers	AVERAGES	Bruins	a	at Arizona		-								-					-
at Mississippi															Ę		2		t Washington															
Bye															F	I≩	-	L	Oregon															
at Alabama Arkansas															LSU	Σ	CLA	а	t Utah Bye															
ULM															ت	TEAM	2	F	Colorado															_
Texas A&M																-	_	а	it USC															
																		-	California															
																		-																
Average			5 -58.1 1.5		9 23			58.7%		22 35-169									Average			23.0 4.0		20 4			54.5%	148 9.1						
Conference	e		5 -58.1 1.5			37-122		58.7%		22 35-169								L	Conference		0-0		0.0		0-0		0.0%	0 0.0		0 0-0		0.0%		
Away		2/-3	0 -41.5 1.5	0.	3 22	34-101	3.0	58.5%	304 4.7%	20 35-157	4.5 5	39.3%	290 5.	.7%	Р	osition by Position	n Edges		Home	4	4-10 1	23.0 4.0	2.0	20 4	43-244	5.7	54.5%	148 9.1	170 1	10 21-2	0 1.2	32.6%	243 3	3.6%
														Ī	LSI	1	UCLA																	
															0.22	LSU QB/WR	2																	
															0.22	vs. UCLA DB's																		
														ŀ		LSU RB's																		
															- 1	vs.	0.6	8																
														-	_	UCLA LB's																		
															0.91	LSU OL vs.																		
																UCLA DL																		
														Ī		LSU DL																		
															0.55	vs. UCLA OL																		
														ŀ		LSU LB'S		\dashv																
															0.40	vs.																		
														ŀ	_	UCLA RB's																		
															0.72	LSU DB's																		
																UCLA QB/W	R	l																
Last Fiv	e Ye	ars Meet	ings (Last 22	years	at PhilSt	eele.cor	m)			Projected	Box Sc	ore				LSU ST's			Category	,	1	eam & Margin						Phil's I	Forecast:					
None										,					0.86	vs. UCLA ST's		L	as Vegas Line			Lsu By 2.5												
								nts		Projected	l Rushino	q		ŀ		LSU K		+				23u D/ 2.3						st a Pac12 fo e road during						
								nte	117 yards	LSU	UCLA	A	191 yar	ds	0.65	vs.		Ľ	as Vegas Total			65.5 Points		schools.	The Brui	ins have no	t played	an SEC oppo	onent si	ince defea	ting Tex	as A&M 4	5-44 in `17	7
								S.		Projected			25-	.		UCLA K			-/- Ratings			1 P:		when th	ey score	d 35 unans	wered p	oints led by J	Josh Ros	sen! (traile	ed 44-10	0 late 3Q). UCLA is	just
								e of	314 yards	LSU Projected Ya	UCL/ ardage To		257 yar	us	1.05	LSU Coache: vs.	5	H				Lsu By 7.6						s under Kelly I went from 1						
								to Table	431 yards	LSU	UCL/		448 yar	ds		UCLA Coache	es	G	Same Grade			Ucla By 4.6		will not v	want to s	start off slov	v this ye	ear and shou	ld have	a good an	nount of	f fans ma	king a trip i	to
								Ě		Projected F				Ī		LSU Pts			Computer Yards					the Rose	Bowl wi	hich was rat	her em	pty for the o	pening	game. I e	xpect 20	0,000 fan	s and last v	veek
								Ē	34 points	LSU Experience	UCL/ Pankin		30 point	its	5.36	vs. UCLA Pts	0.6	8				Jcla 448-431						il teams plays ue here with						
								etu	61	LSU	e kanking UCLA		23	ŀ	POST	TIONAL EDGE AW	ARDED TO:	c	Computer Points	s		LSU 34-30				es in an ave							,	1
								Re		Team Sched	ule Stren	ngth			7031	LSU		,	Phil Steele															
									14	LSU	UCLA	A	74					Ľ	Steele			LSU by 10				Be	st B	et: 🛨 L	SU 3	7 UC	LA 2	7		
																By 4.68 Poi	ntS																	

														OVER	ALL: (VERALL:	ı														
							na Wi		3					0-	0	0-0							/U Cou								
TM DEC FOE	тм	FOE			AP	w	ildcats Lo	gs		TEAM	PS RANK	(FOE	vs. PA 0-		vs. IND 0-0	TM DEC FOE	TM FOE			AP		Cougars I	Logs			TEA	м	PS RANK-	F	OE
REC REC	TM CONF	CONF	TM +/- F		M FC		FO	E	SCORE W/L	OFF DEF		FF DEF			NCAA RANKING		THI REC	CONF CONF	F 1141 + 7.	FOE +/-	TM FC			FOE	SCORE	W/L		DEF	ST OF		ST
0-0	0-0	0-0		132 121		9/4	† BYU San Die	ao St		103 106		57 78 35 41			2020 TEAM RANKII		0-0 0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0 0-0	132	116 135		9/4 9/11	† Arizona Utah				57	78	8 10		102 38
						9/18	Norther	n Arizona						Ariz		BYU	0-0	0-0		134		9/18	Arizona	a St					13	24	23
0-0		0-0		135		9/25 10/2	at Oregon Bye				1	11 14	78	#73 #77	Rush Off Pass Off	#42 #9	0-0 0-0	0-0		116 110		9/25 10/2	USF at Utah St						10		85 24
1-0		0-0		131		10/2	UCLA				2	28 32	82	#85	Total Off	#8	0-0	0-0		126		10/2	Boise S						44		10
0-0		0-0		122			at Colorad					55 47		#116	Score Off	#6	0-0	0-0		129			at Baylor						93		70
0-0		0-0		133 134		10/23 10/30	Washin	gton				19 27 12 18		#65 #127	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#32	0-0 0-0	0-0		133 129		10/23	at Washin Virginia	•					95		128
0-0		0-0		129		11/6	Californ	ia				31 56		#30	Pass Def	#25	0.0			12,5		11/6	Idaho :						-		
0-0		0-0		135		11/13	Utah					21 9	38	#117	Total Def	#14						11/13	Bye								
0-0		0-0		133			at Washing at Arizona					42 91 13 24		#120 #124	Score Def Sks By	#8	0-0 0-0	0-0		119 134		11/20	at GA Sou	uthern					10		90
						,								#111	Ypg Diff	#4						,									
														-9	Total TO's	+6															
				Net		Offense	1	Comp	Yds	Defense	e Co	omp Yds								Net		Offen	se	Comp	Yds			Defense	Cor	ıp Yds	
	ore		Yds	FD 1	O's F	D RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Sks	FD RA-RY	YPC /	Att Pass	Sks	ļ			Sco	ore	Yds	FD	TO's F	D RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC At	Pass	Sks
† BYU San Diego St																	† Arizona Utah														
Northern Ariz																	Arizona St														
t Oregon														4	3		USF at Utah St														
Bye UCLA														Ď	S	ร	Boise St														
t Colorado														3	2 8	ga	at Baylor														
Washington t USC														Wildcate	AVERAGES	Cougars	at Washington S Virginia	t													
California														9	3 €		Idaho St														
Utah														ָּבָּ <u> </u>	EAM	₹	Bye														
t Washington S t Arizona St	ST.													Arizona		œ	at GA Southern USC														
														•	ξ																
Average					1.8 2	1 37-156		60.3%	213 9.5%								Average		15 204.2		0.5 2		5.4	72.6%	332				3.5 61.2	% 198	7.09
Conference	е		-103.6		1.8 2	1 37-156 0 33-110		60.3%	213 9.5%	22 46-271		.6% 202		1			Conference Home		15 221.7		0.4 2	6 36-184 5 36-172		74.2%				34-111	3.3 60.5 3.3 63.6	% 198	
Away		19-30	-125.5	-4.0 -	1.5 2) 33-110	3.4	60.0%	200 10.79	5 24 51-25/	7 5.1 60	.9% 184	2.2%		Position by Position E	dges	nome	40-1	13 206.5	0.0	0.3 2	30-1/2	4.8	73.7%	331	2.0%	1/ 3	51-101	3.3 03.0	% 196	5.6%
														Ariz		BYU															
														0.09	Arizona QB/WF	•															
															BYU DB's																
															Arizona RB's	1.30															
															BYU LB's	1.50															
															Arizona OL																
														0.50	vs. BYU DL																
															Arizona DL																
															vs.	1.26															
															BYU OL Arizona LB'S		-														
															vs.	0.66															
															BYU RB's Arizona DB's																
															vs.	0.39															
			,,				,								BYU QB/WR				_												
1	V	- 14				ISteele.con mp-Att-Yds	_			Drojected	Box Sco	re			Arizona ST's vs.	2.50	Category		Team	& Margin						Phil's Fored	cast:				
		s Meeti	Score							riojecteu				1	BYU ST's	2.50	Las Vegas Line			2v 12 E											
018	/e Year Rank -	Line	Score 28				TO's			Frojecteu									Byu												
2018 BYU		Line 60.5	Score	23 43	-183	18-28-209 17-34-197	0												Byu	Jy 12.J			BYU (+10								
018 YU rizona		Line	Score 28	23 43 22 35	-183 I	18-28-209		nts	151 yards		ed Rushing	23	5 yards	0.05	Arizona K		Las Vegas Total			Points	1 se	eries edge.	Last time	Arizona o	pened sea	ason at a	neutral s	ite was i	n '16, also	vs BYU. Ar	izona
018 YU rizona 017	Rank - -	60.5 -10.5	Score 28 23 Score	23 43 22 35 FD F	-183 1 -129 1 ush Co	18-28-209 17-34-197	0	ntents	151 yards	Projecte Arizona	ed Rushing	23	5 yards	0.05	Arizona K		Las Vegas Total				1 se took the	eries edge. their only first of five	Last time in lead of gate games ag	Arizona op ime 16-1! jainst PAC	pened sea 5 w/1:26 12 this	ason at a 4Q but a year. The	neutral s llowed a Cougars	ite was i GW FG w have wo	n `16, also rith :04 lef n 5 of the	vs BYU. Ar . For BYU ast 7 vs th	izona this is ne
018 YU rizona 017 YU	Rank - - Rank	60.5 -10.5 Line	28 23 Score	23 43 22 35 FD F 24 47	-183 1 -129 1 ush Cor	18-28-209 17-34-197 mp-Att-Yds	0	f Contents	151 yards 207 yards	Projecte Arizona	ed Rushing BYU		5 yards 4 yards	0.05	Arizona K vs.	5			54		1 se took the Wild	eries edge. their only first of five lcats s/197	Last time a lead of ga games ag 6 including	Arizona o ime 16-1! jainst PAC g winning	pened sea 5 w/1:26 12 this y 3 straigh	ason at a 4Q but a year. The nt regular	neutral s illowed a c Cougars season g	ite was i GW FG w have wo games Sl	n `16, also rith :04 lef n 5 of the J & ATS (la	vs BYU. Ar . For BYU ast 7 vs th st in '18).	izona this is ne Arizon
e018 SYU urizona e017	Rank - - Rank -	Line 60.5 -10.5 Line -1.5	28 23 Score	23 43 22 35 FD F 24 47	-183 1 -129 1 ush Cor	18-28-209 17-34-197 mp-Att-Yds 21-29-202	0 TO's	of		Projecte Arizona Projecte	BYU BYU BYU BYU	26		0.05	Arizona K vs. BYU K	5 2.15	Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings		54	Points	1 se took the Wild has star	eries edge. It their only If their of five Icats s/197 Icats enew heat It the op	Last time and lead of gate games age of including and coach are pener with	Arizona op nme 16-1! painst PAC g winning nd despite HC Fisch	pened sea 5 w/1:26 12 this y 3 straigh 17 retur bringing	ason at a 4Q but a year. The nt regular ming star in a lot of	neutral s illowed a Cougars season g ters they transfers	ite was i GW FG w have wo games Sl figure to s. They a	n `16, also rith :04 lef n 5 of the J & ATS (la o have fewore re adjustin	vs BYU. Ar . For BYU ast 7 vs th st in `18). er than tha g to new s	rizona this is ne Arizon at scheme
e018 SYU urizona e017	Rank - - Rank -	Line 60.5 -10.5 Line -1.5	28 23 Score	23 43 22 35 FD F 24 47	-183 1 -129 1 ush Cor	18-28-209 17-34-197 mp-Att-Yds 21-29-202	0 TO's	of		Projecte Arizona Projecte Arizona	BYU BYU BYU BYU	26- t al		0.05	Arizona K vs. BYU K Arizona Coache		Las Vegas Total		54 l Byu l	Points	1 se took the Wild has star	eries edge. It their only It their only It of five It their of five It a new hea It the op It of five It o	Last time at lead of gate games age 76 including ad coach ar bener with se and defe	Arizona op ime 16-1! jainst PAC g winning nd despite HC Fisch ense. BYU	pened sea 5 w/1:26 12 this y 3 straigh 17 retur bringing has a vel	ason at a 4Q but a year. The nt regular ming start in a lot of teran tear	neutral s illowed a c Cougars season g ters they transfers m last ye	ite was i GW FG w have wo games St figure to s. They a ar and g	n `16, also rith :04 lef n 5 of the J & ATS (la o have few re adjustin ot to new h	vs BYU. Ar . For BYU ast 7 vs th st in '18). If than tha g to new s eights but	rizona this is ne Arizon at scheme
018 YU rizona 017 YU	Rank - - Rank -	Line 60.5 -10.5 Line -1.5	28 23 Score	23 43 22 35 FD F 24 47	-183 1 -129 1 ush Cor	18-28-209 17-34-197 mp-Att-Yds 21-29-202	0 TO's	of	207 yards	Projecte Arizona Projecte Arizona Projected N	BYU BYU BYU BYU BYU Fardage Tot BYU Final Score	26- t al 49	4 yards		Arizona K vs. BYU K Arizona Coache vs.	2.15	Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade		54 Byu Byu	Points By 16.8 By 24.6	1 se took the Wild has star on t	eries edge. A their only first of five dcats s/197 a new hea ting the op ooth offens r are just # draft in QE	Last time at lead of gate games ag 76 including and coach ar bener with the and defe #119 on m 3 Wilson. C	Arizona operation of the Arizona operation operation of the Arizona operation of the Arizona operation of the Arizona operation ope	pened sea 5 w/1:26 12 this y 3 straigh 17 retur bringing has a vel art with 1 ke likes the	ason at a 4Q but a year. The nt regular rning star- in a lot of teran tear 11 starter he replace	neutral s illowed a c Cougars season g ters they f transfers m last ye s back ar ements. I	ite was i GW FG w have wo games St figure to s. They a ar and go nd they lo lo	n `16, also rith :04 lef n 5 of the J & ATS (la) have few re adjustin of to new f ose the 2n nave rival l	vs BYU. Ar . For BYU ast 7 vs th st in '18). Ir than tha g to new s eights but I overall pi tah on de	this is ne Arizon at scheme this ck in ck but
e018 SYU urizona e017	Rank - - Rank -	Line 60.5 -10.5 Line -1.5	28 23 Score	23 43 22 35 FD F 24 47	-183 1 -129 1 ush Cor	18-28-209 17-34-197 mp-Att-Yds 21-29-202	0 TO's	of	207 yards	Projecte Arizona Projecte Arizona Projected \(\) Arizona Projected Arizona	BYU ed Passing BYU fardage Tot BYU Final Score	26- tal 49- e 38	4 yards	0.05	Arizona K vs. BYU K Arizona Coache vs. BYU Coaches Arizona Pts vs.		Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings		54 Byu Byu	Points By 16.8	1 se took the Wilch has star on t year the that	eries edge. It their only first of five floats s/197 a new hea ting the op ooth offens r are just # draft in QE makes wi	Last time at lead of ga games ag go including ad coach ar bener with the and defe #119 on m go wilson. Conning this	Arizona op ime 16-1! lainst PAC g winning nd despite HC Fisch ense. BYU by Exp Characteristics oach Sita even more	pened sea 5 w/1:26 12 this y 3 straigh 17 retur bringing has a vel art with 1 ke likes the	ason at a 4Q but a year. The nt regular ming start in a lot of teran tear 1 starter he replace ant and ti	neutral s illowed a c Cougars season g ters they f transfers m last ye s back ar ements. I hey figure	ite was it GW FG whave wo games Standard figure to see a rand gard they left for the firm of the see to have	n `16, also rith:04 lef n 5 of the J & ATS (la b have few re adjustin of to new h ose the 2n nave rival l e the crowe	vs BYU. Ar For BYU ast 7 vs th st in '18). In than that g to new seights but I overall pittah on de edge as w	this is ne Arizon at cheme this ck in ck but vell.
2018 BYU Arizona 2017 BYU	Rank - - Rank -	Line 60.5 -10.5 Line -1.5	28 23 Score	23 43 22 35 FD F 24 47	-183 1 -129 1 ush Cor	18-28-209 17-34-197 mp-Att-Yds 21-29-202	0 TO's	of	207 yards 358 yards 22 points	Projected Arizona Projected Arizona Projected Arizona Projected Arizona Projected Arizona Experience	ed Rushing BYU ed Passing BYU Yardage Tot BYU Final Score BYU ce Rankings	26- tal 49- e 38	4 yards 9 yards 3 points		Arizona K vs. BYU K Arizona Coache vs. BYU Coaches Arizona Pts	2.15	Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards		Byu l Byu l Byu 4	Points By 16.8 By 24.6 By 24.6	1 se took the Wilch has star on the the that Sita	eries edge. It their only first of five floats s/197 a new hea ting the op ooth offens r are just # draft in QE the is 9-9-1 ke is 9-9-1	Last time at lead of gate games ag 76 including and coach ar bener with the and defe #119 on m 3 Wilson. C	Arizona op ime 16-1: jainst PAC g winning nd despite HC Fisch ense. BYU by Exp Chi coach Sita even more	pened sea 5 w/1:26 5 12 this y 3 straigh 17 retur bringing has a vel art with 1 ke likes the may be	ason at a 4Q but a year. The not regular raing starting a lot of teran team to replace ant and the more expenses.	neutral s clowed a cougars season g ters they f transfers m last years back ar ements. I hey figure erienced	ite was i GW FG w have wo games St figure to s. They a ar and go d they lo lo lo to have on paper	n `16, also rith:04 lef n 5 of the J & ATS (la b have few re adjustin of to new h ose the 2n nave rival l e the crowe	vs BYU. Ar For BYU ast 7 vs th st in '18). In than that g to new seights but I overall pittah on de edge as w	this is ne Arizon at cheme this ck in ck but vell.
2018 BYU Arizona 2017 BYU	Rank - - Rank -	Line 60.5 -10.5 Line -1.5	28 23 Score	23 43 22 35 FD F 24 47	-183 1 -129 1 ush Cor	18-28-209 17-34-197 mp-Att-Yds 21-29-202	0 TO's	Return to Table of Contents	207 yards 358 yards	Projected Arizona Projected Y Arizona Projected Y Arizona Arizona Experience Arizona	ed Rushing BYU ed Passing BYU Yardage Tot BYU Final Score BYU ce Rankings	26 tal 49 e 38	4 yards 9 yards	0.64	Arizona K vs. BYU K Arizona Coache vs. BYU Coaches Arizona Pts vs.	2.15 8.26	Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade		Byu l Byu l Byu 4	Points By 16.8 By 24.6	1 se took the Wilch has star on the the that Sita	eries edge. It their only first of five floats s/197 a new hea ting the op ooth offens r are just # draft in QE the is 9-9-1 ke is 9-9-1	Last time at lead of games aggregated and coach are bener with the and defe #119 on many Wilson. Conning this	Arizona op ime 16-1: jainst PAC g winning nd despite HC Fisch ense. BYU by Exp Chi coach Sita even more	pened sea 5 w/1:26 5 12 this y 3 straigh 17 retur bringing has a vel art with 1 ke likes the may be	ason at a 4Q but a year. The not regular raing starting a lot of teran team to replace ant and the more expenses.	neutral s clowed a cougars season g ters they f transfers m last years back ar ements. I hey figure erienced	ite was i GW FG w have wo games St figure to s. They a ar and go d they lo lo lo to have on paper	n `16, also rith:04 lef n 5 of the J & ATS (la b have few re adjustin of to new h ose the 2n nave rival l e the crowe	vs BYU. Ar For BYU ast 7 vs th st in '18). In than that g to new seights but I overall pittah on de edge as w	this is ne Arizon at cheme this ck in ck but vell.
2018 BYU	Rank - - Rank -	Line 60.5 -10.5 Line -1.5	28 23 Score	23 43 22 35 FD F 24 47	-183 1 -129 1 ush Cor	18-28-209 17-34-197 mp-Att-Yds 21-29-202	0 TO's	of	207 yards 358 yards 22 points 91	Projected Arizona Projected N Arizona Projected N Arizona Projected Arizona Experience Arizona Team Schen	ed Rushing BYU ed Passing BYU Yardage Tot BYU Final Score BYU ce Rankings BYU dule Streng	26 tal 49 e 38	4 yards 9 yards 8 points 119	0.64	Arizona K vs. BYU K Arizona Coache vs. BYU Coaches Arizona Pts vs. BYU Pts	2.15 8.26	Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards		Byu Byu Byu Byu 4	Points By 16.8 By 24.6 By 24.6 By 38-22	1 se took the Wilch has star on the the that Sita	eries edge. It their only first of five floats s/197 a new hea ting the op ooth offens r are just # draft in QE makes wi ke is 9-9-1	Last time at lead of games aggregated and coach are bener with the and defe #119 on many Wilson. Conning this	Arizona op ime 16-1: jainst PAC g winning nd despite HC Fisch ense. BYU by Exp Chi coach Sita even more	pened sea 5 w/1:26 5 12 this y 3 straigh 17 retur bringing has a vel art with 1 ke likes the may be	ason at a 4Q but a year. The not regular raing starting a lot of teran team to replace ant and the more expenses.	neutral s clowed a cougars season g ters they f transfers m last years back ar ements. I hey figure erienced	ite was i GW FG w have wo games St figure to s. They a ar and go d they lo lo lo to have on paper	n `16, also rith:04 lef n 5 of the J & ATS (la b have few re adjustin of to new h ose the 2n nave rival l e the crowe	vs BYU. Ar For BYU ast 7 vs th st in '18). In than that g to new seights but I overall pittah on de edge as w	this is ne Arizon at cheme this ck in ck but vell.
2018 BYU Arizona 2017 BYU	Rank - - Rank -	Line 60.5 -10.5 Line -1.5	28 23 Score	23 43 22 35 FD F 24 47	-183 1 -129 1 ush Cor	18-28-209 17-34-197 mp-Att-Yds 21-29-202	0 TO's	of	207 yards 358 yards 22 points	Projected Arizona Projected Y Arizona Projected Y Arizona Arizona Experience Arizona	ed Rushing BYU ed Passing BYU Yardage Tot BYU Final Score BYU ce Rankings	26 tal 49 e 38	4 yards 9 yards 3 points	0.64	Arizona K vs. BYU K Arizona Coache vs. BYU Coaches Arizona Pts vs. BYU Pts	2.15 8.26	Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards Computer Points		Byu Byu Byu Byu 4	Points By 16.8 By 24.6 By 24.6	1 se took the Wilch has star on the the that Sita	eries edge. It their only first of five floats s/197 a new hea ting the op ooth offens r are just # draft in QE makes wi ke is 9-9-1	Last time at lead of games aggregated and coach are bener with the and defe #119 on many Wilson. Conning this	Arizona oj ime 16-1! jainst PAC g winning nd despite HC Fisch ense. BYU by Exp Choach Sita even moi 5. Arizona as many	pened sea 5 w/1:26 12 this y 3 straight 17 retur bringing has a vel art with 1 ke likes the may be a returning	ason at a 4Q but a year. The ht regular ming start in a lot of teran teal 11 starter he replace ant and ti more exp g starters	neutral s clowed a cougars season g ters they f transfers m last years back ar ements. I hey figure erienced	ite was i GW FG w have wo james St figure to s. They a arr and g and they le They do i e to have on paper	n `16, also rith:04 lef n 5 of the J & ATS (la b have few re adjustin of to new h ose the 2n nave rival l e the crowe	vs BYU. Ar For BYU ast 7 vs th st in '18). In than that g to new seights but I overall pittah on de edge as w	this is ne Arizon at cheme this ck in ck but vell.

0-0 0-0 126 129						No	wada	Walf P	ack					OVE		ERALL:						aliforn	ia Colo	lan R	oare				
Martin M						ive			ack																ears				
1	FOE	тм	FOE		AP		WOII	Pack Logs		TEAM	PS RANK	F	OE				FOE	TM FO	DE		AP	Go	iden bears	Logs		TEAM	PS	RANK	FOE
Second Column Col			CONF		тм				SCORE W/I							_					TM FC			E	SCORE W/L				
## Common St. Projected Box Store Projec	0-0	0-0		126 129						25 62	14 8	1 56	107													81 56	10/		
Column C	0-0		0-0	125		-/					5	3 96	19	Nes	<u>rada Ca</u>	lifornia	0.0	Ů		133		-,		nto St					11 10
Second S																													
Part Column Part Column Part Par			0-0						St.							" 100		0-	-0	133				ton St				42	91 128
2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									50									0-	-0	135								11	
Property				121		10	0/23 at	Fresno St				3 85	126		Sks Allowed							10/23	Colorado	1					47 95
## 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10																								St					
## 1																													
The content of the			0-0	125		11	1/20	Air Force			8	2 97			Score Def		0-0	0-	-0	131									67 37
Water Wate	0-0		0-0	120		11	1/27 at	Colorado St			11	18 76	92				1-0	0-	-0	131		11/27	at UCLA					28	32 82
March Marc																	1												
Mart														L															
March Marc						-																	-						
Time No.		re		Yds FD	TO's	FD R	RA-RY	YPC Att	Pass Sks	FD RA-RY	YPC A	tt Pass	Sks					ore	Yd	s FD	TO's F	FD RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Sks	FD RA-F	RY YPC	Att	Pass Sks
## March 15 1														1		10										+			
Part	at Kansas St													1		<u> </u>		St											
Part														1	X	ě													
Times		t												غ ⊦	E S			St											
## AFFORCE															B	ē													
## AFFORCE	at Fresno St													3		홋	Colorado												
## AFFORCE															S S	ĕ													
Average 31-22 63.8 1.6 0.0 22 25-122 4.3 60 9% 319 5.6% 20 35-138 4.0 60.6% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 63.8 1.6 0.0 1.0 1.2 27-112 4.0 60.9% 219 5.7% 20 36-138 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 60.9% 219 5.7% 20 36-138 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 61.0% 20 31-33 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 61.0% 20 31-33 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 61.0% 20 31-33 3.8 61.0% 20 31-33														-															
Average 31-22 63.8 1.6 0.0 22 25-122 4.3 60 9% 319 5.6% 20 35-138 4.0 60.6% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 63.8 1.6 0.0 1.0 1.2 27-112 4.0 60.9% 219 5.7% 20 36-138 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 60.9% 219 5.7% 20 36-138 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 61.0% 20 31-33 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 61.0% 20 31-33 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 61.0% 20 31-33 3.8 61.0% 20 31-33														1 9	E E	Ε													
Average 31-22 63.8 1.6 0.0 22 25-122 4.3 60 9% 319 5.6% 20 35-138 4.0 60.6% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 63.8 1.6 0.0 1.0 1.2 27-112 4.0 60.9% 219 5.7% 20 36-138 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 60.9% 219 5.7% 20 36-138 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 61.0% 20 31-33 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 61.0% 20 31-33 3.8 61.0% 240 7.3% Average 31-22 4.3 61.0% 20 31-33 3.8 61.0% 20 31-33	at Colorado St													3		وَ	at UCLA												
Accordance 31-21 51-31 51-51														-	_	=													
Conference 30.73 57.4 1.4 0.4 22 27.112 4.2 69.20 25 57.9 27 6.6 % 21 59.15 4.6 64.0 23 59.15 4.6 64.0 23 59.15 4.6 64.0 23 29.15 4.6 64.0 23 29.15 4.6 64.0 23 29.15 4.6 64.0 23 29.15 4.6 64.0 29.15 29.15 64.0 29.15 29.15 64.0 29.15 29.15 64.0 29.15														1		Ű										+			
Marrier 19-25 1.1 1.0 0.4 22 31-151 4.8 72.5% 278 6.0% 23 55-157 4.4 6.6% 231 59/8 59/8 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10																													
Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteels.com) Projected Box Score Projected Rushing Revado California STV California STV California STV California STV Newsdo US Syrards														4															
Neveds B15 California D10 Neveds B15 Neved	Away		25-24	41.4 1.0	0.4	22 31	1-131	4.0 /2.3	70 276 0.0	0 21 33-137	4.4 04.	070 231	3.370		Position by Position Edg	es	Home	22	-21 -2	.5 1.5	0.0 1	19 41-103	4.0	02.570	107 12.9%	0 10 33-1	14 3.3	03.370	220 10.5%
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Syrds Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philistecis Candon Meeting Candon														Nev		lifornia													
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Projected Box Score Nevada Discourse Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) Projected Box Score Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele														0.63															
Neved a Discrete Fire Control of														0.63															
California B7s Newada DL vs. California B8s Newada DR vs. California B8s Newada B9																													
Nevada D.L vs. California DL Nevada D.L vs. California D. Nevada D.L vs. California D. Nevada D.L vs. California D. Nevada D.S vs. California D.S vs. Las Years vs. non-ordine cale on the Nevada D.S vs. California D.S vs. Las Years vs. non-ordine cale on the Nevada D.S vs. California D.S vs. Las Years vs.															vs.	0.97													
Projected Box Score Projected Box Score Syram Projected Box Score Projected Box Score Syram Sy														-															
California DL Vs. California QA/WR Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) None Projected Box Score Projected Rushing Nevada DE's Vs. California QB/WR California QB/WR Nevada DE's Vs. California DL Las Years A Hargin Nevada DE's Vs. California DL Las Years A Hargin Nevada DE's Vs. California DL Las Years A Hargin Nevada DE's Vs. California DB's Nevada DE's Vs. California ST's Nevada DE's Nevada DE's Vs. California ST's Nevada DE's Nevada DE														0.71															
California QL California Q															California DL														
California OL Ver. California OB/WR Nevada BP's Vs. California OB/WR Nevada BP's Vs. California OB/WR Nevada BP's Vs. California OB/WR Projected Box Score 1.80 Nevada ST's Vs. California ST's Nevada ST's Vs. California ST's Nevada California ST's Nevada California ST's Nevada California ST's Nevada California ST's California ST's Nevada ST's Vs. California ST's California ST's California ST's California ST's California ST's Nevada ST's Vs. California ST's California ST's California ST's Nevada ST's Vs. California ST's California ST's California ST's Nevada ST's Vs. California ST's California ST's Nevada ST's Vs. California ST's California ST's Nevada ST's Vs. California ST's Nevada ST's Vs. California ST's Nevada ST's Vs. California ST's Nevada ST's Nevada California ST's Nevada ST's Nevada California																													
Projected Box Score 1.00 Nevada B1's vs. California R1's v														0.66															
Description Projected Box Score 1.60 Nevada DB's vs. Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhiliSteele.com) Projected Box Score 1.60 Nevada DB's vs. California QB/WR Nevada ST's vs. California Paris Nevada Standard Nevada ST's vs. California Paris Nevada ST's vs. California Paris Nevada Standard Nevada Stand																	1												
Nevada DB's vs. California QB/WR California QB/WR California QB/WR California DB WR California D														0.21	vs.		1												
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) None																	4												
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Box Score Projected Rushing Nevada California Vs. California ST's Nevada California Vs. Projected Passing Yeard California Vs. Projected Passing Yeard California Vs. Projected Final Score Nevada California Vs. Projected Final Score 28 points Nevada California St Nevada California Vs. Nevada Ps. Nevada California Vs. Nevada Ps. Nevada California Vs. Nevada California Vs. Nevada California Vs. Nevada California Vs. Nevada Nevada Namber Vs. Nevada Nevada Namber Vs. Nevada Namber																0.47													
1.80 vs. Las Vegas Line Nevada By 3 The Bears have yet to lose a regular-season nonconference game during head coach Justin Wilcox's tenure. Cal owns this series leading 22-3-1, however, Nevada has won the last two meetings (31-24 in 1'12 in Berkeley, 52-31 in 10 in Reno). The Pack's last road win against a Projected Passing Projected Passing Nevada California Z58 yards Projected Yardage Total Nevada California S37 yards Projected Final Score S28 points Nevada California S48 yards Projected Final Score S49 points Nevada California S49 projected Final Score S49 points S49 projected Final Score															California QB/WR														
Projected Rushing Nevada California 51°s Nevada California 528 yards Projected Final Score Projected Final Score 28 points Projected Final Score Projected Final	Last Five	e Years	Meetir	igs (Last 22 y	ears at P	hilSteel	le.com)			Projected	Box Sco	re					Category	, <u> </u>	Tea	m & Margin					Phil's For	recast:			
Projected Rushing Styards Projected Passing Nevada California 7252 yards Nevada California 84 yrojected Frank 1 Nevada California 85 yards Projected Passing Nevada California 750 yes. Nevada California 750 yes. Nevada N	None									.,				1.80			Las Vegas Line		No	vada Bv 3	The	e Bears have	yet to lose	a regular	r-season noncoi	nference game	durina h	ead coach	Justin
85 yards Nevada California Projected Passing Nevada California 258 yards Nevada California Projected Yardage Total Nevada California 368 yards Projected Final Score 28 points Projected Final Score Nevada California Score Nevada Scor								nts		Projected	Rushing									2, 3	Wile	cox's tenure	. Cal owns t	his serie:	s leading 22-3-1	1, however, Ne	evada has	won the	ast two
Nevada California y Separation Se								nte	85 yards	Nevada	California	110	yards	1	vs.	0.20	Las Vegas Total		52	2.5 Points									
See									252					-			+/- Ratings		0-11	avula Prof.	Inch								
337 yards Nevada California Score Nevada California Sore Nevada Sore Nevad								e of	252 yards				yards	0.05					Calif	огпіа ву 6.6	thre	owing to my	#10 rated	receiving	corps and they	y have my #14	1 Special	teams as v	vell. Cal has
Experience Rankings 7 Nevada California 35 Team Schedule Strength 92 Nevada California 41 92 Nevada California 41 93 Nevada California 41								aple	337 yards	Nevada	California	368	yards		California Coaches		Game Grade		Calif	ornia By 3.8									
Experience Rankings 7 Nevada California 35 Team Schedule Strength 92 Nevada California 41 92 Nevada California 41 93 Nevada California 41								Ž.							Nevada Pts		Computer Yards				nas Nov								
7 Nevada California 35 POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO: Team Schedule Strength 92 Nevada California 41 92 Nevada California 41 93 Nevada California 41 94 Nevada California 41 95 Nevada California 41 96 Nevada Valler Points Nevada 28-27 Upset in this one. 97 Nevada 20 California 27								Ē	28 points				points	4.07		1.64			Califo	rnia 368-33	7 with	h no bowl g	ame. Justin	Wilcox is	1-8-1 as a HF	and Nevada is	familiar v	ith the ar	ea after
Team Schedule Strength 92 Nevada California 41 Nevada Phil Steele Nevada by 3 Novada 20 California 27								- ta	7				35	POS		D TO:	Computer Point	s	Nev	/ada 28-27				at nearb	y Stanford due	to poor air qua	ality in Ne	evada. I wi	I call for the
92 Nevada California 41 Nevada by 3 Novada 20 California 27								ž		Team Sched	ule Streng	th		-03			Phil Steele				ups								
By Z.43 Points									92	Nevada	California		41				steele		Ne	vada by 3				Neva	ada 30 C	alifornia	27		
															By 2.43 Points														

				Ne	ew Me	exico S	t Ago	gies								OVE			VERALL:						S	an Di	iego St	Azte	cs						
						Aggies Log										vs.	-		s. MTW								Aztecs Lo								
TM REC PEC CO	M FOE	TM +/- FO	+/-	AP M FOE						TEAM		PS RAI		FOI		0-			0-0	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE	TM +/- FOE	+/-	AP M FOE						TEAM		PS RANK		FOE
0-0 0-0 C			05 T	M FOE	8/28		E	3-30	W/L L				0FF 97	DEF 115	ST 41		NCAA RA 2020 TEAM			0-0 0-1		0-0		4 T	M FOE	DATE 9/4	New Mex		SCORE	W/L					DEF 9
0-1 0-0 0			21			at San Die	go St				0		85	41	17		exico St		n Diego St	0-0		0-0		16		9/11	at Arizona								106 1
0-0	0-0	1	16			at New Me							94	120	122		Rush		#31	0-0		0-0	13	35		9/18	Utah						- 2	21	9 3
0-1	0-0	1	22		9/18	South C Hawaii	Carolina S						90	57	86	#117 #129	Rush		#31							9/25 10/2	Towson								
1-0	0-0	1	24		10/2	at San Jos	se St						92	58	64	#128	Total	Off	#96	0-0		0-0		16		10/9	New Mex								120 1
0-0	0-0	1	26			at Nevada Bve							25	62	14	#127	Score		#93	1-0		0-0	12				at San Jose								58 6 97 1
0-1	0-0		22		10/16	at Hawaii							90	57	86	#9 #102	Sks All Rush		#61 #9	0-0 1-0		0-0		25 21		10/23	at Air Force Fresno S								97 I 85 I
					10/30	Bye										#90	Pass	Def	#18	0-1		0-0	12	22		11/6	at Hawaii						9	90 5	57 8
0-0	0-0 0-0		10 58		11/6	Utah St at Alabam							101 8	100	24	#108 #70	Total Score		# 7 #15	0-0 0-0		0-0		26		11/13	Nevada at UNLV								62 1 122 1
0-0	0-0		25			at Kentuck							67	42	52	#128	Sks		#52	0-0		0-0		26		11/27									52 1
0-0	0-0		95			UMass							128	129	84	#128	Ypg	Diff	#33																
																+1	Total	TO's	+2																
the state of the s			let		Offens	ie	Comp	Yds		De	fense		Comp	Yds									Ne			Offens	ie	Comp	Yds		D	efense		omp Y	Yds
Score				's FD			Att		Sks		A-RY		Att	Pass	Sks						ore		Yds F	D TO	D's FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD F	RA-RY	YPC /	Att P	ass S
UTEP at San Diego St	3-30	-342	1	20	34-10	1 3.0	9-33	9	3	19 42	2-203	4.8	11-20	249	0					New Mexico : at Arizona	St														
t New Mexico																1	2		S	Utah															
South Carolina S Hawaii	it								Ţ					-		"	5		Ö	Towson Bye									-						
Hawaii it San Jose St																3	AVERAGES		Aztecs	New Mexico															
t Nevada																t	A A		₹ .	at San Jose St															
Bye it Hawaii																			St	at Air Force Fresno St															
Bye																	1 4		Diego	at Hawaii															
Utah St						-										6	TEAM		<u>.e</u>	Nevada															
at Alabama																2			_	at UNLV Boise St															
t Kentucky UMass																	3		an	Boise St															
																Ž			S																
Average	3-30	-342.0	0 1	0 20	3/1-10	1 3.0	27.3%	9 9	0 1%	19 42	2-203	18 5	55.0%	2/10	0.0%					Average		25-18	67.3 3.	8 0	.3 19	45-100	4.5	56.7%	151	8 4%	15 3	37-08	3.0 59	0% 1	185 7
Conference		-342.0		0 20			27.3%			19 42										Conference			106.7 5.			47-225		57.6%		8.3%			3.1 57		
Away	0-0	0.0	.0 0	0 0	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0	0.0%	0 (0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%					Home		29-15	92.0 4.	.3 0	.0 18	48-208	4.4	55.4%	140	9.8%	14 3	34-95	2.8 54	.2% 1	160 12
																	Position by Po		ges Diego St	-															
																	New Mexico	St QB/W																	
																	Vs San Diego	St DB'e	0.52																
																	New Mexic																		
																	vs		1.13																
																	San Diego New Mexi																		
																	vs		0.78																
																	San Dieg	o St DL																	
																	New Mexi		1.57																
																	San Dieg		1.5/																
																	New Mexic																		
																	Vs San Diego		2.41																
																	New Mexic																		
																	vs		1.16																
Last Five Y	ears Meetir	ngs (Last	22 vear	at Phils	Steele.co	om)						_					San Diego S New Mexic			Category	,		Team & Man	gin					P	hil's Fored	ast:				
2019 R	ank Line	Score	D R	sh Com	p-Att-Yds					Project	ted B	ox So	ore				vs		1.08	Las Vegas Line															
	- 51 16		17 24		-41-299 -26-116	_ /	ts	1					_				San Diege New Mex			Las vegas Line		Sai	n Diego St B	y 31.5											
San Diego St	16	31	24 47-	281 17	-26-116	3	ıten	53 yan	ds	Proje New Mexic		Rushing San Die		318 ya	ards		New Mex		1.35	Las Vegas Total			51 Points				lountain We								
							Con			Proj	ected F	Passing	9				San Die	jo St K		+/- Ratings					34-17	(+17). A	Aztecs hold	a 10-1-1	record vs	s. Last m	net in '19	in Las C	ruces wh	ere SDS	St won 3
							of	152 yar	rds	New Mexic				241 ya	ards		New Mexico		es 0.35	.,- Katings		Saı	n Diego St B	y 33.1	10 des	pite only	being +68	yds (+3	TO's). SE	OSt has v	won their	last 7 h	ome open	ers (2-5	5 ATS). N
						•	ble	205 yar	rds	Project New Mexic				559 ya	ards		VS San Diego S			Game Grade		Sai	n Diego St B	y 55.6			es to be an TS as an Al								
							to Tabl			Projec	cted Fir	nal Sco	re				New Mexi		•	Computer Yards					MW. T	hey have	e 17 returni	ing starte	ers this ye	ear but d	o have a	couple o	f Pac 12 f	oes on o	deck in
	-					7	Ē	12 Poir	nts	New Mexic				44 Po	ints	0.00	VS Con Dies		10.36	computer rards		Sar	n Diego St 55	59-205			ah. The Azt embarrasse							0-22-1	ATS as a
							agr.	85				Rankin San Die		45		nc.	San Dieg			Computer Point	s	Sa	an Diego St 4	14-12	and St	JUL Was t	ciiibaii asse	u <i>u</i> y just	going 4-	- allu Wi	want to	scare Off	i strong.		
																	IIIUNAL EDG	AWARD																	
							Re			Team S	chedul	le Strer	ngth						ED IO:	Phil Steele															
							ž	109			chedul	le Strer	ngth	83			San Di	go St		Phil Steele			an Diego St l	by 28			San I	Dieac	St 3	88 Ne	w Ma	exico	St 1	0	

													_	OVERALL	. ^	VERALL:							• -						
							St Aggie	:S						0-0	_	0-0							St Co	-	3				
FOE	TM	EOE		AF	P	Ag	gies Logs		TEAM	PS RAN	NK	FOE		vs. MTW 0-0	l vs	6. PAC 12 0-0	EOE	TM FOE			AP	C	ougars Lo	ogs		TEAM	PS RANK		FOE
		CONF	TM +/- FOE+/-	TM		DATE	FOE	SCORE W/L				DEF S	r		NCAA RANKINGS		TM REC FOE		TM +/-		TM FOE	DATE	FO	E	SCORE W/L		ST O		
0-0	0-0		110 133				t Washington S	t	101 100	24	42	91 12	18	202	0 TEAM RANKIN	GS	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	133	110		9/4	Utah St			42 91	128 10	1 100	24
0-0		0-0	125				North Dakota t Air Force				82	97 12	14	Utah St		Wash St	0-0	0-0		134		9/11 9/18	Portland USC	St			1	2 18	12
0-0		0-0	126		Ģ	9/25	Boise St				44	52 1	D #8	88	Rush Off	#98	0-0	0-0		135		9/25 a	at Utah				2	1 9	38
0-0		0-0	132			10/1 10/9	BYU Bye				57	78 8		120 1 21	Pass Off Total Off	#41 #75	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0		129 124		10/2 a	at California Oregon S				8 7		
0-0		0-0	105			10/9 10/16 at					119	122 12		123	Score Off	#75	0-0	0-0		131		10/9	Stanford				5		
0-0		0-0	120			10/23	Colorado St				118	76 93	2 #4	42	Sks Allowed	#22	0-0	0-0		132		10/23	BYU				5	7 78	8
0-1 0-1		0-0 0-0	122 94			10/30	Hawaii t New Mexico S	+			90 115			L16 L05	Rush Def Pass Def	#53 #125	0-0	0-0		134		10/30 a	at Arizona S Bye	St			1	3 24	23
1-0		0-0	124				t San Jose St	C			92			121	Total Def	#113	0-0	0-0		135			at Oregon				1	1 14	
0-0		0-0	125				Wyoming				83			101	Score Def	#118		0-0		116		11/20	Arizona				10		
0-0		0-0	116		-	11/27 at	New Mexico				94	120 12		71 L26	Sks By Ypg Diff	#108		0-0		133		11/27 a	at Washing	ton			1	9 27	57
														6	Total TO's	-2													
			Net			Offense		w.,	Defense		•	Yds								Net		Offense			w.i	Defense			
Sco	re		Yds FD	TO's		RA-RY	YPC Att		FD RA-RY	-			cs				So	ore	Yds		TO's FD		YPC	Comp	Yds Pass Sks			np Yds t Pass	
at Washington S																	Utah St												
North Dakota at Air Force													_				Portland St USC			_									
at Air Force Boise St																w	at Utah												
BYU														S	S	ars	at California												
Bye at UNLV														ġ	9	Cougar	Oregon St Stanford												
Colorado St													_	٩g	1 ₹	ō	BYU												
Hawaii														Έ.	🚆	St	at Arizona St												
at New Mexico S at San Jose St	t												_	-	Σ	S	Bye at Oregon												
Wyoming													_	Utah St Aggies	TEAM AVERAGES	Wash	Arizona												
at New Mexico														Ď	-	Ş	at Washington												
													_			_													
Average			-209.5 -12.3						26 42-225								Average		39 -77.8			28-129		61.8%		23 31-155			
Conference Away			-209.5 -12.3 -289.5 -14.5				4.4 52.49 3.2 49.29		26 42-225 26 32-146								Conferenc Home		39 -77.8 43 -160.0			28-129 27-100	4.7 3.7	61.8% 64.1%	255 5.9% 321 2.6%	23 31-155 26 36-269			
, , , ,															ition by Position Ed														0.0.0
													-	Utah St		Wash St	1												
													0.	.23	Utah St QB/WR vs.														
															Wash St DB's														
															Utah St RB's	0.96													
															vs. Wash St LB's	0.96													
															Utah St OL		1												
													0.	.36	vs. Wash St DL														
													-		Utah St DL		1												
															vs.	0.25													
													-		Wash St OL Utah St LB'S														
															vs.	0.14													
1													\vdash		Wash St RB's		-												
1															Utah St DB's vs.	1.18													
													\perp		Wash St QB/WR														
Last Five	Year	s Meeti	ngs (Last 22 y	ears at	PhilSte	ele.com)			Projected	Box Sc	ore			.50	Utah St ST's		Categor	у	Team &	Margin					Phil's For	ecast:			
None									-				1.		vs. Wash St ST's		Las Vegas Line		Wash St	By 17.5									
							ents			d Rushing					Utah St K		Las Vegas Tota				The Co	ougars will	l be playing	g the Aggi	ies, now under	the direction of f	irst-year coa	h Blake A	Anderson,
			-				onte	79 yards	Utah St	Wash S d Passing		181 yards	5		vs. Wash St K	0.80	Las vegas rota		66.5 P	oints	for the	e first time	since 196	1. 4th m	eeting (WSt 2-1	SU). Last met	in 1961 whe	e USU w	on 34-
							ç	209 yards	Projected Utah St	d Passing Wash S		349 yards	, -		Wash St K Utah St Coaches	;	+/- Ratings		Wash St	By 28.0	14. Th	e Aggies h	nave 41 ca s on the m	reer wins ad (6-6 4	vs current Pac- ATS), WSU is 37	12 foes. Dating -16-1 vs curren	back to 2010 t MWC tms h	the Aggi ut dronne	es are 0-
							rn to Table of Cont		Projected Ya	ardage To	otal				vs.	0.90	Game Grade				last m	atchup vs	Air Force i	in the '19	Cheese-It Bow	(31-21). Couga	rs HC Nick R	olovich wa	as head
							Tab	288 yards	Utah St	Wash S		529 yards	· _	١	Wash St Coaches Utah St Pts	;	June Stade		Wash St	By 26.6						8.5 ppg. The Co Blake Anderson			
-							\$	24 points	Projected I Utah St	Wash S		41 points	2.	.10	vs.	4.24	Computer Yards	s	Wash St	529-288	over fr	om Ark St	t as well as	s LB Rice I	but is 13-18-1	ATS as an AD. R			
							E E		Experience	e Ranking	gs				Wash St Pts		Computer Point								back door pote				
							Retur	39	Utah St Team Sched	Wash S		20		POSITIO	ONAL EDGE AWARI	DED TO:	· .		Wash St	t 41-24									
								77	Utah St	Wash S		3			Wash St		Phil Steele		Wash S	t by 17				nah!	ator Ct	/1 II+-I-	C+ 24		
														E	By 2.13 Points	3							VV	asnın	igton St	41 Utah	St 24		

							Dan	Fi		a. Ii.								OVER	ALL:	OVERALL:							مام اسما	- C+ C	:							
					N	otre			ghtin	g iris	sn							0-0		0-0						-			emino	oies						
FOF	тм	FOF			AP	,	Fight	ting Iris	sh Logs			TEA	M	PS RA	NK	FO	E	vs. I		vs. ACC 0-0	FOF	TM FOE				AP	Se	eminole	s Logs			TE	AM	PS RA	NK	FOE
		FOE CONF	TM +/- FO		тм	FOE	DATE		OE	SCOR	RE W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKIN	IGS	TM REC FOE	CONF CON	F IM T	/- FOE +/-	тм	FOE	DATE		FOE	sco	RE W/L		DEF			DEF ST
0-0	0-0	0.0		27	9			at Florid				20	7	13	26	37	72		2020 TEAM RANK	INGS	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	127	137		9	9/4	Notre				26	37	72	20	7 13
0-0		0-0		19			9/11 9/18	Toled Purdu				+			72 48	51 71	113	Notre I	Dame.	Florida St	0-0	0-0)	132			9/11 9/18	at Wake	Forest						39	63 65
0-0		0-0		42			9/25	† Wiscon							23	16	83	#23	Rush Off	#30	0-0	0-0		130			9/25	Louisv								70 80
0-0		0-0		37			10/2	Cincir							46	5	48	#60	Pass Off	#97 #61	0-0	0-0		121			10/2	Syrac								68 18
0-0		0-0		25			10/9	at Virgin Bve	iia Tech			+			76	23	77	#27 #31	Total Off Score Off	# 61 #85	0-0	0-0)	136			10/9	at North Bve	Carolina						9	35 43
0-0		0-0		34			10/23	USC							12	18	12	#98	Sks Allowed		0-0	0-0)	95			10/23	UMass	5						128	129 84
0-0		0-0		36			10/30		Carolina						9	35	43	#21	Rush Def	#100	0-0	0-0		146				at Clems							6	2 67
0-0 0-0		0-0 0-0		21			11/6	Navy at Virgin	ia			+			117 95	92 79	120 119	#62 #29	Pass Def Total Def	#100 #109	0-0	0-0 0-0		129 133			11/6	NC St Miami								13 26 17 31
0-0		0-0		24			11/20		gia Tech						62	43	96	#18	Score Def	#105	0-0	0-0		129				at Bosto							-	64 45
0-0		0-0	1	31			11/27	at Stanfe							58	67	37	#15	Sks By	#97	0-0	0-0)	135			11/27	at Florida	9						32	26 59
												_						#19 +6	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#94 -3																
												+						+6	iotal io s	-3																
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense			Yds	_	_						Net			Offens		Comp				Defense			Yds
Sco	re		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	s Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks					ore	Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pa	ss Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Sks
at Florida St Toledo												+						ڃ			Notre Dame Jacksonville	St				-										
Purdue																		Fiahtina Irish		es	at Wake Forest															
† Wisconsin																				<u>e</u>	Louisville															
Cincinnati at Virginia Tech												+						Ĭ.	ES	<u>ء</u>	Syracuse at North Carolin	a														
Bye												1						Þ	AG	Έ	Bye	_														
USC												1						.0	AVERAGES	Seminol	UMass															
North Carolina Navy	1											+						<u>а</u>	< −	St	at Clemson NC State															
at Virginia												1						Ĕ	Σ	(O	Miami, Fl															
Georgia Tech																		Dame	ТЕАМ	lorida	at Boston Colleg	je														
at Stanford												+						a)		9	at Florida															
												+						Notre		ᇤ																
																		ž																		
Average Conference		33-20 35-19		7.5	0.5		42-211 42-218		63.8%				30-113 30-111		58.0% 55.9%		8.0%				Average Conference		36 -59. 38 -95.		-0.3 -0.4		39-200 37-192		54.59 52.99				39-199 41-216			257 3.5% 259 3.1%
Away		29-22			0.3		41-166		66.3%			19									Home		29 5.6				40-217		56.89							251 5.2%
																			osition by Position																	
																		Notre I	<u>Dame</u> Notre Dame OB	Florida St																
																		0.00	vs.	,																
																			Florida St DB																	
																		0.60	Notre Dame R	B's																
																		0.00	Florida St LB	's																
																			Notre Dame	OL																
																		0.11	vs. Florida St D																	
																			Notre Dame																	
																		0.67	vs.																	
																			Florida St O																	
																		0.51	Notre Dame L	въ																
																			Florida St RB																	
																			Notre Dame D	B's																
																		0.65	vs. Florida St QB/	wR																
Last Five	Years	Meetin	gs (Last	22 ye	ars at l	PhilSte	eele.cor	m).				Drois	ctod D	ov S					Notre Dame S		Category	,	Tear	n & Margin							Phil's For	ecast:				
2020	Rank	Line				Comp-		TO's				Proje	cted B	UX 30	core			0.85	vs. Florida St ST		Las Vegas Line															
Notre Dame Florida St	5	-21 53.5			42-353 40-153	16-25 17-3	5-201 1-252	1	nts			Pr	ojected F	Rushin	a			\vdash	Florida St ST Notre Dame	-			Notre	Dame By 7	.5	ESU le	ear ND wo d 17-14 a	on its 21st fter 10 h	straight h ut ND led	nome ga 35-20 at	me (longe t half. FSII	st streak 75/8 TD	s/1942-5 open 30 3	u). Williai 35-26 ND	ns rushe 73/8 TD	ed for 19-185. 42-26 after
2019	Rank	Line			Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	nte	20-	4 yards	Notre		Florida		167 y	ards	2.30	vs.		Las Vegas Total		5	Points		3Q. NE	miss 45	yd FG bu	t FSU 3&G	5 INT i	n EZ 8:21.	ND 75/1	2 4&G 2 S	OD late.	FSU is 6-	-4 all-time vs
Notre Dame		-16.5	42	24	50-365	12-25	5-130		ŝ			Pr	ojected I	Passin	g				Florida St K		+/- Ratings				_	seasor	openers	and 0-7	ATS in hor	me oper	t those we ners. Last y	ear they	lost to G	T 16-13 (-12'). Vis	sitor in series
Florida St	-	51.5	13	20	30-106	23-48	8-216	0	e of	22	4 yards	Notre Proje	Dame cted Yar	Florida dage T		200 y	ards	1.80	Notre Dame Coa vs.	iches			Notre	Dame By 5	.0	has los	t 3 straig	ht (2-1 A	TS) includ	ing last	time here	in '14 wh	en #5 Not	tre Dame	lost 31-2	27 to #2 FSU will be a
									able	42	9 yards	Notre		Florida		367 y	ards		Florida St Coad		Game Grade		Notre E	ame By 1	7.5	vastly	improved	I team wit	h after go	ing thru	a losing s	eason wi	th a first-y	ear head	l coach la	ast year and
									to T				ected Fi						Notre Dame F		Computer Yards					an Exp	erienced	team last	year and	I now ha	s just 9 re	turning s	tarters an	d is #128	on my E	e Dame had Exp Chart. I
									E	33	3 points	Notre Exp	Dame erience l	Florida Rankin		25 pc	oints	7.50	vs. Florida St Pt	0.00	-		Notre D	ame 429-3	707	do not	see much	h of a dro	p off from	Ian Bo	ok to Jack	Coan at	QB. In 20	19 with C	oan at Q	B the Badgers me SU the
									Retu		128	Notre		Florida		79	9	POSI	TIONAL EDGE AW		Computer Point	5	Notre	Dame 33-2	25				nike Norve e an excit			אוו ווו חיי	career. F	JU IS 31-	10 at 1101	me ao trie
									~				Schedul	le Stre	ngth				Notre Dam		Phil Steele															
											39	Notre	Dame	Florida	a St	68	3						Notre	Dame by	1					_						
											33								By 7.50 Poi	nts								r	votre	Dar	ne 33	Flor	ida S	t 26		

				I		ville C		als						OVERA 0-0		OVERALL: 0-0						Missi				ls						
505				ΔР		Cardinals	Logs		TEAM		S RANK	FOE		vs. A0		vs. SEC 0-0			FOE		ΔР		Rebe	ls Logs				TEAM	PS R	ANK	FOE	
TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	TM F	OE DA		FOE	SCORE W/L	OFF D	EF ST	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKING	S	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	TM	FOE DA		FOE		CORE	W/L	OFF DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST
0-0	0-0		130 138		9/6		sissippi stern Kentı		63	70 80	7	60	103		020 TEAM RANKI		0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	138 130		9/6		Louisville Austin Po				7 60	103	63	70	80
0-0		0-0	130		9/18	8 UCF	F				10	69	76	Louisvi		Mississippi	0-0		0-0	127		9/18	8	Tulane	,					69	72	73
0-0 0-0		0-0	127 132		9/25		ke Forest				26 39	37 63	72 65	#28	Rush Off Pass Off	#25 #8	0-0		0-0	158		9/25		Bye Alabama	1					8	1	1
0-0		0-0	129		10/9	9 Virg	ginia				95		119	#29	Total Off	#3	0-0		0-0	127		10/9	9	Arkansa	ıS					52		111
0-0		0-0	129		10/2		e ston Colleg				24	64	45	#57 #116	Score Off Sks Allowed	#17 #67	0-0 0-0		0-0	120 144		10/2		Tenness LSU	ee					79 16	65 10	33 16
0-0		0-0	129		10/3	30 at NC	State				38	13	26	#83	Rush Def	#105	0-0		0-0			10/3	30 at	Auburn						22	20	25
0-0 0-0		0-0	146 121		11/6		mson racuse				6 84	2 68	67 18	#21 # 42	Pass Def Total Def	#126 #127	0-0 0-0		0-0			11/6		Liberty Texas A	&M					34 14	55 6	75 36
0-0		0-0	114			20 at Duk	ke				105		11	#52	Score Def	#117	0-0		0-0	112		11/2		Vanderb	ilt					111		106
0-0		0-0	125		11/2	2/ Ken	ntucky				67	42	52	#45 #30	Sks By Ypg Diff	#71 #48	0-0		0-0	132		11/4	27 at	Miss St						50	28	51
														-12	Total TO's	-5																
			Net		Offe		Comp			ense		Yds								Net		Offe			omp			Defense		Comp		
† Mississippi	re		Yds FD	TO's	FD RA-	RY YPC	Att	Pass Sks	FD R/	A-RY YP	C Att	Pass	Sks				† Louisville	ore		Yds FD	TO's	FD RA-	-RY 1	YPC /	Att	Pass	Sks	FD RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks
Eastern Kentu	ıcky																Austin Peay															
UCF at Florida St														<u> </u>		S	Tulane Bye															
at Wake Forest														na	S	je j	at Alabama															
Virginia Bye														귤	8	že (Arkansas Tennessee															
Boston Colleg	e													Cardinals	8	Ë	LSU															
at NC State Clemson														<u>o</u>	≩	.₫	at Auburn Liberty															
Syracuse														<u>=</u>	FEAM AVERAGES	<u>is</u>	Texas A&M															
at Duke Kentucky														Louisville	"	Mississippi Rebels	Vanderbilt at Miss St															
														٩		Σ																
Average Conference			75.1 2.7 58.7 2.0			200 5.2 206 5.4				-180 4.			7.3%				Average Conference			36.5 0.2 26.8 0.1		28 46-2 28 47-2		4.6 71 4.6 71			5.7%	27 39-207 28 39-211		68.0%	312	4.1%
Away			-13.4 -3.2					186 11.79									Home	е		21.6 -1.0								29 34-217				
													ŀ	Louisv	osition by Position E	idges Aississippi																
													İ		Louisville QB/W																	
														0.49	vs. Mississippi DB's																	
													f		Louisville RB's																	
															vs. Mississippi LB's	1.12																
													İ		Louisville OL																	
														0.44	vs. Mississippi DL																	
													Ī		Louisville DL	0.74																
															vs. Mississippi OL	0.74																
															Louisville LB'S	0.75																
															Mississippi RB's																	
															Louisville DB's	1.00																
					101										Mississippi QB/V	/R																
Last Five	Years	Meetin	gs (Last 22 ye	ars at Ph	uSteele.	com)			Project	ed Bo	Score	e			Louisville ST's vs.	0.71	Category	у		Team & Margin							Phil's For					
															Mississippi ST's		Las Vegas Line			Mississippi By 10								opener in '18 r Satterfield a				
							uts	212	-	cted Ru	-	220		1.25	Louisville K		Las Vegas Total			75.55	M	usic City E	Bowl. T	hey are	2-0 AT	S in neu	itral site	games unde	Satterfi	eld but j	ust 3-7-2	ATS
							ınte	213 yards	Louisvil Proj e	e M ected Pa	ssissippi ssing	229 ya	arus	1.25	vs. Mississippi K					75.5 Points								peners includi opponents in				in
							rn to Table of Co	260 yards	Louisvil		ississippi	311 ya	ards		Louisville Coache	25	+/- Ratings			Mississippi By 7.7	Lo	uisville ha	ad a gr	eat first	year ur	nder Sat	terfield	going 8-5 but	last yea	ir even w	ith the b	ig 4
			-				pleo			ed Yarda					vs.		Game Grade				7.	They had	the sa	ame 444	ypg or	n offense	e but w	s Atwell and F ere done in b	/ -10 in T	ΓO's. This	year 3 c	of the
							Ta	474 yards	Louisvill	e M ted Final	ssissippi Score	540 ya	ards		Mississippi Coach Louisville Pts	es				Mississippi By 4.8								on the TO's. O				
							. B	33 points	Louisvil		ississippi	42 po	ints	2.18	vs.	4.32	Computer Yards	5	N	Mississippi 540-47	4 W	hile they	went j	ust 4-5 i	in the r	regular s	eason t	he took Bama	to the v	vire with	tough lo	sses
							ettn			ence Ra			L		Mississippi Pts		Computer Points	s			wi							the bowl. The ose their top t				
							ď	100	Louisvill Team Se		ississippi	38	·	POSIT	TONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:				Mississippi 42-33	as	a favorite	е.		,		,			-		
								52	Louisvil		strengtn ississippi	28	,		Mississippi		Phil Steele			Mississippi by 9							. 45			•		
															By 2.14 Point	s			1					Mis	SSIS	sippi	1 42	Louisvi	iie 3	3		

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	E Michigan By 31	First Meeting. Eastern Michigan is 7-1 SU but 3-5 ATS vs FCS teams since 2011. St Francis
Las Vegas Total	53.5 Points	did not play last season. Red Flash were 6-6 in '19 & last faced a current FBS foe in '17 when they lost 13-7 to then FCS Liberty. They must replace All-NEC players at QB, WR, TE, OL and
+/- Ratings	E Michigan By 42.3	LB and DB (2). In fact, 7 of their 8 All-NEC players from '19 are gone and it will be hard to replace 3 skill players that have moved on to Power-5 schools. Eastern Michigan has 21
Game Grade	E Michigan By 87.5	starters back and are one of the best AD's in the country but are just 6-12 ATS as a HF the last 9 years and have Wisconsin on deck. They only beat Central Connecticut St 34-29 (-
Computer Yards	E Michigan 749-21	32.50 in 2019 but did plaster Monmouth 51-17 and Miss Valley St 61-14 the previous two matchups vs FCS foes. Eastern has a large talent edge and have had 5 losing seasons in 7
Computer Points	E Michigan 47-8	years under Creighton so should want an impressive start with a likely loss to Wisconsin on deck.
Phil Steele	E Michigan by 35	Eastorn Michigan 45 St Erancis 10
		Eastern Michigan 45 St Francis 10

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Kansas By 15.5	The Jayhawks are only 3-2 in their last 5 matchups against FCS opponents, losing to Nicholls in '18 and South Dakota St in '15. South Dakota competes in the MVFC and went 1-3 in the FCS
Las Vegas Total	56 Points	Spring season. Kansas new HC Lance Leipold coached D3 at Wisconsin-Whitewater and while at Buffalo was 4-1 vs FCS foes but just 2-3 ATS. He took over the team after spring and they are
+/- Ratings	56 Points	implementing new schemes on both sides of the ball so that is a tough situation but this is his home opener, and he realizes wins will be few so Kansas should go all out. They did beat SEMO 38-16 in '17 and Rhode Island 55-6 (-28) in '16. South Dakota is 5-2 ATS their last 7 vs FBS
	Kansas By 3.7	teams only losing at Kansas St 27-24 in '18 and in their last meeting covered +23 in a 31-14 loss in 2013. Since joining the Missouri Valley Conference in 2012 South Dakota has had just one
Game Grade	Kansas By 17.8	winning season but actually made the playoffs for the first time in school history and won a first round game in 2017. They have gone just 4-7, 5-7 and 1-3 the last 3 years. True frosh QB
Computer Yards	Kansas 665-62	Carson Camp threw for 967 (63%, 3-4) in the spring. They do have 6 frosh RB's behind him but a veteran O-line and receiving corp. They did only have 324 ypg in the spring. They have a solid back 7 on defense led by LB's Cochrane and Morgensen and added Iowa transfer DB Da'raun
Computer Points	Kansas 39-23	McKinney. They did allow 219 (5.0) on the ground last year. Kansas is 4-12 ATS as a HF the last 9 years and while Leipold was 12-3-1 ATS as HF the last 4 years at Buffalo he was 1-3 ATS his
Phil Steele	Vancas by 17	first two years there. Kansas has some talent and will take South Dakota seriously.
	Kansas by 17	Kansas 40 South Dakota 23

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Colorado By 37.5	UNC will be playing its first game with new head coach Ed McCaffrey, the former Denver Broncos great who was coached for three years (2000-02) by Dorrell. McCaffrey's son, Dylan, recently
Las Vegas Total	56.5 Points	transferred from Michigan to UNC to play quarterback. Colorado leads the series 10-2 and LTH 17 won 41-21 (-39'). From 2017-'19 NC slipped to 3-7, 2-9 & 2-10 going 2-6 in Big Sky play all 3
+/- Ratings	Colorado By 47.9	years & they did not retain their 9 year HC. After hiring a new HC & canceling their 2020 season, the Bears played 2 closed scrimmages instead of competing in Big Sky play in the spring. Northern has little experience and lose 13 of the top 23 tacklers from that '19 squad and have
Game Grade	Colorado By 101.2	not played in two years. Colorado has 8 returning starters on both offense and defense but is breaking in a new QB. After going 4-0 to open up Dorral's first year they lost to Utah 38-21
Computer Yards	Colorado 84256	blowing a 2 score lead then they were hit hard by Covid and lost the bowl 55-23 to Texas. The Buffs were pegged last in the Pac 12 media poll and may be out to prove something here.
Computer Points	Colorado 57-6	Colorado is 4-2 ATS their last 6 vs FCS teams and Northern Colorado is 4-3-1 ATS the last 8 vs FBS but do have a first time head coach and have not played in 2 years. CU is 5-1 ATS as a HF the last 2 years.
Phil Steele	Colorado by 42	Colorado 52 Northern Colorado 10
		Colorado 32 Noi thern Colorado 10

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
1 W 1 to -		Will meet for the 3rd time, with the last meeting taking place in 1966 when CSU won 45-14. The
Las Vegas Line	Colorado St By 2.5	Jack Rabbits have won 2 conf titles and have made the FCS playoffs 9 straight seasons. Last year South Dakota St was the #1 seed for the FCS playoffs and made it to the finals but lost to Sam
1 W T - t - l		Houston St. They played to an AGG of 82.5 which was #1 in the FCS. Colorado St played to an
Las Vegas Total	52.5 Points	85.1 AGG in 4 games in the fall under first year head coach Steve Addazio. SDSt is 1-9 SU vs
+/- Ratings		FBS teams with a win over Kansas in 2015, a 3 point loss to Minnesota in 2009, 14 point loss to Nebraska in 2010, and a 7 point loss to Minnesota in 2019. Addazio has 19 returning starters and
+/- Katiligs	South Dakota St By 1.3	feels they have the best defense in the MW and will contend for the title. SDSt had Mark
Carra Cuada	•	Gronkowski took over as the QB in the spring and he threw for 1549 (58%, 15-3) but he was injured in the title game and will be out for the year. He was also the #3 rusher with 567 (7.0!).
Game Grade	Colorado St By 6.2	While Heide and Gibbs do return they add in Chris Oladokun as a transfer. While at Samford,
Camanatan Vanda	•	Oladokun threw for 2058 (62%, 18-7) and did rush for 491 (3.9). South Dakota St is picked to finish first in the MVFC for the first time in their school history and has 12 Super Seniors with 10
Computer Yards	Colorado St 6833	of them being starters. They also have a top-notch defense so this should be a lower scoring
	•	game. Addazio is 13-15 as a HF but coming off 3 straight losing seasons and South Dakota St
Computer Points	Colorado St 31-18	coming of a best year ever, they will not look past this one. South Dakota St is actually a road favorite here so I like the Rams as a live home dog to pull the "upset" which is not an upset in
Phil Steele		my mind.
Filli Steele	Colorado St by 13	Post Pote + Colorado St 27 South Dakota St 14
		Best Bet: ★ Colorado St 27 South Dakota St 14

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Boston College By 50.5	First meeting. BC has won 3 straight home openers although last year they were -20' and only won 24-21 vs Texas St needing a comeback to win outright. In `18 Colgate brought back 18
Las Vegas Total	52.5 Points	starters and 17 of their top 20 tacklers were back on defense. Colgate won their first 9 games, and over an 8-game stretch, the Raiders allowed just 12 points including a 2 game stretch where they allowed 64 total yards! In '19 they had just 7 starters back and faced much stiffer
+/- Ratings	Boston College By 59.1	competition in league play. They lost 7 All-Patriot defensive players and naturally they took a big step back. They allowed 34+ points in each of their first 5 games and started 0-7 before winning
Game Grade	Boston College By 59.5	4 of their last 5 (-76 ypg). They went 0-2 in spring with both games on the road then HC Dan Hunt surprisingly resigned in May. Longtime Asst HC/DB coach Stan Dakotsy takes over as Interim HC and he inherits just 5 returning starters on offense and 3 on defense! The Eagles
Computer Yards	Boston College 80064	have won their last 6 over FCS schools by 40 ppg. They are just 1-3 ATS the last 4 but Colgate is 0-5 ATS Their last 5 vs FBS schools losing by an avg of 28.4 ppg. This is a depleted Colgate team with an unexpected new coach that had just 2 spring games vs a BC squad who head coach Jeff
Computer Points	Boston College 52-3	Hafley has never had a home crowd yet and they have two solid QB in Jurkovid and Dennis Grosel who threw for 520 vs Virginia last year and they only have UMass and Temple on deck so they make the home folks happy with a big win.
Phil Steele	Boston College by 55	Poston College EQ Colgate 2
		Boston College 58 Colgate 3

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Conn By 2.5	UConn trails series 9-19-1. Played every year from 1970-1985 but just once since a 27-20 UConn win in `17. Crusaders were Patriot league Champs at 3-0 last season, losing in the first round of
Las Vegas Total	53.5 Points	FBS playoffs to #1 seed South Dakota St. Bob Chesney took over as HC at Holy Cross and his first team went 5-6 but then 7-5 and 3-1 the next two years making the FCS playoffs both times. Holy Cross has not topped 24 ppg since 2016. Holy Cross beat Army in 2002 but have only
+/- Ratings	Conn By 3.1	played 4 FBS foes and gone 0-4 losing at Connecticut 27-20 (+24) in 2017 then losing to BC by 48, Navy by 38 and Syracuse by 38 the last 3 fall seasons. Connecticut lost to Towson in 2015
Game Grade	Holy Cross By 52.4	but while they have won 6 in a row vs the FCS each game has been close with wins by 3, 5, 3, 8, 7 and 3 and they are 1-5 ATS with their only cover when a 7-point dog to Villanova! Edsall is confident that this years' team will be much improved despite not playing last year. Connecticut
Computer Yards	Conn 450-226	has lost 13 in a row as a HF dating back to 2013 and is 2-19 as a HF the last 10 years. Connecticut has a deliberate offense that huddles in an effort to shrink the game and actually had a 10:33-4:27 TOP edge after 1Q vs Fresno St only being outgained 32-29. Fresno then took
Computer Points	Conn 35-16	control with a 337-36 yard edge in the 2Q to lead 31-0 at half in a 45-0 rout with a 506-78 yard edge the last 3Q's. QB Zergiotis was 12-24-61 and star RB Keviin Mensah had just 11-31 rush but both should fare better here.
Phil Steele	Conn by 10	Connecticut 27 Holy Cross 17
		Connecticut 27 Hory Cross 17

Nebraska By 42.5 55.5 Points Nebraska By 45.0	1st meeting. The Rams went 2-1 this past spring. In lined games vs FCS opponents S/'10, the Huskers are 6-0 with an avg margin of victory of 33 ppg, but are just 2-4 ATS and failed to cover in the last 2 (in '14 & '18). Nebraska is off of their Big Ten opener vs Illinois andXXX. HC Conlin has clearly put his offensive stamp on this squad. He was an OC at Yale for 4 years and Fordham has shown steady improvement including an impressive 3 games in spring. They get 16 starters
	Huskers are 6-0 with an avg margin of victory of 33 ppg, but are just 2-4 ATS and failed to cover in the last 2 (in `14 & `18). Nebraska is off of their Big Ten opener vs Illinois andXXX. HC Conlin has clearly put his offensive stamp on this squad. He was an OC at Yale for 4 years and Fordham
Nebraska By 45.0	
	back, including 10 on offense. They get Holy Cross at home and we think they should be the
Nebraska By 41.5	favorite to win the Patriot League this year. Fordham did upset Temple in 2013 under then HC Joe Moorhead and upset Army in 2015. They are 0-4 SU their last 4 vs the FBS losing by 36.5 ppg
Nebraska 82774	and are 1-3 ATS in those with their only cover in a 57-29 loss to Ball St where they were +29.5. Nebraska is 11-0 SU vs the FBS since 2000 with the average win by 31.4 ppg but they are 2-5
Nebraska 53-6	ATS in those games. Scott Frost's season got a lot hotter with their loss to Illinois in the opener and they need to gain confidence with Oklahoma in three weeks.
Nebraska by 43	Nebraska 53 Fordham 10
	Nebraska 82774 Nebraska 53-6

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Lafayette By 7.5	AF has won 23 straight season openers vs unranked teams. AF is 13-0 against FCS
Las Vegas Total	55.5 Points	teams under HC Calhoun and have covered the last 3 home openers vs FCS teams
+/- Ratings	Air Force By 45.4	winning by an average score of 46-3! The Leopards last won the Patriot League in '13 & went 2-1 in the FCS spring season. While John Garrett (brother of ex Cowboy Justin) only has a 12-25 record at Lafayette, the Leopards have won 6 of their last 8 games dating back to the last half of '19. Lafayette has put up just 258 ypg in '19, 338 in '19 and 311 in the spring. They have played just 3 games vs FBS teams
Game Grade	Air Force By 56.4	
Computer Yards	Air Force 77187	(all vs Army) and gone 0-3 SU losing by an avg of 33.3 ppg. AF is 6-0 their last 6 vs FCS going 5-1 ATS. This is a veteran Air Force squad that should get off to their
Computer Points	Air Force 47-0	usual strong start.
Phil Steele	Air Force by 47	Air Force 47 Lafavette 0
		Air Force 47 Lafayette 0

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Wyoming By 17.5	The Cowboys are slated to open the season at War Memorial Stadium on Sept. 4 against Montana State and its new coach, Brent Vigen, who spent the last seven seasons as Wyoming's offensive
Las Vegas Total	44.5 Points	coordinator. Wyoming leads the series 13-6. Last met in '03 with Cowboys winning 21-10. Montana St DNP in '20 and made it to the semifinals of the FCS playoffs in '19. The Bobcats had 3 straight losing season from 2015 to 2017. In 2018 in then HC Jeff Choate's 3rd season, they not only beat rival Montana for a third straight season but made their first playoffs since 2014 before
+/- Ratings	Wyoming By 25.9	losing in the 2nd round to North Dakota St. In 2019 after a first round bye beat Albany & Austin Peay at home. Unfortunately, they again ran into perennial Power North Dakota St, this time in the Semi-finals and lost but still finished an impressive 11-4. They did not play last fall and they also
Game Grade	Wyoming By 95.6	lost HC Jeff Choate, who left to become the co-DC at Texas. This year the Offense looks to return just 6 starters from the 2019 season. They return starting QB Tucker Rovig, who threw for 1,969 (61.6%, 15-5, 34 rush). They also return backup QB Casey Bauman (450, 48.2%, 1-0, 17 rush).
Computer Yards	Wyoming 71551	in 2020, they added NC State transfer QB Matthew McKay (PS#42QB), who started 5 for the Wolfpack in 2019, throwing for 910 yds (57%, 3-1, 63 rush). The defense returns just 6 of their top 16 tacklers. the Bobcats return just 11 starters and with a new HC, new OC, new DC & new STC will be having to learn new systems in all 3 phases of the game. Wyoming is improved over
Computer Points	Wyoming 40-7	last year with 21 returning starters and will contend it the MW while Montana St is not the playoff team they were in 2019. Wyoming is 10-3 ATS as a HF but is 0-3 ATS vs FCS only beating Idaho 21-16 (-25.5), Wofford 17-14 (-12) and Gardner Webb 27-0 (-34). Montana St is 5-2 ATS vs FBS teams since 2019 and Vigen has great knowledge of the Cowboy personnel.
Phil Steele	Wyoming by 21	teams since 2017 and vigen has great knowledge of the cowboy personnel.
		Wyoming 31 Montana St 10

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Northern Iowa By 36	
Las Vegas Total	42.5 Points	Iowa St leads series 23-6-3. Last meeting was just two years ago in the opener in '19 when ISU (-23) needed 3OT to get past UNI 29-26. ISU has lost to UNI twice in their last 5 meetings. The
+/- Ratings	Iowa St By 32.7	Panthers went 3-4 last year & last missed the FCS playoffs in '15 and in 2019 they made it to the quarterfinals finishing 10-3. Iowa State is a legitimate national title contender but has a huge game vs Iowa on deck and Matt Campbell is 0-4 SU vs his rival. Iowa St is 1-4 ATS in openers and
Game Grade	Iowa St By 41.4	just 4-3 SU the last 7 vs FCS teams including 2-5 ATS. Two of Northern Iowa's losses were by 4 to South Dakota St and by 3 to North Dakota St a couple of FCS powers. This is one where I disagree
Computer Yards	Iowa St 822118	with my computer as it is calling for a 40-point win but NIU is 9-5 ATS their last 14 vs the FBS and none of the last 13 losses have not had one by more than 27 and in their last 11 they have won
Computer Points	Iowa St 43-3	two and the average in their 9 losses was only by 10.7 ppg.
Phil Steele	Iowa St by 21	Best Bet: ★ Northern Iowa 17 (+) Iowa St 38

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Liberty By 20.5	1st meeting. Fighting Camels joined BIg South Conference in `18, the year after the Flames left.
Las Vegas Total	53.5 Points	Campbell was 0-4 last year (all vs FBS) but were a failed 2 pt conv away (w:15 left) from beating Georgia Southern when GS was missing 18 players. Liberty is off a phenominal season going 10-1 and upsetting #9 Coastal Carolina in the bowl and finished #17. This year they have 20 returning
+/- Ratings	Liberty By 49.8	starters including QB Malik Willis. Since moving up to FBS sin 2018 Liberty is 6-0 vs FCS SU teams going 3-3 ATS with the average win by 26.1 ppg. Campbell is 0-8 vs FBS teams SU but an
Game Grade	Liberty By 18.0	impressive 4-1 ATS as last year they played Wake Forest, App St, Geor Sthrn and Coastal Carolina and have covered 4 in a row vs the Group of 5. HC Mike Minter was a starter in the NFL for 10 years with the Carolina Panthers. Minter won five or more games every season from '14-'19,
Computer Yards	Liberty 8118	including posting three-straight winning seasons from '17-'19 before operating as an independent in '20. Campbell was still able to churn out the seventh highest game grades in all of FCS given
Computer Points	Liberty 49-13	how well they played up to their competition. Still the number appears a little light here as my computer has the Flames by 5 TD's and the spread is at just 3 TD's.
Phil Steele	Liberty by 31	Liberty 49 Commbell 17
		Liberty 48 Campbell 17

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Arkansas St By 13.5	27th all-time meeting & Ark St trails 13-11-2. Last meeting was last year when Ark St won 50-27 (-15).
Las Vegas Total	65.5 Points	The Red Wolves are 9-1 SU/6-4 ATS the last 10 years vs FCS foes with the lone SU coming to C.Ark in `16, 28-23. Last year Ark St was -15 at home. With heavy rain in 1H and high wind gusts all game Ark St trailed 6-2 early then outscored CArk 42-7 in 2Q & 3Q. Ark St had 86 yds first 5 drives and 407 on next 5
+/- Ratings	Arkansas St By 10.2	drives. CArk 63/5 & 53/4 4Q TD's 43-27 Ark St 76/6 TD 5:14 left 50-27. The Bears have made the FCS playoffs 5 times in the past 10 seasons with their last trip coming in 2019 where they lost to Illinois St.
Game Grade	Arkansas St By 20.6	UCA was picked to win the Southland Conference in 2020 but then the season was pushed to the Spring. However, UCA played 9 games in the fall and finished with a 5-4 record, winning 3 of their last 4 games. This season UCA will move out of the Southland and play the "AQ7" schedule before fully transitioning to
Computer Yards	Arkansas St 786-77	the WAC in 2022. The Bears are coached by Nathan Brown, who enters his 4tth season here & holds a 20- 13 record. They did lose top RB Kierre Crossley who transferred to Ark Pine-Bluff and DB Robert Rochell was drafted by the Rams. Arkansas St is going thru a coaching change with Butch Jones taking over and he has
Computer Points	Arkansas St 44-24	17 returning starters to work with. Since their loss to Central Arkansas in 2016 they are 4-0 SU but overall are just 2-5 ATS vs FCS teams the last 7. UCA is 5-4 ATS their last 9 vs the FBS.
Phil Steele	Arkansas St by 17	Arkanese St 41 Control Arkanese 24
		Arkansas St 41 Central Arkansas 24

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Troy By 26.5	First meeting. Troy last faced a SWAC team back in `17 (Alabama St) and they won 34-7 (-43.5). The
Las Vegas Total	56.5 Points	Jaguars have won 19 SWAC championships (last won SWAC in `13) & went 5-1 this past spring. Dawson Odums led Southern to an emphatic 49-7 victory on April 17th, 2020 over Grambling in the Bayou Classic for SU's third consecutive victory in the series. Odums had just posted his best winning percentage since arriving in Baton Rouge (.833) and was a three-point defeat at the hands of Arkansas-Pine Bluff away from
+/- Ratings	Troy By 51.2	notching a third consecutive SWAC West title and earning his team yet another trip to the SWAC Championship Game. SU finished the season ranked #20 nationally. Shockingly, however, the SWAC's longest-tenured HC resigned three days after the Grambling State victory on April 20th leaving for Norfolk
Game Grade	Troy By 42.0	State. Jason Rollins, who was previously an associate HC at FBS UTSA for two seasons, will assume the role of Interim HC while SU continues to try and find a replacement for Odums. They do return the nation's best FCS defensive player, a very solid defense overall, an experienced and skilled OL, and a productive running
Computer Yards	Troy 7398	game. Troy returns 18 starters but does have a big game vs Liberty on deck. Under coach Chip Lindsay, Troy has won 8 games vs FBS teams in his 2 years and all have been by big margins with the average win by 27.4 ppg! Surprisingly he is 0-2 ATS vs FCS teams beating Campbell 43-14 (-36') in his first game and
Computer Points	Troy 46-13	EKU 31-29 (-29') last year. Coming off a pair of losing seasons I would expect him to put the hammer down like he normally does in wins and the unexpected coaching change may have Southern starting a little slow this year.
Phil Steele	Troy by 42	
	110, 5, 12	Troy 51 Southern 9

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line		These 2 were actually Southland Conference rivals when they last met in 1994. HC Littrell is 5-0 SU 3-2
Las Vegas Total		ATS vs FCS foes, winning by 29 ppg. The Demons went 1-5 in spring. NWSt is 3-8 ATS vs FBS foes. Coach Brad Laird inherited a 4-7 team that was -76 ypg (-64 ypg conf). In his first year he went 4-7 and was -56 ypg but cut it to -30 ypg in Southland play. In 2019 his second team went 4-7 and was -32 ypg and just -
+/- Ratings	N Texas By 27.1	15 ypg in conference play. Last year they lost their QB, top two RB and top 2 WR. They lost their first 5 games of the spring but had losses by 7, 3, 8 and 8. They were -24 ypg in Southland play and did beat
Game Grade	N Tayas By 24 6	Incarnate Word in a shootout in the season finale 49-47 to finish 1-5. This year they have 7 starters back on offense and 9 on defense. Seth Littrell has
Computer Yards	N.T 004 447	guided North Texas to 4 bowls in 5 years but twice got there despite a losing season. They were 4-6 last year but have 19 returning starters. They did lose top RB Oscar Adaway in the fall but are still strong.
Computer Points		NTexas does have a big game vs SMU on deck and is 6-11 ATS as a HF the last 3 years. NW St is 2-1 ATS their last 3 vs FBS but had dropped the previous 4 ATS.
Phil Steele	N Texas by 24	North Texas 48 Northwestern St 24
		1.0.1 1 0.0.0 10 1.01 (1111 00 01 11 01 01

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Virginia By 33.5	UVA has won the last 4 meetings by 24 ppg but W&M's last win over an FBS foe came in 2009 over the Cavs. UVA also lost to FCS Richmond in `16. In 2019 they brought in Mike London, who was the DL coach here from
Las Vegas Total	49.5 Points	1991-94. He led Richmond to their FCS Championship in 2008. He was the HC at Virginia from 2010- 15 and spent the previous 2 years as HC at Howard. W&M opened up 2-0 vs FBS with a loss to London's old
+/- Ratings	Virginia By 14.2	team Virginia week 2. After a close loss to East Carolina (19-7) they lost their first 4 Colonial games and were - 130 ypg. They beat Elon and spanked Rhode Island 55-19 before losing to Towson 31-10. The Tribe beat rival
Game Grade	Virginia By 36.1	Richmond in the final 21-15 for a 5-7 season and were +9 ypg in those last 4 to finish -60 ypg in Colonial action. Last year the Tribe lost 8 of their top 9 tacklers on defense. They lost a close one to Richmond to open the year but then beat Elon 31-10. With their season disrupted by Covid they lost their QB after week 2 and were banged
Computer Yards		up and played just one more game. It was versus powerhouse James Madison and lost 38-10 being outgained 460-187 their 5th straight losing season. Virginia is 2-3 ATS vs William and Mary but has covered their last 3 vs
Computer Points	Virginia 564	FCS teams winning by 40, 35 and 29. W&Mary is 8-5 ATS vs FBS teams but did lose in 2019 here 52-17 (+34.5). I think W&M is much improved this year and this game will be special for head coach London after being fired after 2015.
Phil Steele	Virginia by 17	Virginia 37 William & Mary 20
		Tinginia 57 Trimaini & Flary 20

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Hawaii By 21.5	Those two have met 5 times, with Hawaii helding 4.1 record. Last met in 2000 when LIH
Las Vegas Total		These two have met 5 times, with Hawaii holding 4-1 record. Last met in 2000 when UH opened at home and lost 45-20. The Vikings only played 1 gm last year & last made the FCS playoffs in 2015. Portland St was led by run and shoot architect Mouse Davis from 1975-80,
	61.5 Points	☐ Jerry Glanville from 2007-09, Pac 12 commentator Nigel Burton from 2010-'14 and Bruce
+/- Ratings		Barnum since 2015. Portland St was just 5-7 in 2019 losing to Boise St 45-10 and they have
., .tatings	Hawaii By 29.6	just 9 starters back from that squad. They only played one game in the spring which was a
Game Grade		48-7 loss to Montana. Hawaii is being bashed as not being a very good team this year after
Gaine Grade	Hawaii By 43.1	their TV loss to UCLA. Special teams really hurt them in that as they allowed a blocked pun- for a TD and their P went to a knee scooping up a low snap and UCLA got the ball at the Hawaii 15 early. They strugged to run the ball but will have more success here vs a Vikings squad that has allowed 222 ypg rush and 7.1 ypc the last 3 games. Hawaii will not have far
Computer Yards		
compater raras	Hawaii 7779	
Communitory Balanta		in attendance for this but needs a feel good game and are a much stronger team than they
Computer Points	Hawaii 45-10	showed last Saturday.
Phil Steele		
· ···· occic	Hawaii by 35	Best Bet: ★ Hawaii 45 Portland St 10
		Dest Det. A Hawaii 45 Portialiu St 10

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Ga Southern By 32.5	These two meet for the 4th time, with the last meeting back in '02 when GSthrn won 56-0.
Las Vegas Total	52.5 Points	GSthrn has won 6 FCS national titles. The Runnin' Bulldogs have been an FCS team since '02 & have never made the playoffs. Georgia Southern offered GW HC Tre Lamb a scholarship out of HS. HC Tre Lamb, who was a decorated QB at Tennessee Tech ('08-'12), is entering his second season
+/- Ratings	Ga Southern By 53.8	with Gardner-Webb. Lamb enjoyed a terrific start to his tenure, as his very first game as a HC at any level was an impressive 42-20 upset win over #24 Elon. GW has not experienced at least a
Game Grade	Ga Southern By 39.1	.500 season since '13, and while only finishing the truncated spring season at 2-2, the '20-'21 season was a major building block for a program looking to establish credibility under the guise of a
Computer Yards	Ga Southern 74767	rookie HC. Georgia Southern has 8 starters back on both offense and defense but starting QB Justin Tomlin will miss the first two games. They lost outright to New Hampshire in 2017 but are 6-1 SU
Computer Points	Ga Southern 49-3	and 4-3 ATS vs FCS teams since 2014. Gardner Webb despite their losing seasons are a solid 5-2 ATS vs FBS teams but does lose their QB from the spring (only 3-7 ratio).
Phil Steele	Ga Southern by 35	Georgia Southern 38 Gardner-Webb 3

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	NL	First meeting. South Carolina has hosted an FCS school each season from '08-'19. They lost to The Citadel
Las Vegas Total	NL	in `15. The Panthers went 1-5 in spring and were 1-11 in `19 including a 55-0 loss to Indiana. Heading into the 2018 season, E.Illinois was off a 6-5 season and brought back 13 starters but finished 3-8. This forced a coaching change & EIU brought in long-time Northwestern assistant coach Adam Cushing. The first-year
+/- Ratings	S Carolina By 35.6	HC got back 11 starters in '19 but the Panthers went just 1-11. Last season the Panthers played 6 games in the spring and finished just 1-5 with their lone win coming against Tennessee Tech 28-20. Last week Eastern Illinois was +14 at Indiana St and trailed 10-0 early but it was 10-7 at the half. Ind St drove for a
Game Grade	S Carolina By 45.0	TD to open the 3Q 17-7 but Eastern went 87/4 for TD to pull back within 3. EIII missed a FG then drove to the Ind St 28 but gave up a 75 yard IR TD with 13:16 left. The Panthers went 75/19/10:20 for a TD with 2:56 left in a 24-21 loss. New QB Otto Kuhns hit 14-29-253 and Eastern Illinois had a 21-13 FD edge and
Computer Yards	S Carolina 77538	378-245 yard edge in the loss. South Carolina lost starting QB Luke Doty to injury in the fall and will start Zeb Noland who only hit 51% with a 5-5 ratio with North Dakota St before losing his job the last 3 games and was hired as a grad asst here in June. SC does have solid talent especially at RB. The Gamecocks are 10-1. St. their last 11 yes FCS teams but had lost 0 in a row ATS until heating Chatanage 40.0 (31) and
Computer Points	S Carolina 50-7	1 SU their last 11 vs FCS teams but had lost 9 in a row ATS until beating Chatanooga 49-9 (-31) and Charleston Southern 72-10 (-36) the last two years. Eastern did upset Miami Ohio in 2016 and San Diego St in 2013 but is 0-6 SU and ATS in their other 6 matchups vs FBS foes since 2014.
Phil Steele	S Carolina by 41	
		South Carolina 47 Eastern Illinois 6

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Middle Tenn By 7.5	
Las Vegas Total	59.5 Points	First meeting. Middle Tenn has won 6 straight against FCS opponents by 38 ppg and are 4-1 ATS. The Hawks went 3-1 in. They are 0-4 ATS vs FBS foes losing by an average of 27.5 ppg. Kevin Callahan is the only HC the program has ever known and is entering his 29th season. Callahan has compiled a record of
+/- Ratings	Middle Tenn By 4.3	166-125 at Monmouth with seven conferences titles and three FCS playoff appearances. The last two seasons have seen Monmouth and Callahan hit another gear, as they have not lost a conference game, won their first FCS playoff game in '19, made the FCS playoffs back-to-back for the first time, and lost by
Game Grade	Middle Tenn By 5.2	just six points in the opening round of the playoffs this past spring to eventual national champion Sam Houston St. With 21 starters back from the spring they are a FCS title contender and almost unanimous selection to win the Big South. Middle is off a couple of disappointing seasons but add in two P5 RB's that
Computer Yards	Middle Tenn 727-94	opted out last year and just added a WR from Gardner Webb who had 1,000+ yards in 2019 and Charleston Southern K who hit 20-28 and was all Big South. Monmouth lost to Western Michigan 48-13 and Eastern Michigan 51-17 in their last 2 FBS matchups. Stockstill's offense is at its best with a passing QB and has had a running QB the last 2 years. Middle Tenn needs to gain some confidence and they get it
Computer Points	Middle Tenn 44-24	with a solid win over a very good FCS team.
Phil Steele	Middle Tenn by 20	
		Middle Tennessee 44 Monmouth 24

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Oklahoma St By 36.5	Bobby Petrino enters year #2 with Missouri State. This will be the 9th time the Pokes have hosted Missouri St in Stillwater. Most recent meeting was in `18, a 58-17 victory. Missouri St plays in the MVFC and went 5-5 last year, losing 1st Rd of FCS Playoffs. Missouri St played 3 games in the fall losing to Oklahoma 48-0
Las Vegas Total	51.5 Points	then dropping a pair of games to Central Arkansas by 7 and 6 points despite having a 37-26 FD edge and being +176 yards in the two games. The fall play gave them a step up when Spring came around and they took advantage beating Western Illinois 30-24 in the opener. After an expected loss to North Dakota St (25-
+/- Ratings	Oklahoma St By 29.8	0) they beat South Dakota on the road 27-24, upset Northern Iowa on the road 13-6 and got past Southern Illinois at home 30-27. They beat Youngstown St 21-10 which earned them their first conference title since 1990 at 5-1 tied for first with South Dakota St. Despite that they were on the road at North Dakota and were whipped 44-10 with just 185 yards offense finishing 5-5. Missouri St was 7-33 in MVFC
Game Grade	Oklahoma St By 43.8	play the 5 years prior to Petrino but amazingly earned a share of the MVFC title for the first time in 30 years! Naturally they rate a -4 on my Stock Market Indicator and did have 3 Net Close Wins last year and was -43 ypg in MVFC play so they were probably not as good as their record and it showed in the playoff
Computer Yards	Oklahoma St 79669	game. They do however bring in some major transfers to bolster the team and some should have some big impacts like LB Dimitri Moore from Vandy, QB Jason Shelly from Utah/Utah St, WR Terique Owens from Florida Atlantic, DL Allen Love from Miss St, etc and should have a much improved offense, top notch defense and one of the MVFC's top ST units. Oklahoma St loses RB Chuba Hubbard, WR's Tylan Wallace and
Computer Points	Oklahoma St 48-7	Dylan Stoner but did a lot of hitting in the spring and have a chip on their shoulder. OSU is 15-0 vs FCS teams winning the last 11 SU by 44.5 ppg. Gundy is 7-2 ATS in these games 43-28-3 ATS as a HF overall. Missouri St has lost their last 7 vs FBS teams by 46.2 ppg. Gundy should be motivated to match wits with
Phil Steele	Oklahoma St by 42	Petrino.
		Oklahoma St 49 Missouri St 7

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Memphis By 24.5	Memphis has won eight straight vs FCS opponents since being upset by UT Martin 20-17 in '12. The Tigers added a game late last year against Stephen F. Austin and cruised to an easy 56-14 (-31) win. Nicholls
Las Vegas Total	69.5 Points	entered 2019 off back-to-back winning seasons after going a decade without a winning season (6-5 in 2007). The Colonels went 14-4 in conference play in '18 & '19 and had come close to beating teams like Texas A&M (24-14 loss) in '17 and Georgia (26-24 loss) in '16. Nicholls did beat Kansas in '18 26-23 and finished 9-4 after a 41-24 second-round playoff loss to Eastern Washington. Expectations were high under
+/- Ratings	Memphis By 9.2	5th year HC Tim Rebowe (25-22), who had truly turned this program around in his time here. In '19, at 4-4 they reeled off 4 straight wins to make the playoffs then beat North Dakota in round one 24-6. Unfortunately, they ran into North Dakota St the next week and lost 37-13. Last year Nicholls lost their QB
Game Grade	Memphis By 25.5	and top RB Julien Gums was banged up. They still opened 3-0 including wins over Lincoln (87-3) and Lamar (55-0). They only beat NW St 31-24 despite a 29-12 FD edge and +241 in yards and appeared ready for their showdown with Sam Houston St. Despite only being out FD'd 23-19 they were pounded 71-17. Nicholls recovered and beat Incarnate Word to move to 4-1 but lost their last two to finish 4-3. They had a
Computer Yards	Memphis 808-50	3-0 start where the Colonels were +385 ypg but they were actually -47 ypg in their 1-3 finish. Lindsay Scott stepped into the starter's role at QB last year and was dynamic with 1684 pass (58.1%) with a 18-7 ratio and was also the team's leading rusher with 557 (6.1). Julien Gums rushed for 1232 (5.8) in 2019
Computer Points	Memphis 51-23	and was banged up last year but both return. Memphis was 8-3 last year and returns 8 starters on both offense and defense. Ryan Silverfield was just 1-4 ATS as a favorite last year and Memphis is 2-3 ATS their last 5 vs FCS teams. Nicholls did lose to Kansas St 49-14 (+21) and Texas St 24-3 (+4) in 2019 but were 4 1 ATS their previous 5 vs FBS. They did add 7 P5 transfers.
Phil Steele	Memhis by 24	Mambria 48 Nichella 24
		Memhpis 48 Nicholls 24

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Smu By 30.5	SMU will open with an FCS opponent for the first time since '17 when they beat Stephen F. Austin 58-14 (-
Las Vegas Total	66.5 Points	29). Ponies have won 4 of their last 5 vs FCS opponents but are only 2-3 ATS in those matchups. Adam Dorrell is entering his 5th season as the HC of the Wildcats and has gone 14-26 in those 4 seasons. Last season the Wildcats opened with road games at UTEP (nearly won in 17-13 loss) and at Army (lost 55-23).
+/- Ratings	Smu By 45.3	ACU lost to SF Austin before picking up their lone win of the season against Mercer 20-17. ACU would fall to D-II Angelo St and then dropped the finale at Virginia 55-15. Dorrell is 9-9 the previous 2 seasons in
Game Grade	Smu By 40.7	Southland Conference games & now the Wildcats are headed to the WAC & will play the "AQ7" teams this year before fully transitioning to WAC games in 2022. The biggest concern looking at the 2021 ACU
Computer Yards		Wildcats is their OLine. While they didn't produce great rush numbers and sacks were an issue at times, it is tough to replace losing 4 starters with experience. Since a loss to James Madison in 2015 is 3-0 vs FCS here
Computer Points		with SMU and won those games by 42.6 ppg. Dykes is 6-4 ATS as a HF with SMU. Abeline Christian is a solid 7-2 ATS when facing an FBS school.
Phil Steele	Smu by 42	
	Siliu by 42	SMU 48 Abilene Christian 6

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Toledo By 37.5	
Las Vegas Total	58.5 Points	1st meeting. Spartans were supposed to play in the spring but opted out in February & their HC left in March. NSU finished 5-7 but that included a 24-21 loss to Old Dominion & 46-7 loss to Coastal
+/- Ratings	Toledo By 35.9	Carolina. Norfolk St. has been mired in mediocrity since their last winning season in '11. NSU had posted a record of 4-7 in five of the previous seven seasons headed into '19. NSU went 5-7 in '19
Game Grade	Toledo By 99.7	and Dawson Odums (63-32 at Southern) took over in 2021 after Norfolk did not play in either last fall or this past spring. When not playing nearby rival Old Dominion, Norfolk is 0-10 vs FBS schools
Computer Yards	Toledo 83649	(4-4 ATS) with the average loss by 37.3 ppg. Toledo has won 11 in a row vs FCS schools (6-1 ATS) with the average win by 39.7 ppg.
Computer Points	Toledo 53-6	
Phil Steele	Toledo by 49	Toledo 55 Norfolk St 6
		Totalo Do Mortolik St o

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Washington By 23.5	Washington leads the series 17-1-1 (lone loss was 1st gm in 1920). Last time here ('17), UW rolled as they outgained Montana 506-163, out-FD'd them 25-10, had 6 sacks & scored 28
Las Vegas Total	54.5 Points	pts off of 4 TO's in a 63-7 win (-38'). The Grizzlies have not had a losing season since going 5-6 in 2012 but from 2016-2018, their seasons ended on with a loss to rival Montana St. That loss contributed to them missing the playoffs those 3 years. In 2019, they came into
+/- Ratings	Washington By 36.2	the Brawl of the Wild, at 9-3 off an upset of #3 Weber St but trailed 24-0 2Q and lost 48-14. They still made the playoffs and had a 1st week bye. They took their frustrations out on SE Louisiana winning 73-28. They then had a rematch with Weber St, in a defensive struggle
Game Grade	Washington By 23.8	in weather that included rain, fog & snow. They threw 5 int's & gave up a blocked punt TD in the 4Q to lose 17-10. They originally decided to sit out the spring season but scheduled 2 games in late, which increased their number of practices allowed from 15 to 29. They beat
Computer Yards	Washington 78698	DII Central Washington 59-3 and beat Portland St 48-7. HC Bobby Hauck led Montana to 7 straight Big Sky Titles from 2003-'09 before becoming the HC at UNLV for 5 yrs. He then spent 3 yrs at SDSt as STC before returning as HC at 2018. In '19 Montana was +39.5 and
Computer Points	Washington 48-8	only lost at Oregon 35-3. Washington beat EWash 47-14 in '19 (-22.5) and they are 16-0 vs FCS since 2000 and are 10-6 ATS. Washington is my $\#1$ Surprise team this year but they do have a big game vs Michigan on deck.
Phil Steele	Washington by 35	
		Washington 45 Montana 10

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Vanderbilt By 21.5	These two had never met until 2019 when Vandy won 38-3 (-20.5)on Senior Day. Vandy is 5-2 ATS when home opener is non-conf. The Buccanneers went 4-2 in spring. They were
Las Vegas Total	44.5 Points	dormant from '03-'15. They were an Independent tin 2015 going 2-9 that first year and - 335 ypg vs SoCon foes. They were back in the SoCon in 2016 and went a respectable 5-6 and -150 ypg. They were 4-7 and -95 ypg in 2017. In Sanders first year they made GREAT
+/- Ratings	Vanderbilt By 15.3	strides going 8-2 before dropping a game vs Samford but still made the playoffs. They lost in the first round to Jacksonville St 34-27 despite a 436-421 yard edge. Surprisingly they fell to
Game Grade	Vanderbilt By 23.7	just 2-10 in 2019 going winless in the SoCon but were just -63 ypg. This spring they went 4-2 and were TRI-Champs in the SoCon and \pm 30 ypg. All their games were close with wins by 7, 7, 7 and 4 and losses by 4 & 8. The shared title was their first since 1969 when they were
Computer Yards	Vanderbilt 710-11	in the OVC. They did defeat VMI the team that took the title and made the playoff. QB play will be the key this year as they have arguably the best run game and defense combo of any team in the league. You would think that Vandy would not overlook a FCS foe and they are
Computer Points	Vanderbilt 34-10	18-0 SU since 1999 vs FCS teams but just 1-6 ATS the last 7. ETST is 0-6 SU and 0-3 ATS vs FBS teams losing the last 3 by 43 ppg. Vandy has 17 returning starters led by QB Ken Seals but a new HC. This is the first home game for Clark Lea so he should be excited.
Phil Steele	Vanderbilt by 24	Vanderbilt 34 East Tennessee St 10
		validerblit 34 East Tennessee St 10

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Tcu By 49.5	Prior to last year's loss to Iowa St, TCU had won 18 straight home openers. Horned Frogs have won 12 straight vs FCS foes s/'06. Duquense is a member of the NEC & went 4-1 in the FCS Spring season. Jerry Schmitt enters
Las Vegas Total		his 17th season at the helm of Duquesne. The Dukes have won 8 conference titles under Schmitt including 5 in the NEC and 2 playoff berths ('15 & '18). In '18 the Dukes went 8-3 in the regular season (+80 ypg vs FCS) with
+/- Ratings	Tcu By 43.7	2 losses vs FBS foes and a 1 pt loss. They won their first FCS playoff game vs Towson then were blown out by SDSU, finishing 9-3. In '19 the Dukes started 2-2, won 4 straight then lost their final 3, their 6-5 record was their worst since 2014. They won their first 4 games in spring but lost the NEC Title game to Sacred Heart, who they
Game Grade	Tcu By 51.6	beat in the opener, despite a +91 yd edge. Only 7 starters returned in the spring for Duquesne, yet they were 4-0 before losing the NEC Title game despite a +91 yd edge. HC Schmitt is a veteran and has loaded his roster
Computer Yards	Tcu 838106	with 14 FBS transfers and with 16 starters back including 10 on defense, they will make another run at an NEC Title. They are 2-1 ATS vs FBS teams but only have faced Buffalo in '14, UMass and Hawaii. TCU is 12-0 SU vs
Computer Points	Tcu 54-3	the FCS since a loss to Northwestern St in 2001. They have won by 48.1 ppg but are just 5-5-1 ATS. TCU does have Cal on deck but are much better than most expect and are just 11-11 SU the last 2 years and need to gain confidence.
Phil Steele	Tcu by 49	TCU 52 Duquesne 3
		100 DE Daquestie D

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Utep By 19.5	First meeting. UTEP is 2-6 ATS vs FCS opponents and last 3 wins were by combined 16 pts. UTEP played New Mexico St last week and was impressive. UTEP led 20-3 at half with 50, 72, 36, and 59 yard passes they rolled to a 30-3 win with a 452-190 yard edge. Bethune was projected to finish 2nd in the MEAC in 2019 but dropped 3 straight at the end of the. Year and finished 4th. The Wildcats didn't play in '20. Bethune Cookman lost 63-0 at Miami in '19 but did cover 3 straight vs FBS in '17-'18. They lose their QB top 2 RB and top 2 receivers and return just 4 of the top 15 tacklers from '19. My computer has UTEP rolling to a 48-6 win and the spread is very manageable at 19.5. UTEP needs to flex their muscles early and with New Mexico and Old Dominion upcoming could open up 4-1. QB Hardison has an NFL caliber arm and WR's Cowing and Garrett can deliver the big plays. UTEP should have a decent crowd and enthusiasm and are taking on a team that has not played in two years and is down a lot of major weapons from that '19 squad.
Las Vegas Total	51.5 Points	
+/- Ratings	Utep By 39.5	
Game Grade	Utep By 76.3	
Computer Yards	Utep 70922	
Computer Points	Utep 42-6	
Phil Steele	Utep by 36	Utep 42 Bethune Cookman 6