Inside the Pressbox week 8	NORTHWESTERN 12:00 p.m.	SOUTH CAROLINA 7:30 p.m.
Thursday, October 21st	MICHIGAN	TEXAS A&M
TULANE	NORTHERN ILLINOIS 12:00 p.m.	MISSISSIPPI ST 4:00 p.m.
7:30 p.m. SMU	CENTRAL MICHIGAN	VANDERBILT
LOUISIANA	KENT ST 1:00 p.m.	WISCONSIN 3:00 p.m.
7:30 p.m.	OHIO	PURDUE
ARKANSAS ST FAU	CINCINNATI	LSU
7:30 p.m.	12:00 p.m. NAVY	3:30 p.m. MISSISSIPPI
CHARLOTTE	NC STATE	SOUTH ALABAMA
SAN JOSE ST 11:00 p.m.	7:30 p.m. MIAMI. FL	7:00 p.m. ULM
UNLV	★ WAKE FOREST	LIBERTY
Friday, October 22nd	12:00 p.m. ARMY	4:00 p.m. NORTH TEXAS
MIDDLE TENNESSEE 6:00 p.m.	TEMPLE	UTSA
CONNECTICUT	7:00 p.m.	7:00 p.m.
MEMPHIS 7:00 p.m.	USF	LOÙISIANA TECH WKU
UCF	EASTERN MICHIGAN 12:00 p.m.	7:00 p.m.
COLORADO ST	BOWLING GREEN	FIU
9:30 p.m. 	★ EAST CAROLINA 4:00 p.m.	SAN DIEGO ST 7:00 p.m.
WASHINGTON	HOUSTON	AIR FORCE
10:30 p.m. ARIZONA	OKLAHOMA 12:00 p.m.	USC 7:30 p.m.
Saturday, October 23rd	KANSAS	NOTRE DAME
WESTERN MICHIGAN	MIAMI, OH 3:30 p.m.	NEVADA 7:00 p.m.
3:30 p.m. TOLEDO	BALL ST	FRESNO ST
MASSACHUSETTS	NEW MEXICO 3:30 p.m.	NEW MEXICO ST 12:00 a.m.
12:00 p.m. FLORIDA ST	WYOMING	HAWAII
TEXAS ST	COLORADO	FBS vs FCS Games
2:00 p.m. 	3:30 p.m. CALIFORNIA	Saturday October 23rd
★ OHIO ST	UTAH	Arkansas Pine Bluff at Arkansas
7:30 p.m. INDIANA	7:30 p.m. OREGON ST	
BUFFALO	BYU	
3:30 p.m.	3:30 p.m. WASHINGTON ST	
AKRON ILLINOIS	OREGON	
12:00 p.m.	3:30 p.m. UCLA	
PENN ST	KANSAS ST	
GEORGIA TECH 7:30 p.m.	12:00 p.m. TEXAS TECH	
VIRGINIA	WEST VIRGINIA	
BOSTON COLLEGE 4:00 p.m.	7:30 p.m.	Phil Steele's
LOÚISVILLE	★ TCU OKLAHOMA ST	SPECIAL PLAYS OF THE WEEK
SYRACUSE 12:30 p.m.	3:30 p.m.	
VIRGINIA TECH	IOWA ST RICE	UPSET PLAY OF THE WEEK 'this is a Best Bet
CLEMSON 3:30 p.m.	3:30 p.m.	No Selection This week
PITTSBURGH	* UAB	
MARYLAND	TENNESSEE 7:00 p.m.	HIGH SCORING POW
3:30 p.m. MINNESOTA	ALABAMA	No Selection This week
MINNEOUIA		

						7	Γulan	ne Gr	een V	Vave										RALL:	OVERALL: 6-0									SM	U Mu	stang	JS								
						ΔP	Gr	reen Wa	ave Logs	s									vs.	AAC	vs. AAC								ΔP		Mustang	js Logs				TEA		PS R/			
TM REC FOE REC	CONF	FOE CONF	TM +,	/- FOE	+/- TM	FOE	DATE		FOE		SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST ST	RANK OFF	DEF	FOE ST	0	-2 NCAA RANKII	2-0 NGS	TM REC	REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	- FOE +/	- тм ′	FOE	DATE		FOE	s	CORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST ST	OFF	FOE DEF S	ят
0-0 0-0 0-1	0-0	0-0	127 131			2	9/4		lahoma irgan St		35-40 69-20	L W	69 76	72 71	73 92	1	3	22		2021 TEAM RAN		0-0 1-0	1-0	0-0	0-0	123 123				9/4 9/11		ne Christia Texas		56-9 35-12	W	29 28	84 85	32 78	56	115 4	16
1-1 2-0	0-0	0-0	129	14	1	17	9/18	at Mis	sissippi		21-61	L	65	71	33		43			lane	SMU	2-0	1-1	0-0	0-0	123	113			9/18	at Louis	iana Tech	3	39-37	W	11	85	99	111	90 9	93
1-2 2-1 1-3 2-2	0-0 0-0	1-0 0-0					9/25 10/2	UA at Eas	st Carolina		21-28 29-52	L L	67 72	98 106	32 40		27 94			Rush Off Pass Off	#36 #13		2-0 1-3	0-0	0-0 0-0	122 127				9/25 10/2	at TCU USF			12-34 11-17	W	10 6	85 92	99 105	14 112		17
1-4 4-1 1-5	0-1 0-2	2-0	119 117		7		10/9 10/16		uston		22-40	L	76 85	110 112	79 82	86	32	10	# 66 #35	Total Off Score Off		5-0 6-0	1-3	1-0 2-0	1-1	128 128	117	24 23		10/9 10/16	at Navy Bye		3	31-24	W	4 8	94 96	91 76	128	92 1	26
1-5 6-0	0-2		117	12		21	10/23	at SM	IU				80	114			98		#87	Sks Allowe	ed #1	6-0	1-5	2-0	0-2	128				10/23	Tular					12	98	60	80		91
6-0 3-3		2-0 1-2		14			10/30 11/6		ncinnati F							24 29	95	23 114	#106 #114	Rush Def Pass Def			5-1 4-3		3-0 1-2		129 121			10/30 11/6	at Hous at Mem								72 15		13 20
3-4 1-5		2-1 0-2		11 11			11/13 11/20									39 112	68 124			Total Def Score Def			3-3 6-0		1-2 2-0		123 148			11/13	UCF at Cinci	nnati							29 24		14 23
4-3		1-2		12				at Mei								15	97		#51	Sks By	#84		3-4		2-1		119			11/27	Tulsa								39		28
																			#111 -7	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#18 s -1																				-
				Ne	1		Offer	nse		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds										Net		1	Offen	se	Con	nn	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds	
Sc	ore		Yds	F	TO's		RA-RY	Y YP	PC .	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	s Sks					Sco			Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	At	t	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass S	iks
at Oklahoma Morgan St		35-4 69-2	0 356			32	49-29	4 6.		7-45 1-34	296 290	0			1.3	31-40 17-29							ene Christ th Texas	ian	56-9 35-12	158 31	-3	-2	26 20	38-171 31-225		26-1		319 312	0		28-119 41-122		15-36 34-55		2
at Mississippi UAB		21-6					38-13 28-90			.0-18 !8-50	166 305	2				23-33 19-27				e e		at Louis	isiana Tech	n	39-37 42-34	95 149	5 9	-2		38-183 52-350		36-1 17-1		395 245	0		30-132 30-170		24-41 18-28		1
at East Carolina		29-5	2 -208	3 -6	-4	19	31-12	4 4.	.0 2	:3-38	280	2	25	44-310	7.0	22-34	302	. 2		rc	St	USF	:		41-17	127	11	0	30	40-162	4.1	29-4	42	301	0	19	37-113	3.1	14-22	223	2
Houston Bye		22-4	0 -127	7 -1	-1	17	38-94	4 2.	.5 2	0-31	214	8	18	36-147	4.1	23-36	288	2			Mustangs	at Navy Bye			31-24	163	10	-2	22	29-80	2.8	30-4	40	324	1	12	53-177	3.3	4-7	64	3
at SMU																				Green	ıst	Tula	ene																		
Cincinnati at UCF																			(ž	at Hous	nphis																		_
Tulsa																			_	апе (SMU	UCF at Cinci																			_
at Memphis																				ulane	S	Tulsa																			
																			ا ا	-																					_
Average		33-4	0 -75.	5 -2.	8 -1.2	2 22	36-14	0 3.	.9 59	9.7%	259	7.4%	25	43-197	4.6	67.8%	6 277	7.5%	,			Av	verage		41-22	120.5	7.2	-0.2	26	38-195	5.1	69.7	7%	316	0.4%	19	37-139	3.8	57.7%	252 6.3	3%
Conference Away			6 -167. 1 -214.				35-10 ⁻ 34-12			2.3% 9.4%		14.5% 7.9%						5.7%					onference ome				10.5 6.3			35-121 36-186		72.0 69.1			1.2% 0.0%					144 17. 273 5.3	
												•								Position by Positio	n Edges SMU																				
																				Tulane QB/\																					
																			0.92	vs. SMU DB's																					
																				Tulane RB'	's 1.06																				
																				SMU LB's Tulane OL																					
																			0.19	vs.																					
																			-	SMU DL Tulane DL																					
																				vs.	1.49																				
																				SMU OL Tulane LB	s																				
																				vs. SMU RB's	0.73																				
																				Tulane DB	's																				
																				vs. SMU QB/W	2.73																				
Last Fi	e Years	Meet	ings (L	ast 22	years a		teele.co	2m) . то)'s				Proje	cted	Box	Score	•			Tulane ST'			Category			Team	& Margin							as AAC		ast year				Roberson	but
Tulane	r.dnk	68.5	Score 34	e Fi 23	48-19		-29-192	10												vs. SMU ST's	1.68	Las Veg	gas Line	ſ		Smir	ı By 14		would v	wind up v sion but	with a tric	of 100-y d a muffe	d recei	vers. Si punt an	MU was d score	off a by	e. Tulan went up	e went 3 10-7 and	&out on then th	their 1st e teams tra	ded
SMU	17	-6.5	34	25			-38-439	0	,				D-	niecto:	d Rush	ina				Tulane K		1				31110	. by 14		TD's an punt Si	d then T MU) to le	ulane hit ad 31-27	a late 1H early 4Q.	FG It t	o make got a TD	it 17-1 then T	7 at half ulane ar	(311-20 swered	2 SMU). with a FO	Tulane 1 3 1:30 le	vent 37/6 (I ft to tie. SM	bad 1U
2019	Dank	-0.5	3/ Enn	2:	9 43-14 D Rusi		p-Att-Yds	то			117 y	ards	Tula			ing MU	21	7 yards	0.25	vs.		Las Veg	gas Total	ı		70 =	Points		had a 5	7 yd FG SW FG to	go off the win 37-	e upright 34 with a !	as time 581-38	e expire 7 yd edg	d 4Q. I ge. SMl	n OT Tul J is 5-1	ane was SU off a	picked of bye while	off and the Tulane	ien SMU go is 5-3 ATS	t a off
Tulane	r.dnk	70.5	20	e Fi 26			-37-242	10		nts	11/ Y	ulus			d Pass		21	, yaius	0.23	vs. SMU K		-				70.5	- Conts		a bye s L4Y as	ince '15 a road u	. SMU is ! inderdog.	9-3 ATS th SMU is a	ne L3Y perfec	under D t 6-0 SL	ykes as J and 4	s a home -2 ATS t	e favorite his year.	while T Oklahor	ulane is ma trans	4-8-1 ATS t fer Tanner	the
SMU				18			-37-242			nte	263 y	ards				ing MU	26	7 vardo		Tulane Coacl	has	+/- Rat	itings	ſ		Smr	Bv 14.5													sed a beat and Ulysses	
2018	Rank	-3.5	37 Score				-2/-180 p-Att-Yds	то	n's	of Co	203 Y	ul US	Tula		ardage		36	7 yards		Tulane Coaci	0.20	-				3mu	Бу 14.5		Bentley a 29-20	has rus	hed for 4 e at TCU.	105 (7.4). In their l	They dast gan	lid need ne SMU	a tippe was ju:	ed pass I st 2-11 o	Hail Mary	to get p	ast LTed r Navy q	h but then I ot a 20 yd F	had R
Z018 Tulane	r.dnk	-7	23	e Fi			-23-153	10		ple	379 y	ards	Tula		_	MU	5,0.	4 yards		SMU Coache		Game G	Grade	ı		Smiri	By 15.1		TD the the EZ	Middies on 1&G	led 21-7 at 9 and	but Masse missed a	y got a 51 yd F	95 yd I G on la:	KR TD a st play	and SMU of half a	pulled b ind had 1	ack to 2 L4-7 FD e	1-21 H. edge. Tie	SMU was int d 24-24 SM	tin 1U
SMU		57	27	17			-40-291	2	,	та Та	3,3 y				Final S		50	. ,		Tulane Pts		1				Sind	_,,		came ii	nto the s	eason wi	th high ho	pes an	d took 0	Oklahor	na to the	e wire in	the oper	ner. The	at 5. Tulan have now	lost
2017	Rank	Line	Score				p-Att-Yds			Ē	28 pc	nints	Tula			MU	40	points	1.36	vs.	7.89	Comput	iter Yards	ſ		Smu	584-379		midsea	son thei	r top rush	ner is Cam	neron C	Carroll w	ith just	215 (4.	The T	ulane D	is allowi	o, 13-5) but ng foes 100)
Tulane	r.dnk	67	38	e Fi 27			-31-314	10		Retu	20 βι				e Rani		40	ponts	1.30	vs. SMU Pts	7.89	-				Sillu S	234-379		ypg ab in their	ove their last gan	r season ne did on	average. ' ly trail Ho	They would be seen 1	ere don 17-15 at	ninated half bu	by Ole it UH go	Miss and t 39 & 56	East Car	rolina on Irives af	the road a er Tulane v	nd
SMU		-7.5		24			-31-314	-2	,		6	3	Tula			MU		46				Comput	ıter Points	ı		£m	ı 48-28		SOD ar 2020 th	nd pulled ney open	away 40 ed 2-4 b)-22. In 20 ut went 4-	018 Tul -1 and i	ane was made a	2-5 bu bowl so	ut then w	ent 5-1 in convir	including ice his te	a bowl am they	vin and in have a lot	to
2016	Rank	-7.5	Score				p-Att-Yds				0.	-				rength			PC	OSITIONAL EDGE AV	VARDED TO:	\vdash				Sinu	- 40-20		which h	nas SMU	by 21.6.	Tulane is	allowin	ig 197 y	pg rush	1 (4.6) a	nd is #12	22 in my	pass D	lane is at 8 anking	6.5
Z016 Tulane	r.dnk	-2	Score 31	e Fi			-26-164	10			8:	3	Tula			mu		120		SMU		Phil Ste	eele	ſ		Smir	ı by 22		allowin	g 68% w	rith a 16-	5 ratio and	d will h	ave a to	ugh tin	ne keepi	ng up wi	th this po	otent SM	U offense.	
SMU		-2 51	35				-26-164	-1	1		0.	-	rule	c	-	10		120		D. 6						31110	. Dy ZZ						SM	U 49	Tu	lane	27				
		31			. 45-13	. 10	J1 302	-												By 6.53 Poi	intS																				
																																									_

						Loui	siana	a Ragi	in Cajı	uns										OVERALL:	<u> </u>					А	rkans	as St	Red	Wol	ves						
								ı Cajuns											5-1 . SUN	1-5 vs. SUN								Red Wol									
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	- TM	AP FOE	DATE		:OE	cconr	***	OFF	EAM DEF		RANK OFF	DEF	FOE ST		3-0 NCAA RANKINGS	0-2	TM REC FO	E TM	FOE F CONF	TM +/- F	OE +/-	AP TM FO	DE DATE		FOE		CCORE		TEA	M DEF	PS RA	NK	FOE DEF S
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0		139				at Texas	-OE	18-38		47	33	ST 7	15	34	6		2021 TEAM RANKINGS	-	0-0	0-0		110		IM F	9/4	Cent	tral Arka		40-21	W/L	OFF 86	112	66	OFF	DEF S
0-1	0-0		127				9/11	Nicholls	5	27-24	W	65	35	9				Loi		Arkansas St	1-0 1-	0-0	0-0	111	126		9/11	Men	nphis		50-55	L	77	107	83	35	66
1-1 0-2 2-1 1-2	0-0	0-0		113			9/18 9/25 a	Ohio at GA Sout	ithern	49-14 28-20		74 64	49 81	4	102 115			#44	Rush Off	#127	1-1 0- 1-2 0-				126 124		9/18	at Was			3-52 34-41	L L	59 78	111	90 83	31 63	72 1
3-1 3-0	1-0	0-0	127	105			10/2 a	at South A	Alabama	20-18	W	62	91	2	102	74	72	#77	Pass Off	#6	1-3 1-	3 0-0	0-1	110	111		10/2	at GA 9	Southern		33-59	L	90	120	53	113	121 6
4-1 4-1	2-0	1-0		131			10/9	Appalac	chian St	41-13	W	64 60	96 86	5	30	44	23	# 57 #53	Total Off	# 42 #54	1-4 5-	0 -1			133	1	5 10/7		stal Caro	lina	20-52	L	90	124	46 34	5	84 1
5-1 5-1 1-5	3-0 3-0	0-2	129 133	105			10/16 10/23 a	Bye at Arkansa	as St			57	89	8 25	106	130	32		Score Off Sks Allowed	#121	1-5 1-5 5-			105 105	133		10/16		siana				109 106	129 130	32	57	89 2
2-4		1-1		106			10/30	Texas S							111				Rush Def	#128	4-		1-2		112			at Sout								87	62 9
2-4 4-3		1-1 2-1		116 117			11/6 11/13 a	Georgia at Trov	a St						90 101	117 54	116 121		Pass Def Total Def	#128 #129	4- 3-		1-1		122 97		11/6	at ULM	alachian	St						38 130	59 2 126 1:
5-2		0-0		131			11/20 a	at Liberty							78	39	105	#42	Score Def	#129	2-	4	1-1		116		11/20	at Geo	rgia St							90	117 1
3-3		1-2		97			11/27	ULM							130	126	118	#59 #63	Sks By Ypg Diff	#110 #123	2-	4	1-1		106		11/27	Texa	as St							111	111 7
																		+2	Total TO's	-3																	
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds									Net		Offe		•	omp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds
Sco	re		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC		Pass	Sks					Score		Yds		'0's F	D RA-RY			Att	Pass	Sks		RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass S
at Texas		18-38		1	-1		29-76	2.6	28-40	282	4	21	42-170				3				Central A	kansas		175			5 39-19			8-38	319	3		20-42		23-42	296
Nicholls Ohio		27-24 49-14		-4 17	0		31-113 49-310	3.6 6.3	19-33 25-34	304 252	1	24 16	37-152 28-112		26-37 14-27		3		દ	es	Memphis at Washingto	n	50-55 3-52				5 32-98 5 23-48			2-66 2-49	582 220	2		37-263 39-200		22-33 25-44	417 4 398
at GA Southern		28-20		-5	1		37-129	3.5	17-28	249	1		57-278				3		<u> </u>	≥	at Tulsa		34-41		-6	-1 2	0 26-38			3-37	321	6				17-25	355
at South Alabama Appalachian St		20-18		6	-1 3		33-225 44-246	6.8 5.6	10-21 15-25	58 209	1	15 16	43-144 29-76				2		Cajun	Wolves	at GA South Coastal C		33-59 20-52			-4 3 -1 1	3 25-9: 6 17-18			6-61 0-41	443 255	6 4				3-13 22-27	37 (391 (
Bye Sye		41-13	244	0	3	22	44-240	5.0	15-25	209	1	10	29-76	2.0	15-27	133			Ragin Ca	פ	Bye	arolina	20-52	-412	-/	-1 1	0 17-10	3 1.1	21	0-41	200	4	23	30-294	8.2	22-21	391 (
at Arkansas St																			Ragin AVERAG	Red	Louisiana																
Texas St Georgia St																				રુ	at South Ala Appalachi																
at Troy																			A A		at ULM																
at Liberty ULM																			uisiana	ıŞı	at Georgia S Texas St	t															
OLI-I																				ā	TEXES SC																
																		_	으	Arkansas																	
Average		31-21	34.3	2.8	0.3	22	37-183	4.9	63.0%	226	5.0%	19	39-155	3.9	60.6%	219	8.2%	,		-	Average	:	30-47	7 -146.2	1.0 -	0.5 2	4 27-8:	1 3.0	58	3.6%	357	8.2%	23	39-268	7.0	60.9%	316 4.9
Conference			21.7	1.0		19 19	38-200	5.3	56.8%	172	4.1%		43-166 47-197								Confere	nce		-209.0 3 -79.0		2.5 2				1.9%		9.8%					214 0.0 368 4.9
Away		22-2.	-85.3	-0.7	-0.3	19	33-143	4.3	61.8%	190	0.776	20	4/-13/	4.2	00.6%	220	10.15	0	Position by Position Ed	dges	Home		37-43	5 -79.0	4.7	J./ Z	5 29-10	3 3.5	02	2.1%	303	0.270	21	31-200	0.4	03.776	300 4.:
																		Lou		rkansas St																	
																		1.78	Louisiana QB/WI vs.	R																	
																			Arkansas St DB's																		
																		1.52	Louisiana RB's vs.																		
																			Arkansas St LB's	5																	
																		2.62	Louisiana OL vs.																		
																			Arkansas St DL																		
																		1.42	Louisiana DL																		
																		1.42	vs. Arkansas St OL																		
																		2.57	Louisiana LB'S																		
																		2.57	vs. Arkansas St RB's	s																	
																			Louisiana DB's																		
																			vs. Arkansas St QB/W	1.17 VR																	
<u>Last Fiv</u>	e Years	Meeti	ngs (La	st 22 y	ears at	PhilStee	ele.com))				Des.	o et a d	Deve	Coc.:-				Louisiana ST's		Cate	jory		Team & M	1argin						PI	nil's Foreca	ıst:				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's				Proj	ected	DOX :	ocore				vs.	0.21	Las Vegas Lir	ne.				Ark	St has fac	ed Louisi	iana mor	re time:	s than a	ny othe	r curren	t SBC for	e (Ark S	t trails 2	27-20-1). T
Louisiana	-	-14.5	27	19	38-196	17-29	9-244												Arkansas St ST's	5	cyas Li			Louisiana	By 18	Caj	uns have v	won 9 of	the last	13 me	etings a	gainst th	e Red V	/olves &	are 9-4	ATS in	that same
Arkansas St	-	69	20	24	44-199	21-40	-224	-1				P	rojecte	d Rush	ing				Louisiana K							stra	ight game	over Ark	St but	on sho	rt rest t	hey traile	ed the R	ed Wolve	es 6-0 a	t the ha	If (108-18
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's		267	yards	Loui	isiana	Arkai	nsas St	63	yards		vs.		Las Vegas To	tal		70 Poi	nts												(missed 2- try to tie tl
Louisiana	-	-6.5	37	26	46-315			-	nts				rojecte						Arkansas St K							gan	ne 20-20.	LA went	61/6 (1:	:07) to	go up 2	7-20 &	Ark St w	as int'd	to seal t	he win	for the
	-								nter									-			+/- Ratings																r the L2Y a
Arkansas St	-	68	20	27	49-170			0	S	257	yards		isiana		nsas St	365	yards		Louisiana Coache	15				Louisiana I	sy 24.0	the	Cajuns. O	ver the L	2Y LA h	as play	ed 10 g	ames wh	ere the	game w	as decid	ed by 1	ek to prep O pts or les
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's	e of			Proj	ected Y	'ardage	Total			1.50	vs.		Game Grade																games. La op St 41-13
Louisiana	-	70.5	47	22	39-228	19-28	3-319		to Table	524	yards	Loui	isiana	Arka	nsas St	428	yards		Arkansas St Coach	nes	l			Louisiana l	Зу 12.5	with	n a 455-2	11 yd edg	ge. The p	orior tw	o weeks	they or	ly beat	Georgia :	Souther	n by 8 a	and South
Arkansas St	-	-3	43	27	45-208	17-31	-270	1	5			Pro	ojected	Final S	core				Louisiana Pts			_															on a QB wit
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's	Return	45 p	ooints	Loui	isiana	Arkaı	nsas St	26	points	11.40	vs.	1.39	Computer Ya	rds		Louisiana 5	24-428	but	they have	combine	ed for a 1	17-7 ra	atio. ASI	J's D [°] is a	llowing a	an alarm	ing 7.43	ypc ru	sh vs FBS f
Louisiana	_	65	3	17	41-91	15-36	5-179		Ret			Fvi	perienc						Arkansas St Pts																		ut of #130 exas and th
																	61				Computer Po	ints		Lautetee	4E 2C	are	at their be	est when	able to r	run the	e ball do	wn an op	ponent	's throat	. The AC	G's only	show ULL
Arkansas St	-	-12.5	47	30				1			6		isiana		nsas St		64	P	OSITIONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:				Louisiana	45-26												Ark St has ction here.
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's				Tean	n Sched						Louisiana		Phil Steele					0.50				(1	3	/	90 11	, 0			
Louisiana	-	49	24	15	33-50	13-24	1-242			9	99	Loui	isiana	Arkaı	nsas St		59							Louisiana	by 19				Loui	icis:	na 1	5 Arl	/anc	ac 6	- 26		
Arkansas St	-	-5	19	28	33-128	35-57	7-393	-1											By 10.02 Point	ts									Loui	ısıal	a +	JAIT	ans	a5 31	. 20		
					-5 120	33 37													By 10.02 Point	ts																	

									_									OVE	ALL: O\	/ERALL:	<u> </u>						Sharilana 40a							
								U Owl	5									3 vs. 0		4-2 s. CUSA							Charlotte 49e	rs						
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOF ±/	_ A	.P							АМ		RANK-		FOE	1	1	2-0	TM REC FOE	тм	FOE	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP					TEAM		PS RANK		FOE
0-0 0-0	O-0	0-0	116	135	TM	FOE 13	DATE 9/4 ;	FO at Florida	E	SCORE 14-35	W/L L	OFF 91	DEF 44	ST 108	OFF 32				NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKING	<u> </u>	0-0 0-0			108 114	TM	FOE I	ATE FOE 4 Duke	31-28	W/L W					DEF ST 102 11
0-1 1-0	0-0	0-0	116	115			9/11	GA South	nern	38-6	W	101	44	113	99			F.		harlotte	1-0	0-0		110		9/	11 Gardner-Webb	38-10	W	116 1	.17	115	03	
1-1 2-1 2-1	0-0	0-1	120 120	121			9/18 9/25 a	Fordham at Air Force		45-14 7-31	W L	82 74	50 65	70 37		91	129		Rush Off	#50	2-0 0-2 2-1 1-2						 18 at Georgia St 25 Middle Tenness 	9-20 see 42-39	L W					107 103 87 121
2-2 1-3	0-0	0-0		108			10/2	FIU		58-21		88	87	38				#33	Pass Off	#70	3-1 1-4						/2 at Illinois	14-24	L					70 53
3-2 3-2 3-3	1-0	1-0	120 118	123			10/9 a	at UAB Bye		14-31	L	56 76	86 82	42 51	80	58	85	#35 #60	Total Off Score Off	# 61 #56	3-2 1-4 4-2	1-0 2-0		111 104 112			/9 at FIU /16 Bye	45-33	W			102 8 74	37	118 36
3-3 4-2	1-1	2-0		112				at Charlotte				73	80	50	65	119	9 63	#109	Sks Allowed	#38	4-2 3-3						/23 Florida Atlantic						73	80 50
6-1		3-0		113			10/30	UTEP							75				Rush Def	#124	2-4		1-1				/30 at WKU							109 38
4-3 1-6		2-1 0-3		119 103			11/6 11/13 a	Marshall at Old Domi	nion						48 115				Pass Def Total Def	#63 #112	2-4 2-4		1-1 1-1				/6 Rice /13 at Louisiana Tech							118 127 96 112
2-4		1-1		121			11/20 a								44			#53	Score Def	#70	4-3		2-1				/20 Marshall							67 74
2-4		1-2		116			11/27	Middle Te	nnessee						122	103	3 110	#124 #42	Sks By Ypg Diff	#84 #102	1-6		0-3	103		1	/27 at Old Dominion					1	15	93 42
																		-2	Total TO's	+1														
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense	,	Com	p Yds	1							Net			Offense Con	np Yds		Def	fense	Co	omp	Yds
Score	e		Yds	FD	TO's		RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY		Att	Pas						Score		Yds FD	TO's	FD R	A-RY YPC At	t Pass	Sks			YPC A	Att I	Pass Sks
at Florida GA Southern		14-35 38-6		-3 10	2		37-92 44-209	2.5 4.8	19-33 19-29	261 332	5	26 17	46-400 38-139	8.7	20-3 14-2						Duke Gardner-W	ehh	31-28	3 -102 -3 0 260 10	-1		1-154 3.9 19- 1-306 6.7 15-		1					228 3 50 2
Fordham		45-14		23	-1	32	49-246	5.0	30-46	301	0	9	18-29								at Georgia St		9-20	-161 -3	1	17 3	-118 3.0 13-	28 158	2	20 49				139 1
at Air Force		7-31		-14	-1		20-141	7.1	11-33	78	1	24	73-446		2-5		0			w	Middle Teni	nessee	42-39		-1		1-220 5.5 35-		0					379 1 78 0
FIU at UAB		58-21 14-31		14 3	-3		47-296 38-52	6.3 1.4	24-28 21-36	408 239	0	16 16	27-69 34-133					-	E E	ers	at Illinois at FIU		14-24 45-33		-1 1		7-72 2.7 17- 1-179 4.7 22-		3		-336)-72		-19 -30	
Bye																		1 -	AVERAG	49	Bye													
at Charlotte UTEP																			5 💆		Florida Atla at WKU	ntic												
Marshall																			2 ≦	<u>8</u>	Rice													
at Old Dominion at WKU																		1	- 총	arlotte	at Louisiana T Marshall	ech												
Middle Tennesse	e																	1	#	5	at Old Dominio	on												
																				_														
Average Conference			66.3	5.5 8.5	-0.3		39-173	4.4 4.1	60.5% 70.3%	270 324	9.8%			5.2							Average Conferen			5 -42.8 1.5 5 -51.5 5.0	0.2		1-175 4.6 71.2 1-200 5.1 89.1		5.9% 4.7%		-224 7-98	5.7 58. 3.7 67.		223 7.99 423 8.69
Away			2 -170.7		-1.0 -1.3	17	43-174 32-95	3.0	50.0%		12.5% 14.7%						4.6%				Home	ce		5 -51.5 5.0 5 71.7 4.0		25 4				22 27 21 36				
																			Position by Position Edg															
																		E	FAU QB/WR	narlotte														
																		1.03	vs.															
																			Charlotte DB's FAU RB's															
																			vs.	0.05														
																			Charlotte LB's FAU OL															
																		1.76	vs.															
																			Charlotte DL FAU DL															
																			vs.	1.15														
																			Charlotte OL FAU LB'S															
																		0.54	FAU LB'S															
																			Charlotte RB's															
																		0.90	FAU DB's vs.															
																			Charlotte QB/WR															
Last Five	Rank	Meeti	ngs (La: Score	st 22 y	ears at	Comp-A)				Proje	ected	Box	Score	е			FAU ST's		Catego	ory		Team & Margin				Ph	il's Fored	cast:				
Florida Atlantic	- NAIIR	-5	21	15	33-217	11-2												0.17	vs. Charlotte ST's		Las Vegas Line	9		Fau By 7										
	-	-5 61	17	15	41-82	24-32		-1				р.	roject-	d Duck	inc				FAU K					rau by /			has covered 4 straight							
Charlotte		61	1/					-1		225				d Rush			7	1		^ 75	Las Vegas Tot	al		E7 P-1-4-			 o at half, FAU scored a drove to FAU 9 but ra 							
2019	Kank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's	unts	229	yards		AU		arlotte	14	7 yards	1	vs.	0.75	-			57 Points		nome and	4-0 as a HD under He	aly including	an ups	et of Duke i	n the o	pener. Ch	narlotte	e did not
Florida Atlantic	-	65.5	45	22	51-236	21-28			onte	22-			-	d Pass	-			-	Charlotte K		+/- Ratings			F P P -			expectations but have but settled for short FO							
Charlotte	-	-1	27	17	27-52	19-38		-2	ပိုင်	237	yards		AU		arlotte		6 yards		FAU Coaches					Fau By 3.0		33 with F	U having 538-378 yd	edge. Charlot	te QB (Chris Reyno	lds is hi	itting 65%	% with	a super 15
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's	ole c			-		ardage			_	1	vs.	0.75	Game Grade						d the 49ers are rushin #128) above their sea							
Florida Atlantic	-	-17	24	23	49-187	16-28			to Table of	465	yards		AU .		arlotte	34	3 yards	<u> </u>	Charlotte Coaches					Fau By 13.5	r	oad losse	s to Florida, Air Force a	nd UAB were	all exp	ected. FAU	is holdi	ng foes to	0 20 yr	g below
Charlotte	-	54.5	27	23	50-321	10-17		0	n to					Final S				1	FAU Pts		Computer Yar	ds					on average. The Owls I Nkosi Perry (Miami Fla							
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's	sturn	30 p	ooints		AU		arlotte	2	5 points	4.39	vs.	2.70				Fau 465-343		USF tran	sfer) has rushed for 31	1 (5.6) and N	1alcolm	Davidson (PS#77) has 225	(4.7).	FAU has
		-23.5	31	31	63-318	18-22	2-245		Re			Exp	erienc	e Rank					Charlotte Pts		Computer Poir	nts					the tougher schedule (an AGG of 99.0 while						-43 y	py so FAU is
Florida Atlantic	-																							Fau 30-25		, .								
Florida Atlantic Charlotte	-	64.5	12	10	30-84	10-22	2-171	2		1	11		AU		arlotte		113	PC	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDE	D TO:				Fau 30-25						(,,			
Florida Atlantic	- Rank		12 Score	10 FD	30-84 Rush	Comp-A	Att-Yds	2 TO's						dule St	rength			PC		ED TO:	Phil Steele										,,			
Florida Atlantic Charlotte	- Rank -						Att-Yds 2-212				11	Team		dule St			113	PC	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDE	ED TO:	Phil Steele			Fau by 11				:AU 35			, ,			

						San	Jose	St Spa	artans	s							OVERALL:		ERALL:						u	NLV Re	bels						
								rtans Logs		_							3-4 vs. MTW		0-6 s. MTW							Rebels Lo							
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	TM AI	FOE D	ATE	FOE		SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF DEF		RANK OFF	FOE DEF	ST	1-2	NCAA RANKINGS	0-2	TM REC FOE REC	TM F	FOE TONE	ΓM +/- FOE +/-	AP TM FO	E DATE	. F	ne .	SCORE V	W/L OF	TEAM F DEF	PS RAN		FOE DEF ST
0-0	0-0		124		IM			Southern U	Jtah	45-14		92 58		UFF	DEF	31		21 TEAM RANKINGS	S	0-0	0-0		105	IM FO	9/4		Washingto		L 11		121	OFF	DEF SI
1-0 0-0 1-1	0-0	0-0	124 122	134		15 9/4 9/1		USC Bye		7-30		88 57 89 59		12	19	12	San Jose S	it .	UNLY	0-1 1-0 0-2 1-1			106 131 106 141	2:	9/11	at Arizona Iowa St			L 12				26 13 18 121
1-1 1-2	0-0	0-0	122	114		9/1		: Hawaii		17-13	W	97 54	90	87			115	Rush Off	#98	0-3 3-1	0-0 (0-0	104 131		9/25	at Fresno S		30-38	L 12	6 126	98	22	64 95
2-1 2-1 2-2 1-4	1-0 1-0	0-0	122 117	118 95		9/2		New Mexico		3-23 37-31		115 46 111 57		84 118			74 110	Pass Off Total Off	#112 #123	0-4 4-0 0-5	0-1 0-1		108 129 109		10/2	at UTSA Bye		17-24	L 12		104 108	64	50 11
3-2 1-3	1-0	0-0	114	122		10,	/9 at	Colorado St	it	14-32	L	113 67	56	112	114	89 #	116	Score Off	#115	0-5 3-2	0-1	1-1	109 116		10/16	Utah St		24-28	L 12	5 122	109		105 37
3-3 5-0 3-4 0-6	1-1	1-0 0-2		126 109		24 10, 10	/16 /23 at	San Diego S	St	13-19		114 64 0 0	67 0	72 123			78 44	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#117 #68	0-6 3-4 5-1		1-2 2-0	109 113 128		10/23	San Jos at Nevada	e St		12	3 123			64 67 70 27
4-2		0-2		117		10,	/30	Wyoming						105	58	104 #	60	Pass Def	#125	2-5	(0-3	103		11/6	at New Me	xico					125	82 76
5-1 4-2		2-0 2-1		128 116				Nevada Utah St						67 52			47	Total Def Score Def	#115 #114	3-4 6-0		1-2 2-0	117 126		11/13		go St						55 94 12 4
5-2		2-1		127				Bye Fresno St						27	44		59 87	Sks By Ypg Diff	#122 #125	6-1	:	3-1	129		11/27	at Air Forc	e					71	65 125
32		2.1		127		11,	127	Tresno St						21			10	Total TO's	-2														
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds		Defen	se	Comp	Yds								Net		Offe	nse	Comp	Yds		Defense		Comp	Yds
Sco	re		Yds	FD	TO's	FD RA	A-RY	YPC	Att	Pass		FD RA-R	Y YPC	Att	Pass	Sks				Sco			Yds FD	TO's FI	RA-R	YPC	Att	Pass :	Sks FI	RA-RY	YPC	Att I	Pass Sks
Southern Utah USC		45-14 7-30		-5	-2 -1	21 23 18 19			18-30 24-46	410 308		17 31-11 23 34-16		17-31 24-36		2				Eastern Wash at Arizona St		33-35 10-37	-132 -5 -307 -18	1 1			12-23 7-19		4 22 5 29				374 3 175 1
Bye																	ns			Iowa St		3-48	-363 -20	0 7	31-3	5 1.2	10-19	94	3 27	7 37-184	5.0 2	23-30	309 0
Hawaii Western Michig	n	17-13 3-23		-1 -8	-1 -3		5-56 0-60		23-51 9-26	235 59		16 37-12 19 43-14			200	4	ţal	LO .		at Fresno St at UTSA		30-38 17-24	-28 -5 -31 -3		36-15		15-28 26-38		4 23 6 23	3 23-83 3 41-104		30-42 24-30	
New Mexico St		37-31		-5	0	18 36			15-18	193		23 24-8				1	Sparta	AVERAGES	Rebels	Bye	-	24.20					12.24						
Colorado St San Diego St		14-32		-8 2	-3 0	13 31 19 42	-113 -117		11-22 16-35	154 228		21 53-21 17 36-7	0 1.9	15-30		3	Š	<u>₩</u>	ep	Utah St San Jose St	2	24-28	-110 -14	-1 12	31-21	9 7.1	12-24	111	1 26	46-142	3.1 2	21-32	298 1
UNLV																	St	🖁	. R	at Nevada at New Mexico													
Wyoming Nevada																	Se	IEAM	UNLV	Hawaii													
Utah St Bye																	Jos	P	5	San Diego St at Air Force													
Fresno St																	an			at All Force													
																	S																
Average			-16.3	-3.0	-1.4		-108		50.9%			19 37-13								Average			161.8 -10.8	-0.3 14			54.3%			38-150			302 3.09
Conference Away		15-21 10-25	-51.7 -132.0	-2.3 -5.5	-1.3 -2.0		3-95 7-74		46.3% 46.2%			18 42-13 20 42-16		56.5% 61.9%		.5%				Conference Home			-69.0 -9.5 -201.7 -13.0	-0.5 1: 0.0 1:			51.9% 51.5%	196 9. 115 12	.6% 25 2.1% 25				338 2.79 327 4.09
																	Posi San Jose S	ition by Position Edge	es UNLV														
																-		an Jose St QB/WR															
																1	.84	vs. UNLV DB's															
																		San Jose St RB's															
																		vs. UNLV LB's	1.37														
																		San Jose St OL															
																0	.09	vs. UNLV DL															
																		San Jose St DL															
																1	.28	vs. UNLV OL															
																	.94	San Jose St LB'S															
																1	.94	vs. UNLV RB's															
																	.14	San Jose St DB's															
																1	.14	vs. UNLV QB/WR															
	e Years	Meetir				PhilSteele					P	rojecte	d Box 9	Score				San Jose St ST's		Category			Team & Margin					Phil's	Forecast:				
20	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-		TO's			-	,				4	.21	vs.		Las Vegas Line				San	Jose St le	ads the serie	s 18-6-1 a	nd are 6-2 S	U/ATS aga	ainst UNLV	s MW foes	, howeve	er these foe
n Jose St	-	-16	34	23	29-176	18-29-28												UNLV ST's				S	an Jose St By 4	but t	hen SJSt	went 79/5 in closed out t	1:39 to go	up 7-3. SJS1	t extended	their lead	to 17-3 ear	rly 2Q wit	th a 53/6
LV	-	59	17	16	36-70	19-28-22	24	1				Project	ed Rushi	ng				San Jose St K		Las Vegas Total				cut t	he lead to	24-17 late 3	Q but SJSt	responded of	going 71/5	in 1:56 an	then the S	Spartans	got a FG
19	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-	rds .	TO's	s)	118 y	ards	San Jose St	ıU	NLV	96 yar	ds 1	.10	vs.					44.5 Points	held	them to 2	4Q and squea 294 yds. The	Spartans a	re in an unfa	amiliar role	e as a road	MW favorit	e (0-2 A	TS under
o Jose St	-	-7	35	26	21-99	37-56-46	55		tent			Project	ed Passi	ng				UNLV K		+/- Ratings	_			seas	on last ye	e UNLV is jus ear going und	efeated an	d winning the	e MW, but	Covid had	some wack	y results	last year
LV		65.5	38	21	38-252	21-36-20)3	4	Cont	231 y	ards	San Jose St	ıU	NLV	163 yaı	ds	Sa	an Jose St Coaches	•	., natalys	[Sa	in Jose St By 1.5	foes	. They did	have been lig win at Hawa	ii by only b	y 4 and only	beat NMe	xSt 37-31.	They did no	ot have	QB Starkel
8	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-	ŕds	TO's	of			Projected	Yardage	Total		1	.75	vs.						that	but last w	eek vs powe nts. SDSt led	rful SDST,	neither team	scored a	TD in regul	ation and S	SDST P A	riza had an
Jose St	-	-3	50	25	40-157	26-37-34	19		Table	349 y	ards	San Jose St	ıU	NLV	259 yaı	ds		UNLV Coaches		Game Grade		Sa	ın Jose St By 9.0	48 y	d FG 6-6	but missed a won in 2 OT 1	52 yd FG:	15 left. Luca:	s Johnson	off the ben	ch hit 14 ar	nd 24 yd	TD passes
_V	-	59.5	37	25	37-167	28-50-38	37	-2	2			Projecte	d Final S	core				San Jose St Pts						SU i	ncluding a	loss to Easte	rn Washin	gton but was	3-1 ATS	vs FBS foes	covering o	on the ro	ad vs Ariz S
	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-	rds .	TO's	E.	21 pc	ints	San Jose St	ıU :	NLV	17 poir	its 13	3.35	vs.	1.37	Computer Yards		San	Jose St 349-259	Cha	les Willia	ns 75 yd TD PR 36/2 TD 1	run 10-7 1	0:49 UNLV 4	5 yd IR 14	/4 TD 17-7	5:39 1Q. U	ISU 1&G	2 SOD 1 bu
17		64	13	30	33-147	30-49-31			Ret			Experier						UNLV Pts						60/5	TD UNLV	77/8 TD 24-2	21 USU mis	s 44 yd FG 1	12:29 miss	41 yd FG 4	:58 UNLV 3	3&out US	U 60/9 11
				25	52-345	11-16-20		3		13	3	San Jose St		NLV	77					Computer Points		ç-	ın Jose St 21-17	sche	dule (#39	5 left 28-24 a vs #87) but	San Jose i	s playing to	an AGG of	91.8 and L	NLV is at ju	ust 80.4	(San Jose
n Jose St		-13.5	41		JE J 1J	11 10-20	-			1.	•				.,		POSITIO	ONAL EDGE AWARDE	D TO:			- 36		0.7)		sign may hav his road win.	e come or	tor San Jos	e last wee	k after out	aining pow	verful SD	ST 345-240
n Jose St	Pank	-13.5	41 Score		Duch	Comp-A++-Y	/ds	TO's	للبسي			Team Sch	edule S+-	enath																			
an Jose St NLV D16	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-1		TO's				Team Sch		-	27			San Jose St		Phil Steele		-	n less Chine										
on Jose St NLV on6 an Jose St NLV	Rank	-13.5 Line 56.5		FD 24	Rush 42-132 40-217	24-40-29	92	TO's		86		Team Scho		ength NLV	37			San Jose St		Phil Steele		Sa	an Jose St by 10				San	Jose S	t 27 l	JNLV :	L7		

				N	/liddl	e Te	nn Bl	lue Ra	ider	s									OVERA		OVERALL:							Ca	onne	ticut Hus	kies							
							Raider												2-4 vs. CU:		1-7 vs. AAC									luskies Logs								
TM REC FOE REC TM	4 FOE NF CONF	TM +/- I	OE +/-	AF	•						T	TEA			RANK-		FOE		1-2		0-2	TM REC FOE	ТМ	FOE	TM +	/- FOE +/-	AF	•						TEAM		S RANK		FOE
0-0 0-0		117	JE .,	тм	FOE	DATE 9/4	Monm	FOE		DRE V			DEF	ST	OF	F DI	EF	ST		NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKIN		0-0 0-0					TM	FOE	DATE 9/4	FOE		ORE W/		FF DEF				
1-0 1-0 0-0		121	128			-, .	at Virgini					98 94	109 109	125 51		9 2	21	48				0-0 0-0	0-0		101 97	121			9/4	at Fresno St Holy Cross		8-38 L		29 12 29 12			0:	35 12
1-1 2-0 0-0	0 0-0	119	127			9/18	at UTSA		13-	-27	L	97	101	112	52	2 6	9	14	Middle T		Connecticut	0-2 1-0	0-0	0-0					9/18	Purdue	0	-49 L	1	29 12	7 11	55		
1-2 2-1 0-1 1-3 2-2 0-2		119 116	108 119			9/25 10/2	at Charlo Marsh					122 116	87 104	121 116					#123 #61	Rush Off Pass Off	#101 #117	0-3 2-0 0-4 3-0							9/25 10/2	at Army West Poir Wyoming		1-52 L 2-24 L		29 129 29 129				
2-3 4-1 1-2			135				at Liberty						100	106					#112	Total Off	#124	0-5 1-3								at Vanderbilt		3-30 L		29 12				
2-4 1-2	2	116				10/16	Bye					118	100	108					#77	Score Off	#124	0-6 0-5	0-1	0-0	98	91			10/16	at UMass		3-27 L	1	29 120	5 93	126		30 11
2-4 1-7 1-2 1-6	2 0-2 0-3	116	95 100			10/23	at Conne	cticut ern Miss			-	122	103	110	12				#87 #96	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#105 #115	0-7 1-7 2-4	0-2 0-2		94 95				10/23	Yale Middle Tenness		1-15 W		29 12: 0 0			10	03 11
2-4	1-1		121				at WKU								44				#99	Pass Def	#71	1, 1,	0.2			110			11/6	Bye	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			0 0			. 10	05 11
1-5	0-2		103			11/13	FIU								84				#104	Total Def	#101	4-2		3-1		135				at Clemson						66		
1-6 3-3	0-3 1-1		103 118			11/20	at Florida	ominion Atlantic							11 73				#99	Score Def Sks By	#114 #110	3-3 5-1		1-2 3-0		123 129			11/20 11/27	Houston						29 72		
						,													#117	Ypg Diff	#124								,									
																			+10	Total TO's	-3																	
			Net			Offense	e	Comp	p Yo	ds			Defense		Con	np Y	ds									Net			Offense	Com	np Y	Yds		Defer	ıse	Com	p Yd	ds
Score		Yds	FD	TO's		RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pa				RA-RY	YPC				Sks					Score		Yds		TO's		RA-RY	YPC Att		ass Sk		D RA-F				
Monmouth	50-15 14-35		-4	-1	19 20	34-89	2.6 1.8	20-26					38-88					3	w			at Fresno St		0-45 28-38	5 -431 8 -101		-3		31-35	1.1 14-3 2.3 17-4		72 4 174 3	_	25 38-1 19 51-2	56 4.1 26 4.4			
Virginia Tech UTSA	13-27		-12	1	11	36-66 25-8	0.3	14-30					39-224 44-175					2	ē		10	Holy Cross Purdue		0-49			-3	18 11	39-88 34-124	2.3 17-4 3.6 14-2		99 0		9 42-1				
: Charlotte	39-42	-57	-5	1	25	23-123	5.3	28-40	0 37	79	1	30 4	40-220		25-	36 3		0	Raid		<u>ë</u>	at Army West	Point	21-52	2 -279	-15	-1	9	19-116	6.1 11-2	26 1	109 2	2	24 67-3		3-3	10	
Marshall Liberty	34-28 13-41		-12 -9	4		49-209	4.3 1.7	12-21 22-42					35-172					3	æ	Si	쏭	Wyoming at Vanderbilt		22-24			0		28-110 37-192	3.9 19-3 5.2 24-4		171 3 331 2		22 45-2				
Bye	13-41	-164	-9	1	12	21-35	1./	22-42	2 23	50	3	21 4	42-22/	5.4	1/-	30 2.	22	3		8	Huskies	at UMass		13-2			-3		31-163	5.3 13-2		128 2			47 4.4			
Connecticut																			Blue	AVERAGES		Yale		21-1	_		4		38-119	3.1 21-3		199 3	_	16 27-1				91 1
Southern Miss WKU																				\(\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{	2	Middle Tenr	nessee															
FIU																			Tenn	¥	Ŧ	Bye at Clemson																
Old Dominion																			Ĕ	1 22	e L	at UCF																
Florida Atlantic																			₽	_	Connecticut	Houston																
																			Middle		ŭ																	
																			Σ																			
Average Conference		-107.3	-6.7 -9.7	1.7 2.0		31-88 32-113	2.8 3.5	61.39 59.39						4.6				.8%				Average Conferen	ce		5 -154 0 -127		-0.4 -0.5		32-118 36-158	3.7 51.2 4.5 55.9		160 7.3° 215 2.9°		22 45-2 26 38-1				29 3.7 54 5.3
Away	20-36	-132.0	-7.5	0.5	17	26-58	2.2	59.29	% 27	71 7.	9%	25 4	41-212	5.1	60.7	7% 24	49 4.			osition by Position Ec	-	Home		18-32	2 -123	.0 -5.8	0.3	16	35-110	3.2 51.8	3% 1	161 6.69	% 2	22 41-1	81 4.4	55.19	% 21	13 5.9
																		H	Middle T	Middle Tenn QB/W	onnecticut VR																	
																			0.84	vs.																		
																		-		Connecticut DB's Middle Tenn RB's																		
																				vs.	1.08																	
																				Connecticut LB's																		
																			0.02	Middle Tenn OL vs.																		
																			0.02	Connecticut DL																		
																				Middle Tenn DL																		
																			0.19	vs. Connecticut OL																		
																		<u> </u>		Middle Tenn LB'S																		
																			1.08	vs.																		
																		H		Connecticut RB's Middle Tenn DB's																		
																			2.06	vs.																		
Last Phys. M	ava Marci	(* -		wa c • -	h:ic:	ala car														Connecticut QB/V					_							DI						
Last Five Yea	ars Meetir	ngs (Las	ZZ yea	ıs at F	mistee	e.com	Ų.				Р	roje	cted	Box	Sco	re				Middle Tenn ST's		Catego	ory		Tean	n & Margin						Phil's Fo	orecast:					
																				VS.	1.73	Las Vegas Line	e		Mid-al-	Tonn Bu 45		MT trave	els to St	orrs for the first t	time sin	ce 2000	which	was the F	łuskies i	first sees	on as a	an FRS
												_						F		Connecticut ST's	•				middle	Tenn By 15		team. T	he Blue	Raiders are 2-0 in	n previo	ous meetin	ngs. MT	is in a ra	re spot	as a DD	away f	favorite.
														d Rush						Middle Tenn K		Las Vegas Tot	al							dge and is fresh o								
								ıts	1	.31 yan	is i	Middle	Tenn	Con	necticu	ıt 1	143 yarı	ds	0.45	vs.					56	Points				e competitive bef ATS on the road								
								te				Pro	ojecte	d Pass	sing			L		Connecticut K		+/- Ratings					- 1	Vtech, l	JTSA, CI	arlotte and Liber	rty all m	uch stron	ger th	an the Hu	skies. Q	B Chase	Cunnii	ingham
								ဝ	2	54 yan	is i	Middle	Tenn	Con	necticu	ıt 1	177 yarı	ds		Middle Tenn Coach	ies	.,		-	Middle 1	enn By 18.	5			an upset of Marsl e tougher schedu								
								e of			1	Projec	cted Y	'ardag	e Tota	al			0.45	vs.		C C 1						this one) so are	still very much a	live for	bowl eligib	bility. L	Conn ope	ened the	year 0-	7 inclu	ıding a
								able	3	85 yan	is i	Middle	Tenn	Con	necticu	ut 3	320 yar	ds		Connecticut Coach	ies	Game Grade		-	Middle 1	enn By 11.				with winless UM og to Yale and I u								
								5				Proje	ected	Final S	Score					Middle Tenn Pts								losing st	reak. Th	ey got a wide op	en 63 y	d TD pass	with 5	5:21 left 2	Q and a	ifter an i	nt wen	nt 52/3 f
								E		38 poin	s I			Con		ıt	19 poin	ts	5.09	vs.	2.81	Computer Yard	ds		Middle T	enn 385-32				ed Yale 14-0 at had away pulling ba								
								Return to Table of						e Rani			. ,			Connecticut Pts										away pulling ba rom 30. UConn d								
												-vhe					87	H				Computer Poir	nts		Middl-	Tenn 38-19		Army ar	nd cover	ed vs Wyoming a								
										92		Middle :	Terr	C														this one										
										83			Tenn				07		POSI	TIONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:							cins one										
											1	Feam :	Sched	dule St	trengt	th			POSI	Middle Tenn		Phil Steele						cilis one		_								
										83 68	1	Feam :	Sched		trengt	th	122		POSI			Phil Steele				Tenn by 19		unis one		Middle Te	enne	essee	38			cut 1	9	

							Memr	phis T	iaers									OVER		/ERALL:						U	CF Knig	ıhts							
								igers Log										4- vs. /		3-3 s. AAC							Knights Lo								
TM REC FOE R	REC TM	FOE	TM +/-	FOE +/	. A	P						TEA		PS R			OE	1-	2	1-2	TM REC PEC	TM FO		FOE +/-	AP						TEAM		PS RAI		FOE
0-0	CONF	CONF	_		TM	FOE	DATE 9/4	FC Niekelle		SCORE 42-17	W/L W	OFF	DEF 66	ST 60	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKINGS	<u> </u>	REC	0-0 0-	0 130		TM FO		FO		SCORE	W/L W		DEF		OFF 44	DEF ST
1-0 1-0		0-0	123 126	111			- /	Nicholls at Arkansa:		55-50	W	36 35	66	1	77	107	83				0-0 0-0 1-0	0-0 0-	130	120		9/4	Boise St Bethune-	Cookman	36-31 63-14		10 9	69 76	76 120	44	52 10
2-0 2-0	0-0	0-0	125	132			9/18	Miss St		31-29	W	18	57	12	49	24	59	Mem		UCF	2-0 1-1	0-0 0-	0 128	128		9/18	at Louisville		35-42	L	7	61	100	79	82 41
3-0 3-0 3-1 2-2				127 107			9/25 10/2 a	UTSA at Temple		28-31 31-34	L L	13 19	69 76	7	62 103	52 78	14 115	#47 #17	Rush Off Pass Off	#27 #63	2-1 2-1 0-3	0-0	128 1 128	114		9/25	Bye at Navy		30-34	-	7	68 70	106 107	128	96 12
3-1 2-2			121	117				at Tulsa		29-35	L	20	88	8	50	66	130	#10	Total Off	#39			0 124	125		10/2	East Car	olina	20-16	W	13	87	100	54	89 47
3-3 1-4			119	117			10/16	Navy		35-17	W	21	94	18	128	93	123	#23	Score Off	#30			0 124	147			at Cincinnat	i	21-56	L	22	83	81	37	4 44
4-3 3-3	3 1-2	1-2	121	123			10/23 a	at UCF Bye				15	97	20	29	95	114	#63 #67	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#27 #94	3-3 4-3 3-3	1-2 1-	2 123	121 109		10/23	Memphis at Temple				29	95	114	15 97	97 20 100 11
6-0	0	2-0		128			11/6	SMU							12	98	60	#115	Pass Def	#42	1-5	0-		117		11/6	Tulane							80	114 9:
3-3		1-1		125			11/13	East Car							55	84	47	#99	Total Def	#72	6-0	2-		128			at SMU							12	98 6
5-1 1-5		3-0 0-2		129 117			11/19 a	at Houston Tulane							72 80	25 114	13 91	#95 #101	Score Def Sks By	#106 #120	1-7 1-5	0-		95 112		11/20 11/26	Connecti	cut							122 9 124 8
	,			117			11/2/	Talanc							- 00	** 1	71	#47	Ypg Diff	#50						11/20	05.								12.
																		-8	Total TO's	0															
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds								Net		Offens	e	Comp	Yds		0	Defense		Comp	Yds
	Score		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks				So	re	Yds	FD 1		D RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks		RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Si
Nicholls Arkansas St		42-1 55-5		15 -11	-2		53-322 37-263	7.1	19-32 22-33	265 417	0 4		28-100 32-98	3.6	19-34 42-66	199 582	2	-			Boise St Bethune-Coo		-31 290 -14 392			9 48-255 7 42-290		25-37 28-44	318 381	3					263 (
Miss St		31-2			0	12	25-87	3.5	16-28	159	1			3.1	50-67		2	1			at Louisville		42 -81			2 34-212		23-30	208	2			5.2		
UTSA		28-3		-9	-1	16	32-78	2.4	15-25	329	2				19-30		2	١,	,		Bye														
Temple Tulsa		31-3 29-3		14	-2 -3		38-155 42-151	4.1 3.6	24-40 34-57	305 463	3		33-157 46-235		36-50		0	i	S S	Ŋ	at Navy East Carolina		-34 -80 -16 -1			7 29-148 6 31-165		16-27 23-35	178 194	2		6-348	3.6	2-4	58 219
Navy			7 174		-1		34-200	5.9	8-12	215	0		55-198			43	4	į	i A	Ξ	at Cincinnati		-56 -180			4 37-155		16-27	141	1			8.0		
JCF																			AVERAGES	Knights	Memphis														
Bye SMU																		- 3	}		at Temple Tulane														
East Carolina	a																	5	₽	P.	at SMU														
Houston Tulane																		M G	🖺	Ď	Connecticut USF														
Tulane																		2			USF														
Average		36-3	0 60.9	-0.7	-1.1	22	37-179	4.8	60.8%	308	5.7%	23 4	40-149	3.7	66.1%	277	3.6%	+			Average	34	-32 56.7	0.8	0.0 2	3 37-204	5.5	65.5%	237	4.5%	22 3	89-177	45 6	0.1%	208 3.
Conference	e	32-2	9 117.3	4.7	-2.0	24	38-169	4.4	60.6%	328	5.5%	20 4	48-197	4.1	66.2%	182	5.2%				Conference	24	-35 -87.0	-7.7	0.0 1	6 32-156	4.8	61.8%	171	3.4%	23 5	2-275	5.3 5	9.3%	139 10
Away		38-4	0 59.3	1.7	-2.3	27	39-190	4.9	61.5%	395	7.7%	26	37-163	4.4	65.0%	362	1.5%		Position by Position Edge	es	Home	40	20 227.0	8.3).7 2	7 40-237	5.9	65.5%	298	5.2%	19	26-62	2.3 6	0.7%	246 3.3
																		Mem	phis	UCF															
																		0.86	Memphis QB/WR																
																		0.00	UCF DB's																
																			Memphis RB's																
																		1.12	vs. UCF LB's																
																			Memphis OL																
																		1.29	vs. UCF DL																
																			Memphis DL																
																			vs.	0.74															
																			UCF OL Memphis LB'S																
																			vs.	0.87															
																			UCF RB's																
																			Memphis DB's vs.	1.08															
																			UCF QB/WR																
I not F	Five Year	s Meet	ings (La	st 22 y				,				Proje	cted I	Box S	core				Memphis ST's		Category		Team 8	Margin					Pi	hil's Foreca	est:				
	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		TO's										4.38	vs.		Las Vegas Line				Fro	m 2005-18 U	CF won 13 s	traight gar	mes over	r Memnhi	s (7-5-1	ATS). Tu	vo of tho	e meet	inas were i
	-	75	50	41	45-217	34-50		,											UCF ST's				Mempl	is By 1	the	AAC title ga	ne back in '	17 and '18	3. In last	year's m	atchup the	e score	just 7-7 a	after the	1Q. In the
20		-3	49	34	47-197	35-49	9-601	0				Pro	jected	Rushi	ng				Memphis K		Las Vegas Total				Mer	scored 3 TE nphis traded	TD's then of	ff a UCF fu	ımble at t	the Memp	ohis 3-yd	line the	Tigers w	ent 96/8	to make it
20 mphis	-			FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	ıts	176	ards	Memp	ohis	U	CF	210	yards		vs.	1.95			63.5	Points	29.	Early 4Q UC ake the 50-4	F went 93 yo	ds in 1 play	y to make	e it 43-29	9. At 49-4	4 UCF p	unted an	d Memp	his went 85
emphis	- Rank	Line	Score				200	,	ē			Pro	ojected	l Passii	ng				UCF K		+/- Ratings				sna	pped despite	UCF putting	up 798 yo	ds (Memp	phis had	703 yds)	and UCF	F QB Gab	riel thre	w for a car
emphis	- Rank -	Line 81	Score 30	27	52-281	17-29	9-209							U	CF	297	yards		Memphis Coaches		+/- Racings		Ucf B	y 6.5	high an A	n 601 yds & . AD under HC	Silverfield.	s 0-5 ATS UCF opene	as a hon ed 2-0 an	ne tavori	te vs FBS	teams	tne L2Y.	Memphis They lo	s is U-3 AT
mphis F 19 mphis	Rank - 10	Line		27 18	52-281 37-165	17-29		1	Comi	280	ards (Memp	ohis					1	vs.	0.05					Lou	isville and lo	st QB Gabrie	el on the la		id nad a :	5/3-283 v	/d edge	vs Boise		JSL aL
mphis F L9 mphis	-	Line 81	30				9-296	1 TO's	-	280	ards		ohis c ted Ya	rdage	Total					0.05	Game Grade							20-16 1904		hen lost a	at Navy b	y 4 and	needed a	last-m	inute TD to
mphis F 19 mphis F	-	Line 81	30		37-165	17-29 Comp-#	9-296		-	280			cted Ya		Total CF	507	yards		UCF Coaches	0.05	Gaine Grade		Ucf B	y 3.9	a 38	3 yd TD drive	to lead 7-0	and RB Fo	t week th ord had a	hen lost a ney trave a 79 yd T	at Navy b led to Cin D run to r	y 4 and ncy and make it	needed a a partiall 28-0 and	last-m blocke it was 3	inute TD to d punt led 35-7 at half
20 mphis F 19 mphis FF 18 mphis	-	Line 81 -5.5 Line	30 31 Score	18 FD	37-165 Rush	17-29 Comp-A	9-296 Att-Yds		-			Proje e	cted Ya	U	CF	507	yards		UCF Coaches Memphis Pts	0.05	dame drade		Ucf B	y 3.9	with yd 1	314-99 yd IR TD to lead	to lead 7-0 edge. UCF w 42-7 and ro	and RB Fo ithout QB (olled 56-21	t week th ord had a Gabriel, f 1 at home	hen lost a ney trave a 79 yd T RB Harve e. UCF ha	at Navy b led to Cin D run to r y and Bo is just 350	y 4 and ncy and make it wser an 0 ypg of	needed a a partiall 28-0 and d WR Rol fense sin	last-m blocke it was 3 binson. ce Gabr	inute TD to d punt led 35-7 at half Cincy got a iel was los
mphis F mphis F mphis F 18 mphis F	10 Rank	81 -5.5 Line	30 31 Score 41	18 FD 23	37-165 Rush 45-401	17-29 Comp-A 18-30 19-28	9-296 Att-Yds 0-182 8-348	TO's	-	455	/ards	Project Memp	cted Ya ohis ected F	Ui inal Sc	CF core			7.65			Computer Yards			y 3.9 7-455	with yd I Mer	314-99 yd IR TD to lead nphis opene	to lead 7-0 edge. UCF w 42-7 and ro 1 3-0 but we	and RB Fo ithout QB (olled 56-21 re outgain	t week th ord had a Gabriel, F 1 at home ned by Mis	hen lost a ney trave a 79 yd T RB Harve e. UCF ha ss St 468	at Navy b led to Cin D run to r y and Bo is just 350 l-246 in a	y 4 and ncy and make it wser an 0 ypg of home v	needed a a partiall 28-0 and d WR Rol fense sin win. They	last-m blocke it was 3 binson. ce Gabr led UTS	inute TD to d punt led 85-7 at hal Cincy got a diel was los 6A 21-0 an
mphis F 19 mphis F 18 mphis F	- 10 Rank - 7	Line 81 -5.5 Line 64 -3 Line	30 31 Score 41 56 Score	18 FD 23 34 FD	37-165 Rush 45-401 62-350 Rush	17-29 Comp-A 18-30 19-28 Comp-A	9-296 Att-Yds 0-182 8-348 Att-Yds	TO's	teturn to Table of Cont	455		Project Memp Project Memp	cted Ya ohis ected F	UI Final Sc	CF CORE		yards	7.65	Memphis Pts	4.69					with yd 1 Mer Ten had	n 314-99 yd IR TD to lead nphis opene nple 17-0 bu a 415-241 y	e to lead 7-0 edge. UCF w 42-7 and ro d 3-0 but we t lost both th d edge and	and RB Fo ithout QB (olled 56-21 re outgain en had a 6 beat Navy	t week the ord had a Gabriel, F 1 at home ned by Mis 614-417 1 at home	hen lost a ney trave a 79 yd T RB Harve e. UCF ha ss St 468 yd edge e 35-17. I	at Navy b led to Cin D run to r ry and Bo is just 350 1-246 in a at Tulsa a Memphis	y 4 and ney and make it wser an 0 ypg of home v and lost has a po	needed a partialli 28-0 and d WR Role fense sin win. They by 6. Las otent offe	a last-m y blocked it was 3 pinson. ce Gabr led UTS t Thursonse avg	inute TD to d punt led 35-7 at half Cincy got a diel was los 6A 21-0 and day Memph 84 ypg m
20 emphis EF 19 emphis EF 18 emphis EF 17 emphis	- 10 Rank - 7	Line 81 -5.5 Line 64 -3 Line 68.5	30 31 Score 41 56 Score	18 FD 23 34 FD 25	37-165 Rush 45-401 62-350 Rush 24-75	17-29 Comp-A 18-30 19-28 Comp-A 27-49	9-296 Att-Yds 0-182 8-348 Att-Yds 9-321	TO's -2 TO's	-	455 ¹ 36 p	vards oints	Project Memp Project Memp Expe	cted Ya phis ected F phis erience	Ui Final Sc Ui Ranki	CF core CF	41 p	ooints		Memphis Pts vs. UCF Pts	4.69			Ucf 50	7-455	with yd 1 Mer Ten had thai	n 314-99 yd IR TD to lead nphis opene nple 17-0 bu a 415-241 y n their opp's d). Frosh QE	e to lead 7-0 edge. UCF w 42-7 and ro d 3-0 but we c lost both the d edge and allow but als Seth Henig	and RB Fo ithout QB (billed 56-21 re outgain ien had a 6 beat Navy so are allo	t week the ord had a Gabriel, For the former of the former	hen lost a ney trave a 79 yd T RB Harve e. UCF ha ss St 468 yd edge a 35-17. I ms 65 yp	at Navy b led to Cin D run to r y and Bo s just 350 -246 in a at Tulsa a Memphis I g then the	y 4 and ney and make it wser an 0 ypg of home v and lost has a po ey norm	needed a partiall' 28-0 and d WR Rol ffense sin win. They by 6. Las otent offenally avg	a last-m y blocked it was 3 pinson. ce Gabr led UTS t Thurso nse avg on defe	inute TD to d punt led 85-7 at half Cincy got a del was los 6A 21-0 and day Memph 84 ypg mense (not so
emphis cr	- 10 Rank - 7 Rank -	Line 81 -5.5 Line 64 -3 Line 68.5 -4.5	30 31 Score 41 56 Score 13 40	18 FD 23 34 FD 25 27	37-165 Rush 45-401 62-350 Rush 24-75 49-350	17-29 Comp-A 18-30 19-28 Comp-A 27-49 19-31	9-296 Att-Yds 0-182 8-348 Att-Yds 9-321 1-253	TO's -2 TO's	-	455 ¹ 36 p	/ards	Project Memp Project Memp Expe	otted Ya ohis ected F ohis erience	Ui Final Sc Ui Ranki	CF CORE CF Ings	41 p			Memphis Pts	4.69	Computer Yards		Ucf 50		with yd 1 Mer Ten had thai	n 314-99 yd IR TD to lead nphis opene nple 17-0 bu a 415-241 y n their opp's	e to lead 7-0 edge. UCF w 42-7 and ro d 3-0 but we c lost both the d edge and allow but als Seth Henig	and RB Fo ithout QB (billed 56-21 re outgain ien had a 6 beat Navy so are allo	t week the ord had a Gabriel, For the former of the former	hen lost a ney trave a 79 yd T RB Harve e. UCF ha ss St 468 yd edge a 35-17. I ms 65 yp	at Navy b led to Cin D run to r y and Bo s just 350 -246 in a at Tulsa a Memphis I g then the	y 4 and ney and make it wser an 0 ypg of home v and lost has a po ey norm	needed a partiall' 28-0 and d WR Rol ffense sin win. They by 6. Las otent offenally avg	a last-m y blocked it was 3 pinson. ce Gabr led UTS t Thurso nse avg on defe	inute TD to d punt led 85-7 at half Cincy got a diel was lost 6A 21-0 and day Memph 84 ypg monse (not so
zoo bemphis CF P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	- 10 Rank - 7 Rank - - Rank	Line 81 -5.5 Line 64 -3 Line 68.5 -4.5	30 31 Score 41 56 Score 13 40	18 FD 23 34 FD 25 27 FD	37-165 Rush 45-401 62-350 Rush 24-75 49-350 Rush	17-25 Comp-A 18-30 19-28 Comp-A 27-45 19-3: Comp-A	9-296 Att-Yds 0-182 8-348 Att-Yds 9-321 1-253 Att-Yds	TO's -2 TO's	-	455 · 36 p	vards oints 2	Project Memp Project Memp Expe	cted Ya phis ected F phis erience phis Schedu	E Ranki Ud Ude Stre	CF COTE CF ngs CF cength	41 p	points		Memphis Pts vs. UCF Pts	4.69	Computer Yards		Ucf 50 Ucf 4	7-455 1-36	with yd 1 Mer Ten had thai	n 314-99 yd IR TD to lead nphis opene nple 17-0 bu a 415-241 y n their opp's d). Frosh QE	e to lead 7-0 edge. UCF w 42-7 and ro d 3-0 but we c lost both the d edge and allow but als Seth Henig	and RB Fo ithout QB (billed 56-21 re outgain ien had a 6 beat Navy so are allo	t week the ord had a Gabriel, For the former of the former	hen lost a ney trave a 79 yd T RB Harve e. UCF ha ss St 468 yd edge a 35-17. I ms 65 yp	at Navy b led to Cin D run to r y and Bo s just 350 -246 in a at Tulsa a Memphis I g then the	y 4 and ney and make it wser an 0 ypg of home v and lost has a po ey norm	needed a partiall' 28-0 and d WR Rol ffense sin win. They by 6. Las otent offenally avg	a last-m y blocked it was 3 pinson. ce Gabr led UTS t Thurso nse avg on defe	inute TD to d punt led 35-7 at half Cincy got a diel was lost 3A 21-0 and day Memphi 84 ypg monse (not so
mphis F 19 mphis F 118 mphis F 17 mphis F	- 10 Rank - 7 Rank -	Line 81 -5.5 Line 64 -3 Line 68.5 -4.5	30 31 Score 41 56 Score 13 40	18 FD 23 34 FD 25 27	37-165 Rush 45-401 62-350 Rush 24-75 49-350	17-29 Comp-A 18-30 19-28 Comp-A 27-49 19-31 Comp-A	9-296 Att-Yds 0-182 3-348 Att-Yds 9-321 1-253 Att-Yds 4-471	TO's -2 TO's	-	455 · 36 p	vards oints	Project Memp Project Memp Expe	cted Ya phis ected F phis erience phis Schedu	E Ranki Ud Ude Stre	CF CORE CF Ings	41 p	ooints		Memphis Pts vs. UCF Pts SITIONAL EDGE AWARDE	4.69	Computer Yards		Ucf 50	7-455 1-36	with yd 1 Mer Ten had thai	n 314-99 yd IR TD to lead nphis opene nple 17-0 bu a 415-241 y n their opp's d). Frosh QE	e to lead 7-0 edge. UCF w 42-7 and ro d 3-0 but we c lost both the d edge and allow but als Seth Henig	and RB Fc ithout QB (olled 56-21 re outgain re had a 6 beat Navy so are allor an is hitting	t week the ord had a Gabriel, For the former of the former	hen lost a ney trave a 79 yd T RB Harve e. UCF ha ss St 468 yd edge a 35-17. I ms 65 yp ith a 16-4	at Navy be led to Cin D run to rey and Bo s just 350 in a at Tulsa a Memphis of tratio. The	y 4 and ney and make it wser an 0 ypg of home v and lost has a po ey norm his game	needed a partiall' 28-0 and d WR Rol ffense sin win. They by 6. Las otent offenally avg	a last-m y blocked it was 3 pinson. ce Gabr led UTS t Thurso nse avg on defe	inute TD to d punt led 85-7 at half Cincy got a diel was lost 6A 21-0 and day Memph 84 ypg monse (not so

						C	Colora	ado St	Rams									VERALL: 3-3		ERALL: 4-2							Utah St A	ggies						
							P	Rams Logs	5								v	s. MTW	vs	. MTW							Aggies Lo	gs						
M REC FOE RE	C TM	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +	/- TM	FOE	DATE	FC	ne.	SCORE	W/I	TEAM OFF		PS RAN		FOE DEF	ST .	2-0	ICAA RANKINGS	2-1	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +	/- TM	P FOE	DATE F	ne.	SCORE	W/L C	TEAM OFF DE		PS RANK OFF	FO DEF
0-0	0-0		120			102	9/3		akota St	23-42		118		92		DE.			1 TEAM RANKINGS	5	0-0 0-0	0-0		110 133			9/4 at Washing			_	101 10		4 42	
0-1 0-1			117	107			9/11	Vanderb	ilt	21-24		114					85 C c	olorado St	u	Jtah St	1-0	0-0		115			9/11 North D				102 90			
0-2 1-1 1-2 3-0		1-0	113 118			5		at Toledo at Iowa		22-6 14-24	W L	104 93			80 101		5 #5		Rush Off	#57	2-0 2-0 3-0 1-2	0-0 1-0	0-0				9/18 at Air Forc 9/25 Boise S		49-45 3-27		73 87 41 10		7 91 L 94	
1-3	0-0		122				10/2	Bye	-	1121	_	111		89	101		#7	2	Pass Off	#14	3-1 4-0		0-0			13	10/1 BYU		20-34	L	38 10	3 34	4 63	
1-3 3-2 2-3 2-4		1-0 0-2	122 123				10/9	San Jose at New Mex		32-14 36-7	W	112 107			113 119		56 #6 91 #9		Total Off Score Off	#11 #61	3-2 3-2 0-5	1-1	0-1	116 116 109			10/9 Bye 10/16 at UNLV		28-24		53 10 59 10		7 125	5 122
3-3 4-2		2-1						at Utah St	ilco	30-7	vv						30 #4		Sks Allowed	#38	4-2 3-3		2-0	116 109			10/16 at UNLV 10/23 Colorad	o St	26-24		52 10		102	
3-4		1-2		127			10/30	Boise St									9 #1		Rush Def	#118	3-4		1-2	117			10/30 Hawaii						81	
4-2 6-1		0-2 3-1		117 129			11/6 a	at Wyoming Air Force									.04 #2 .25 #8		Pass Def Total Def	#81 # 106	1-6 3-4		0-0 1-2	99 113			11/6 at New Me 11/13 at San Jos						119	
3-4		1-2		117			11/20 a								81	55	94 #2		Score Def	#90	4-2		0-2	117			11/20 Wyomir						105	
5-1		2-0		128			11/27	Nevada							67	70	27 #9		Sks By	#110 #58	2-5		0-3	103			11/27 at New Me	xico					125	82
																	+4		Ypg Diff Total TO's	-2														
								=							-													_						
S	core		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		efense RA-RY Y			Yds Pass 5	Sks				Sci	ore		Net Yds FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	Defe FD RA-I		C Att	
South Dakota		23-4	2 -4	6	-2	28	40-124	3.1	33-49	338	3	22 3	4-242	7.1 1	.8-28	224	0				at Washington S	St	26-23	81 5	-1	25	46-222 4.8	23-36	219	0	20 26-1	.49 5.7	7 20-3	5 211
Vanderbilt Foledo		21-2		-1 7	0		33-207 49-209	6.3 4.3	20-38 11-28	238 110	0		7-104 2 28-13 (6				North Dakota at Air Force	1	48-24 49-45	182 -1 9 3	1 1		38-217 5.7 39-180 4.6	23-37 31-50	404 448		24 24- 23 63-4			
Iowa		14-2			0			2.0	16-30	155	3		32-54 I				3	S			Boise St		3-27	8 -7	-2		42-235 5.6	13-31	208		25 42-1			
Bye																		Ram	SO.	es	BYU		20-34	-130 1	-2	23	35-22 0.6	26-48	314	3	22 36-2	21 6.1	1 22-3	0 245
San Jose St New Mexico			4 182 7 373		3		53-217 54-163		19-23 16-26	232 289	4		1-113 3 38-63 1				6	Ra	99	Aggies	Bye at UNLV		28-24	110 14	1	26	46-142 3.1	21-32	298	1	12 31-2	19 7 1	1 12-7	4 111
Jtah St		30	, 3,3	- 10			31 103	5.0	10 20	203			30 03 .	,	. 20		_	St	<u>#</u>	Ag	Colorado St		20 2 1	110 11		2.0	10 112 311	21 32	250	-	12 31 2			
Boise St																			AVERAGES	St	Hawaii at New Mexico S													
Nyoming Air Force																		ą		h.	at New Mexico S at San Jose St	Σ												
Hawaii																		Colorado	TEAM	Utah	Wyoming													
levada																		ပိ	•	_	at New Mexico													
		25.2	0 110 2	F 0	0.7	20	46.160		F0 20/	227	F 70/	15 7	22.00	20 5	C 20/	100 11	00/				A		20.20	42.2 2.5	0.2	24	41 170 4 1	58.5%	215	4 20/	21 27 2	107 F	C (1.2	0/ 225
Average Conference			0 110.3 1 277.5			20	46-169 54-190	3.7	59.3% 71.4%	227 261	5.7% 10.2%					188 11 85 21					Average Conference	9	29-30 27-32	43.3 2.5 42.3 3.3	-0.3 0.0		41-170 4.1 42-186 4.4	57.5%			21 37-2 20 45-2			
																	0.3	2	vs. Utah St DB's Colorado St RB's vs. Utah St LB's Colorado St CB's vs. Utah St LB's Colorado St OL	1.37														
																	0.4	u	vs. Utah St DL															
																			Colorado St DL															
																	1.4	6	vs. Utah St OL															
																			Colorado St LB'S															
																	0.9	3	vs.															
																			Utah St RB's Colorado St DB's															
																			vs.	0.56														
	ive Vear	s Moot	nac (1 s	act 22	vears at	DhilSto	eele.com												Jtah St QB/WR Colorado St ST's		Category	,		Team & Margi					Phil	's Forecast:				
I ast F	Rank	Line	Score	FD.	Rush		Att-Yds	TO's				Projec	ted Bo	ox Sc	ore				vs.	2.62	category			. Jam w Mary						2 . Orcust				
		70.5	24	11	35-79		80-217												Utah St ST's	2.02	Las Vegas Line	j	C	olorado St By	3.5	Teams	first met back in 1	902 and C9	SU leads th	ne series :	39-35-2.	Did not i	meet las	t season (g
1		-24	34	25	56-240		33-204	-1				Pro	jected R	ushina					Colorado St K							cancele	d). The Aggies hav	e won the	last two m	eetings b	out the Ra	ms have	e covered	d 4 straight
rado St	-	-24	Score	FD.	Rush	Comp-		TO's		219	/ards	Colorad		Utah S		109 yard	te l		vs.	1.10	Las Vegas Total	1		58.5 Points			in the series. Utah ayed better than th							
orado St	- Pani-						88-307	.0 8	ents	219	raius		o St jected P			TOS Yard	10		vs. Utah St K	1.10				Jo.J Points		and ha	d a 26-12 FD and 4	140-330 yo	d edges but	t after mi	issing 2 4	Q FG's th	hey need	led a 60/9
9 orado St h St	Rank	Line		29	44-199				onte	24.6			-			200	. –				+/- Ratings	ı	_	alauado Ct F	4.0		5 left to pull out a t home losses to S							
9 orado St h St 8 orado St	-	65 30.5	24		28-141		24-169	1	of Co	214)	yaras	Colorad		Utah S		300 yar			orado St Coaches					olorado St By	4.6	a top 5	Iowa 14-7 at half	and bounce	ed the defe	ending M	W champ	San Jose	e 32-14	at home wi
9 porado St h St 8 porado St h St	Rank	65 -28.5		16			Att-Yds	TO's	e e				ted Yard				0.5		vs.		Game Grade	ı					7 yd edge. Last we the 2nd lowest tot							
orado St h St 8 orado St h St	-	-28.5 Line	29 Score	FD	Rush					433 \	/ards	Colorad	o St	Utah 9		410 yard	ds		Itah St Coaches				C	olorado St By	1.1	San Jos	e) but did miss on	e. Lobo fros	sh QB CJ M	lontes wa	as just 3-1	9-11 bu	it NM on	ly trailed 13
oprado St h St 8 oprado St h St 7 oprado St	-	-28.5 Line -8.5	29 Score 27	FD 29	52-216	22-3	31-293	i	Ë	133		_							Colorado St Pts		Computer Yards						nks to a 63 yd PR. B Todd Centeio is I			to III) and				
porado St h St 8 porado St h St 7 porado St h St	14 Rank -	-28.5 Line	29 Score 27 14	FD 29	52-216 29-63	22-3 18-3	34-149	0	n to Ta				cted Fin					1	vs.				Co	lorado St 433-	***				6 with a 6-					
9 porado St h St 8 porado St h St 7 porado St h St	-	-28.5 Line -8.5	29 Score 27	FD 29 14 FD	52-216 29-63 Rush	22-3 18-3 Comp-	34-149 -Att-Yds	0 TO's	turn to Ta		oints	Colorad	o St	Utah 9	St	27 point	ts 3.6			5.65					410		last 4 weeks and	Colo St is p	playing to a	1 ratio. T 112.9 A	The Rams GG in tha	defense t span h	is allowi	ng a paltry es to 110 y
orado St lish St	14 Rank -	-28.5 Line -8.5	29 Score 27 14	FD 29	52-216 29-63 Rush	22-3 18-3 Comp-	34-149		Return to Table o			Colorad		Utah 9	St	27 point	ts 3.6		Utah St Pts	5.65	Computer Points	s				below t	heir opp avg. Utah	Colo St is p St is playir	playing to a ng to a 96.	1 ratio. T 112.9 A 2 AGG th	The Rams GG in tha ne L4W an	defense t span h d they [is allowi olding fo D is allow	ng a paltry es to 110 y ing 463 yp
Last File orado St ush St 18 orado St ush St 17 orado St ush St 16 orado St ush St	14 Rank -	-28.5 Line -8.5 64.5 Line	29 Score 27 14 Score	FD 29 14 FD 22	52-216 29-63 Rush	22-3 18-3 Comp-	34-149 -Att-Yds		Return to Ta		oints	Colorad	o St rience R	Utah 9	St gs	27 point			Utah St Pts		Computer Points	s		olorado St 30		below t which is		Colo St is p St is playir hat their o	playing to a ng to a 96. opp avg. Wh	1 ratio. T 112.9 A 2 AGG th nile my co	The Rams GG in tha ne L4W an	defense t span h d they [is allowi olding fo D is allow	ng a paltry es to 110 y ing 463 yp
orado St h St 8 orado St h St 7 orado St h St 6 orado St	14 Rank -	-28.5 Line -8.5 64.5 Line	29 Score 27 14 Score 31	FD 29 14 FD 22	52-216 29-63 Rush 47-224	22-3 18-3 Comp-	Att-Yds 32-240	TO's	Return to Ta	30 p	oints	Colorad Expe Colorad	o St rience R	Utah S Ranking Utah S	st js St				NAL EDGE AWARDE			s	C			below t which is	heir opp avg. Utah s 111 ypg above w	Colo St is p St is playir hat their o	playing to a ng to a 96. opp avg. Wh	1 ratio. T 112.9 A 2 AGG th nile my co	The Rams GG in tha ne L4W an	defense t span h d they [is allowi olding fo D is allow	ng a paltry es to 110 y ing 463 yp
porado St h St 8 porado St h St 7 porado St h St 6 porado St	14 Rank -	-28.5 Line -8.5 64.5 Line	29 Score 27 14 Score 31	FD 29 14 FD 22	52-216 29-63 Rush 47-224	22-3 18-3 Comp-	Att-Yds 32-240	TO's	Return to Ta	30 p	oints	Colorad Expe Colorad	o St rience R o St Schedule	Utah S Ranking Utah S	St gs St g th						Computer Points	s			27	below t which is	heir opp avg. Utah s 111 ypg above w e L4W AGG which	Colo St is p St is playir hat their o has Colo Si	playing to a ng to a 96. opp avg. Wh	-1 ratio. T a 112.9 A 2 AGG th nile my co	The Rams IGG in tha ne L4W an omputer h	defense t span h d they [as this a	is allowing for is allowing for is allowing the is allowing a toss up	ng a paltry es to 110 y ing 463 yp

						Wash	ingt	ton Hus	skies	•								OVEF 2-		ERALL: 0-6									'ildcat	S						
							Husl	kies Logs										vs. P		PAC 12							V	Vildcats L	Logs							
M REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	- _{TM}	FOE DAT	TE	FOE		SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF	M DEF	PS RA	OFF	FOE DEF	ST	1-	NCAA RANKINGS	0-3	TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +	/- _{TM}	AP FOE	DATE	F	FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF	EAM DEF	PS R	OFF	FOE DEF
0-0 0-1 1-0	0-0	0.0	133	124	20	9/4		Montana		7-13 10-31	L	19 27	27 28	57	21	27	2		2021 TEAM RANKINGS	3	0-0 0-0			116 132				† BYU	Ct	16-24		103	106	102 124	57	78
0-1 1-0 0-2 1-1	0-0	0-0	128 126	134 112		9/11 9/18		Michigan Arkansas St		52-3	L W	31		111 125	31 59	37 111	90	Washi	ngton A	rizona	0-1 1-0 0-2	0-0	0-0	118 118 113	,		9/11 9/18	San Die Norther	rn Arizona	14-38 19-21		98 87	106 108	118	85	42
1-2 1-2	0-0		130	128		9/25		California		31-24	W	51		103			118	#113	Rush Off	#107	0-3 3-0		0-0	109 139)	3		at Oregon	1	19-41	L	100	99	126	26	26
2-2 3-1 2-3	1-0	1-0	130 130	133		10/2 10/9		Oregon St Bye	-	24-27	L	59 58	15 9	98 114	25	45	60	#56 #96	Pass Off Total Off	#64 #101	0-4 0-4 3-2	0-1 0-1	1-1	111 111 132	!		10/2	Bye UCLA		16-34	L L	100	93 95	125 124	30	30
2-3 4-2	1-1		130	132		10/1		UCLA		17-24	L	62		114		26	29	#99	Score Off	#128		0-2		111 115				at Colorad		0-34	L	103	92	120	121	70 9
2-4 0-6 3-4	1-2	0-3 2-3	128	107 131				Arizona Stanford				68	16	107	109 51	99 50	129 59	#72 #101	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#87 #110	0-6 2-4 3-3	0-3	2-3	107 128 127			10/23	Washin at USC	igton			109	99	129	68 23	16 1 66 :
5-1		2-1		133		11/6	5	Oregon							28	19	44	#4	Pass Def	#10	1-5		0-3	124	l I		11/6	Californ	nia						47	60 9
5-2 2-4		3-1 1-2		135 119		11/1 11/1		Arizona St Colorado							20 117	31 63	39 40	#39 #37	Total Def Score Def	# 60 #104	4-2 4-3		3-0 3-2	137 129			11/13	Utah at Washing	aton St						34 83	15 1 49
4-3		3-2		129		11/2		Washington S	St						83		83	#110	Sks By	#115	5-2		3-1	135				at Arizona							20	31
																		#72 -4	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#90 -9																
Scor	e		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD RA-	fense RY		Omp Att	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks				s	core		Net Yds FD	то'	s FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp Att	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass
Montana		7-13	59	11	-3	21 27-	65	2.4 27	7-46	226	3	10 3	34-127	3.7	12-23	105	1				† BYU		16-24	58 9	-1	27	35-81	2.3	36-48	345	4	18	34-161	4.7		207
Michigan Arkansas St		10-31 52-3		-1 15	-1 0	19 32- 30 39-2			0-37 5-44	293 398	4				7-15 22-49	44 220	3				San Diego S Northern Ar		14-38 19-21		-1 0		19-49 27-106	2.6 3.9	18-36 25-41		2		55-271 45-148		10-16 12-20	183 92
California		31-24		-3	2	18 30-			9-32	234	1					319	3		<u> </u>	S	at Oregon	20116	19-41				53-202		21-35				31-187			
Oregon St		24-27	7 28	-3	0	15 35-1	176	5.0 17	7-26	142	3	18 5	50-242	4.8	7-15	48	2	1	Si Si	ä	Bye UCLA		16-34	-49 5	0	27	42-122	2.9	26-38	240	3	22	47-329	7.0	8-19	82
UCLA UCLA		17-24	4 -153	-3	-2	19 31-	83	2.7 20	0-30	184	2	22 4	40-237	5.9	21-27	183	0	j	AVERAGES	율	at Colorado		0-34		-2		31-127		20-38				39-117			
Arizona																		-	8	₹	Washington															
Stanford Oregon																		- 5]	a	at USC California															
Arizona St																			N M	Arizona Wildcats	Utah															
Colorado Washington St																		4		Ë	at Washington at Arizona St	St														
Washington St																		Ş	TEAM AVER	⋖	de / ii izona be															
																		-	•																	
Average			14.8	2.7	-0.7				9.5%		6.5%		40-189								Average			-22.8 3.5			35-115	3.3	61.6%						55.9%	
Conference			-85.3	-3.0 -2.0	0.0 -0.5	17 32-1 17 34-1			3.6% 3.7%				42-206 53-293				6.0%				Conference	e	12-36 16-31	-30.7 6.7 -50.7 -1.0			42-150 29-92	3.6	59.8% 60.0%				39-211 49-249			
,																			Position by Position Edge																	
																	-	Washi	ngton Ai Washington QB/WR	rizona																
																			vs.	0.20																
																	-		Arizona DB's Washington RB's																	
																			vs.	0.64																
																	L		Arizona LB's																	
																			Washington OI																	
																		1.26	Washington OL vs.																	
																		1.26	vs. Arizona DL																	
																	-	1.26	vs.	0.15																
																	=	1.26	vs. Arizona DL Washington DL vs. Arizona OL	0.15																
																	=		vs. Arizona DL Washington DL vs. Arizona OL Washington LB'S	0.15																
																	-	2.72	vs. Arizona DL Washington DL vs. Arizona OL Washington LB'S vs. Arizona RB's	0.15																
																	-	2.72	vs. Arizona DL Washington DL vs. Arizona OL Washington LB'S vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's	0.15																
																	-		vs. Arizona DL Washington DL vs. Arizona OL Washington LB'S vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's vs. Arizona QB/WR	0.15																
		<u>Meeti</u>				PhilSteele.c		This			,	Projec	cted B	3ox S	core		-	2.72	vs. Arizona DL Washington DL vs. Arizona OL Washington LB'S vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's	0.15	Catego	у		Team & Margin	n					F	Phil's Forec	cast:				
20	<u>a Years</u> Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yd	is	TO's			ı	Projec	cted B	3ox S	core		-	2.72	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL vs. Arizona OL Washington LB'S vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's vs.	0.15	Catego: Las Vegas Line	у							last 4 (1-3	3 ATS) vs	Washing	gton. Las				
20		Line -11.5	Score 44	FD 24	Rush 45-233	Comp-Att-Yd	is	TO's			ı						-	2.72	vs. Arizona DL Washington DL vs. Arizona OL Washington LB'S vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's	0.15		у	W	Team & Margin Vashington By 3		half a	nd 37-0 a	ter 3Q. Jii	immy Lake	B ATS) vs e said afte	Washing erward, "	gton. Las	d almost h	have bee	n 60-0 i	we caugl
ashington		Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yd	is	TO's			ı		cted B				-	2.72	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL vs. Arizona OL Washington LB'S vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's vs.	0.15	Las Vegas Line		W			half a some :33 le	nd 37-0 a passes." A ft. Last tir	ter 3Q. Jii krizona pu ne here ('	immy Lake it up 301 y 19), Wash	B ATS) vs e said afte yds and 4 n trailed 1	Washing erward, " 4 TD's in 17-13 at	gton. Las 'It could 4Q vs ba half befo	d almost h backups (A fore rallyi	have bee AZ 1.8 y ing in the	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3 e 2H, 51	we caugl (2) with las (27. Wash
o 20 'ashington rizona		Line -11.5	Score 44	FD 24	Rush 45-233	Comp-Att-Yd	is			148 y			ojected F		ıg	155 ya	erds	2.72	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL Vs. Arizona OL Washington LB'S Vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's Vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's Vs. Arizona ST's	0.15			v		17.5	half a some :33 le faked	nd 37-0 a passes." A ft. Last tir a punt on	fter 3Q. Jii Arizona pu ne here (' 4&1 from	immy Lake it up 301 y 19), Wash n its own 3	ATS) vs e said afte yds and 4 n trailed 1 31 on 4th	Washing erward, " 4 TD's in 17-13 at h play and	gton. Las 'It could 4Q vs ba half befo d got a 6	d almost h backups (A fore rallyin 65 yd TD	have bee (AZ 1.8 y ing in the D pass 3	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3 e 2H, 51 plays late	we caugl () with las 27. Wash r then
ashington rizona		Line -11.5	Score 44	FD 24 22	Rush 45-233 26-72 Rush	Comp-Att-Yd 16-26-239 27-39-259	is	-1 TO's	ents	148 y		Pro	ojected F	Rushin Arizo	i g ona	155 ya	ards	2.72	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL Vs. Arizona CB Washington LB'S Vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's Vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's Vs. Arizona ST's Washington K		Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota		W	Vashington By 1	17.5	half a some :33 le faked domir 2-3 S	nd 37-0 a passes." A ft. Last tir a punt on ated leadi J as they	fter 3Q. Jii krizona pu ne here (' 4&1 from ng AZ 24- had a 14-	immy Lake it up 301 y 19), Wash n its own 3 -0 at half y -2 FD edge	ATS) vs e said afte yds and 4 n trailed 1 31 on 4th with 267 e vs Mont	Washing erward, " 4 TD's in 17-13 at h play and '-45 yd ed tana but l	gton. Las 'It could 4Q vs ba half beford d got a 6 dge. UW lost at h	d almost h backups (A fore rallyin 65 yd TD V got off t home and	have been AZ 1.8 you ing in the D pass 3 to a very d was on	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3 e 2H, 51 plays late disappo ly outgai	we caugl 2) with last 27. Wash In then In the star In the star In the star In the star
ashington izona		-11.5 53 Line	Score 44 27 Score	FD 24 22 FD	Rush 45-233 26-72 Rush	Comp-Att-Yd 16-26-239 27-39-259 Comp-Att-Yd	is	-1 TO's	ontents	148 y	ards	Pro	ojected F	Rushin Arizo	i g ona	155 ya	-	2.72	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL Vs. Arizona OL Washington LB'S Vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's Vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's Vs. Arizona ST's Washington K Vs.		Las Vegas Line		W W	Vashington By 1	17.5	half a some :33 le faked domir 2-3 S by a p	nd 37-0 a passes." A ft. Last tir a punt on ated leadi J as they owerful M	fter 3Q. Jii krizona pu ne here (' 4&1 from ng AZ 24- had a 14- ichigan te	immy Lake at up 301 y 19), Wash n its own 3 -0 at half y -2 FD edge eam. The F	B ATS) vs e said after yds and 4 n trailed 1 31 on 4th with 267 e vs Mont Huskies w	Washing erward, " 4 TD's in 17-13 at h play and '-45 yd ed tana but l vere SOD	gton. Las 'It could 4Q vs ba half beford d got a 6 dge. UW lost at h	d almost h backups (A fore rallyin 65 yd TD V got off t home and r own ter	have been AZ 1.8 y ing in the D pass 3 to a very d was on the pass 3 to a very latery latery latery latery latery latery	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3 e 2H, 51 plays late disappo ly outgai e and lo	we caugl Q) with last 27. Wash or then nting star ned by 44 of to Orego
ashington izona 119 ashington		-11.5 53 Line	Score 44 27 Score	FD 24 22 FD	Rush 45-233 26-72 Rush 45-207	Comp-Att-Yd 16-26-239 27-39-259 Comp-Att-Yd 15-22-243	ds	-1 то's	of Contents		ards	Pro Washin Pro Washin	ojected F ngton ojected I	Rushin Arizo Passin Arizo	ng ona g		-	2.72	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL Vs. Arizona OL Washington LB'S Vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's Vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's Vs. Arizona ST's Washington K Vs.		Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota		V.	Vashington By :	17.5	half a some :33 le faked domir 2-3 S by a p St. La 25 yd	nd 37-0 a passes." / ft. Last tir a punt on ated leadi J as they owerful M st week U FG UCLA	ter 3Q. Jin Arizona pur ne here (* 4&1 from ng AZ 24- had a 14- ichigan te W did get 2 TD and	immy Lake ut up 301 y 19), Wash its own 3 -0 at half v -2 FD edge eam. The F E LB ZTF ba FG next 3	B ATS) vs e said afte yds and 4 n trailed 1 31 on 4th with 267- e vs Mont Huskies w ack for so 8 drives le	Washing erward, " 4 TD's in · 17-13 at h play and '-45 yd ec tana but l were SOD ome snap ed 17-3 a	gton. Las 'It could 4Q vs ba half before d got a 6 dge. UW lost at h) in their os. UW wend UW	d almost hoackups (Afore rally) 65 yd TD V got off thome and r own terwas int at TD 17-10	have bee (AZ 1.8 y ing in the D pass 3 to a very d was on rritory lat t UCLA 3 0 H. UW	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3 e 2H, 51 plays late disappo ly outgai e and lo 0 then 7 75/9 TD	we caught with lass 27. Wash or then noting starned by 44 to Oregon 4/12 sett 17-17 but with the caught was to the control of the control of the caught was to the control of the caught was to
ashington izona shington ashington ashington izona		Line -11.5 53 Line -6.5 69 Line	\$core 44 27 \$core 51 27 \$core	FD 24 22 FD 18 18 FD	Rush 45-233 26-72 Rush 45-207 39-151 Rush	Comp-Att-Yd 16-26-239 27-39-259 Comp-Att-Yd 15-22-243 16-33-209 Comp-Att-Yd	ds	-1 то's -3	of Co	168 y	ards	Pro Washin Pro Washin	ojected F ngton ojected I ngton cted Yar	Rushin Arizo Passin Arizo rdage 1	ng ona g ona Fotal	142 ya	ırds	2.72	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL Vs. Arizona CB Washington LB'S Vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's Vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's Vs. Arizona ST's Washington K Vs. Arizona K Washington Coaches Vs.		Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota		W	Vashington By 3	17.5	half a some :33 le faked domir 2-3 S by a p St. La 25 yd after	nd 37-0 a passes." / ft. Last tir a punt on ated leadi J as they owerful M st week U FG UCLA each team	ter 3Q. Jii vrizona pu ne here (' 4&1 from ng AZ 24- had a 14- ichigan te W did get 2 TD and punt UCL	immy Lake It up 301 y 19), Wash In its own 3 -0 at half y 2 FD edge am. The F	B ATS) vs e said afte yds and 4 h trailed 1 31 on 4th with 267- e vs Mont- Huskies w ack for so 8 drives le TD 8:19 I	Washing erward, " 4 TD's in · 17-13 at h play and '-45 yd ec tana but l were SOD ome snap ed 17-3 a left. UW i	gton. Las 'It could 'It could '4Q vs ba half before d got a 6 dge. UW lost at h in their os. UW w and UW man ope	d almost he backups (Afore rally) of 65 yd TD (Afore and rown terwas int at TD 17-10 oen but in	have bee (AZ 1.8 y ing in the pass 3 to a very d was on rritory lat t UCLA 3 0 H. UW nt at 4 4	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3 2 2H, 51 plays late disappo ly outgai e and lo 0 then 7 75/9 TD	we caught with last 27. Wash or then on the star need by 44 set to Oregon 4/12 sett 17-17 bund UCLA in the last to UCLA in the star of UCLA in the set to the s
ashington izona 119 ashington izona 118 ashington		Line -11.5 53 Line -6.5 69 Line -13	\$core 44 27 \$core 51 27 \$core 35	FD 24 22 FD 18 18 FD 24	Rush 45-233 26-72 Rush 45-207 39-151 Rush 51-352	Comp-Att-Yd 16-26-239 27-39-259 Comp-Att-Yd 15-22-243 16-33-209 Comp-Att-Yd 14-21-160	ds	-1 TO's -3 TO's	Table of Co		ards	Pro Washin Pro Washin Project	njected F ngton ngton cted Yar	Rushin Arizo Passin Arizo rdage 1	ona g ona fotal		ırds	2.72	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL Vs. Arizona Cl Washington LB'S Vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's Vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's Vs. Arizona ST's Washington K Vs. Arizona K Washington Coaches Vs. Arizona Coaches		Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings		W	Vashington By :	17.5	half a some :33 le faked domir 2-3 S by a p St. La 25 ye after out cl ypg d	nd 37-0 a passes." A ft. Last tir a punt on ated leadi J as they owerful M st week U FG UCLA each team ock. Arizor	ter 3Q. Jin vizona pure here (* 4&1 from ng AZ 24- had a 14- ichigan te W did get 2 TD and punt UCL na opened ng by 15 p	immy Lake it up 301 y 19), Wash its own 3 -0 at half y -2 FD edge eam. The h E LB ZTF ba FG next 3 LA 90/13 If the year ppg. They	B ATS) vs e said afte yds and 4 n trailed 1 31 on 4th with 267-e e vs Mont- Huskies w ack for so B drives le TD 8:19 1 0-5 but c lost QB M	Washing erward, " 4 TD's in 4 17-13 at h play and 45 yd et tana but i were SOD ome snaped 17-3 a left. UW idid actual idcCloud. I	gton. Las It could 4Q vs ba half beford d got a 6 dge. UW lost at h o in their os. UW w and UW man ope silly outga	d almost he cackups (A fore rallying 65 yd TD V got off the case of the case o	have bee (AZ 1.8 y ing in the D pass 3 to a very d was on rritory lat t UCLA 3 0 H. UW nt at 4 4 on and B e nation	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3 e 2H, 51 plays late disappo ly outgai e and lo 0 then 7 75/9 TD 50 left a YU and v is longesi	we caught with last 27. Wash or then onling starned by 44 st to Oregon 4/12 sett 17-17 bund UCLA is losing strong strong strong strong strong strong with last 19 sett
ashington rizona 119 ashington rizona 118 ashington		Line -11.5 53 Line -6.5 69 Line	\$core 44 27 \$core 51 27 \$core	FD 24 22 FD 18 18 FD	Rush 45-233 26-72 Rush 45-207 39-151 Rush 51-352	Comp-Att-Yd 16-26-239 27-39-259 Comp-Att-Yd 15-22-243 16-33-209 Comp-Att-Yd 14-21-160	ds	-1 To's -3 To's	o Table of Co	168 y 316 y	ards ards ards	Pro Washin Pro Washin Projec Washin	pjected Fington pjected Ington cted Yar ngton ected Fin	Rushin Arizo Passin Arizo rdage 1 Arizo	ona g ona Fotal ona ore	142 ya 297 ya	ards ards	2.72	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL Vs. Arizona GL Washington LB'S Vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's Vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's Vs. Arizona ST's Washington K Vs. Arizona K Washington Coaches Vs. Arizona Coaches Washington Pts	0.75	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings	ı	N	46.5 Points Vashington By 3 Washington By 3	17.5 17.3	half a some :33 le faked domir 2-3 S by a p St. La 25 yd after out cl ypg d (18) a	nd 37-0 ar passes." A ft. Last tir a punt on ated leadi J as they owerful M owerful W FG UCLA each team ock. Arizor espite losir nd last wo	ter 3Q. Jin Arizona purne here (* 4&1 from ng AZ 24- had a 14- ichigan te W did get 2 TD and punt UCL na opened ng by 15 peek Colora	immy Lake it up 301 y 19), Wash in its own 3 -0 at half v -2 FD edge eam. The H E LB ZTF ba FG next 3 LA 90/13 If the year	ATS) vs e said afte yds and 4 n trailed 1 31 on 4th with 267- e vs Mont- tuskies w ack for so 8 drives le TD 8:19 I 0-5 but c lost QB M eir first cc	Washing erward, "4 TD's in 17-13 at h play and 145 yellow et ana but 1 vere SOD ome snaped 17-3 a left. UW idid actual deCloud. A conference	gton. Las It could 4Q vs ba half before d got a 6 dge. UW lost at h o in their os. UW w and UW man ope ally outga Arizona e shutou	d almost he cackups (A fore rally in 65 yd TD V got off the case of the case o	have bee (AZ 1.8 y ing in the D pass 3 to a very d was on rritory lai t UCLA 3 0 H. UW nt at 4 4 on and B e nation	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3: 2 2H, 51 plays late disappo ly outgai ee and lo: 0 then 7 75/9 TD: 50 left a YU and v is longesi	we caug 2) with last 27. Wash or then nting star ned by 44 of to Oreg 4/12 sett 17-17 or UCLA vere just losing stread got two
ashington rizona 119 ashington rizona 118 ashington		Line -11.5 53 Line -6.5 69 Line -13	\$core 44 27 \$core 51 27 \$core 35	FD 24 22 FD 18 18 FD 24	Rush 45-233 26-72 Rush 45-207 39-151 Rush 51-352	Comp-Att-Yd 16-26-239 27-39-259 Comp-Att-Yd 15-22-243 16-33-209 Comp-Att-Yd 14-21-160	ds	-1 To's -3 To's	o Table of Co	168 y	ards ards ards	Pro Washin Pro Washin Project	pjected Fington pjected Ington cted Yar ngton ected Fin	Rushin Arizo Passin Arizo rdage 1	ona g ona Fotal ona ore	142 ya	ards ards	2.72	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL Vs. Arizona Cl Washington LB'S Vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's Vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's Vs. Arizona ST's Washington K Vs. Arizona K Washington Coaches Vs. Arizona Coaches		Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade	ı	N	Vashington By 3	17.5 17.3	half a some :33 le faked domir 2-3 S by a p St. La 25 yd after out cl (18) a FG an punt	nd 37-0 at passes." If the Last tire a punt on a ted leading J as they owerful Most week U FG UCLA teach team ock. Arizone and last week U team ock. Arizone and last well and last well and 50 yd art. Last tire are the last well and 50 yd and 50 y	ter 3Q. Jii, vizona pune here ('481 from ng AZ 24-had a 14-ichigan te W did get 2 TD and punt UCL ha opened ng by Clark Colora with AZ St IR TD and IR TD IR	immy Lake the up 301 y 19), Wash of at half v -2 FD edge eam. The H LB ZTF ba LA 90/13 d the year ppg. They l add got the GOD at 1 la d a 62 yd	ATS) vs e said after yds and 4 n trailed 1 31 on 4th with 267- e vs Mont- Huskies w ack for so 8 drives le TD 8:19 l 0-5 but c lost QB Me eir first co	Washing erward, " 4 TD's in 4 TD's in 17-13 at h play annow 4-45 yd ectana but I were SOD ome snaped 17-3 a left. UW idid actual AcCloud. Joonference, Colo the to Rice to	gton. Las 'It could 4Q vs ba half before d got a 6 dge. UW lost at h in their os. UW w and UW man ope illy outge Arizona o e shutou en got a o lead 27	d almost hackups (Afore rally)	have bee AZ 1.8 y ing in the D pass 3 to a very d was on rritory lat t UCLA 3 0 H. UW ht at 4 4 on and B e nation 2005. Cocoop and 3Q. Ariz	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3: 2 2H, 51 blays late disappo ly outgai e and lo 0 then 7 75/9 TD 550 left a YU and v s longesi blorado h score on	we caug) with la 27. Wash or then niting stain ned by 44 it to Oreg 4/12 sett 17-17 bund UCLA vere just losing st alogot two a blocker starting (
200 sshington izona 19 sshington izona 18 sshington		Line -11.5 53 Line -6.5 69 Line -13	\$core 44 27 \$core 51 27 \$core 35	FD 24 22 FD 18 18 FD 24	Rush 45-233 26-72 Rush 45-207 39-151 Rush 51-352	Comp-Att-Yd 16-26-239 27-39-259 Comp-Att-Yd 15-22-243 16-33-209 Comp-Att-Yd 14-21-160	ds	-1 To's -3 To's	Table of Co	168 y 316 y	ards ards ards	Pro Washin Projec Washin Projec Washin	pjected Fington pjected Ington cted Yar ngton ected Fin	Rushin Arizo Passin Arizo rdage 1 Arizo Arizo Arizo	ona g ona fotal ona ore	142 ya 297 ya	ards ards	2.72	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL Vs. Arizona GL Washington LB'S Vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's Vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's Vs. Arizona ST's Washington K Vs. Arizona K Washington Coaches Vs. Arizona Coaches Washington Pts	0.75	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yard	ıl s	N	46.5 Points Vashington By 3 Washington By 3	17.5 17.3	half a some :33 le faked domini 2-3 S by a p St. La 25 yd after out cl ypg d (18) a FG an punt Cruz i inc at	nd 37-0 at passes." Aft. Last tira a punt on ated leadid J as they owerful M st week U FG UCLA each team pack. Arizon deled 6-0 and 50 yd n 2Q and end in 34 end session sessi	ter 3Q. Jii vizona pu ne here (' 4&1 from ng AZ 24- had a 14- ichigan te W did get 2 TD and punt UCL ha opened ng by 15 p sek Colora with AZ St IR TD and Plummer !	immy Lake it up 301 y 19), Wash in its own 3 -0 at half y 2 FD edge eam. The I- it LB ZTF be FG next 3 LA 90/13 d the year uppg. They l id d a 62 yd hit 7-17-5 s you know	B ATS) vs e said afte yds and 4 n trailed 1 31 on 4th with 267-e e vs Mont- Huskies w ack for so B drives le TD 8:19 I Olost QB M eir first co tite in 1H. TD pass to 71. Colo w w, I was v	Washing erward, "4 TD's in 17-13 at h play ann 14-45 yd ectana but I were SOD ome snapped 17-3 a left. UW in did actual AcCloud. A conference. Colo the to Rice to went 70/4 very high very high went 70/4 very high	gton. Las It could 4Q vs be half before d got a 6 dege. UW lost at h 0 in their ss. UW w and UW man ope silly outga Arizona e e shutou en got a 0 9 for TD n on Was	d almost hackups (/fore rallying fore rallying fore rallying fore yet the same and rown terms int at TD 17-10 wen but since 2 36 yd so 7-0 after D4:08 left shington	have bee AZ 1.8 y ing in the D pass 3 to a very d was on rritory lat t UCLA 3 0 H. UW that at 4 4 on and B e nation 2005. Co coop and 3Q. Ariz fit 34-0. In at the s	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3 2 2H, 51 blays late disappo ly outgai e and lo 0 then 7 75/9 TD 550 left a YU and v is longest blorado h score on cona lost AZ did th start of ti	we caug) with la 27. Wash or then nting stan ned by 44 to Oreg 4/12 sett 17-17 bund uCLA losing stad got two a blocker starting (row into [or ow into]
ashington izona 119 ashington izona 118 ashington		Line -11.5 53 Line -6.5 69 Line -13	\$core 44 27 \$core 51 27 \$core 35	FD 24 22 FD 18 18 FD 24	Rush 45-233 26-72 Rush 45-207 39-151 Rush 51-352	Comp-Att-Yd 16-26-239 27-39-259 Comp-Att-Yd 15-22-243 16-33-209 Comp-Att-Yd 14-21-160	ds	-1 To's -3 To's	o Table of Co	168 y 316 y	ards ards ards	Pro Washin Projec Washin Projec Washin	pjected Fington pjected Ington cted Yar ngton ected Fington ected Fington	Rushin Arizo Passin Arizo rdage 1 Arizo Arizo Arizo	g g ona Fotal ona ore	142 ya 297 ya	ards ards nts	2.72 3.11 2.48	vs. Arizona DL Washington DL vs. Arizona Cl Washington LB'S vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's vs. Arizona ST's Washington K vs. Arizona K Washington Coaches vs. Arizona Coaches Vs. Arizona Coaches	0.75	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade	ıl s	w w	46.5 Points Vashington By 3 Washington By 3	17.5 17.3 6.1	half a some :33 le faked domini 2-3 S by a p St. La 25 yd after out cl ypg d (18) a FG an punt Cruz a they	nd 37-0 ar passes." If it. Last tira a punt on on ated leadid J as they ownerful M st week U FG UCLA each team sock. Arizor sepite losin nd last we died 6-0 and 50 yd na 2Q and end in 34 ave disape	iter 3Q. Jin krizona pu ne here (' 4&1 from ng AZ 24- had a 14- ichigan te W did get 2 TD and punt UCL na opened ng by 15 pek Colora with AZ So IR TD and Plummer I -0 loss. As pointed m	immy Lake it up 301 y 119), Wash it up 301 y 119), Wash it is own 3 -0 at half i-0 at half it some 3 -0 at half it some 3 -0 at half it some 3 -1 at half it	B ATS) vs e said after ydds and 4 n trailed 1 131 on 4th with 267 e vs Month duskies wack for so 8 drives le TD 8:19 0-5 but c lost QB Meir first cotte in 1H. TD pass t 71. Colo v w, I was v weekly. T	Washing erward, " 4 TD's in 17-13 at h play and 4-45 yd ectana but 1 twee SOD ome snaped 17-3 a left. UW i did actual dcCloud. Joonference Colo the to Rice to went 70/4 very high They wou	gton. Las 'It could 4Q vs be half before d got a 6 dege. UW lost at h 0 in their ss. UW w mand UW man ope illy outge Arizona o e shutou en got a 0 lead 27 '9 for TD n on Was uld win ti	d almost hackups (/fore rallying fore rallying fore rallying fore yet the same and rown terms int at TD 17-10 wen but since 2 36 yd so 7-0 after D4:08 left shington	have bee AZ 1.8 y ing in the D pass 3 to a very d was on rritory lat t UCLA 3 0 H. UW that at 4 4 on and B e nation 2005. Co coop and 3Q. Ariz fit 34-0. In at the s	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3 2 2H, 51 blays late disappo ly outgai e and lo 0 then 7 75/9 TD 550 left a YU and v is longest blorado h score on cona lost AZ did th start of ti	we caugly) with last 27. Washing the control of the
ozo /ashington rizona oso /ashington rizona oso /ashington oso /ashington		Line -11.5 53 Line -6.5 69 Line -13	\$core 44 27 \$core 51 27 \$core 35	FD 24 22 FD 18 18 FD 24	Rush 45-233 26-72 Rush 45-207 39-151 Rush 51-352	Comp-Att-Yd 16-26-239 27-39-259 Comp-Att-Yd 15-22-243 16-33-209 Comp-Att-Yd 14-21-160	ds	-1 To's -3 To's	o Table of Co	168 y 316 y 28 pc	ards ards ards bints	Pro Washin Projec Washin Projec Washin Expe	pjected Fington pjected Ington cted Yar ngton ected Fington ected Fington	Rushin Arizo Passin Arizo rdage 1 Arizo inal Scc Arizo Rankin Arizo	g g ona Fotal ona ore ona ngs	142 ya 297 ya 9 poir	ards ards nts	2.72 3.11 2.48	Vs. Arizona DL Washington DL Vs. Arizona GL Washington LB'S Vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's Vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's Vs. Arizona ST's Washington K Vs. Arizona K Washington Coaches Vs. Arizona Coaches Washington Coaches Vs. Arizona Coaches	0.75	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yard	ıl s	w w	46.5 Points Vashington By : Washington By Vashington By	17.5 17.3 6.1	half a some :33 le faked domini 2-3 S by a p St. La 25 yd after out cl ypg d (18) a FG an punt Cruz a they	nd 37-0 ar passes." If it. Last tira a punt on on ated leadid J as they ownerful M st week U FG UCLA each team sock. Arizor sepite losin nd last we died 6-0 and 50 yd na 2Q and end in 34 ave disape	iter 3Q. Jin krizona pu ne here (' 4&1 from ng AZ 24- had a 14- ichigan te W did get 2 TD and punt UCL na opened ng by 15 pek Colora with AZ So IR TD and Plummer I -0 loss. As pointed m	immy Lake it up 301 y 19), Wash in its own 3 -0 at half y 2 FD edge eam. The I- it LB ZTF be FG next 3 LA 90/13 d the year uppg. They l id d a 62 yd hit 7-17-5 s you know	B ATS) vs e said after ydds and 4 n trailed 1 131 on 4th with 267 e vs Month duskies wack for so 8 drives le TD 8:19 0-5 but c lost QB Meir first cotte in 1H. TD pass t 71. Colo v w, I was v weekly. T	Washing erward, " 4 TD's in 17-13 at h play and 4-45 yd ectana but 1 twee SOD ome snaped 17-3 a left. UW i did actual dcCloud. Joonference Colo the to Rice to went 70/4 very high They wou	gton. Las 'It could 4Q vs be half before d got a 6 dege. UW lost at h 0 in their ss. UW w mand UW man ope illy outge Arizona o e shutou en got a 0 lead 27 '9 for TD n on Was uld win ti	d almost hackups (/fore rallying fore rallying fore rallying fore yet the same and rown terms int at TD 17-10 wen but since 2 36 yd so 7-0 after D4:08 left shington	have bee AZ 1.8 y ing in the D pass 3 to a very d was on rritory lat t UCLA 3 0 H. UW that at 4 4 on and B e nation 2005. Co coop and 3Q. Ariz fit 34-0. In at the s	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3 2 2H, 51 blays late disappo ly outgai e and lo 0 then 7 75/9 TD 550 left a YU and v is longest blorado h score on cona lost AZ did th start of ti	we caugly) with last 27. Washing the control of the
Last Fiv. 020 Vashington vizona 019 Vashington vizona 018 Vashington vizona		Line -11.5 53 Line -6.5 69 Line -13	\$core 44 27 \$core 51 27 \$core 35	FD 24 22 FD 18 18 FD 24	Rush 45-233 26-72 Rush 45-207 39-151 Rush 51-352	Comp-Att-Yd 16-26-239 27-39-259 Comp-Att-Yd 15-22-243 16-33-209 Comp-Att-Yd 14-21-160	ds	-1 To's -3 To's	o Table of Co	168 y 316 y 28 pc	ards ards ards ards	Pro Washin Projec Washin Projec Washin Expe	pjected Fington pjected Ington cted Yar ngton ected Fington erience Ington Schedul	Rushin Arizo Passin Arizo rdage 1 Arizo inal Scc Arizo Rankin Arizo	ona g ona Fotal ona ore ona ona	142 ya 297 ya 9 poir	ards ards -	2.72 3.11 2.48	vs. Arizona DL Washington DL vs. Arizona Cl Washington LB'S vs. Arizona RB's Washington DB's vs. Arizona QB/WR Washington ST's vs. Arizona ST's Washington K vs. Arizona K Washington Coaches vs. Arizona Coaches Vs. Arizona Coaches	0.75	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yard	ıl s	w	46.5 Points Vashington By : Washington By Vashington By	17.5 17.3 6.1 -297	half a some :33 le faked domini 2-3 S by a p St. La 25 yd after out cl ypg d (18) a FG an punt Cruz a they	nd 37-0 ar passes." If it. Last tira a punt on on ated leadid J as they ownerful M st week U FG UCLA each team sock. Arizor sepite losin nd last we died 6-0 and 50 yd na 2Q and end in 34 ave disape	iter 3Q. Jin krizona pu ne here (' 4&1 from ng AZ 24- had a 14- ichigan te W did get 2 TD and punt UCL na opened ng by 15 pek Colora with AZ So IR TD and Plummer I -0 loss. As pointed m	immy Lake it up 301 y 119), Wash it up 301 y 119), Wash it is own 3 -0 at half i-0 at half it some 3 -0 at half it some 3 -0 at half it some 3 -1 at half it	B ATS) vs e said after ydds and 4 n trailed 1 131 on 4th with 267-e vs Month duskies wack for so 8 drives le TD 8:19 0-5 but c lost QB Meir first cotte in 1H. TD pass t 71. Colo v w, I was v weekly. T	Washing erward, " 4 TD's in 17-13 at h play and 4-45 yd ectana but 1 twee SOD ome snaped 17-3 a left. UW i did actual dcCloud. Joonference Colo the to Rice to went 70/4 very high They wou	gton. Las 'It could 4Q vs be half before d got a 6 dege. UW lost at h 0 in their ss. UW w mand UW man ope illy outge Arizona o e shutou en got a 0 lead 27 '9 for TD n on Was uld win ti	d almost hackups (/fore rallying fore rallying fore rallying fore yet the same and rown terms int at TD 17-10 wen but since 2 36 yd so 7-0 after D4:08 left shington	have bee AZ 1.8 y ing in the D pass 3 to a very d was on rritory lat t UCLA 3 0 H. UW that at 4 4 on and B e nation 2005. Co coop and 3Q. Ariz fit 34-0. In at the s	en 60-0 i pp 1st 3 2 2H, 51 blays late disappo ly outgai e and lo 0 then 7 75/9 TD 550 left a YU and v is longest blorado h score on cona lost AZ did th start of ti	we caugly) with last 27. Washing the control of the

						W	Michi	gan B	ronco	s								OVEI 5		VERALL: 3-4								Tole	do Rock	ets							
								oncos Lo										vs.		s. MAC								R	ockets Logs								
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	Α	P						TEA			RANK		OE	2		1-2	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	AP							TEAM		PS RAN		FOE
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	114	132	TM	FOE	DATE 9/4 a	t Michigan		SCORE 14-47	W/L L	OFF 49	DEF 93	ST 100	OFF 41	DEF 50	ST 40		NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKING	s	0-0	0-0		119		тм		DATE /4	FOE Norfolk St		49-10 V			DEF 51	ST 113	OFF	DEF
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	112	132			9/11	Illinois S		28-0	W	66	99	129	41	30	40				1-0 1-0			119	137			, .	t Notre Dame					55		14	8
1-1 2-0	0-0	0-0	114	127			9/18 a	t Pittsburg	gh	44-41	W	83	104	130		12	86	W Mi		Toledo	1-1 0-2	0-0	0-0	122	113		ç	/18	Colorado St		6-22	L	80	65	19	104	93 1
2-1 2-1	0-0	1-0	118	122			9/25	San Jose	e St	23-3	W	84	111	122		46	79	#42	Rush Off	#74	1-2 1-2				120				t Ball St					61			104
3-1 2-2 4-1 2-3	0-0 1-0	0-0		115 120			10/2 a 10/9	t Buffalo Ball St		24-17 20-45	W L	86 82	80 77	122 122		108 107	100 29	#48 #43	Pass Off Total Off	#78 #75	2-2 0-4 3-2 3-2				93 117			0/2 a 0/9	t UMass Northern Illir					52 40			130 1 117 1
4-2 3-3	1-1	2-0		118			10/16	Kent St		64-31		87	87	127		103		#46	Score Off	#73	3-3 3-3				114				t Central Michi					41			109
5-2 3-4	2-1	1-2	122	118			10/23 a					60	76	119	91	42	53	#87	Sks Allowed	#126	3-4 5-2			118	122			0/23	Western Mich				91	42		60	76 1
4-3		2-1		116			10/30	Bye Central I	Michigan						54	105	73	#29 #35	Rush Def Pass Def	#63 #13	4-3		1-2		119			1/2	Eastern Mich Bye	higan						108	107
2-5		1-2		100			11/9	Akron	riiciligali						120			#27	Total Def	#23	2-5		0-3		99				t Bowling Gree	en						126	90
4-3		1-2		119				t Eastern							108		1	#73	Score Def	#15	1-6		1-2		109			1/16 a									120
5-2		3-0		117			11/23 a	t Northern	n Illinois						89	110	87	#8 #19	Sks By	#59 #39	2-5		1-2		100		1	1/27	Akron							120	125 1
																		+19	Ypg Diff Total TO's	+39																	
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp										Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			efense			Yds
Scor at Michigan	e	14-47	Yds -241	FD -5	TO's		RA-RY 32-119	3.7	Att 20-37	Pass 191	Sks 1		RA-RY 43-335	7.8	Att 13-17	Pass 216	Sks 1	-			Norfolk St	Score	49-1	Yds 169	FD 7	TO's		9-205		Att 13-17		Sks 3					Pass :
Illinois St		28-0		20	-1		51-233	4.6	15-22	174	1	4	26-28		8-19		4				at Notre Dame		29-3		-11	2		1-132		19-32		_					317
Pittsburgh		44-41		3	3		48-160	3.3	26-38	357	3	25	24-75		25-34		2		מ		Colorado St	ŧ	6-2		3	0		28-13		25-43		6					110
San Jose St		23-3		8	3		43-147	3.4	17-28	200	4	11	30-60		9-26		8	1 3	3	10	at Ball St		22-1		2	0		4-272		12-20		_					190
Buffalo Ball St		24-17		14 5	-4		49-178 49-119	3.6 2.4	21-33 19-35	279 257	3		29-131 28-65		12-22 15-26		1		E E	Toledo Rockets	at UMass Northern III	innie	45- 20-2		14 -8	4		3-223 2-135		20-30 16-31		0		6-23 5-242			111
Kent St		64-31		12	1		51-341	6.7	15-22	307	0			3.6			5	1 1	AVERAGES	ž	at Central Mich		23-2		7	0		4-142							3.7 2		
Toledo																		1 5		ĕ	Western Mid	_															
Зуе																			5 5	~	Eastern Mic	higan															
Central Michigan	1																		ב <u>ב</u>	윰	Bye																
kron astern Michigar																		1	M W	<u>ĕ</u>	at Bowling Gre at Ohio	een				-											
lorthern Illinois	•																		=	۵	Akron																
																				•																	
																		•																			
Average		31-26	118.0	8.1	0.4	25	46-185	4.0	61.9%	252	7.4%	16	31-117	3.8	56.7%	203	12.4%	†			Average		28-1	69.0	2.0	1.3	18 3	6-160	4.5 58	8.5%	225 12	.2%	16 40	0-143	3.6 5	4.5%	173 6
Conference		36-31	154.0	10.3		28	50-213	4.3	61.1%	281	7.8%					233					Conferen	ce		41.7	0.3			7-183		1.8%	205 12	.0%	18 42				183 6.
Away		27-35	-13.0	4.0	1.3	25	43-152	3.5	62.0%	276	6.5%	21	32-180	5.6	68.5%	261	5.5%		Position by Position Edg	105	Home		25-1	36.0	0.7	1.0	16 3	0-118	4.0 59	9.3%	247 9.	.9%	15 49	9-199	4.1 4	7.1%	130 2.
																		W Mic		Toledo																	
																			W Michigan QB/WR	1																	
																			vs.	1.12																	
																			Toledo DB's																		
																					1																
																			W Michigan RB's	0.98																	
																			W Michigan RB's vs. Toledo LB's	0.98																	
																			vs.	0.98																	
																		0.15	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs.	0.98																	
																		0.15	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL	0.98																	
																			vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs.	0.98																	
																		0.15	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL	0.98																	
																		0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs.	0.98																	
																			vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs.	0.98																	
																		0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's	0.98																	
																		0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs.	0.98																	
																		0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR																		
<u> Last Five</u>	e Years	s Meetin		st 22 y								Proie	ected	Box 5	Score	•		0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB / WR W Michigan ST's	0.21	Catego	vry		Team &	Margin						Phil's	Forecas	st:				
0	<u>e Years</u> Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	Att-Yds) <u>.</u> TO's				Proje	ected	Box S	Score	a de la composição de l		0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL ws. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR W Michigan ST's		Catego Las Vegas Line							-Tolad									
20	<u>e Years</u> Rank -	s Meetil Line -2.5		st 22 y FD 24			Att-Yds					Proje	ected	Box 5	Score	3		0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB / WR W Michigan ST's	0.21				Team &					led 24-10 at i		got a 4 yo	d TD ru	ın to lead				
Last Fix 20 estern Michigan eledo	<u>e Years</u> Rank - -	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	Att-Yds 9-284							Box 5				0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL ws. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR W Michigan ST's	0.21	Las Vegas Line	1				- a	501-31	6 yd ed	led 24-10 at I	70/11 f	got a 4 yo	d TD ru	ın to lead onside ar	nd on a	a fake spi	ке сарр	ed a 51/4
20 estern Michigan ledo	e Years Rank - - Rank	Line -2.5	Score 41	FD 24	Rush 28-153	20-29	Att-Yds 9-284 5-339	TO's	s	165 \	yards	Pr		d Rushi			yards	0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's	0.21		1			ın By 1.5		501-31 Irive wit I-3 SU (6 yd ed 1 9 yd T 5-5 ATS	ge. WM went D pass to wide) vs WM S/'10	70/11 followed to 70/11 follow	got a 4 yo for TD reco laylen Hall nce scorin	d TD ru overed o to stur g less t	in to lead onside ar in the Roo than 30 j	nd on a ckets 4 pts. The	a fake spi 11-38 (-2 ie visitor	ke capp ') at ho s just 1	ed a 51/4 me. Toled -4 SU &
20 estern Michigan dedo	e Years Rank - - Rank	-2.5 58 Line	Score 41 38 Score	FD 24 29 FD	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush	20-29 30-46 Comp-A	Att-Yds 9-284 5-339 Att-Yds	TO's	ents	165 y		Pro W Mic	ojecte higan	d Rushi Tol	ing ledo		yards	0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S W Michigan LB'S Vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan K vs.	0.21	Las Vegas Line	1		W Michiga	ın By 1.5	6 6 8	501-31 Irive wit I-3 SU (ince 20	6 yd ed n 9 yd T 6-5 ATS 16. Last	ge. WM went D pass to wide) vs WM S/'10 time here Bro	70/11 followed to 70/11 follow	got a 4 yo for TD reco laylen Hall nce scoring ever led tra	d TD ru overed o to stur g less t ailing 2	un to lead onside ar in the Roo than 30 p 24-7 at H	nd on a ckets 4 pts. The IT and r	a fake spi 11-38 (-2 ie visitor never gel	ke capp ') at ho is just 1 ting clo	ed a 51/4 me. Toled -4 SU & ser than
estern Michigan edo 19	e Years Rank - - Rank -	-2.5 58 Line 74	\$core 41 38 \$core 24	FD 24 29 FD 23	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush 29-117	20-29 30-46 Comp-A	Att-Yds 9-284 5-339 Att-Yds	TO's -1 TO's	ontents		/ards	Pro W Mic	ojecteo higan ojecte	d Rushi Tol d Passi	ing ledo ing	130		0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan K vs. Toledo K	0.21	Las Vegas Line	1		W Michiga 55 Po	nn By 1.5 Dints	8 8 9	501-31 Irive wit I-3 SU (ince 20 IH in a 3	6 yd ed 1 9 yd T 5-5 ATS 16. Last 1-24 los	ge. WM went D pass to wide) vs WM S/'10	70/11 for le open J 0, only or oncos ne Lester is	got a 4 your for TD recording the second for the se	d TD ru overed o to stur g less t ailing 2 as an A	un to lead onside ar in the Roo than 30 p 24-7 at H AD but did	nd on a ckets 4 pts. The IT and r id win a	a fake spi 11-38 (-2 ie visitor never gel at Pitt thi	ke capp ') at ho s just 1 ting clo s year.	ed a 51/4 me. Toled -4 SU & ser than Jason Car
zoo estern Michigan edo Loo estern Michigan edo	Rank Rank -	-2.5 58 Line	Score 41 38 Score	FD 24 29 FD 23 26	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush 29-117 53-275	20-29 30-46 Comp-A 25-51	Att-Yds 9-284 5-339 Att-Yds 1-303 2-200	TO's -1 TO's -1	f Contents			Pro W Mic Pr W Mic	ojecteo higan rojecteo higan	d Rushi Tol d Passi	ing ledo ing ledo	130	yards yards	0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan K vs. Toledo K W Michigan K vs. Toledo K	0.21 2.71 0.25	Las Vegas Line	1		W Michiga	nn By 1.5 Dints	8 8 9 2 i!	For the second s	6 yd ed n 9 yd T 6-5 ATS 16. Last 1-24 los ATS as a ting 62%	ge. WM went D pass to wide) vs WM S/'10 time here Bross (+1'). Tim I a HF. When W 6 with a 12-2	70/11 for the open 30, only or oncos ne Lester is Western in 2 ratio.	got a 4 yo for TD reco laylen Hall nce scoring ever led tra s 3-7 ATS a is "on" the VMich upse	d TD ru overed o to stur g less t ailing 2 as an A ey look et a po	un to lead onside ar in the Roo than 30 p 24-7 at H AD but did like the l owerfull P	nd on a ckets 4 pts. The IT and r id win a best te	a fake spil 11-38 (-2 le visitor never get at Pitt this eam in th	ve capp ') at ho s just 1 ting clo s year. e MAC v	ed a 51/4 me. Toled -4 SU & ser than Jason Car vith Kalet yd edge v
zoo estern Michigan edo Loo estern Michigan edo	Rank Rank Rank Rank Rank	-2.5 58 Line 74	\$core 41 38 \$core 24	FD 24 29 FD 23	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush 29-117	20-29 30-46 Comp-A 25-51 14-22	Att-Yds 9-284 5-339 Att-Yds 1-303 2-200	TO's -1 TO's	le of Contents	188 1	yards yards	Proje	ojecteo higan rojecte higan ected Y	d Rushi Tol d Passi	ing ledo ing ledo	130	yards	0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan K vs. Toledo K W Michigan K vs. Toledo K	0.21	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings	1		W Michiga 55 Po Toledo	oints By 0.3	5 8 9 2 1:	Frive with some services of the services of th	6 yd ed n 9 yd Ti 6-5 ATS; 16. Last 1-24 los ATS as a ting 62%	ge. WM went D pass to wide) vs WM S/'10 time here Bro ss (+1'). Tim I a HF. When W 6 with a 12-2 upset loss to I	70/11 fi le open J 0, only or concos ne Lester is Western i 2 ratio. W Ball St L	got a 4 your TD record laylen Hall nee scoring ever led traits 3-7 ATS a is "on" the VMich upset ast week to	d TD ru overed o to stur g less t ailing 2 as an A ey look et a po WM sco	un to lead onside ar in the Roo than 30 p 24-7 at H AD but did like the l owerfull P ored on t	nd on a ckets 4 pts. The IT and r id win a best te Pitt and their fire	a fake spil 11-38 (-2 ie visitor never gel at Pitt this eam in th I had a 45 est 5 poss	ve capp ') at ho s just 1 ting clo s year. e MAC v 7-282 but 3 v	ed a 51/4 me. Toleo -4 SU & ser than Jason Car with Kalel yd edge v were FG's
estern Michigan edo 19 estern Michigan edo	Rank Rank -	-2.5 58 Line 74	\$core 41 38 \$core 24	FD 24 29 FD 23 26	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush 29-117 53-275	20-29 30-46 Comp-A 25-51	Att-Yds 9-284 5-339 Att-Yds 1-303 2-200	TO's -1 TO's -1	rable of Contents	188 1	/ards	Pro W Mic Pr W Mic	ojecteo higan rojecte higan ected Y	d Rushi Tol d Passi Tol ardage	ing ledo ing ledo	130		0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan K vs. Toledo K W Michigan K vs. Toledo K	0.21 2.71 0.25	Las Vegas Line	1		W Michiga 55 Po	oints By 0.3	2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	s 501-31 lrive wit l-3 SU (ince 20 tH in a 3 s 13-15 leby hit suffalo. A	6 yd ed n 9 yd Ti 6-5 ATS; 16. Last 1-24 los ATS as a ting 62% After an 23-17 ai	ge. WM went D pass to wide) vs WM S/'10 time here Bross (+1'). Tim I a HF. When W 6 with a 12-2	70/11 fi le open J 0, only or roncos ne Lester is Vestern i 2 ratio. W Ball St L ent settlii	got a 4 yo for TD reco laylen Hall nce scoring ever led tra s 3-7 ATS a is "on" the VMich upse ast week v	d TD ru overed o to stur g less t ailing 2 as an A ey look et a po WM scc yd FG a	un to lead onside ar in the Roo than 30 p 24-7 at H AD but did it like the l owerfull P ored on t at :00. Ke	nd on a ckets 4 pts. The IT and rid win a best terpit and their fire items.	a fake spil 41-38 (-2 41-38 (-2 41-4) a fake visitor 41-4 41-4 41-4 41-4 41-4 41-4 41-4 41-	ke capp () at ho (s just 1) (ting close year (67-282) (64-284) (7-284) (7-284) (7-284) (7-284) (7-284)	ed a 51/4 me. Toleo -4 SU & ser than lason Car vith Kalet yd edge v were FG's) WM scool
edo stern Michigan edo stern Michigan edo 88 stern Michigan	Rank Rank -	Line -2.5 58 Line 74 -1.5 Line	Score 41 38 Score 24 31 Score	FD 24 29 FD 23 26 FD	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush 29-117 53-275 Rush	20-29 30-46 Comp-A 25-51 14-22	Att-Yds 9-284 5-339 Att-Yds 1-303 2-200 Att-Yds	TO's -1 TO's -1	to Table	188 1	yards yards	Proje W Mic	ojected chigan cojecte chigan ected Y	d Rushi Tol d Passi Tol ardage	ing ledo ing ledo : Total	130	yards	0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan K vs. Toledo K W Michigan K vs. Toledo K	0.21 2.71 0.25	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade	al		W Michiga 55 Po Toledo	oints By 0.3	2 2 2 1: 6 6 7	1501-3: Irive wit 1-3 SU (10 ince 20 14 in a 3 5 13-15 Eleby hit Buffalo. A hey led TD's first	6 yd ed n 9 yd Ti 6-5 ATS 16. Last 1-24 los ATS as a ting 62% After an 23-17 a 5 poss o dge. Cor	ge. WM went D pass to wide) vs WM S/'10 time here Bro ss (+1'). Tim I a HF. When W 6 with a 12-2 upset loss to I t half with Ke of 2H with Ke ming into the	70/11 file open 3 0, only of oncos ne Lester is Western i 2 ratio. W Ball St L ent settling year I tl	got a 4 your for TD record for TD for TD rec	d TD ru overed o to stur g less t ailing 2 as an A ey look et a po WM sco yd FG a s, 57-2 eledo ha	un to lead onside ar in the Rod than 30 24-7 at H AD but did ilike the I like the I owerfull P ored on t at :00. Ke 23. Each I ad the be	nd on a ckets 4 pts. The fir and r id win a best terit and their firstent fun team gest defe	a fake spil 1-38 (-2 le visitor never gel at Pitt this am in th I had a 45 rst 5 poss mble 2nd got a late ense in th	ke capp ') at ho s just 1 ting clo s year. 6 MAC v 67-282 but 3 v play 3C TD 64- ie MAC	ed a 51/4 me. Toleo -4 SU & ser than Jason Car vith Kalel yd edge v were FG's 0 WM sco 31 WM 6 but they
sstern Michigan edo 19 sstern Michigan edo 88 sstern Michigan edo	Rank Rank -	2.5 58 Line 74 -1.5 Line -6.5	Score 41 38 Score 24 31 Score 24	FD 24 29 FD 23 26 FD 17	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush 29-117 53-275 Rush 34-125	20-29 30-46 Comp-A 25-51 14-22 Comp-A	Ntt-Yds 9-284 5-339 Ntt-Yds 1-303 2-200 Ntt-Yds 2-307 2-247	TO's -1 TO's -1 TO's	to Table	188 y	yards yards yards	Proje W Mic Proje W Mic Proje	ojected higan rojecte higan ected Y higan jected	d Rushi Tol d Passi Tol 'ardage Tol	ing ledo ing ledo • Total ledo core	130 212 342	yards yards	0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL ws. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan K vs. Toledo K W Michigan Coaches vs.	0.21 2.71 0.25 0.55	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings	al		W Michiga 55 Pc Toledo W Michiga	oints By 0.3	6 8 8 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	s 501-3: Irive wit I-3 SU (II-3 SU (II-4 II-4 II-4 II-4 II-4 II-4 II-4 II-4	6 yd edd n 9 yd Ti 6-5 ATS; 16. Last 1-24 los ATS as a ting 62% After an 23-17 a' 5 poss o dge. Cor 316 ypg	ge. WM went D pass to wide of yes WM S//10 time here Bro ses (+1'). Tim I a HF. When W 6 with a 12-2 upset loss to lot half with Kerof 2H with Kerof 1H with Keron and holding f and holding f	70/11 file open 3 0, only or oncos ne Lester is Western i 2 ratio. W Ball St L ent settlin the puntion year I to	got a 4 your or TD record paylen Hall not scoring ever led trais is "on" the VMich upseast week Ing for 20 your for 20 your for 20 your for 30 your below to 13 your below the seast week Ing for 30	d TD ru overed o to stui g less t ailing 2 as an A ey look et a por WM sco yd FG a s, 57-2 eledo ha ow thei	un to lead onside ar in the Roo than 30 p 24-7 at H AD but did i. like the l wwerfull Prored on t at :00. Kr 23. Each l ad the be cir season	nd on a ckets 4 pts. The fir and r id win a best terit and their firstent fun team gest defen avg ar	a fake spil 1-38 (-2) In e visitor In ever get In the part in the Ind a 45 In the part in the Ind a 45 In the part in the Ind a 45 In the part in the Ind a Interpret in the Ind WMich	ke capp ') at ho s just 1 ting clo s year. e MAC v 67-282 but 3 v play 30 TD 64- e MAC is allov	ed a 51/4 me. Tolen -4 SU & ser than lason Can with Kale yd edge were FG's WM sco 31 WM 6 but they wing 319
estern Michigan edo 19 estern Michigan edo 88 estern Michigan edo 88 estern Michigan edo	Rank - Rank - Rank	-2.5 58 Line 74 -1.5 Line -6.5 68 Line	Score 41 38 Score 24 31 Score 24 51 Score	FD 24 29 FD 23 26 FD 17 25 FD	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush 29-117 53-275 Rush 34-125 35-268 Rush	Comp-A 20-29 30-46 Comp-A 25-51 14-22 Comp-A 25-32 Comp-A	Ntt-Yds 9-284 5-339 Ntt-Yds 1-303 2-200 Ntt-Yds 2-307 2-247 Ntt-Yds	TO's -1 TO's -1 TO's	eturn to Table of Contents	188 y	yards yards	Proje W Mic Proje W Mic Proj	ojected chigan rojecte chigan ected Y chigan jected	d Rushi Tol d Passi Tol ardage Tol Final So	ing ledo ing ledo : Total ledo core	130 212 342	yards	0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan K vs. Toledo K W Michigan Coaches vs. Toledo Coaches W Michigan Pts vs.	0.21 2.71 0.25	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade	al		W Michiga 55 Po Toledo	oints By 0.3	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1501-3: Irive with 1-3 SU (ince 20: Ith in a 3: Is 13-15: Ithey led incoming in the surface of the surface	.6 yd edd n 9 yd Ti 5-5 ATS; l.6. Last 1-24 los ATS as a ting 62% After an 23-17 ai 5 poss o dge. Cor 316 ypg ng foes t	ge. WM went D pass to wide J vs WM S/10 time here Brc ss (+1'). Tim I a HF. When W 6 with a 12-2 upset loss to i t half with Ker ming into the and holding f to 61 ypg belc	70/11 file open 3 0, only of oncos ne Lester is Western i 2 ratio. W Ball St L ent settlin the punting year I the	got a 4 yc for TD reco laylen Hall nce scoring ever led tras is "on" the VMich upse .ast week \ ng for 20 y ng 4 times hought Tol 33 ypg belc season av	d TD ru overed o to stur g less t ailing 2 ass an A ey look et a po WM sco yd FG a s, 57-2 eledo ha ow thei og and l	un to lead onside ar in the Roo than 30 24-7 at H'A AD but did like the like the like the like the ored on t at :00. K 23. Each la ad the be iir season have tak	nd on a ckets 4 pts. The pts.	a fake spil 1-38 (-2) I visitor I never gel at Pitt thi eam in th I had a 45 rst 5 poss mble 2nd got a late ense in th Ind WMich the tougl	xe capp ') at ho s just 1 ting clo s year. e MAC v i7-282 but 3 v play 30 TD 64- ie MAC is allow her sche	ed a 51/4 me. Toleo -4 SU & ser than Jason Car vith Kalel yd edge v were FG's 2 WM sco 31 WM 6 but they ving 319 edule (#6
20 estern Michigan ledo 19 estern Michigan ledo 18 estern Michigan ledo 17 estern Michigan	Rank - Rank - Rank	Line -2.5 58 Line 74 -1.5 Line -6.5 68 Line 61.5	\$core	FD 24 29 FD 23 26 FD 17 25 FD 15	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush 29-117 53-275 Rush 34-125 35-268 Rush 31-95	20-29 30-46 Comp-A 25-51 14-22 Comp-A 25-32 18-32 Comp-A	\tt-Yds -284 -3-284 -3-339 \tt-Yds -303 -2-200 \tt-Yds -307 -2-247 \tt-Yds -307	TO's -1 TO's -1 TO's 1 TO's	to Table	188 y 353 y 24 p	yards yards yards oints	Proje W Mic Proje W Mic Proj W Mic Proj	ojected chigan cojected chigan ected Y chigan jected chigan	d Rushi Tol d Passi Tol 'ardage Tol Final So	ing ledo ing ledo • Total ledo core ledo	130 212 342 26 p	yards yards points	0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL ws. Toledo OL W Michigan LB'S vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan K vs. Toledo K W Michigan Coaches vs.	0.21 2.71 0.25 0.55	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade	al		W Michiga 55 Pc Toledo W Michiga	oints By 0.3 In By 2.0	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	s 501-3: lrive with s-3 SU (ince 20: let in a 3: s 13-15: leby hith suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalowing sut holding su	6 yd edin 9 yd Ti 5-5 ATS; 16. Last 1-24 los ATS as a ting 62% After an 23-17 ai 5 poss o dge. Cor 316 ypg ng foes t	ge. WM went D pass to wide J vs WM S/10 time here Brc ss (+1'). Tim I a HF. When W 6 with a 12-2 upset loss to I t half with Ker of 2H with Ker ining into the and holding f to 61 ypg belc t 15-32-208 v	70/11 fi de open J D, only or oncos ne Lester is Vestern i 2 ratio. V Ball St L ent settlii ent puntii year I tl foes to 5 ow their lley strug vs CMich	got a 4 yc or TD reco laylen Hall nce scorin; ever led tra 3 3-7 ATS & is "on" the Which upse, ast week to ng for 20 y ng 4 times thought Tol 53 ypg belc season av tiggled vs No	d TD ru overed o to stur g less t ailing 2 as an A ey look et a poo WM soc yd FG a s, 57-2 ledo ha ow thei og and l orthern	un to lead onside ar n the Roo than 30 24-7 at H AD but did: like the l wwerfull P ored on t at :00. Ke 23. Each l ad the be irir season have tak	nd on a ackets 4 pts. The IT and r id win a best tee Pitt and their first eam gest defen avg arken on the IT and IT a	a fake spii 1-38 (-2 le visitor never gel at Pitt this team in the l had a 45 est 5 poss mble 2nd got a late ense in the thend WMich the tougle	ke capp () at ho s just 1 sting clo s year. e MAC v 67-282 but 3 v play 3C TD 64- e MAC is allov ner sche o rFr De	ed a 51/4 me. Toleco -4 SU & . ser than Jason Car vith Kalet yd edge v were FG's 0 WM scot 31 WM 6 but they ving 319 edule (#6 eQuan Fir
estern Michigan ledo 119 estern Michigan ledo 118 estern Michigan ledo 117 estern Michigan	Rank - Rank - Rank	-2.5 58 Line 74 -1.5 Line -6.5 68 Line	Score 41 38 Score 24 31 Score 24 51 Score	FD 24 29 FD 23 26 FD 17 25 FD	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush 29-117 53-275 Rush 34-125 35-268 Rush	Comp-A 20-29 30-46 Comp-A 25-51 14-22 Comp-A 25-32 Comp-A	\tt-Yds -284 -3-284 -3-339 \tt-Yds -303 -2-200 \tt-Yds -307 -2-247 \tt-Yds -307	TO's -1 TO's -1 TO's 1 TO's	to Table	188 y 353 y 24 p	yards yards yards	Pro W Mic Proje W Mic Proje W Mic Exp	ojected chigan ected Y chigan jected chigan	d Rushi Tol d Passi Tol ardage Tol Final So Tol e Ranki	ing ledo ing ledo a Total ledo core ledo ings	130 212 342 26 p	yards yards	0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo QB/WR W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan ST's vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan K vs. Toledo K W Michigan Coaches vs. Toledo Coaches W Michigan Pts vs.	0.21 2.71 0.25 5 0.55	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tot: +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yard	al		W Michiga 55 Pc Toledo W Michiga	oints By 0.3 In By 2.0	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	s 501-3: lrive with s-3 SU (ince 20: let in a 3: s 13-15: leby hith suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalowing sut holding su	6 yd edd 9 yd Ti 5-5 ATS; 16. Last 1-24 los ATS as a ting 62% After an 23-17 ai 5 poss o dge. Cor 316 ypg ng foes to toledo QE.	ge. WM went D pass to widk I) vs WM S/10 time here Bro ss (+1). Tim I a HF. When W 6 with a 12-2 upset loss to I t half with Ken ing into the and holding f to 61 ypg belo B Carter BradI	70/11 fi de open J D, only or oncos ne Lester is Vestern i 2 ratio. V Ball St L ent settlii ent puntii year I tl foes to 5 ow their lley strug vs CMich	got a 4 yc or TD reco laylen Hall nce scorin; ever led tra 3 3-7 ATS & is "on" the Which upse, ast week to ng for 20 y ng 4 times thought Tol 53 ypg belc season av tiggled vs No	d TD ru overed o to stur g less t ailing 2 as an A ey look et a poo WM soc yd FG a s, 57-2 ledo ha ow thei og and l orthern	un to lead onside ar n the Roo than 30 24-7 at H AD but did: like the l wwerfull P ored on t at :00. Ke 23. Each l ad the be irir season have tak	nd on a ackets 4 pts. The IT and r id win a best tee Pitt and their first eam gest defen avg arken on the IT and IT a	a fake spii 1-38 (-2 le visitor never gel at Pitt this team in the l had a 45 est 5 poss mble 2nd got a late ense in the thend WMich the tougle	ke capp () at ho s just 1 sting clo s year. e MAC v 67-282 but 3 v play 3C TD 64- e MAC is allov ner sche o rFr De	ed a 51/4 me. Toleco -4 SU & . ser than Jason Car vith Kalet yd edge v were FG's 0 WM scot 31 WM 6 but they ving 319 edule (#6 eQuan Fir
20 estern Michigan ledo 19 estern Michigan ledo 18 estern Michigan ledo 17 estern Michigan	Rank - Rank - Rank Rank	Line -2.5 58 Line 74 -1.5 Line -6.5 68 Line 61.5	\$core	FD 24 29 FD 23 26 FD 17 25 FD 15	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush 29-117 53-275 Rush 34-125 35-268 Rush 31-95	20-29 30-46 Comp-A 25-51 14-22 Comp-A 25-32 18-32 Comp-A	Att-Yds 9-284 5-339 Att-Yds 1-303 2-200 Att-Yds 2-307 2-247 Att-Yds 9-180 9-300	TO's -1 TO's -1 TO's 1 TO's	to Table	188 y 353 y 24 p	yards yards yards oints	Pro W Mic Proje W Mic Proje W Mic Exp	ojected chigan ected Y chigan jected chigan	d Rushi Tol d Passi Tol 'ardage Tol Final So	ing ledo ing ledo a Total ledo core ledo ings	130 212 342 26 p	yards yards points	0.90	VS. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL VS. Toledo DL W Michigan DL W Michigan DL W Michigan LB'S VS. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's VS. Toledo CB/WR W Michigan ST's VS. Toledo ST's W Michigan K VS. Toledo K W Michigan Coaches VS. Toledo Coaches W Michigan Pts VS. Toledo Pts	0.21 2.71 0.25 5 0.55	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tota +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yard	al		W Michiga 55 Pc Toledo W Michiga	oints By 0.3 In By 2.0	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	s 501-3: lrive with s-3 SU (ince 20: let in a 3: s 13-15: leby hith suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalowing sut holding su	6 yd edd 9 yd Ti 5-5 ATS; 16. Last 1-24 los ATS as a ting 62% After an 23-17 ai 5 poss o dge. Cor 316 ypg ng foes to toledo QE.	ge. WM went D pass to wide J vs WM S/10 time here Brc ss (+1'). Tim I a HF. When W 6 with a 12-2 upset loss to I t half with Ker of 2H with Ker ining into the and holding f to 61 ypg belc t 15-32-208 v	70/11 fi de open J D, only or oncos ne Lester is Vestern i 2 ratio. V Ball St L ent settlii ent puntii year I tl foes to 5 ow their lley strug vs CMich	got a 4 yc or TD reco laylen Hall nce scorin; ever led tra 3 3-7 ATS & is "on" the Which upse, ast week to ng for 20 y ng 4 times thought Tol 53 ypg belc season av tiggled vs No	d TD ru overed o to stur g less t ailing 2 as an A ey look et a poo WM soc yd FG a s, 57-2 ledo ha ow thei og and l orthern	un to lead onside ar n the Roo than 30 24-7 at H AD but did: like the l wwerfull P ored on t at :00. Ke 23. Each l ad the be irir season have tak	nd on a ackets 4 pts. The IT and r id win a best tee Pitt and their first eam gest defen avg arken on the IT and IT a	a fake spii 1-38 (-2 le visitor never gel at Pitt this team in the l had a 45 est 5 poss mble 2nd got a late ense in the thend WMich the tougle	ke capp () at ho s just 1 sting clo s year. e MAC v 67-282 but 3 v play 3C TD 64- e MAC is allov ner sche o rFr De	ed a 51/4 me. Toleco -4 SU & . ser than Jason Car vith Kalet yd edge v were FG's 0 WM scot 31 WM 6 but they ving 319 edule (#6 eQuan Fir
20 sestem Michigan ledo 19 sestem Michigan ledo 18 sestem Michigan ledo 17 sestem Michigan	Rank - Rank - Rank - Rank - Rank	Line -2.5 58 Line 74 -1.5 Line -6.5 68 Line 61.5	\$core 41 38 \$core 24 31 \$core 24 51 \$core 10 37	FD 24 29 FD 23 26 FD 17 25 FD 15 22	Rush 28-153 39-162 Rush 29-117 53-275 Rush 34-125 35-268 Rush 31-95 38-155	20-29 30-46 Comp-A 25-51 14-22 Comp-A 25-32 18-32 Comp-A 13-29	Att-Yds 9-284 5-339 Att-Yds 1-303 2-200 Att-Yds 2-307 2-247 Att-Yds 9-180 9-300 Att-Yds	TO's -1 TO's -1 TO's 1 TO's	to Table	188 y 353 y 24 p	yards yards yards oints	Pro W Mic Proje W Mic Proje W Mic Exp W Mic	ojected chigan ected Y chigan jected chigan	d Rushi Tol d Passi Tol ardage Tol Final So Tol e Ranki Tol	ing ledo ing ledo a Total ledo core ledo ings	130 212 342 26 g	yards yards points	0.90	vs. Toledo LB's W Michigan OL Vs. Toledo DL W Michigan DL W Michigan LB'S Vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan DB's vs. Toledo RB's W Michigan B'S W Michigan ST's Vs. Toledo ST's W Michigan K Vs. Toledo K W Michigan K Vs. Toledo K W Michigan Cache: Vs. Toledo K W Michigan Coache: Vs. Toledo Coaches W Michigan Pts Vs. Toledo Coaches	0.21 2.71 0.25 5 0.55	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Tot: +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yard	al		W Michiga 55 Pc Toledo W Michiga	Dints By 0.3 In By 2.0 1353-342	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	s 501-3: lrive with s-3 SU (ince 20: let in a 3: s 13-15: leby hith suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalo. In the suffalowing sut holding su	6 yd edd 9 yd Ti 5-5 ATS; 16. Last 1-24 los ATS as a ting 62% After an 23-17 ai 5 poss o dge. Cor 316 ypg ng foes to toledo QE.	ge. WM went D pass to wide J vs WM S/10 time here Brc ss (+1'). Tim I a HF. When W 6 with a 12-2 upset loss to I t half with Ker of 2H with Ker ining into the and holding f to 61 ypg belc t 15-32-208 v	70/11 file open J D, only or or oncos ne Lester is Western i 2 ratio. W Ball St L ent settlinent puntil year I til foes to 5 ow their liley strug vs CMich 1.6).	got a 4 yc or TD reco aylen Hall nce scorini ever led tra 3-7 ATS a is "on" the VMich upse ast week to ng for 20 y ng 4 times thought To 63 ypg belo season av upgled vs No h for a QBR	d TD ru overed of to stur g less t ailing 2 as an A ey look et a po WM scc yd FG a s, 57-2 ledo ha orthern R of jus	un to lead onside ar nn the Roo than 30 J 24-7 at H AD but did like the lowerfull Prored on t at :00. Ke 33. Each i ad the becin season have tak n Illinois a st 29.7. V	nd on a lockets 4 pts. The firm and reference to the firm and their firm and their firm and their firm and the firm and th	a fake spii 11-38 (-2 e visitor never gel at Pitt this eam in th I had a 45 est 5 poss mble 2nd got a late ense in th nd WMich the tougle ey went to laying to	ce capp () at ho s just 1 ting clo s year e MAC v play 3C TD 64- e MAC is allow ner sche o rFr De an AGC	ed a 51/4 me. Toleco -4 SU & . ser than Jason Car vith Kalet yd edge v were FG's 0 WM scot 31 WM 6 but they ving 319 edule (#6 eQuan Fir

March Marc	
Math	
1	PS RANK FOE
The content of the	
Part	72 20 7 77
1	73 60 56
Section Continue	73 72 89 82 60 83
15 2	75 12 55
The column	68
1.6	64 124 129 66 6
Control or Con	32 13
The content of the	40 27 61 83
Part	9 7
Control Cont	
Second S	
## Retaining	Comp Yds
Separate Contesting 24-4 15 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	1.9 26-35 366
Poster Printings 28-01 12 4 -1 10 3-0-00 6.1 2-7-00 333 1 20 4-2-00 4-4 10-74 323 1 10 1 2-4-2-00 4-5-00 2-7-00 330 1 20 4-2-00 2-7-00 330 1 20 4-2-00 2-7-00 330 1 20 4-2-00 2-7-00 330 1 20 4-2-00 2-7-00 330 1 20 4-2-00 2-7-00 330 1 20 4-2-00 2-7-00 330 1 2-7-00 32	
## Common Page Page	3.9 22-31 259
Profession Pro	
## Common Page Page	6.4 17-32 203
## Cannot	-
## Common Page Page	
Mann, fl According West Points According 1249 According 124	
Average 17-4 1863 -8.0 -0.3 17 33-111 3.4 51.4% 183 5.0% 12 41-28 5.5 67.5% 254 3.0% 19 17-39 1825 -8.0 -0.3 15 5.5 63.9% 184 120-18 1.4% 19 13.1% 183 5.0% 12 41-28 5.5 67.5% 254 3.0% 19 13.1% 19 14-18 183 5.0% 12 41-28 5.5 67.5% 254 3.0% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 14-28 5.2% 19 15.5% 19 15	
Average 17-42 1863 -8.0 -6.3 17 33-11 3.4 51-4% 183 50% 25 41-226 5.5 67.5% 254 3.0% Average 27-59 183 1.5 5-108 3.1 40-50% 124 61-30 1825 5.5 67.5% 254 69.2% 293 3.4% Projected Box Score West Score 19-42 1863 -8.0 -6.3 17 33-11 3.4 51-4% 183 50% 25 41-226 5.5 67.5% 254 3.0% 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10.0% 27 19-40 183 10-40 183 19-4	
Average 17-22 186.3 -8.0 -0.3 17 33-111 3.4 51.4% 183 5.6% 25 41-226 5.5 67.5% 254 3.0% Conference 17-39 182.5 -8.8 -0.3 15 35-108 3.1 46.3% 163 5.6% 24 40-215 5.4 69.2% 299 3.4% Average 27-30 182.5 -8.8 -0.3 15 35-108 3.1 46.3% 163 5.6% 24 40-215 5.4 69.2% 299 3.4% Average 27-30 182.5 -8.8 -0.3 15 35-108 3.1 46.3% 163 5.6% 24 40-215 5.4 69.2% 299 3.4% Item 29-31 10.8 2.3 -1.0 22 46-210 5.8 89.5% 177 8.6% 12 44-206 4.7 Average 27-30 18.8 10.4% 123 44-206 4.7 Average 27-30 18.8 10.4	
Conference 17-391-182-5 -8.8 -0.3 15 35-108 3.1 46-3% 163 5.6% 24 40-215 5.4 69-2% 239 3.4%	
Conference 17-391-182.5 R.B. 40.3 15 35-108 3.1 46.7% 163 5.0% 12 40.715 5.4 69.2% 239 3.4% Many 5-521-394.5 - 20.5 0.5 12 26.35 1.4 52.7% 149 13.1% 33 45-268 6.2 70.0% 311 1.4% Protein lynding lights through the control of the con	4.0 60.3% 247 8
Projected Box Score Projected Box Score UMass Finds St. Us UMass Day UMas	4.7 61.1% 219
Mass Florids St 1.65 Ulless QB / W	3.5 59.6% 256 8
Projected Box Score	
Profest St DB's UMass RDs Vs. 2.34	
Vs. Plorida St US 10. UMass DL Vs. Plorida St DL UMass DL Vs. Plorida St B1 S Vs. Las Vegas Line Vs. Plorida St B1 S Vs. Las Vegas Line Vs. Plorida St B1 S Vs. Las Vegas Line Vs. Plorida St B1 S Vs. Las Vegas Line Vs. Plorida St B1 S Vs. Las Vegas Line Vs. Sepas Total Vs. Las Vegas Total Vs. Plorida St B1 S Vs. Las Vegas Total Vs. Las Vegas Total Vs. Las Vegas Total Vs. Plorida St B1 S Vs. Las Vegas Total Vs. Las Vegas Total Vs. Las Vegas Total Vs. Das Vegas Total	
Florida St LB's UMass DL vs. Vs. Plorida St DL UMass DB's vs. Vs. Florida St RB's UMass DB's vs. Florida St RB's UMass ST's vs. Florida St ST's vs. Florida St RB's UMass ST's vs. Florida St RB's UMass ST's vs. Florida St B's vs. V	
UNass DL vs. Florida St DL UNass DLS vs. Florida St DL UNass DLS vs. Florida St QL UNass DLS vs. Plorida St QL UNass DLS vs. V	
Florida St DL UMass DL V.S. Florida St DL UMass DB'S V.S. Florida St RB'S UMass DB'S V.S. Florida St RB'S UMass DB'S V.S. Florida St RB'S UMass DB'S V.S. Florida St DL UMass DB'S V.S. Las Vegas Line Florida St DL UMass B'S V.S. Las Vegas Line Florida St By 35.5 First meeting, UMass HC Walt Bell was FSU's OC/OB coach in '18. FSU is 8. hosting GS opponents with their last loss coming in 2009 vs USF. UMass is hosting GS opponents with their last loss coming in 2009 vs USF. UMass is hosting GS opponents with their last loss coming in 2009 vs USF. UMass is hosting GS opponents with their last loss coming in 2009 vs USF. UMass is hosting GS opponents with their last loss coming in 2009 vs USF. Unlass is this season after losing to both Pit & BC in the first 2 weeks (1-1 ATS), FS VS	
UMass DL vs. Florida St OL UMass LB'S vs. Florida St QB/WR Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com). Projected Box Score Projected Box Score UMass ST's vs. Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com). Projected Box Score Vs. Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com). Projected Box Score Vs. Last Vegas Line Projected Rushing 92 yards UMass Fiorida St St S's Vs. Last Vegas Line Projected Rushing 92 yards UMass Fiorida St 315 yards Projected Passing 92 yards UMass Fiorida St 315 yards Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Fiorida St Z 217 yards UMass Fiorida St X Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Fiorida St Z 217 yards UMass Fiorida St X Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Fiorida St Z 217 yards UMass Fiorida St X Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Fiorida St Z 217 yards UMass Fiorida St X Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Fiorida St Z 217 yards UMass Fiorida St X Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Fiorida St Z 217 yards UMass Fiorida St Z 2	
Florida St OL UMass Els' vs. Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Box Score Projected Rushing Projected Rushing 92 yards UMass Florida St 315 yards Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Florida St 217 yards UMass Florida St 217 yards Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Florida St 217 yards Projected Variance Total Projected Variance Total UMass Coaches Florida St BV 35.3 UMass Florida St BV 35.5 Florida St BV 35.5 UMass Florida St BV 35.5 Florida St BV 35.5 UMass Florida St BV 35.5 Florida St BV 35.5 UMass Florida St BV 35.5 UMass Florida St BV 35.5 UMass Florida St BV 35.5 Florida St BV 35.5 UMass Florida St BV 35.5 Florida St BV 35.5 UMass Florida St BV 35.5 UMass Florida St BV 35.5 UMass Florida St BV 36.3 UMass Florida St BV 36.3	
UMass LB'S Vs. Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Box Score Projected Box Score UMass ST'S Vs. Last Vegas Line Projected Rushing Projected Passing Projected Passing UMass R Florida St ST S Projected Passing UMass R Florida St ST S Projected Passing UMass R Florida St ST S Projected Passing Florida St ST S Florida St ST	
Vs. Florida St RB's Vs. Florida St QB/WR Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com). Projected Box Score Vs. Florida St QB/WR Projected Rushing Projected Rushing 92 yards UMass Florida St St St S Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Florida St 217 yards Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Florida St 217 yards Projected Vardance Total Vs. Florida St K Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Florida St 217 yards Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Florida St 217 yards Projected Vardance Total Vs. Florida St K Florida St K Vs. Florida St K Projected Passing Projected Passing Florida St K Florida St K Vs. Florida St K Florida St K Vs. Florida St K Florida St By 36.3	
UMass DB's vs. Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com). Projected Box Score Projected Rushing 92 yards UMass Florida St St 315 yards Projected Passing Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Florida St 2 17 yards Projected Vardance Total Projected Vardance Total UMass Coaches Projected Vardance Total UMass Coaches Projected Vardance Total UMass Coaches UMass Coaches Vs. Las Vegas Line Florida St By 35.5 First meeting. UMass HC Walt Bell was FSU's OC/QB coach in '18. FSU is 8-hosting GS opponents with their last loss coming in 2009 vs USF. UMass is hosting GS opponents with their last loss coming in 2009 vs USF. UMass is hosting GS opponents with their last loss coming in 2009 vs USF. UMass is non-conference foes the last 3Y. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to Jacksonville St this year. FSU opende the year appendent when favored by a three complexing Syracuse 33-30 at home (-5'). The read at North Carolina and Jordan Travits and first 12 years for 110 yets. No complexing Syracuse 33-30 at home (-5'). The read at North Carolina and Jordan Travits and first 12 years for 110 yets. No complexing Syracuse 33-30 at home (-5'). The read at North Carolina and Jordan Travits and first 12 years for 110 yets. No complexing Syracuse 33-30 at home (-5'). The read at North Carolina and Jordan Travits and first 12 years for 110 yets. No complexing Syracuse 33-30 at home (-5'). The read at North Carolina and Jordan Travits and first 12 years for 110 yets. No complexing Syracuse 33-30 at home (-5'). The read at North Carolina and Jordan Travits and first 12 years for 110 yets. No complexing Syracuse 33-30 at home (-5'). The read at North Carolina and Jordan Travits and first 12 years for 110 yets. No complexing Syracuse 33-30 at home (-5'). The read at North Carolina and Jordan Travits and Jupaped out to a 10-0 lea. Projected Variance Total	
vs. Florida St QB/WR Projected Box Score Projected Rushing 92 yards Wass Florida St Projected Passing 95 yards Wass Florida St Projected Passing 156 yards Wass Florida St Projected Passing 156 yards Wass Florida St Projected Vardance Total Projected Vardance Total Projected Vardance Total Projected Vardance Total Projected Rushing 92 yards Wass Florida St Projected Passing 156 yards Wass Florida St Projected Vardance Total Wass Florida St Projected Vardance Total Projected Vardance Total Projected Vardance Total Projected Vardance Total	
Florida St Q8/WR Projected Box Score Projected Rushing Projected Rushing Projected Rushing Projected Rushing Projected Rushing Projected Passing Projected Pa	
Projected Box Score ws. Projected Rushing Projected Rushing 92 yards UMass Florida St ST's Projected Passing Projected Passing 92 yards UMass Florida St ST S Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Florida St 217 yards UMass Florida St V Ws. Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Florida St 217 yards UMass Florida St V Ws. Projected Passing Florida St K Florida St K Florida St ST Florida St ST Florida St By 35.5 Florida St By 3	
Projected Rushing Projected Rushing 92 yards Projected Passing Projected Passing Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Florida St 2 217 yards UMass Florida St 2 127 yards Projected Vardange Total Umass Florida St 2 127 yards Umass Florida St 2 127 yards Projected Vardange Total Umass Florida St 2 127 yards Umass Florida St 2 127 yards Projected Vardange Total Umass Florida St 2 127 yards Umass Florida St 3 12 yards Umass Florida St 3 15 yards Umass Florida St 3 12 yards Umass Florida St 3 12 yards Umass Florida St 3 12 yards Umass Florida St 4 12 yards Umass Florida St 4 12 yards Umass Florida St 5 12 yards Umass Florida St 5 12 yards Umass Florida St 5 12 yards Umass Florida St 6 12 yards Umass Florida St 8 12 yards Umass Florida St 8 12 yards Umass Florida St 8 ya 6.3 Umass Florida St	
Projected Rushing 92 yards Wass Florida St ST's Projected Passing Projected Passing 156 yards Wass Florida St 217 yards Projected Passing Projected Passing 156 yards Wass Florida St 217 yards Projected Passing	J is 8-0 SU/6-2 ATS whe
Projected Variance Total 92 yards UMass Florida St 315 yards Projected Passing Florida St V. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 this year. FSU is 0-5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 ATS when favored by 20+ poir upset loss to 5 ATS when favore	lass is facing their 3rd AC
92 yards UMass Florida St 315 yards Projected Passing 156 yards UMass Florida St 217 yards Projected Vardance Total Projected Vardance Total Projected Vardance Total Projected Vardance Total	
Total States on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 14-121 rus and hit 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 1 on the road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis had 1 on the Road at North Carolina and Jordan Travis h	0-4 SU and were 1-4 ATS
156 yards UMass Florida St 217 yards UMass Coaches Florida St By 36.3 12 pen's for 110 yds. NC was a 3 TD favorite and jumped out to a 10-0 lead to 10 yes 1	
)-0 lead after 2 poss. FSU
248 yards UMass Florida St 532 yards Florida St 84 yard	
Projected Final Score Projected Final Score UMass Pts Computer Yards S they took on winless Connecticut in a much talked about game. Ellis Mer 15 noints UMass Florida St 44 noints 0,00 vs. 15.17 Florida St 522-248 rush. UMass wet 73/12 for TD to open and UC 74/3 TD then 50/9 FG 10-	every win they can get, a
Computer Yards 5 15 noints IMass Florida St 44 noints 0,00 vs. 15.17 Florida St 532-248 vs. UMass via winess Commenceut in a mutur takea about parts and ut Takea about par	
	G 10-7 and that was sco
half. UMass 72/10 21 yd FG ti Dopen 3Q the Iron miss 53 yd F Experience Rankings Florida St. Pts 1st play 40 then affect pit 6/3 70.1 Ulron 90.5 31 Ucron miss 53 yd FG 20-1	3 yd FG. Mass 55/6 28 yd
Computer Points 27-13 4:54 and their first win under Bell. Bell is 0-6 SU and ATS on the ro	
POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO: of 44-5 and they are -328 ypg! FSU needs to gain confidence and gets a b	
Team Schedule Strength Florida St Phil Steele	
43 UMass Florida St 26 Florida St by 35 Florida St 45 UMass 10	0
By 15.17 Points	

I						Т	exas	St Bo	bcats	;								OVERA		ERALL:						Georg	ia St Panth	ers					
						-		obcats Lo										2-4 vs. SU		2-4 s. SUN							Panthers Logs						
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE	TM +/-	FOE +/	. ,	ΛP						TEA		PS R		FOE		1-1		1-1	TM REC FOE REC	TM FOE	TM +/-	FOE +/-	AP					TEAM	PS RAN		OE
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0		129	TM	FOE	DATE 9/4	Baylor	OE	20-29	W/L L	0FF 100	DEF 114	ST 79	OFF 94	DEF 38	ST 70	2	NCAA RANKINGS 21 TEAM RANKINGS		0-0 0-0		122	120	TM FO	9/4	FOE Army West Point			FF DEF 59 101		.09 82	ST 55
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0		108			9/11			23-17		107	119	99	108	98	11				0-0 0-0		117	132	24	9/11	at North Carolina	17-59		78 105		13 38	98
1-1	0-0		113				9/18		ate Word	34-42	L	110	118	87				Texas:		orgia St	0-2 2-0		113	108		9/18	Charlotte	20-9	W	98 107		.05 119	98
1-2 2-1	0-0	0-0	108 104	118				at Eastern	Michigan	21-59	L	114 118	108	62	112	116	100	#83 #91	Rush Off Pass Off	#23 #116	1-2 2-1		113 116	136	23	-, -	at Auburn Appalachian St	24-34		11 121 08 112		3 34	21 9
1-3 1-3 3-1		0-1	104	108			10/2	Bye South A	Alabama	33-31	W	119	111	69 71	107	68	93	#100	Total Off	#116	1-3 3-1 1-4 2-2		110	127 96		10/2	at ULM	16-45 55-21		08 112 09 119	121 119		121
2-3 3-3			105	118			10/16	Troy		28-31		114	110	99	110	53	112	#82	Score Off	#97	2-4	1-1	116			10/16	Bye			118	117		
2-4 2-4	1-1		106	116				at Georgia				111	111	75			116	#101	Sks Allowed	#55	2-4 2-4		116	106		10/23	Texas St		Ġ	90 117			75
5-1 3-3		3-0 1-2		133 97			11/6	at Louisian ULM	id						57 130	89 126	25 118	#92 #82	Rush Def Pass Def	#90 #96	2-5 5-1	1-3 3-0		110			at GA Southern at Louisiana					.07 115 57 89	41 25
2-5		1-3		110			11/13	GA Sout	thern						107	115	41	#90	Total Def	#98	6-0	2-0		134			at Coastal Carolina					2 61	14
6-0		2-0		134				at Coastal							2	61	14	#113	Score Def	#118	1-5	0-2		105		11/20	Arkansas St					.06 130	32
1-5		0-2		105			11/2/	at Arkansa	is St						106	130	32	#122 #107	Sks By Ypg Diff	#101 #105	4-3	2-1		117		11/27	Troy					.01 54	121
																		-3	Total TO's	-3													
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds								Net		Offen	e Comp	Yds		Defense		omp Yds	
Scor	re		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks			YPC	Att	Pass	Sks				Sco	re	Yds		TO's FD		YPC Att	Pass	Sks	Derense D RA-RY		Att Pass	Sks
Baylor		20-2	9 -151	-2	-2		27-79	2.9	20-40	156	1	22 4	45-238	5.3	15-24	148	0				Army West Po	int 10-4		-6	-2 14	28-48	1.7 12-20	129	3 2	20 67-258		3-4 98	0
at FIU		23-1		0	3		44-171	3.9	18-31		4				17-34	259	1				at North Carolina			-12 3	0 16		4.0 14-30			8 40-201		3-31 406	2
Incarnate Word at Eastern Michiga		34-4 21-5		-15	-1		44-182 37-112	4.1 3.0	25-41 16-25		2		23-79 44-242		31-47 22-35	376 257	2	10		Z.	Charlotte at Auburn	20		-1	-1 20 0 20					17 39-118 21 35-166		3-28 158 2-43 253	2
Bye																		cats	S	ě	Appalachian S	t 16-4		-2	-2 21				4 2	23 40-163	4.1 2	3-32 339	1
South Alabama	1	33-3 28-3		3	0		48-212		18-28		3		44-140			189 182	1	Ö	B	Ħ	at ULM	55-2	21 213	18	2 39	58-326	5.6 20-29	246	0 2	21 37-122	9 3.3 2)-32 237	3
Troy at Georgia St		28-3	1 -17	-2	-4	21	39-91	2.3	22-32	279	4	23 4	40-205	5.1	22-29	182	1	Bob	l ₹	Panthers	Bye Texas St												
it Louisiana																		St		St	at GA Southern												
ULM																		S			at Louisiana												
GA Southern at Coastal Carolina	а																	exas	EAM	ġ	at Coastal Caroli Arkansas St	na											
t Arkansas St																		Ğ.	F	Georgia	Troy												
																				ĝ													
Average			5 -59.5			22		3.5	60.4%			24					3.1%				Average		35 -49.7		-0.5 22							.2% 249	
Conference			1 26.5 B -132.0	0.5		22		3.5	66.7%			22 4									Conference Home		33 45.5 32 -46.7		0.0 30 -1.7 18							.2% 288 .9% 198	
Away		22-3	5 -132.0	-7.5	1.0	20	41-142	3.5	60.7%	1/6	14.5%	2/ 2	41-192	4./	30.3%	256	4.5%	P	sition by Position Edge	es	nome	15-3	2 -40.7	-1.7	-1./ 16	39-100	4.1 55.1%	0 1/1	11.0%	20 49-180	3.7 6	.9% 198	4./%
																		Texas		orgia St													
																		0.94	Texas St QB/WR vs.														
																			Georgia St DB's														
																	İ		Texas St RB's														
																			vs. Georgia St LB's	0.23													
																			Texas St OL														
																		0.18	vs.														
																	ŀ	_	Georgia St DL Texas St DL														
																			vs.	1.46													
																			Georgia St OL														
																			Texas St LB'S vs.	1.08													
																			Georgia St RB's	1.00													
																	İ		Texas St DB's														
																		0.54	VS.														
																			Georgia St QB/WR Texas St ST's	=1	Category		Team &	Margin				Phil	l's Forecast:				
Last Fiv	e Years	s Meeti	ngs (La	st 22 v	ears at	PhilStee	ele.com	1													Category												
	re Years	s Meeti	ngs (La Score	st 22 y	rears at	PhilSter Comp-A		1). TO's				Proje	cted E	Box S	core			1.81	vs.														
2019		s Meeti Line -3					tt-Yds					Proje	cted E	Box S	core			1.81	vs. Georgia St ST's		Las Vegas Line		Georgia S	t By 10.5			ve met 7 previous ti						
2019		Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A 16-25	tt-Yds -129						cted E					1.81	vs. Georgia St ST's Texas St K		Las Vegas Line		Georgia S	t By 10.5	decid Texa	ed by 9 pt St won 3	s or less including th 7-34 in 30T. Georgia	eir last mtg (a St is 3-6 AT	('19) when FS the L5Y	GSt kicked off a bye ar	a FG to sen id the road	I the game to eam is 4-2-1	OT. ATS in
2019 Fexas St Georgia St		Line -3	Score 37	FD 20	Rush 50-221	Comp-A 16-25	-129 -219	TO's	ints	95	yards		ojected		ng	283 ya	ards	1.81		1.50			Georgia S		decid Texa the s	ed by 9 pt S St won 3 eries. Also	s or less including th 7-34 in 3OT. Georgia 5 of the past 6 gam	neir last mtg (a St is 3-6 AT nes have gond	('19) when FS the L5Y e 'Over' th	GSt kicked off a bye ar e total. Geo	a FG to sen d the road gia St lost t	I the game to eam is 4-2-1 neir first two	OT. ATS in badly
2019 Fexas St Georgia St 2018	Rank - -	-3 63	37 34	FD 20 27	Rush 50-221 53-204	16-25 24-40	-129 219 -tt-Yds	TO's	intents	95	yards	Pro	ojected	Rushir Georg	n g pia St	283 ya	ards	1.81	Texas St K	1.50	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total				decid Texa the s inclu Aubu	ed by 9 pt s St won 3 eries. Also ling a 43-: rn 24-19 v	s or less including th 7-34 in 3OT. Georgia 5 of the past 6 gam 0 home loss to Arm ith :50 left but gave	eir last mtg (a St is 3-6 AT es have gond y and were - up a 4&7 TD	('19) when FS the L5Y e 'Over' th 2'. They b) pass and	GSt kicked off a bye ar e total. Geor eat Charlotte IR TD to los	a FG to sen d the road gia St lost to at home b e by 10. Aft	I the game to eam is 4-2-1 neir first two 9 and they er getting roll	OT. ATS in badly led led by
2019 Fexas St Georgia St 2018 Fexas St	Rank - -	Line -3 63 Line	37 34 Score 40	FD 20 27 FD 19	Rush 50-221 53-204 Rush	Comp-A 16-25 24-40 Comp-A 21-26	-129 -219 -tt-Yds -325	TO's	f Contents		yards yards	Pro	ojected s St ojected	Rushir Georg	ng _{jia} St	283 ya 174 ya		1.81	Texas St K vs.	1.50	Las Vegas Line			Points	decid Texa the s inclu Aubu App	ed by 9 pt s St won 3 eries. Also ling a 43-1 rn 24-19 v St, I used	s or less including th 7-34 in 3OT. Georgia 5 of the past 6 gam 0 home loss to Arm ith :50 left but gave hem on my Phil Stee	eir last mtg (a St is 3-6 AT es have gond y and were - up a 4&7 TD ele Plus Tour	('19) when FS the L5Y e 'Over' th ·2'. They bo o pass and · and ULM v	GSt kicked off a bye ar e total. Geor eat Charlotto IR TD to los vent 97/6 fo	a FG to sen id the road rgia St lost to at home b e by 10. Aft r a TD on th	I the game to eam is 4-2-1 neir first two 9 and they er getting roll eir 2nd poss	OT. ATS in badly led led by to lead
2019 Fexas St Georgia St 2018	Rank - -	-3 63 Line 51.5 -6.5	37 34 Score 40	FD 20 27 FD 19 25	Rush 50-221 53-204 Rush 36-164	Comp-A 16-25 24-40 Comp-A 21-26	tt-Yds i-129 i-219 tt-Yds i-325 i-224	TO's -3 TO's	of			Pro Texas Pro	ojected s St ojected	Rushir Georg Passin Georg	ng pia St ng pia St			1.81	Texas St K vs. Georgia St K	1.50	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings		60.5 I	Points	decident for the second for the seco	ed by 9 pt s St won 3 eries. Also ling a 43- rn 24-19 v St, I used ut Georgia ! for TD w	s or less including th 7-34 in 3OT. Georgia 5 of the past 6 gam 0 home loss to Arm ith :50 left but gave hem on my Phil Stee St led 28-7 at half a th 4:34 left. Furman	eir last mtg (a St is 3-6 AT es have gond y and were - up a 4&7 TD ele Plus Tour and scored Ti transfer Dari	('19) when FS the L5Y e 'Over' th 2'. They bo pass and and ULM D's on first ren Graing	GSt kicked off a bye ar e total. Geor eat Charlotte IR TD to los vent 97/6 for 4 poss of 2 er took ove	a FG to sen d the road gia St lost (at home b e by 10. Aft r a TD on th H to lead 55	I the game to eam is 4-2-1 heir first two 9 and they er getting roll eir 2nd poss -14 with ULM ornelius Broy	OT. ATS in badly led led by to lead going wn
2019 Fexas St Georgia St 2018 Fexas St Georgia St	Rank - - Rank - -	-3 63 Line 51.5 -6.5	37 34 Score 40 31	FD 20 27 FD 19 25	Rush 50-221 53-204 Rush 36-164 43-221	Comp-A 16-25 24-40 Comp-A 21-26 21-40	tt-Yds i-129 i-219 tt-Yds i-325 i-224	TO's -3 TO's	of	233		Pro Texas Pro	ojected s St ojected s St cted Ya	Rushir Georg Passin Georg	ng pia St ng pia St Total		ards	1.81	Texas St K vs. Georgia St K	1.70	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total		60.5 I	Points at By 12.5	decident from the second from	ed by 9 pt s St won 3 eries. Also ling a 43-: rn 24-19 v St, I used ut Georgia for TD w , 0-4) and without 20	s or less including the 7-34 in 30T. Georgia 5 of the past 6 gam 0 home loss to Arm ith :50 left but gave hem on my Phil Stee 5t led 28-7 at half at 4:34 left. Furman half sit 59% with a 5 + players due to Co	eir last mtg (a St is 3-6 AT es have gond y and were - up a 4&7 TD ele Plus Tour and scored TI transfer Dari 9-3 ratio. Tex sold and injur	('19) when FS the L5Y e 'Over' th 2'. They be pass and and ULM v D's on first ren Graing was St only ries vs bott	GSt kicked off a bye ar e total. Geor eat Charlotte IR TD to los vent 97/6 fo 4 poss of 2 er took ove lost to Bay in Incarnate	a FG to sen of the road rgia St lost to e at home b e by 10. Aff r a TD on th t to lead 55 r at QB for 0 for by 9 and Word and E	I the game to eam is 4-2-1 neir first two 9 and they or er getting roll eir 2nd poss -14 with ULM ornelius Brow beat FIU but stern Michiga	OT. ATS in badly led led by to lead going wn then
2019 Texas St Georgia St 2018 Texas St Georgia St 2017 Texas St	Rank - - Rank - -	Line -3 63 Line 51.5 -6.5 Line	Score 37 34 Score 40 31 Score	FD 20 27 FD 19 25 FD	Rush 50-221 53-204 Rush 36-164 43-221 Rush	Comp-A 16-25 24-40 Comp-A 21-26 21-40 Comp-A 35-55	.tt-Yds i-129 i-219 .tt-Yds i-325 i-224 .tt-Yds i-315	TO's -3 TO's	of	233	yards	Pro Texas Pro Texas Projec	ojected s St ojected s St cted Ya	Rushir Georg Passin Georg	ng pia St ng pia St Total pia St	174 ya	ards	1.81	Texas St K vs. Georgia St K Texas St Coaches vs.	1.70	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade		60.5 F	Points at By 12.5	decident the second th	ed by 9 pt s St won 3 eries. Also ling a 43- rn 24-19 v St, I used ut Georgia for TD w , 0-4) and without 20 they ups	s or less including th 7-34 in 3OT. Georgia 5 of the past 6 gam 0 home loss to Arm ith :50 left but gave hem on my Phil Ster 5t led 28-7 at half a th 4:34 left. Furman has hit 59% with a 9 + players due to Co tt South Alabama an	neir last mtg (a St is 3-6 AT es have gone up a 4&7 TD ele Plus Tour and scored TI transfer Dar 9-3 ratio. Te void and injur id last week t	('19) when FS the L5Y e 'Over' th 2'. They bo pass and and ULM v D's on first ren Graing xas St only ries vs bott they led Tr	GSt kicked off a bye are total. George eat Charlotte IR TD to los went 97/6 for 4 poss of 2 er took ove lost to Bay in Incarnate oy 28-24 af	a FG to sen d the road rgia St lost is e at home b e by 10. Aft r a TD on th H to lead 55 r at QB for 0 or by 9 and Word and E ter 3Q but g	I the game to eam is 4-2-1 heir first two '9 and they or getting roll eir 2nd poss -14 with ULM ornelius Brov beat FIU but stern Michiga ve up an KR	OT. ATS in badly led led by to lead going wn then an. Afte
2019 Fexas St Georgia St 2018 Fexas St Georgia St 2017 Fexas St Georgia St	Rank - - Rank - -	Line -3 63 Line 51.5 -6.5 Line 48.5	37 34 Score 40 31 Score 30	FD 20 27 FD 19 25 FD 23	Rush 50-221 53-204 Rush 36-164 43-221 Rush 25-75	Comp-A 16-25 24-40 Comp-A 21-26 21-40 Comp-A 35-55	tt-Yds -129 -219 tt-Yds -325 -224 tt-Yds -315 -368	TO's -3 TO's -2 TO's	of	233	yards	Pro Texas Pro Texas Projec	ojected s St ojected s St cted Ya s St ected Fi	Rushir Georg Passin Georg	ng gia St ng gia St Total gia St ore	174 ya	ards ards	3.47	Texas St K vs. Georgia St K Texas St Coaches vs. Georgia St Coaches	1.70	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings		60.5 F	Points It By 12.5 It By 10.6	decident dec	ed by 9 pt s St won 3 eries. Also rn 24-19 v st, I used ut Georgia for TD w , 0-4) and without 2! they ups Georgia S ted games	s or less including the 7-34 in 3OT. Georgia 5 of the past 6 gam 0 home loss to Arm ith: 50 left but gave hem on my Phil Ste St led 28-7 at half a th 4:34 left. Furman has hit 59% with a + players due to Co tt South Alabama an has taken on the Texas St is playing	eir last mtg (a St is 3-6 AT ees have gone y and were - up a 4&7 TD ele Plus Tour and scored TI transfer Dari 9-3 ratio. Tes void and injur id last week t ugher schedi to an AGG o	('19) when FS the L5Y e 'Over' th 2'. They bo b) pass and r and ULM v D's on first ren Graing xas St only ries vs bott they led Tr ule (#43 v of 87.7 and	GSt kicked off a bye ar e total. Geor eat Charlotts IR TD to los went 97/6 for 4 poss of 2 er took ove lost to Bay n Incarnate os #118). Tal Georgia St	a FG to sen Id the road Igia St lost for e at home both e by 10. Affir r a TD on th H to lead 55 r at QB for for or by 9 and Word and E. ter 3Q but g king away th is at 88.0 w	I the game to earn is 4-2-1 heir first two of 9 and they is er getting roll eir 2nd poss -14 with ULM ornelius Bro beat FIU but stern Michiga we up an KR ose two play iich has the t	OOT. ATS in badly led by to lead going wn then an. After wo
2019 Texas St Georgia St 2018 Texas St Georgia St 2017 Texas St Georgia St 2016 Texas St	Rank - Rank - Rank - Rank -	Line -3 63 Line 51.5 -6.5 Line 48.5 -6.5	37 34 Score 40 31 Score 30 33	FD 20 27 FD 19 25 FD 23 21	Rush 50-221 53-204 Rush 36-164 43-221 Rush 25-75 41-162	Comp-A 16-25 24-40 Comp-A 21-26 21-40 Comp-A 35-55 26-40 Comp-A	tt-Yds -129 -219 tt-Yds -325 -224 tt-Yds -315 -368 tt-Yds -300	TO's -3 TO's -2 TO's -1	eturn to Table of	233	yards yards	Pro Texas Projec Texas Projec Texas	ojected s St ojected s St cted Ya s St ected Fi	Rushir Georg I Passin Georg Georg Georg	ng gia St ng gia St Total gia St ore	174 ya 457 ya	ards ards		Texas St K vs. Georgia St K Texas St Coaches vs. Georgia St Coaches Texas St Pts	1.70	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards		60.5 F Georgia S Georgia S	Points It By 12.5 It By 10.6	decident dec	ed by 9 pt s St won 3 eries. Also ling a 43-1 rn 24-19 v St, I used ut Georgia for TD w , 0-4) and without 20 they ups Georgia S ted games s even. W	s or less including the 7-34 in 307. Georgia 5 of the past 6 gam 0 home loss to Arm in the 150 left but gave hem on my Phil Stee 5t led 28-7 at half at 4:34 left. Furman has hit 59% with a 5 + players due to Co t South Alabama an has taken on the to Texas St is playing uen onto playing ULM,	neir last mtg (a as the symmetric and see have gone y and were - up a 4&7 TD elus Tour and scored Ti transfer Darn 9-3 ratio. Tey vid and injuid and injuid uid and injuid and injuid to an AGG o , Georgia St i	('19) when FS the L5Y e 'Over' th 2'. They bo pass and on the sand ULM or D's on first ren Graing xas St only ries vs bott they led Tr ule (#43 v. fi 87.7 and is avg just	GSt kicked off a bye ar e e total. Geoi eat Charlotte IR TD to los went 97/6 for 4 poss of 2 er took ove lost to Bay in Incarnate oy 28-24 af s #118). Tal Georgia St 17.4 ppg ar	a FG to send the road rigida St lost it at home be by 10. After a TD on the to lead 55 or at QB for core by 9 and Word and Exter 3Q but giving away the sat 88.0 will alaying ovid alaying ovid alaying ovid the road sat 88.0 will be sat 88.0 will alaying ovid the road sat sat 88.0 will be sat 88.	I the game to game to gam is 4-2-1 eneir first two 9 and they or getting roll ein 2nd poss 1-14 with ULM ornelius Brow beat FIU but stern Michigave up an KR ose two play nich has the t r 10 pts. Sin.	OOT. ATS in badly led led by to lead going wn then en. After wo ce their
2019 Texas St Georgia St 2018 Texas St Georgia St	Rank - Rank - Rank - Rank -	Line -3 63 Line 51.5 -6.5 Line 48.5 -6.5 Line	Score 37 34 Score 40 31 Score 30 33 Score	FD 20 27 FD 19 25 FD 23 21 FD 27	Rush 50-221 53-204 Rush 36-164 43-221 Rush 25-75 41-162 Rush	Comp-A 16-25 24-40 Comp-A 21-26 21-40 Comp-A 35-55 26-40 Comp-A	tt-Yds -129 -219 tt-Yds -325 -224 tt-Yds -315 -368 tt-Yds -300	TO's -3 TO's -2 TO's -1	of	233 328 28	yards yards	Pro Texas Projec Texas Projec Texas	ojected s St ojected s St cted Yal s St ected Fi s St erience	Rushir Georg I Passin Georg Georg Georg	ng pia St ng pia St Total pia St ore pia St	174 ya 457 ya	ards ards ints	3.47	Texas St K vs. Georgia St K Texas St Coaches vs. Georgia St Coaches Texas St Pts vs.	1.70 5.97	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade		60.5 F Georgia S Georgia S	Points It By 12.5 It By 10.6 It 457-328	decident fexal decide	ed by 9 pt s St won 3 eries. Also ling a 43-: rn 24-19 v st, I used ut Georgia t for TD w , 0-4) and without 20 they ups Georgia S ted games s even. W the Bobcat	s or less including the 7-34 in 3OT. Georgia 5 of the past 6 gam 0 home loss to Arm ith: 50 left but gave hem on my Phil Ste St led 28-7 at half a th 4:34 left. Furman has hit 59% with a + players due to Co tt South Alabama an has taken on the Texas St is playing	neir last mtg i a St is 3-6 AT es have gond y and were - e up a 4&7 TD el Plus Tour and scored Ti transfer Dari 9-3 ratio. Tes vid and injur id last week it ugher schedi to an AGG o , Georgia St i alue on their	('19) when FS the L5Y e 'Over' th 2'. They bo pass and on the sand ULM or D's on first ren Graing xas St only ries vs bott they led Tr ule (#43 v. fi 87.7 and is avg just	GSt kicked off a bye ar e e total. Geoi eat Charlotte IR TD to los went 97/6 for 4 poss of 2 er took ove lost to Bay in Incarnate oy 28-24 af s #118). Tal Georgia St 17.4 ppg ar	a FG to send the road rigida St lost it at home be by 10. After a TD on the to lead 55 or at QB for core by 9 and Word and Exter 3Q but giving away the sat 88.0 will alaying ovid alaying ovid alaying ovid the road sat 88.0 will be sat 88.0 will alaying ovid the road sat sat 88.0 will be sat 88.	I the game to game to gam is 4-2-1 eneir first two 9 and they or getting roll ein 2nd poss 1-14 with ULM ornelius Brow beat FIU but stern Michigave up an KR ose two play nich has the t r 10 pts. Sin.	OOT. ATS in badly led led by to lead going wn then en. After wo ce their
2019 Texas St Georgia St 2018 Texas St Georgia St 2017 Texas St Georgia St 2017 Texas St Georgia St	Rank - Rank - Rank - Rank - Rank	Line -3 63 Line 51.5 -6.5 Line 48.5 -6.5 Line 61.5	\$core 37 34 \$core 40 31 \$core 30 33 \$core 21	FD 20 27 FD 19 25 FD 23 21 FD 27	Rush 50-221 53-204 Rush 36-164 43-221 Rush 25-75 41-162 Rush 41-120	Comp-A 16-25 24-40 Comp-A 21-26 21-40 Comp-A 35-55 26-40 Comp-A	tt-Yds -129 -219 tt-Yds -325 -224 tt-Yds -315 -368 tt-Yds -300	TO's -3 TO's -2 TO's -1 TO's	eturn to Table of	233 328 28	yards yards points	Pro Texas Projec Texas Projec Texas Expe	ojected s St ojected s St cted Yal s St ected Fi s St erience	Rushir Georg Passin Georg Georg Georg Rankir Georg	ng pia St ng pia St Total pia St ore pia St ngs	174 ya 457 ya 37 po	ards ards ints	3.47	Texas St K vs. Georgia St K Texas St Coaches vs. Georgia St Coaches Texas St Pts vs. Georgia St Pts	1.70 5.97	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards Computer Points		Georgia S Georgia S Georgia S	Points It By 12.5 It By 10.6 It 457-328	decident fexal decide	ed by 9 pt s St won 3 eries. Also ling a 43-: rn 24-19 v st, I used ut Georgia t for TD w , 0-4) and without 20 they ups Georgia S ted games s even. W the Bobcat	s or less including the 7-34 in 3OT. Georgia 5 of the past 6 gam 0 home loss to Armith: 50 left but gave hem on my Phil Stee St led 28-7 at half at 4:34 left. Furman has hit 59% with a 9 + players due to Co tt South Alabama an has taken on the to Texas St is playing uen not playing ULM, have hidden line ve	neir last mtg i a St is 3-6 AT es have gond y and were - e up a 4&7 TD el Plus Tour and scored Ti transfer Dari 9-3 ratio. Tes vid and injur id last week it ugher schedi to an AGG o , Georgia St i alue on their	('19) when FS the L5Y e 'Over' th 2'. They bo pass and on the sand ULM or D's on first ren Graing xas St only ries vs bott they led Tr ule (#43 v. fi 87.7 and is avg just	GSt kicked off a bye ar e e total. Geoi eat Charlotte IR TD to los went 97/6 for 4 poss of 2 er took ove lost to Bay in Incarnate oy 28-24 af s #118). Tal Georgia St 17.4 ppg ar	a FG to send the road rigida St lost it at home be by 10. After a TD on the to lead 55 or at QB for core by 9 and Word and Exter 3Q but giving away the sat 88.0 will alaying ovid alaying ovid alaying ovid the road sat 88.0 will be sat 88.0 will alaying ovid the road sat sat 88.0 will be sat 88.	I the game to game to gam is 4-2-1 eneir first two 9 and they or getting roll ein 2nd poss 1-14 with ULM ornelius Brow beat FIU but stern Michigave up an KR ose two play nich has the t r 10 pts. Sin.	OOT. ATS in badly led led by to lead going wn then en. After wo ce their
2019 Texas St Georgia St 2018 Texas St Georgia St 2017 Texas St Georgia St 2017 Texas St Georgia St	Rank - Rank - Rank - Rank - Rank	Line -3 63 Line 51.5 -6.5 Line 48.5 -6.5 Line 61.5	\$core 37 34 \$core 40 31 \$core 30 33 \$core 21	FD 20 27 FD 19 25 FD 23 21 FD 27	Rush 50-221 53-204 Rush 36-164 43-221 Rush 25-75 41-162 Rush 41-120	Comp-A 16-25 24-40 Comp-A 21-26 21-40 Comp-A 35-55 26-40 Comp-A	tt-Yds -129 -219 tt-Yds -325 -224 tt-Yds -315 -368 tt-Yds -300	TO's -3 TO's -2 TO's -1 TO's	eturn to Table of	233 328 28	yards yards points	Project Texas Project Texas Project Texas Project Texas Expe	ojected s St ojected s St cted Ya s St ected Fi s St ected Fi s St erience s St	Rushir Georg Passin Georg Georg Georg Rankir Georg	ng gia St ng gia St Total gia St ore gia St ngs gia St	174 ya 457 ya 37 po	ards ards ints	3.47	Texas St K vs. Georgia St K Texas St Coaches vs. Georgia St Coaches Texas St Pts vs. Georgia St Pts	1.70 5.97	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards		60.5 F Georgia S Georgia S Georgia S	Points It By 12.5 It By 10.6 It 457-328	decident fexal decide	ed by 9 pt s St won 3 eries. Also ling a 43-: rn 24-19 v st, I used ut Georgia t for TD w , 0-4) and without 20 they ups Georgia S ted games s even. W the Bobcat	so r less including the 7-34 in 30T. Georgis 5 of the past 6 gam of 10 home loss to Arm ith :50 left but gave hem on my Phil Stee Stee 128-7 at half at 4:34 left. Furman has hit 59% with a 14 half at 5 half at 6:40 left. Furman has taken on the to Texas St is playing enn not playing ULM, have hidden line ve at them again this we	neir last mtg i a St is 3-6 AT es have gond y and were - e up a 4&7 TD el Plus Tour and scored Ti transfer Dari 9-3 ratio. Tes vid and injur id last week it ugher schedi to an AGG o , Georgia St i alue on their	('19) when I'S the L5Y e 'Over' the L5Y e 'Over' the 'Over' the '2'. They be pass and and ULM 'D's on first ren Graing xas St only ies vs both they led Tr ulle (#43 v if 87.7 and is avg just side and a	GSt kicked of a bye ar ef total. Georgat Charlotts IR TD to los went 97/6 for 4 poss of 2 er took over lost to Bay 1 Incarnate oy 28-24 af s #118). Tal Georgia St 17.4 ppg ar e a sneaky	a FG to send the road grad St lost; at home be e by 10. Aft r a TD on the the to lead St lost; at home be e by 10. Aft r a TD on the the lost send the send send the send send the send send the	I the game to game to gam is 4-2-1 eneir first two 9 and they or getting roll ein 2nd poss 1-14 with ULM ornelius Brow beat FIU but stern Michigave up an KR ose two play nich has the t r 10 pts. Sin.	OOT. ATS in badly led led by to lead going wn then en. After wo ce their

								St Buc	-	5							OVER 5-	i	/ERALL: 2-4							In	liana I		ers						
						A.D.	В	ıckeyes L	.ogs		1	TFAM	PS R	ANV	FO	_	vs. BI		. BIG 10 0-3						AP		Hoosie	rs Logs			Ι.	ream .	PS R	NV	FOE
TM REC FOE RE	C CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	- тм	FOE	DATE	F	-OE	SCORE	W/L	OFF DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST	3-	NCAA RANKINGS	0-3	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FC	DE +/-		OE DA	ΓE	FOE	sc	DRE W/L			ST	OFF	DEF S
0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0		0-0	145 146	134 134	4	12	9/2	at Minneso Oregon		45-31 28-35	W L	4 15 2 15	50 49	27 18	98 17	61 20		2021 TEAM RANKINGS		0-0 0-0 0-1	0-0 0-1	0-0	141	143	17	9/4				34 L -14 W	30 42	7	58 62	64	22 4
1-1 0-2				124		12	9/18	Tulsa		41-20		1 25	54	57	52	124	Ohio	St I	Indiana	1-1 2-0	0-1	0-0		139		8 9/1		innati		-38 L		11	13	32	6 10
2-1 1-2 3-1 3-1			141 141	95 134	10 11		9/25	Akron at Rutgers	-	59-7 52-13	W	1 28 1 20	35 37	121 98	128 23	114	#22 #9	Rush Off Pass Off	#96 #86	1-2 1-1 2-2 4-0	0-1 0-1			117 143		9/2				-31 W		24 37	33 28	71 29	96 50
4-1 4-1				123			10/2	Maryla		66-17		1 15	37	22	23	49	#1	Total Off	#107	2-3	0-2		130	143		10/5	Bye		0-	24 L	93	41	40	29	9 20
5-1	3-0 3-0	0.2	149 149	120	6 5		10/16	Bye				1 11 1 11	29 31	05	22	48	#2 #21	Score Off Sks Allowed	#106 #55	2-3 6-0 2-4 5-1		3-0		136 149		.0 10/: 5 10/:		igan St	15	-20 L	92 95	36 23	38 48	17	49 7 11 3
5-1 2-4 5-1		0-3 2-1		130 145			10/23	at Indiana Penn S				1 11	31	95 45	23 4	18	#46	Rush Def	#36	2-4 5-1 4-2	0-3	3-0 1-2		119		/	3 Ohio 0 at Mary				95	23	46	31	36 7:
3-5		1-4		133				at Nebras						19	32	124	#102	Pass Def	#49	6-0		3-0		143			at Mich							8	14 3
4-2 7-0		2-1 4-0		131			11/13	Purdue Michiga						62 22	21 34	106 51	# 75 #38	Total Def Score Def	# 36 #75	3-4 4-2		0-4 2-1		122 133		11/		esota						100 76	56 5 18 6
6-0		3-0		143			11/27	at Michiga	in					8	14	3	#15	Sks By	#115 #77	4-2		2-1		131		11/	7 at Purd	ue						62	21 1
																	#6 + 6	Ypg Diff Total TO's	-4																
				N-4			011	_	C	V-1-		Defere		C	Yds													6	V	4-		Defense		C	V-1-
s	core		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offens RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD RA-RY		Comp	Pass	Sks				s	core			Net FD	TO's	D RA	fense RY YPC	Com		ds ass Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass S
Minnesota		45-3		-7	1	17	26-201		13-22	294	0	24 50-20		14-25	205	2				at Iowa		6-34		-7 7		1 31				56 1				13-28	145 196
Oregon Tulsa		28-3 41-2		-1	-1 0	32 24	31-128 41-323		35-54 15-25	484 185	2	27 38-26 25 28-7		17-35 31-54	236 428	2				Idaho Cincinnati		56-14 24-38	77 48	,		20 50- 20 37-		13-2 17-4		59 <u>2</u> 24 1		22-65 36-118	3.0	23-39	196 210
Akron		59-	7 393	9	1	26	35-237	6.8	18-26	385	2	17 40-7	5 1.9	18-34	153	9	Ų		ίν	at WKU		33-31	49	11	0 :	39-	.34 3.4		53 3	73 3	24	20-93	4.7	31-44	365
Rutgers Maryland		52-1 66-1		7	3	25 29	37-208 33-166		18-27 26-38	333 432	1	18 31-11 22 36-5	2 3.6	28-40 28-39		2 5	2	- I SI	<u>ē</u> .	at Penn St Bye		0-24	-144	-8	-1	.2 24	69 2.9	16-3	34 1	95 2	20	42-209	5.0	18-34	199
Bye																	Ruckey	AVERAG	SO	Michigan St		15-20	81	10	-1	36-	.34 3.7	28-5	52 1	88 3	13	35-100	2.9	15-27	141
Indiana Penn St																	Ì		운	Ohio St at Maryland															
Nebraska																	t		<u>e</u>	at Michigan															
Purdue Michigan St																	0	I AM	<u> </u>	Rutgers Minnesota															
Michigan																	oid oid	=	Indiana Hoosiers	at Purdue															
																			н																
Average Conference			1 175.2 0 181.3		1.0 2.0		34-211 32-192		65.1% 65.5%		4.2% 2.3%	22 37-13 21 39-12								Average Conference		22-27				0 36- 5 30-				16 5.29 80 5.09		32-124 38-156			
Away	•		2 140.5				32-205		63.3%			21 41-15								Home	-				-0.7										182 4.
																	Ohio	Position by Position Edge	es ndiana																
																	Unic	Ohio St QB/WR	nulana																
																	0.09	vs. Indiana DB's																	
																		Ohio St RB's																	
																	1.22	vs. Indiana LB's																	
																		Ohio St OL																	
																	2.41	vs.																	
																		Indiana DL Ohio St DL																	
																	2.03	vs.																	
																		Indiana OL Ohio St LB'S																	
																	1.69	vs.																	
																		Indiana RB's Ohio St DB's																	
																	1.50	vs.																	
Last F	ive Year	s Meeti	nas (La	st 22 v	ears at	PhilSt	eele.cor	n)										Indiana QB/WR Ohio St ST's		Categor	v		Team & M	argin						Phil's Fo	recast:				
20	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's				Projected	Box S	core			0.70	vs.							Las	t year In	diana fell b	ehind 35-7	(outrush			e gm!) bef	fore mou	nting a h	uge 2H
o St	3	-20.5	42	27	50-307	18-	30-300											Indiana ST's		Las Vegas Line			Ohio St B	y 21	cor in a	neback b	ehind caree	r outings b	oy QB Pe	nix (491 y	ds & 5 td	's) & WR F	ryfogle (7 rec, 21	18 yds, 3 td n (last loss
iana	9	66.5	35	19	161	27-	51-491	-1				Project	ed Rushi	ng				Ohio St K							'88) and the	are 7-2 A	TS the last	9 trips v	vith the av	rerage wi	n by 22.4	ppg. Last	time her	re ('19), th
19	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	s,	187	yards	Ohio St	Ind	ana	105 y	ards	0.75	vs.		Las Vegas Tota	1		60.5 Poi	ints	S/	06). Ohio		off a bye a	and will h	ave a goo	d amoun	t of fans o	n hand. (hio Stat	e's season
io St	6	-17.5	51	30	42-306	17-	29-214		tent				ed Passi					Indiana K							but	won by	1 and since	have reel	led off a	perfect 3-	0 SU and	1 3-0 ATS.	In their I	ast game	7 with 5:00 e I used a E
liana	-	59.5	10	15	31-42	21-	36-215	0	Cont	285	yards	Ohio St	Ind	ana	244 y	ards		Ohio St Coaches		+/- Ratings		-	Ohio St By	17.0	Be	on Ohio	St -21 vs M	D and they	y scored	on 1st 9 p	oss befor	re finally b	eing SOI	with the	bkps in. U going 2-3
18	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	Jo			Projected	Yardage	Total			0.25	vs.							but	did play	better than	the scores	s would in	ndicate (lo	st by 22	ppg) in los	sses to 3	Top 10 to	eams in Iov
io St	3	-26.5	49	33	48-154	33-	44-455		Table	473	yards	Ohio St	Ind		349 y	ards		Indiana Coaches		Game Grade			Ohio St By	15.1	21	-57 yd e	dge but gav	e up a 30	yd IR TD	and only	led 9-7 s	ettling for	3 FG's in	cluding 7	0/13 and
fiana		64.5	26	22	21-84		49-322	1	to Ta			Projected			,			Ohio St Pts							NG	. MSt got	best drive	of game 60	0/13 for I	FG 20-15.	Indy pun	t, fmbl ow	n 28 and	SOD owi	TD but 2 pt n 26 last 3
17	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's	turn t	41 r	oints	Ohio St	Ind		20 pc	ints	10.64	vs.	0.00	Computer Yard	s		Ohio St 47	3-349	dri	es. Ohio	St does ha	ve a big ga	ame vs P	enn St on	deck. CJ	Stroud is	hitting 66	% with a	an 18-3 rati U has the b
io St	2	-20.5		26	51-292		35-304		Retu	,		Experien			_0 pc			Indiana Pts							WF	corps in	the country	. The mucl	h-malign	ed defens	e has he	ld their las	t 3 foes t	o 94 ypg	below the
liana	-	56.5	21	25	27-17		68-420	-3	- 4	1	29	Ohio St	Ind		40)				Computer Poin	:s		Ohio St 4	1-20	ave	eraging ju	ages. Indy st 11.2 ppg	vs Top Te	en foes (h	nave faced	d 4 alread	ly!) and th	ey face a	nother o	ne here! A
16	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's				Team Sche			**		POS	ITIONAL EDGE AWARDE	D TO:								hen I used defense.	Ohio St vs	s Marylar	nd the "buy	y sign" ha	as come o	n for the	n and the	eir offense
	2	-28	38	18	50-290		21-93				17	Ohio St	Ind		18	3		Ohio St		Phil Steele			Ohio St b	y 28											
nio St		20	50	10	-5 -50							20 00	1.10		10												Be	st Be	t: 🛨 🖠	Ohio	St 4	Indi	ana	17	
o St iana		59.5	17	14	40-99	14-	28-182	0										By 10.64 Points												••	~·				

							Buff	falo Bu	ılls									OVE	RALL: O	VERALL:							Akron	Zips							
								Bulls Logs												z-5 /s. MAC							Zips L								
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	- ^	Р						TEA			RANK-		FOE	1	-2	1-2	TM REC FOE	TM F	FOE	TM +/- FOE +/-	AF							TEAM		RANK	FOE
0-0	0-0	CONF	115		TM	FOE	DATE 9/4	FO Wagner	E	SCORE 69-7	W/L W	0FF 116	DEF 104	ST 112	OFF	DEF	: ST		NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKING	s	0-0 0-0		0-0	99 132	TM	FOE I	ATE 4 at Aubur	FOE	SCOR 10-6	E W/L	124		ST 123	OFF 22	DEF 9
1-0 1-1	0-0	0-1	118	127			-, .	at Nebraska	3	3-28	L	111	101	4		42	122	P.,		Akron	0-1 0-1		0-0	96 108		9/			24-4				64	110	111 1
1-1 2-0	0-0	0-0		129		16	9/18	Coastal (25-28	L	108	103	36							0-2	0-0		94			18 Bryar		35-1				62		
1-2 1-2 2-2 3-1	0-0	0-0		102 123			9/25 a 10/2	at Old Domi	Michigan	35-34 17-24	W L	90 94	112	78 100					Rush Off Pass Off	#104 #71	1-2 2-1 1-3 0-4		1-0 0-0	95 141 95 107			25 at Ohio 5 /2 Ohio	it	7-59 17-3				114 129		28 3 125 8
2-3 2-3	0-1	1-0		117				at Kent St	rnengun	38-48	L	101	106	105					Total Off	#103			0-1	94 101			/9 at Bowlii	g Green	35-2				129		78 1
2-4 1-5	0-2	1-1		108			10/16	Ohio		27-26	W	88	115	101					Score Off	#111	2-4 2-4		1-1	99 117			/16 at Miami		21-3	4 L	122		130	100	102 6
3-4 2-5 2-5	1-2	1-2 0-3	113	100 99			10/23 a 10/30	at Akron Bowling	Green			93	78	98	120				Sks Allowed Rush Def	#129 #121	2-5 3-4 4-3		1-2 2-1	100 113 125			/23 Buffa /2 Ball S				120	125	130	93 113	78 9 92 2
3-4		2-1		116				at Miami, O							92				Pass Def	#67	5-2		2-1	122				rn Michigan	1					60	76 1
							11/13	Bye										#96	Total Def	#107							/13 Bye								
5-2 4-3		3-0 2-1		117 125			11/17	Northern at Ball St	Illinois						89 113				Score Def Sks By	#124 #84	3-4 3-4		2-1 1-2	114 118			/20 Kent : /27 at Toled							56 91	112 1 42 5
4.5		1		123			11/25 6	at ball St							111	, ,,	- 22	#86	Ypg Diff	#115	3.4		12	110		1.	/27 at roleu	,						- 71	72 .
																		-1	Total TO's	+1															
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Com	p Yds								Net			Offense	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds
So	ore		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC							Sc	ore		Yds FD	TO's		A-RY YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD		YPC	Att	Pass S
Wagner		69-7		23	-1		56-312	5.6	16-22	257	0	7	35-18								at Auburn			-425 -16	0		63 -0.1	22-27					9.9	22-27	
t Nebraska Coastal Carolir		3-28 25-28		-1	1		33-135 47-262	4.1 5.6	27-50 13-21	224 146	0		41-220 42-212		14-2						Temple Bryant		24-45 35-14		-2 0		-171 4.0 -282 7.6	19-34 19-23			19 14			19-23 17-25	245 117
t Old Dominion	ıa	35-34		-10	2		38-106	2.8	17-26	191	0		45-209								at Ohio St		7-59		-1		0-76 1.9	18-34						18-26	
Western Michig	jan	17-2	1 -175	-14	-1	15	29-131	4.5	12-22	151	1	29	49-178	3.6	21-3	3 279			, o		Ohio	1	17-34	-131 -6	1	19 38	-112 2.9	18-25	215	7	25	47-398	8.5	8-15	60
t Kent St Ohio		38-48 27-26		3	-2 0		54-312 40-112	5.8 2.8	24-45 22-30	237 261	2			6.3				- :	AGES	Sc	at Bowling Gree at Miami, OH		35-20 21-34	21 0 -118 -1	0		9-117 3.0 4-65 2.7	20-25 25-39						21-35 19-25	
t Akron		2/-20	2/	,	U	23	40-112	2.0	22-30	201	1	10	43-2/1	0.3	0-10	11 /3	1	- (○ ~	Zips	Buffalo		21-34	-110 -1	U	21 2	4-03 2.7	23-39	291		22	35-24.	0.3	19-23	223
Bowling Green																			AN AVERAG	5	Ball St														
t Miami, OH																		- :		Akron	at Western Mich	iigan													
Bye Northern Illino	is																	1		₹	Bye Kent St														
t Ball St																					at Toledo														
Average		31-28		1.4	-0.1		42-196	4.6	60.6%	210	1.9%			4.4							Average			-96.3 -2.1	0.1		-117 3.2	68.1%						70.5%	227 6.
Conference		27-33			-1.0 0.0		41-185	4.5	59.8% 56.2%	216	4.1% 1.7%			4.9							Conference			-76.0 -2.3 80.3 3.7	1.3	19 3 23 39	4-98 2.9 1-188 4.8	70.8% 68.3%				40-250			182 9. 141 7.
Away		23-3	123.7	-2.3	0.0	24	42-104	4.4	30.276	21/	1.770	20	44-210	3.0	01.5	70 30:	3 3.27	Б	Position by Position Edg	jes	rionie		23-31	80.3 3.7	-0.3	23 3:	-100 4.0	00.370	234	14.07	70 19	37-20.	3.4	05.070	141 /.
																		Bu	falo	Akron															
																		0.67	Buffalo QB/WR																
																		0.07	Akron DB's																
																			Buffalo RB's																
																		0.90	vs. Akron LB's																
																			Buffalo OL																
																		1.92	vs.																
																			Akron DL Buffalo DL																
																		1.23	vs.																
																			Akron OL																
																		2.06	Buffalo LB'S vs.																
																			Akron RB's																
																			Buffalo DB's																
																		0.73	vs. Akron QB/WR																
Last Fi	e Years	Meeti	ngs (La	st 22 y	ears at	PhilSte	ele.com))				D		D	C	_			Buffalo ST's		Category	1		Team & Margin						Phil's For	recast:				
020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's				Proje	cted	BOX :	Scor	e		4.02	vs.		las Var 11:														
iuffalo	24	-33	56	21	50-428	6-10	-79												Akron ST's		Las Vegas Line			Buffalo By 11		Zips lead t	he all-time ser	ies 11-9 but	t have lo	st 9 of th	he last 1	.2 includir	g 3 straig	ght being	outscored a
kron	-	58.5	7	10	30-92	12-27	7-112	0				Pr	ojecte	d Rush	ing				Buffalo K								101-13 in thos d MAC title gar								
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's	va.	232 \	yards		falo		kron	12	28 yards	1.90	vs.		Las Vegas Total			57 Points		ecame 1	th player in hi	story to rea	ch 1,000) yards in	n 5 gam	es despite	not play	ring 2H.	Buffalo led 3
Buffalo		-17.5	21	16	42-189	14-2			ent					d Passi			,		Akron K							nere UB's	Patterson had offense was he	ld to just 7	pts as th	ne defens	se outsc	ored then	n with 2 s	strip sac	FR TD's in a
	-	47.5	0			22-39		-3	ont	107	yards		-		-		C 111	-	Buffalo Coaches		+/- Ratings			Buffalo By 10.5		road win	(-11). Buffalo ek they were	opened 2-4	SU but	the losse	es were	to Nebras	ka, Coas	tal Car,	WMich and K
Akron		47.5	U	11	21-3				of C	19/	yarus	Buff			kron		6 yards							Danialo Dy 10.5		d run by	QB Rogers and	led 21-10 l	H and 26	-10 after	r 3Q ne	ver trailed	l until Buf	ffalo got	a FG at :00
	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's	e c					'ardage					vs.	0.95	Game Grade					nter miss Buff got a	ng a FG but OI TD mid 4Q off	no was offsi that. Akron	also ope	previous ened 2-4	pray. Of losing t	nio led 26 to that sar	-18 but fu ne Ohio t	umbled a eam but	it own 35 an did notch a
		-11	24	20	46-223	16-30			Table	429	yards	Buf			kron	38	84 yards		Akron Coaches					Buffalo By 9.1		MAC win w	hen they upse s. Akron 38/3	t (+14) Bow	vling Gre	en at hor	me 35-2	20. Last w	eek Miam	ni first tv	o drives 64/
	-		6	13	32-120	12-29	9-115	0	2			Proj	jected	Final S	core				Buffalo Pts		Computer Yards					3 2H drive	s TD, TD, FG 3	4-7 12:20 le	eft. Akroi	n 80/11 7	TD 9:34	, punt the	n 50/1 50	yd TD	pass :31 left
Buffalo	-	53.5			Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's	E	37 p	oints	Buf	falo	Al	kron	2	5 points	13.43	vs.	0.95	pater rarus		Ī	Buffalo 429-384			or cover (was n lost QB Iron								
uffalo kron	Rank	53.5 Line	Score	FD														1	Akron Pts							vith a 6-0	ratio playing t	a last two	jui						
suffalo kron	- Rank	53.5 Line 50.5	Score 20	FD 28	34-141	34-50	-313		å			Exp	erienc	e Rank	kings													ie iast two i	games (t	both cove	ers). Lo	ok for Ant	hony Will	liams (P	S#57) and B
2018 Buffalo Akron 2017 Buffalo Akron	Rank	Line			34-141 25-76	34-50 21-29		0	Re	1:	14		erienc falo		kings kron		57	~		ED TO:	Computer Point	s E		Buffalo 37-25		Hester to o	lo some dama lo has covere	ge on the gr	round vs	a Bulls o	ers). Lo defense	ok for Ant that is all	hony Will	liams (P	S#57) and B
Buffalo Akron 2017 Buffalo	Rank	Line 50.5	20	28			-286	0 TO's	Re	1:	14	Buf	falo		kron	,	57	PC	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDS	ED TO:		s		Buffalo 37-25		Hester to o	lo some dama ilo has covere	ge on the gr	round vs	a Bulls o	ers). Lo defense	ok for Ant that is all	hony Will	liams (P	S#57) and B
Buffalo Rootz Buffalo Akron	-	50.5 -1	20 21 Score	28 18 FD	25-76 Rush	21-29 Comp-A	9-286 att-Yds		Re			Buff Team	falo Sched	Al dule Sti	kron rength	1		PC		ED TO:	Computer Point Phil Steele	s				Hester to o	lo some dama lo has covere	ge on the gr	round vs	a Bulls o	ers). Lo defense	ok for Ant that is all	hony Will	liams (P	S#57) and B
uffalo kron 017 uffalo kron	-	50.5	20 21 Score 41	28 18	25-76	21-29 Comp-A	9-286 att-Yds -140		Re		14	Buff Team	falo	Al dule Sti	kron	1	57	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDS			s		Buffalo 37-25 Buffalo by 4		Hester to o	lo some dama lo has covered	ge on the gr I just once a	round vs all year i	a Bulls o	ers). Lo defense S game.	ok for Ant that is all	hony Will owing 22	liams (P	S#57) and B

						111	inois	Fighti	na Illi	ni								OVE		OVERALL:	1						Penn	St N	Vittai	ny Lic	ons							
								ting Illini										vs. B	-5 IG 10	5-1 vs. BIG 10									y Lions									
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/	- FOE +	/- _{TM}	AP FOE			OE	SCORE		TEA OFF		PS R	ANK	DEF	0E	1	-3 NCAA RANKING	2-1	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE F CONF	TM +/- I	OE +/-	AP TM	FOE DA		FO			W/L	TE#		PS RA		FOE	_
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	124	130		FUE	8/28	† Nebrask		30-22	W/L W	66	DEF 94	ST 49	65	54	ST 99		2021 TEAM RANKI		0-0 0-0			142	142	***	12 9/4		Nisconsii		16-10		18	DEF 12	ST 9	OFF 23		ST 83
1-0 0-0	1-0	0-0					9/4	UTSA		30-37	L	75	95	44	70	59	34	ш	nois	Penn St	1-0 1-0				126	11	9/11		Ball St		44-13		22	11	25	90		52
1-1 1-0 1-2 2-0	1-0	0-0					9/11	at Virginia Marylar		14-42 17-20	L L	86 89	96 92	64 57	84 29	80 44	22 37	#75	Rush Off	#91	2-0 2-0 3-0	1-0 1-0		146 145	135	10 6	9/18		Auburn Villanova	3	28-20 38-17		34 33	10	24	6	21	27
1-3 2-1	1-1	0-0					-, -	at Purdue		9-13	L	96	73	57	49	39	74	#121	Pass Off	#35	4-0 2-2			143	131	4	10/2		Indiana		24-0		29	9	20	82		28
1-4 3-1 2-4 1-3	1-2 1-2		121 121				10/2	Charlot Wiscons		24-14 0-24	W L	85 93	70 76	53 63	57 68	118 12	97 96	#116 #119	Total Off Score Off	# 67 #68	5-0 5-0 5-1	2-0 2-1		145 145	148	7	3 10/9 10/1		lowa Bye		20-23	L	26 33	3	16 19	102	2	3
2-5	1-3		118				10/16	Bye				96	77	69				#78	Sks Allowed	#27	5-1 2-5	2-1	1-3		118	7	10/2	23 I	Illinois				45	4	18	96		69
2-5 5-1 3-4	1-3	0-4	118	145		7	10/23	at Penn St Rutgers				0	0	0	45 100	56	18 5	#86 #107	Rush Def Pass Def	#24	5-1 4-2		3-0 1-2		149 119		11/6	30 at 0 5 at M	Jnio St Maryland	i						31		31 71
4-2		2-1		133	1			at Minneso	ota						76	18	68	#100	Total Def	#21	6-0		3-0		143		11/1		Michigan							8		3
6-1		3-1		143			11/13	Bye at Iowa							104	2	7	#64 #51	Score Def Sks By	#4	3-4 7-0		0-4 4-0		122 136		11/2		Rutgers Michigan	St						100 22		5
3-3		1-2		123	1		11/27	Northwe	estern						58	94	102	#119	Ypg Diff	#34																		
																		+6	Total TO's	+3																		_
_				Net			Offens		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds									Net			fense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds	
† Nebraska	re	30-22	Yds -66	FD -1	TO's	FD 18	RA-RY 48-167		Att 15-19	Pass 159	Sks 3		RA-RY 39-160	4.1	Att 16-32	Pass 232	Sks 5	-			at Wisconsin	Score	16-10	-62	FD -18	TO's	FD RA- 11 18-		YPC 2.8	Att 18-33	Pass 247	Sks 2	FD 29	RA-RY 58-174	3.0	Att 22-37	Pass 185	Sks 2
UTSA		30-37	-85		0	24	35-146		22-43	266	0	26	50-217	4.3	20-32	280	1				Ball St		44-13	198	12	2	32 48-2		5.0	22-31	253	3	20	26-69	2.7	30-45	226	1
at Virginia Maryland		14-42			0	20 19	27-116 45-150		24-45 10-26	221 185	3 6		33-133 27-131	4.0	28-40 32-43	423 350	3			Su	Auburn Villanova		28-20 38-17	29 229	-2 5		22 33-		2.8	29-33 22-31	302 429	0		40-182 26-58		21-39 20-34	185 222	3
at Purdue		9-13	-40	0	2	17	42-175	4.2	14-27	100	2	17	26-38	1.5	24-40	277	3			Lions	Indiana		24-0	144	8	1	20 42-2		5.0	18-34	199	0	12	24-69	2.9	16-34	195	2
Charlotte Wisconsin		0-24		-21	2	22 9	50-336 13-26		10-19 11-34	78 67	0		27-72 61-391	2.7 6.4	17-23 10-19	191 100	3 0	- 1	A AVERAGES		at Iowa Bye		20-23	-18	0	-3	18 33-1	107	3.2	22-46	180	1	18	45-110	2.4	17-31	195	3
Bye																		1 3		車	Illinois																	
at Penn St Rutgers																		- 3	_ }	Nittany	at Ohio St at Maryland																	-
at Minnesota																				St	Michigan																	
Bye at Iowa																			5 🖺	=	Rutgers at Michigan St																	_
Northwestern] :		Penn																		
																		'	-	•																		_
Average			-114.7						49.8%		7.0%		38-163								Average		28-14		0.8		21 35-1		3.8	63.0%	268	4.3%		37-110				i.0%
Conference Away			-162.5 -108.3				37-130 39-153		47.2% 58.2%		11.3% 8.8%		38-180 33-110								Conference	ce	20-11 34-13	21.3 150.0			16 31-1 24 39-1		3.9 4.0	51.3% 70.5%		2.7% 4.7%					192 6 207 3	
																		TIII	Position by Position E	Edges Penn St																		
																		-	Illinois QB/WR																			
																			vs. Penn St DB's	3.17																		
																			Illinois RB's																			
																			vs. Penn St LB's	2.54																		
																			Illinois OL																			
																			vs. Penn St DL	0.23																		
																			Illinois DL																			
																		0.20	vs. Penn St OL																			
																			Illinois LB'S																			
																		0.83	vs. Penn St RB's																			
																			Illinois DB's																			
																			vs. Penn St QB/Wi	1.05																		
Last Fiv	e Years	Meeti	ngs (La	ast 22	years at	: PhilSt	eele.con	n).				D=-1	abo d	D				1	Illinois ST's		Catego	ry		Team &	Margin						- 1	hil's Forec	ast:					
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's				Proje	ected I	DOX S	core				vs.	2.23	Las Vegas Line																	
Illinois	-	53	21	12	38-153	8-2	22-120											L	Penn St ST's					Penn St E	By 63.5	('	inois hasn' 20), I used	l Penn S	t as an i	Inside the	Pressbo	x Best Be	et but Illi	nois led 2	21-14 lat	e 1Q. Pe	nn St sco	red
Penn St	-	-15	56	28	53-253	19-	27-327	1				Pre	ojected	Rushii	ng				Illinois K							Ť	o's on next ver played	t 4 poss	to lead	42-21 at I	half with	WR Dotso	n having	239 all p	purp yds	in the la	itest gam	e
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's		118 y	ards	Illin	nois	Pen	n St	190	yards		vs.	1.00	Las Vegas Tota	31		46.5 P	oints	h	s won 6 of	f the las	st 7 in th	e series (incl the L	./4 at hom	ne) and h	nas won t	the last 3	by 37.7	ppg. Bot	h
Illinois	-	60.5	24	26	45-245	18-	31-166		ints			Pr	rojected	l Passir	ng				Penn St K							in	the maga:	zine and	played	like it op	ening up	5-0 SU w	ith an up	set win a	t Wiscon	sin and	win on the	ese
Penn St	10	-28	63	31	51-387	13-	20-204	0	onte	102 y	ards	Illin			n St	295	yards		Illinois Coache	s	+/- Ratings			Penn St E	By 32.5	Ic	wa 17-7 o	n the ro	ad when	Sean Cli	ifford was	s injured.	Bkp QB	Roberson	n in his fi	rst real	action had	1 6 of
						23 .			of Cc	, ,			ected Ya						vs.	1.70						Ic	s 7 series : wa closed	to 17-10	0 H and	down 20-	-16 got a	44 yd TD	pas with	6:26 lef	t for first	lead an	d won 23	-20.
1										220 y	arde	-		-		404	uned-				Game Grade			Penn St E	22. 2	qı	ifford is qu eat start to	o the Bie	elema ei	ra but has	s been 1-	5 SU and	2-4 ATS	since. T	hey did h	ave a 4	-point los	s to
1									оТа	220 Y	uIUS	Illin			n St	484	yards	<u> </u>	Penn St Coache		-			Penn St E	y 23.9	Pi	rdue and shers and	3-point I	loss to M	laryland.	In their I	ast game	it looke	d like Wis	consin o	f old wit	h two 100	yard
<u> </u>									Return to Table				jected F						Illinois Pts		Computer Yard	is				8-	27-55. UW en play and	/ had 18	8 & 14 pl	ay scoring	g drives	and led 10	0-0 H in	a 24-0 lo:	ss. Illino	s is -16	ypg in B	ig
1									etn	3 po	ints	Illin			n St	32 p	ooints	1.03	vs.	11.93	-			Penn St 4	84-220	q	estions ar ck with a	e QB Cli	ifford an	nd the Lior	ns have (Ohio State	on deck	but off a	a loss Pe	nn St sh	ould bour	ce
									- "			Exp	erience	Ranki	ngs				Penn St Pts		Computer Poin	ts				C	mpletions.	. Bielem	na came	out this w	veek say	ing basica	illy that h	ne was no	ot happy	with the	talent he	#1/
										1	5	Illin	nois	Pen	n St	9	99	PC	SITIONAL EDGE AWA	RDED TO:				Penn St	32-3	in	herited and nd will be n	a even i nuch im	it Clifford proved.	does no	t play, R	operson w	vill have	nad two	weeks of	practice	with the	#1'S
1												Team	Schedu	ule Stre	ength				Penn St		Phil Steele																	
1										1	1	Illin	nois	Pen	n St	1	16		renn st		rim steele			Penn St	by 28					D-	6	. 24	T11:	.:- c				
1																			By 10.89 Poin	nts										Ре	iin S	t 34 I	riiino	טוב פ				

					(Georg	jia Te	ch Yel	low Ja	cket	s								RALL: 3-3	C	OVERALL: 5-2						,	Virgi	nia Ca	valier	s							
						A.D.	Yello	ow Jacket	s Logs			TF4		PS R	DANK.		FOE		ACC		vs. ACC						A.D.	С	avaliers L	ogs				AM	PS R	ANV	FOE	
TM REC FOE REC	CONF					FOE	DATE	F	DE	SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST ST	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RAN			TM REC FOE REC	CON	FOE IF CONF	TM +/- FOE	+/- TM	FOE	DATE	FO	E	SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	124	112	2		9/4		n Illinois	21-22	L	62	43	96	114	119	88		2021 TEAM R	ANKIN	GS	0-0	0-0		129			9/4	William 8	k Mary	43-0	W	96	79	119			
0-1 1-1 1-1	0-0	0-0	120 120	142	2	6	9/11	Kennesa at Clemsor		45-17 8-14	W L	57 53	47 64	123 81	16	2	89	Geor	gia Tech		Virginia	1-0 1-1 2-0 1-1	0-0		133 12 136 13		21	9/11 9/18	Illinois at North Ca	rolina	42-14 39-59		84 71	80 77	22 85	86 8	96 38	64 88
1-2 2-1	0-1	1-1	123	139)	21	9/25	North C	arolina	45-22	W	68	83	111		47	89		Rush		#100		0-1	1 1-0	133 13	0		9/25	Wake Fo	rest	17-37	L	47	95	92	54	62	15
2-2 3-1 2-3 3-2	1-1	0-0 0-1					10/2	Pittsburg at Duke	gh	21-52 31-27	L W	58 62	66 71	112 109	21 18	33 90	56 35	#66 # 64	Pass (#2 #6	2-2 2-2 3-2 3-2	0-2 1-2		128 13 130 12				at Miami, Fl at Louisville		30-25 34-33		49 45	100 91	96 94	24 61	36 83	46 48
3-3	2-2	0-1	124	113	,		10/9	Bye		31-27	VV	63	79	109		90	33	#63	Score		#22	4-2 3-3	2-2					10/9	Duke		48-0	W	53	98	103		89	49
3-3 5-2	2-2		124					at Virginia				70	81	103		91	99	#78	Sks Allo	owed	#115	5-2 3-3	3-2	2 2-2	135 12			10/23	Georgia	Tech			41	91	99	70	81	103
3-3 2-4		1-1 0-2		122			10/30 11/6	Virginia at Miami, F							110 40	20 27	10 62		Rush I Pass I		#99 #66	5-2		0-0	12	6		10/30 11/6	at BYU Bve							53	87	54
4-2		0-2		124	1		11/13	Boston	College						61	83	33	#71	Total I	Def	#94	5-1		0-0	13			11/13	Notre Da							85	10	37
5-1 7-0		0-0 5-0		137			11/20	at Notre Da Georgia							85 11	10 1	37 46	#70 #84	Score Sks E		#63 #101	5-1 3-3		2-0 1-1	13 12			11/20	at Pittsburg Virginia							14 110	28	78 10
7-0		3-0		130	,		11/2/	Georgia							- 11		40	#70	Ypg D	Diff	#23	3-3		1-1	12	_		11/2/	viigiila	recii						110	20	10
																		+3	Total T	ГО's	-2																	
				Net		1	Offens	te	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds				_					N	+	1	Offense	•	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds	
Sco			Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY		Att	Pass	Sks					s	icore		Yds F	TO's		RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks		RA-RY		Att	Pass	Sks
Northern Illinoi		21-2				25	52-273		15-26	156	4				11-17				ckets			William & Ma	ary	43-0	362 1			32-206		21-32	339	1	11	37-94	2.5	10-16	89	1
Kennesaw St at Clemson		45-1 8-1	7 140 4 25	2	2	20 21	36-158 39-106		17-23 20-33	254 203	3		43-161 41-158		8-20 18-25		2	-	×			Illinois at North Carolii	na	42-14 39-59			31 27	33-133 22-21	1.0	28-40 40-57	423 553	0		27-116 47-392		24-45 14-21	221 307	3
North Carolina			2 25	1	3	19	43-261	6.1	13-19	133	1	18	35-63	1.8	25-39	306	8		pa ,		ίλ	Wake Forest		17-37		-		27-99	3.7	33-59	407	6	24	45-203	4.5	17-29	270	0
Pittsburgh at Duke		21-5	2 -148 7 -49			21	31-73 39-143	2.4 3.7	24-33 12-25	359 297	2		41-181 62-197	4.4	24-37		1	_	, S		<u>ē</u>	at Miami, FI at Louisville		30-28 34-33	77 5 19 6	-1 -1	23 26	35-181 25-35	5.2 1.4	25-44 40-61	268 487	3 6		40-169 34-233		15-29	203	0
Bye		31-2	7 -49	-10	-1	1/	39-143	3./	12-25	297	1	2/	62-197	3.2	22-29	292	- 1	1 .	<u>8</u>		<u> </u>	Duke Duke			203 1			33-164		25-46		3		35-110				1
at Virginia																			E e		é	Georgia Tec	:h															
Virginia Tech at Miami, Fl																		-	Tech Yellow		Virginia Cavaliers	at BYU Bye																
Boston College																		· '	S E		Ë	Notre Dame																
at Notre Dame																			Tecl		<u>ģ</u>	at Pittsburgh																
Georgia																			<u>.</u>		₹	Virginia Tecl	h															
																			eorgia T																			
																		4	ĕ																			
Average Conference			5 20.2 9 -36.8				40-169 38-146		63.5% 62.7%	234 248			44-154 45-150						۰			Average Conference	:e		112.6 6. 41.4 4.		27	30-120 28-100	4.1 3.5	62.5% 61.0%	406 416	6.5% 7.9%					225 253	
Away		20-2	1 -12.0	-4.0	0.0	19	39-125	3.2	55.2%	250	8.6%	23	52-178	3.4	74.1%	209	1.9%		Position by Pos			Home		38-13	204.3 10	.0 0.3	28	31-151	4.8	60.5%	383	5.6%	18	36-131	3.6	58.1%	199	3.7%
																		Georg 0.06	Georgia Tecl vs.	h QB/W	Virginia																	
																			Virginia Georgia Te		s																	
																			vs.		0.21																	
																			Virginia Georgia T																			
																		0.42	vs.																			
																			Virginia																			
																		0.87	Georgia T	ech DL	•																	
																			Virginia																			
																			Georgia Te	ech LB'S	S																	
																		1.97	vs. Virginia	RB's																		
																			Georgia Te																			
																			vs. Virginia Q	D /WD	2.24																	
Last Fiv	Years	s Meet	ings (L	ast 22	years a	t PhilS	teele.cor	m)											Georgia Te			Categor	ry		Team & Man	jin					F	hil's Fore	cast:					
2019	Rank	Line	Score	. FD			-Att-Yds	TO's				Proje	cted I	Rox S	score			0.85	vs.										or -			TO						
Georgia Tech	-	46	28	19	32-14	3 15-	22-229												Virginia	ST's		Las Vegas Line			Virginia By	7			GT was 2-6 ok 24-21 le									
Virginia	-	-15.5	33	25	37-15	5 24-	35-258	1				Pr	ojected	l Rushi	ng				Georgia T	Tech K							33-28	(-15'). Th	hese 2 two	met ever	y year fr	om 198	2-2019	but didn	't meet l	last yea	. Home t	eam
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	s.	172	ards	Georgi	a Tech	Viro	- ginia	115	yards		vs.		1.50	Las Vegas Tota	ıl		63 Points				5-1 ATS. Ca on. They we									
Georgia Tech	_	-5.5	30	13			-8-37		ents			-	ojected	-	-	-13	,		Virgini	ia K							on the	road losi	ng by 6. Th	ey were a	a 2 TD do	og to No	rth Caro	lina and	pulled th	he upset	45-22 b	ut
Viminia		52.5	27	24			28-273	-1	Conte	194	arde	Georgi	-	Viro	-	304	yards		Georgia Tech		nes	+/- Ratings			Virginia By 1	5.0			me lost to s. GT led 14									17-14
virginia 2017	Rank	52.5	Score				-Att-Yds	TO's	of C	194	urub			-	-	296	yaius		Georgia Tecr	coach	1.15				yla by 1		H. Duk	e took its	s first lead v	with 5:06	left 1H	settling f	for a 22	yd FG. D	Ouke had	i a 27-1	7 FD edg	e but
	капк							10'5		26-		-	cted Ya	-		F						Game Grade					down 2	7-24 GT	got ball 1:	42 and no	o timeou	ts at the	eir own :	12 and g	ot a 36	yd TD pa	ss :51 le	ft to
Georgia Tech	-	-7.5	36	16			22-179		Table	367	ards	Georgi		Virg	-	512	yards		Virginia Co						Virginia By 1	2.6	WFores	t by 20 (7 road win. each. Both	virginia d Miami an	penea 2 d Louisvi	-u but lo ille misse	ed GW F	at same G's at th	ivCarolin ie end ar	ia team nd Virgir	and then ia which	could
Virginia	-	50.5	40	15			43-260	0	ra to				ected F						Georgia Te	ech Pts		Computer Yard	ls				easily b	een 2-4	was 4-2. L	ast week	they roll	ed over	Duke 48	3-0. QB E	Brennan	Armsto	ng has th	rown
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD.			-Att-Yds	TO's	2	22 p	oints	Georgi		Virg		35	points	4.17	vs.		5.10				Virginia 512-	367			, 19-6). GT) and rushe									
Georgia Tech	-	-10.5	31	8	31-19		10-122		Ref				erience						Virginia	Pts		Computer Point	ts				below t	heir seas	son avg and	d Virginia	is allowing	ng foes 2	4 ypg a	bove. Th	e last 3	meeting	s were d	ecided
Virginia	-	54.5	17	25	42-18	9 27-	46-220	-3		3	7		a Tech	Virg	-		84	P	OSITIONAL EDGE	AWARE	DED TO:	,			Virginia 35-	22	by 12 t	otal poin ff a bve a	its. GTech s and could p	nould be ull off an	in a lot t upset.	oetter ph	rysical s	nape tha	ın their l	ast gam	e as they	are
												Team	Schedu	ule Str	ength				Virgir	nia		Phil Steele									.,							
										6	7	Georgi	a Tech	Virg	ginia		50		*irgii			steele			Virginia by	1				leg!=!	21	Gas	-a!-	Tos	h 20			
																			By 0.93	Points									v	irgini	id 31	Geo	gia	reci	30			

						Bos		Colleg		les									-2	3-3						Lo		ille Car		5					
	TM	EOE				ΔΡ	-	Eagles Lo	gs			ΤFΔ	м	PS RA	NK	FOE		vs. O		vs. ACC 1-2	FOE	TM	FOE		Δ	p	Ca	ardinals Log	S			TFAM	PS I	RANK	FOE
TM REC FOE REC		FOE CONF	_	- FOE +	/- тм	FOE	DATE		OE	SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST	,	NCAA RANKINGS	3	TM REC FOE		COMP	TM +/- FOE +/	тм ^	FOE	DATE	FOE		SCORE W		OFF DEF	ST	OFF	DEF S
0-0 1-0 0-1	0-0	0-0	129 128	93			9/4 9/11	Colgate at UMass		51-0 45-28	W	24 24	64 73	45 37	127	130	121		2021 TEAM RANKIN		0-0 0-0 0-1	0-0	0-0	130 138 129			9/6 1 9/11	† Mississippi Eastern Ker		24-43 I 30-3 V		63 70 64 77	80 67	7	60 10
2-0 1-1	0-0	0-0	125	110			9/18	at Temple		28-3	W	37	86	11	112	102	129		-	Louisville	1-1 2-0	0-0	0-0	128 128			9/18	UCF	4	42-35 V	٧	79 82	41		61 10
3-0 2-1 4-0 2-2	0-0	0-1 1-1	127 127	124			9/25 10/2	Missour at Clemson	i n	41-34 13-19	W	36 34	75 75	13 27	20 69	80 6	16 93	#54 #87	Rush Off Pass Off	#45 #29	2-1 0-3 3-1 4-0		0-1 2-0	128 120 128 135				at Florida St at Wake Fores				72 89 71 84	71 58	50 48	51 7 42 1
4-1	0-1		128				10/9	Bye				46	73	19				#74	Total Off	#25	3-2 3-2	1-1		129 130			10/9	Virginia		33-34 I	-	61 83	48	45	91 9
4-1 4-1 4-2 3-3	0-1 0-2	1-0 1-2	128 124	123 128		22	10/16	NC Stat at Louisvill		7-33	L	49 61	77 83	15 33	34 49	17 75	90 35	#50 #21	Score Off Sks Allowed	#38	3-3 3-3 4-2	1-2 1-2	0-2	128 128 124			10/16 10/23	Bye Boston Colle	ege			45 75 49 75	43 35	61	83 3
3-4		0-3		126			10/30	at Syracus	e						63	73	82	#38	Rush Def	#70	5-1		2-0	136			10/30 a	at NC State	-5-					32	13 7
3-3		1-1 2-2		122			11/6	Virginia at Georgia							110 70	20 81	10 103	#37 #34	Pass Def Total Def	#124 #114	4-2 3-4		3-1 0-3	135 126			11/6	Clemson						66 63	6 9 73 8
2-4		2-2		127			11/20	Florida :	St						43	53	64	#27	Score Def	#89	3-4		0-3	111			11/20 a	at Duke						26	86 5
6-0		4-0		134			11/27	Wake F	orest						42	74	6	#115 #49	Sks By Ypg Diff	#25 #80	6-1		4-1	136			11/27	Kentucky						35	35 9
																		-2	Total TO's	+1															
				Net		1	Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds								Net			Offense		Comp	Yds		Defens		Comp	Yds
Sco	re		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks		RA-RY			Pass	Sks				Se	ore		Yds FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC		Pass Si	ks		YPC	Att	Pass Si
Colgate at UMass		51- 45-2	336 3 136				35-178 43-250		21-30 14-18	347 221	0		35-105 30-121		10-19	84 214	2				† Mississippi Eastern Ken		24-43 30-3	-214 -9 206 4	-2		39-155 38-158					31 41-18		22-32 23-34	381 2 149 1
at Umass at Temple		45-2		0	-1		35-187		5-13	34	0					161	4		v.		UCF		42-35		0		38-158					14 22-86 22 34-21	2 6.2	23-34	208 2
Missouri			4 59	1	1		49-275		18-29	175	1		23-88				0	1	B	<u>8</u>	at Florida St		31-23		1		41-131					23 36-20		24-39	
at Clemson Bye		13-1	9 -81	1	-3	19	34-46	1.4	23-40	311	4	18	40-231	5.8	13-28	207	1	ď	Fagiles	Cardinals	at Wake Forest Virginia		34-37	21 -1 -19 -6	-1 1		43-213 34-233					26 44-17 26 25-35		40-61	
NC State		7-3	3 -90	1	-2	17	30-97	3.2	21-39	194	3	16	34-130	3.8	16-24	251	1		AVERAGES	J.	Bye														
at Louisville at Syracuse																		3		Ü	Boston Colle at NC State	ge									-				
Virginia Tech																			College M AVERAC	₽	Clemson														
at Georgia Tech Florida St																		,	TEAM C	Š	Syracuse at Duke										-				
Wake Forest																		1	DOSTON	Louisville	Kentucky														
																			ğ	2															
																		•	-																
Average Conference			57.0 5 -85.5				38-172 32-72	4.6 2.2	60.4% 55.7%		4.7% 8.9%		33-126 37-181								Average Conference		32-29	2.8 -2.0 -18.7 -3.0			39-180 39-192			273 3.5 281 3.2		24 34-15			300 7.6 353 9.3
Away			7 12.3				37-161	4.3	59.2%	189	5.6%		35-143	4.1	54.3%	194	6.2%				Home			89.3 0.0	1.0		36-194					21 27-11			281 6.4
																		Poston	Position by Position Ed College	iges Louisville															
																		BUSCUII	Boston College QB/																
																			vs. Louisville DB's	0.26															
																			Boston College RB	i's															
																			vs. Louisville LB's	0.30															
																			Boston College OI	L															
																		0.59	vs.																
																			Louisville DL Boston College DI																
																			vs.	0.44															
																			Louisville OL Boston College LB	's															
																		0.52	vs.																
																			Louisville RB's Boston College DB	i's															
																			vs.	0.30															
Last Fiv	e Year	s Meet	inas (L	ast 22	vears a	t PhilSto	eele.con	n)											Louisville QB/WR Boston College ST		Categor	v		Team & Margin						Phil's I	orecast				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		TO's				Proje	cted B	Box So	core				vs.	0.29															
Boston College	-	-1	34	21	37-188	19-2	26-247											ĺ	Louisville ST's		Las Vegas Line			Louisville By 6		Louisville	is 7-6 =	III-time vs BC	but thev'v	re lost 3 of	the lac	t 4 meetings	. The hor	ne team	is 4-3 SII bu
Louisville	-	55	27	23	35-199	19-3	31-296	-2				Pro	ojected I	Rushin	g				Boston College K							5 ATS in	ACC mts	gs. Last year E it both starting	BC entered	d avg just	104 rus	h ypg, but r	an for 18	8 agains	Louisville,
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	S	103 y	ards	Boston (College	Louisv	/ille	186 ya	ards	ĺ	vs.	2.00	Las Vegas Tota			57 Points		They led	34-20 w	hen UL got a	69 yd td p	ass but QE	Cunni	ngham was	int'd on U	L's final	ossession. l
Boston College	-	60.5	39	24	45-259	15-3	33-304		tent			Pre	ojected	Passing	g			l	Louisville K							on Clems	son in De	but BC's bye eath Valley off	f a loss an	d still near	ly won	outright with	a bobble	ed snap o	eep in Clems
Louisville	-	-5	41	26	44-236	23-3	34-428	2	Con	240 y	ards	Boston (College	Louisv	/ille	266 ya	ards		Boston College Coac	ches	+/- Ratings			Louisville By 8.	0	territory TD pass	costing t knocked	them in a 19-1 loose in EZ ar	13 road los nd then m	ss but cove	er. Last and No	week the 10 ST went 70	d yds wer /16. WR	e 172-1: Emezie v	2 but BC had as hit and
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	-Att-Yds	TO's	of (Proje	cted Yar	rdage T	otal			l	vs.	1.10						fumbled	clearly b	out they let the	e play star	nd as an in	comple	tion so NCS	got a 27	yd FG t	lead 10-7 H
Boston College	-	-12.5	38	20	61-251	16-2	22-179		able	343 y	ards	Boston (College	Louisv	/ille	452 ya	ards	ĺ	Louisville Coaches	s	Game Grade			Louisville By 10	.6	went 61/	7 for TD	. A pass intend	ded for TE	was snag	ged by	WR Thomas	on broke	en play a	nd he went 7
Louisville	-	61	20	15	32-47	17-3	35-170	1	to T			Proj	ected Fi	nal Sco	ore				Boston College Pt	:s						Miss 26-1	at half	n 33-7 mislead but then beat	an FCS te	eam got a l	ast sec	ond TD to up	set UCF	at home	and went into
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	E	22 p	oints	Boston (College	Louisv	/ille	33 po	ints	1.11	vs.	4.67	Computer Yard	· [L	Louisville 452-3	43	Forest w	ith a 522	s outgained 4 !-501 yd edge	and misse	ed a GW F0	3 at :00	vs Virginia	to fall to	3-3. The	had a bye
Boston College	-	57	45	27	59-364	12-2	28-191		Ret			Expe	erience	Rankin	gs			ĺ	Louisville Pts							1576 (64	%, 8-2)	Sunningham had and rushed for	or 347 (4.6	6). The Car	ds are	playing to a	n AGG of	104.6 th	e last 4 week
Louisville	-	-21.5	42	25	36-293	19-3	39-332	-1		5	2	Boston (College	Louisv	/ille	100)	PC	SITIONAL EDGE AWARD	DED TO:	Computer Point	s		Louisville 33-2	2			95.6. BC has							
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's				Team	Schedu	le Stre	ngth																				
Boston College	-	54	7	9	37-57	14-2	25-150			12	23	Boston (College	Louisv	/ille	31			Louisville		Phil Steele			Louisville by 3					:	20.5		C-!!			
Louisville	5	-25.5	52	22	36-210	19-2	29-305	0											By 3.56 Points	5								Louis	sville	30 B	osto	n Coll	ege 2	27	
																			_,																

			Orangamar							OVE	RALL: 0	VERALL:	l					Virgin	ia Tasl	h Haki	•					
	3		Orangemer	<u> </u>						3 vs.		3-3 vs. ACC						Virgin	ia Tech		es					
TM REC FOE REC TM FOE TM +/- FOE	+/- AP						PS RAN		FOE	0	-3	1-1	TM REC FOE	TM FOE	TM +/-	FOE +/-	AP						EAM	PS RAN		FOE
	23	9/4 at 0 9/11 R	Ohio Rutgers	29-9 W 7-17 L 62-24 W	81	68 75		88 11 106 46	0 54	Syri	NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKING BCUSE YI		0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0	0-0 0-0	125 128	136 121	19 15	10 9/4 9/11 9/18	North Ca	Fennessee	17-10 W 35-14 W 21-27 L	76 79	23 21 13	77 48	9 94	35 43 109 51 33 6
2-1 3-0 0-0 0-0 127 13		9/25 L	iberty	24-21 W	60	78	45	69 49			Rush Off	#90	2-1	1-0	130	123	13	9/25	Richmor		21-10 W	95	17	41	00	55 0
	20 34 19	10/2 at F 10/9 V		30-33 L 37-40 L	60 59	81	52	50 49 36 64	21	#62	Pass Off Total Off	#105 #117	3-1 4-1	1-0	127	137		10/2 14 10/9	Bye Notre Da	ame	29-32 L	99 104	21		73	7 30
3-3 3-2 0-2 2-1 126 13 3-4 3-3 0-3 1-1 126 12		10/16 C 10/23 at V		14-17 L	52 63		66 82 1	58 6 110 20			Score Off Sks Allowed	#110 #72	3-2 4-1 3-3 3-4		127 122	127 126		10/16 10/23	Pittsburg Syracus		7-28 L	104 110		10 10		43 61 73 82
	24	10/30 B	Boston College					61 83		#26	Rush Def	#80	3-3	2-2		124		10/30	at Georgia	Tech					70	81 103
3-3 1-2 12	28	11/6 B	ouisville					49 75	35	#24 #19	Pass Def Total Def	#27 #50	4-2 3-4	0-2 0-3		124 111			at Boston (Duke	College						83 33 86 56
	36 32	11/20 at N 11/27 P	IC State Pittsburgh					32 13 14 28		#53 #6	Score Def Sks By	#35 #42	2-4 5-2	0-2 3-2		128 135			at Miami, F at Virginia							27 62 91 99
31 20 1	<i>J.</i>	11/2/	rassurgii					., .,	, , , , ,	#30	Ypg Diff	#101	31	3.2		133		11/27	at virginia						12	31 33
										-2	Total TO's	+1														
Score Yds Fi at Ohio 29-9 37 1	D TO's FD	44-283	6.4 12-18	Yds Pass Sks 100 1 191 5	FD 20	RA-RY 32-134	YPC .	omp Yd Att Pa: 3-31 21	ss Sks 2 0				Scon North Carolina	17-		Net FD -1	1	Offen FD RA-RY 17 43-127 24 39-224	YPC 3.0	Comp Att 12-19	Yds Pass Sk 169 1 159 1	18	RA-RY 30-146	YPC 4.9 1	Att 7-33	Yds Pass Sks 208 6 283 3
	2 -3 14 3 -1 24	42-286	6.8 17-24	191 5 337 0		32-7	0.2 14	2-28 14 4-22 12			=	v	Middle Tenness at West Virginia		14 34 27 -40	1		24 39-224 19 41-106		17-28 19-31	223 6		36-66 33-176	1.8 2 5.3 1	6-40 5-26	
Liberty 24-21 -64 2 at Florida St 30-33 11 -4	2 1 22 4 1 18		4.3 6-15 6.0 13-23	77 1 150 1		41-150 37-247		5-21 21 2-32 13			e l	<u>ë</u>	Richmond Bye	21-	10 81	4	-1	19 33-106	3.2	17-29	212 2	15	36-160	4.4 1	5-23	77 2
Wake Forest 37-40 88 1	2 0 33	58-354	6.1 15-27	160 2	21	37-96	2.6 19	9-32 33	0 2		ge	훈	Notre Dame	29-		0		21 37-134		17-34	187 1			4.2 1		
Clemson 14-17 42 -2 at Virginia Tech	2 -1 18	30-165	5.5 17-37	191 2	20	37-116	3.1 2	2-36 19	8 2		Orangemen	동	Pittsburgh Syracuse	7-:	28 -187	-9	-1	13 28-90	3.2	11-32	134 3	22	44-208	4.7 2	2-37	203 1
Boston College Bye												ĕ	at Georgia Tech at Boston College													
at Louisville											TEAM	<u>.a</u>	Duke													
at NC State Pittsburgh											racuse TEAM	Virginia Tech Hokies	at Miami, Fl at Virginia													
											<u> </u>	₹														
Average 29-23 95.0 2. Conference 27-30 47.0 2.	.0 0.0 23	43-253	5.9 51.7%	172 6.8% 167 5.7%	b 21	37-114 37-153	4.1 63	3.0% 22	0 6.0%				Average Conference	12-	20 -41.7 19 -122.5	-5.0	0.0	19 37-131 15 36-109	3.1	53.8% 45.1%	181 8.1 152 7.8	% 20	37-177	4.8 5	5.7%	198 8.6% 206 10.0%
Away 30-21 24.0 -1	.5 1.0 20	42-261	6.2 61.0%	125 4.9%	b 21	35-191	5.5 71	1.4% 17	2 3.2%		Position by Position Ed	lges	Home	22-	19 -42.0	-0.4	0.0	19 36-136	3.8	52.1%	172 5.6	% 19	38-152	4.0 5	9.7%	198 8.8%
										Syra		rginia Tech														
											vs.	2.20														
											Virginia Tech DB's Syracuse RB's	S														
											vs. Virginia Tech LB's	0.49														
											Syracuse OL															
										0.25	vs. Virginia Tech DL															
										0.92	Syracuse DL															
										0.92	vs. Virginia Tech OL															
										2.38	Syracuse LB'S vs.															
											Virginia Tech RB's Syracuse DB's	S														
										1	vs.	0.24														
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 2	2 years at Phil:	Steele.com)								1	Virginia Tech QB/W Syracuse ST's	VK	Category		Team &	Margin					Phil's F	orecast:				
2016 Rank Line Score Fi			TO's		Proj	ected B	ox Sco	ore			vs.	3.65	Las Vegas Line						· <u></u>	· <u></u>						
Syracuse - 68.5 31 3:)-55-405			_					<u> </u>	Virginia Tech ST's	1			Virginia T	ech By 3	5	racuse leads	all-time se	ries 10-8 b	ut they've or	ly met or	nce as AC	member	s, with r	most of their to #4 VT 51
Virginia Tech 17 -20 17 2	1 39-161 2)-33-307	-1	217 yards		rojected R	Rushing Virginia T		38 yards	1	Syracuse K vs.	0.35	Las Vegas Total		45.5 P	tointe	7.	In their last	meeting in	2015 the h	Hokies were	nearly 3 T	D favorite	s in Fuent	e's first	year but lost
			emts	ZI/ YaluS		rojected P		ccii 1.	o yarus	1	vs. Virginia Tech K	0.33			43.3 P	3	w	eeks ago. Sy	racuse open	ned 3-3 but	5-1 ATS wit	n their 3 I	osses by	7, 3 & 3 aı	nd they	were +104
			Cont	98 yards			Virginia T	ech 1	78 yards		Syracuse Coaches	s	+/- Ratings		Virginia Te	ch By 0.3	2 2	14 and look	ed like it. At	t one point	which was Clemson had	l run 23 p	lays for 9	5 yds and	Syr RB '	Tucker had 9-
			e of			jected Yard				1	vs.	0.20	Game Grade				1.	6 rush. Cler	nson did lea	ad 17-7 4Q	thanks in pa 8 left and ha	rt to a fak	e punt bu	it Syracus	e got a 6	62 yd TD
			Return to Table of Con	315 yards			Virginia T		15 yards		Virginia Tech Coach	ies	came orace		Syracuse	e By 0.6	bi	upset of No	rth Carolina	a at home.		-2 and the	eir losses	were by 6	at WVir	g and by 3 to
			n to			ojected Fin				1	Syracuse Pts		Computer Yards				Bı	ırmeister pla	ying with h	urt shoulde	r. Pitt led 21	-0 at half	with 254	-74 yd edg	e and o	pen 3Q with
			etur	20 points			Virginia T		3 points	3.54	vs. Virginia Tech Pts	7.14			Virginia Tec	:h 315-31	2	00 left 28-7	win with 41	11-224 yd	3Q 28-7 an edge. VT has	4 road ga	mes left	n their fin	al 5 so t	this game is
			ď	36		perience R acuse \	Kanking Virginia T		98				Computer Points		Virginia Te	ech 23-20					the best RB get a must				g away	last week,
				30		n Schedule				PC	DSITIONAL EDGE AWARD	DED TO:			,											
				78			Virginia T		49		Virginia Tech		Phil Steele		Virginia Te	ech by 10				l	Ta -!-	\7 ^				
											By 3.60 Points								Vi	irginia	Tech 2	27 Sy	racus	e 1/		

Clemson Tigers	OVERALL: OVERALL: 4-2 5-1		Pittsburgh Panthers
Tigers Logs TM FOF AP TEAMPS RANK- FOE	vs. ACC vs. ACC		Panthers Logs AP TEAMPS RANK FOE
TM REC FOEREC CONF CONF CONF CONF CONF CONF CONF CON	3-1 2-0 NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/	TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST
0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 146 145 3 5 9/4 1 Georgia 3-10 L 6 2 67 3 4 2 0-1 0-0 143 6 9/11 South Carolina St 49-3 W 10 2 93	2021 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 126 95 1-0 1-0 0-0 0-0 127 119	9/4 UMass 51-7 W 43 21 35 128 129 84 9/11 at Tennessee 41-34 W 38 14 21 73 65 19
1-1 1-1 0-0 0-0 142 120 6 9/18 Georgia Tech 14-8 W 16 2 89 53 64 81	Clemson Pittsburgh	2-0 1-1 0-0 0-0 127 119	
2-1 2-1 1-0 0-0 139 131 9 9/25 at NC State 21-27 L 48 4 94 35 11 82 2-2 4-0 1-1 0-0 136 127 25 10/2 Boston College 19-13 W 69 6 93 34 75 27	#82 Rush Off #5 #106 Pass Off #		9/25 New Hampshire 77-7 W 39 30 53 10/2 at Georgia Tech 52-21 W 21 33 56 58 66 112
3-2 2-1 135 10/9 Bye 55 6 92	#113 Total Off #	#5 4-1 1-0 127	10/9 Bye 14 43 55
3-2 3-3 2-1 0-2 135 126 10/16 at Syracuse 17-14 W 58 6 97 52 78 66 4-2 5-1 3-1 2-0 135 132 3 10/23 at Pittsburgh 66 6 90 14 28 78		#3 4-1 3-2 1-0 1-0 127 127 27 5-1 4-2 2-0 3-1 132 135	10/16 at Virginia Tech 28-7 W 15 43 61 104 23 10 23 10/23 Clemson 14 28 78 66 6 90
2-4 2-2 127 10/30 Florida St 43 53 64	#27 Rush Def #1	17 2-4 0-2 128	10/30 Miami, Fl 40 27 62
3-3 1-2 128 11/6 Louisville 49 75 35 1-7 0-2 95 11/13 Connecticut 129 122 93	#31 Pass Def #5		11/6 at Duke 26 86 56 11/13 North Carolina 21 57 72
6-0 4-0 134 11/20 Wake Forest 42 74 6	#2 Score Def #3	33 5-2 3-2 135	11/20 Virginia 41 91 99
4-3 1-3 113 11/27 at South Carolina 82 40 21	#51 Sks By #9 #79 Ypg Diff #3	#9 3-4 0-3 126	11/27 at Syracuse 63 73 82
	+2 Total TO's +0		
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds		Net	Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks		Score Yds FD	TO'S FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks
† Georgia 3-10 -76 -1 1 14 23-2 0.1 19-37 178 7 15 31-121 3.9 22-30 135 1 South Carolina St 49-3 269 17 -2 26 36-242 6.7 23-39 262 0 9 34-103 3.0 10-27 132 1	4	UMass 51-7 389 24 at Tennessee 41-34 23 4	-1 35 41-223 5.4 34-45 375 1 11 27-42 1.6 14-31 167 5 3 24 45-96 2.1 25-37 301 2 20 33-136 4.1 22-33 238 5
Georgia Tech 14-8 -25 -2 -1 19 41-158 3.9 18-25 126 0 21 39-106 2.7 20-33 203 4	y v	Western Michigan 41-44 -27 -3	-1 25 24-75 3.1 25-34 415 2 28 48-160 3.3 26-38 357 3
at NC State 21-27 -172 -21 0 10 23-103 4.5 12-26 111 2 31 51-148 2.9 32-45 238 3 Boston College 19-13 81 -1 3 18 40-231 5.8 13-28 207 1 19 34-46 1.4 23-40 311 4	Tigers RAGES Panthers	New Hampshire 77-7 547 27 at Georgia Tech 52-21 148 6	2 35 49-252 5.1 29-33 455 2 8 29-100 3.4 10-24 60 3 2 27 41-181 4.4 24-37 399 1 21 31-73 2.4 24-33 359 2
Bye	on Tiger AVERAGES	Bye	
at Syracuse 17-14 -42 2 1 20 37-116 3.1 22-36 198 2 18 30-165 5.5 17-37 191 2 at Pittsburgh	Tige	at Virginia Tech 28-7 187 9 Clemson	1 22 44-208 4.7 22-37 203 1 13 28-90 3.2 11-32 134 3
at russuugii Florida St	, E E F	Miami, Fl	
Louisville Connecticut	Clemson TEAM AVE	at Duke North Carolina	
Wake Forest	lems TEAM	Virginia	
at South Carolina	Clemson TEAM AVI	at Syracuse	
	<u> </u>		
Average 21-13 5.8 -1.0 0.3 18 33-142 4.3 56.0% 180 6.3% 19 37-115 3.1 58.5% 202 7.1%	4	Average 48-20 211.2 11.2	1.0 28 41-173 4.2 71.3% 358 4.0% 17 33-100 3.1 56.0% 219 11.0%
Conference 18-16 -39.5 -5.5 0.8 17 35-152 4.3 56.5% 161 4.3% 22 39-116 3.0 59.4% 236 8.4%	1	Conference 40-14 167.5 7.5	1.5 25 43-195 4.6 62.2% 301 2.7% 17 30-82 2.8 53.8% 247 7.7%
Away 14-17 -96.7 -6.7 0.7 15 28-74 2.7 53.5% 162 11.1% 21 37-145 3.9 63.4% 188 5.4%	Position by Position Edges	Home 56-19 303.0 16.0	0.0 32 38-183 4.8 78.6% 415 4.5% 16 35-101 2.9 53.8% 195 11.8%
	Clemson Pittsburgh	ıb.	
	Clemson QB/WR vs. 0.3	37	
	Pittsburgh DB's		
	Clemson RB's	.53	
	Pittsburgh LB's		
	Clemson OL vs. 0.9	.93	
	Pittsburgh DL		
	Clemson DL 1.19 vs.		
	Pittsburgh OL		
	Clemson LB'S 2.06 vs.		
	Pittsburgh RB's		
	Clemson DB's	.12	
	Pittsburgh QB/WR		
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com) 2020 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Box Score	Clemson ST's	Category Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Clemson 4 -24 52 27 38-145 35-52-436	0.94 vs. Pittsburgh ST's	Las Vegas Line Pittsburgh By 3	.5
Pittsburgh - 58 17 12 24-16 24-43-230 -4 Projected Rushing	Clemson K	- iccoodigit by 3	Last year Pitt's OLine was decimated, and Clemson was on their annual late season romp through
2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 91 yards Clemson Pittsburgh 109 yards	1.10 vs.	Las Vegas Total 48 Points	the ACC. QB Pickett threw 3 int's and Pitt punted 3 times on their first 6 possessions and Clemson led 31-0. Pitt got within 38-17 3Q but the Tigers went 89/10 for a TD then after an int went
Clemson 2 -27.5 42 13 35-301 12-24-118 Projected Passing	Pittsburgh K		41/10, winning 52-17. The Tigers were on a 20-7-1 ATS run vs ACC foes but this year opened up 3
Pittsburgh - 52.5 10 11 48-191 4-16-8 -3 201 yards Clemson Pittsburgh 336 yards	Clemson Coaches	+/- Ratings Pittsburgh By 1	2 SU and 0-5 ATS including 0-3 ATS in ACC play. Clemson lost at NCSt and last week Clemson off a bye played its first game as unranked team since 2014 and looked like it. At one point Clemson had
2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Yardage Total	1.25 vs.		run 23 plays for 95 yds and Syr RB Tucker had 9-126 rush. They did lead 17-7 4Q thanks in part to
Clemson 3 -21 42 33 25-50 52-70-580 291 yards Clemson Pittsburgh 445 yards	Pittsburgh Coaches	Game Grade Pittsburgh By 15	
g	Clemson Pts		GT 14-8. Last week Pitt led 28-0 in Blacksburg winning 28-7 with a 411-224 yd edge. My AGG grades have Pitt at 115.4 and Clemson at just 103.3 AGG (Pitt by 16.7!). Clemson has taken on
Rittsburgh - 64.5 43 23 33-156 22-38-308 2 8 Projected Final Score E 18 points Clemson Pittsburgh 31 points	6.55 vs. 2.9	Computer Yards Pittsburgh 445-2	the much tougher schedule (#45 vs #102). Clemson has not been an underdog in ACC play since
Experience Rankings	Pittsburgh Pts		2014 but they deserve to be here. Kenny Pickett is hitting 70% with a super 21-1 ratio and Israel Abanikanda rushed for 140 vs Vtech and is finally emerging.
115 Clemson Pittsburgh 65	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Computer Points Pittsburgh 31-1	
Team Schedule Strength			
46 Clemson Pittsburgh 105	Clemson	Phil Steele Pittsburgh by	Dittohumb 22 Claman 17
	By 3.61 Points		Pittsburgh 23 Clemson 17

																		OVE		OVERALL:	_																		
						М		and Te		15								4		4-2 vs. BIG 10							М	inne			den G		ers						
TM REC FOE REC	ТМ	FOE	TM +/-	FOE +	/-	AP						TEA		PS R			OE		-2	2-1	ТМ	REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE	TM +/-	FOE +/-	AP							Т	TEAM		S RANK		OE
0-0 0-0	O-0		121			FOE	DATE 9/4	West Vi	oE irginia	30-24	W/L W	OFF 61	77	ST	OFF 60	DEF 30	ST 68		NCAA RANKI 2021 TEAM RAN			0-0 0-0		O-O		145	TM	FOE 9	DATE 9/4	Ohio S	FOE St		ORE W/I			ST 61	OFF 4	DEF 15	ST 50
1-0 2-0 1-2	0-0		124	121			9/11	Howard at Illinois		62-0 20-17	W	50	60 44	82 37	89	92	57	Mar	yland	Minnesota		0-1 0-1 1-1 1-1	0-1 0-1		133	117 122			9/11	Miami,			-26 W				115	108 39	108 92
3-0 1-2	1-0	0-0	124 124	121			9/18	at Illinois Kent St	:	37-16		18	21	34	65	107	120	#86	Rush Of	f #39		1-1 1-1 2-1 1-2		0-0	131 135	92			9/18 a 9/25	at Colora Bowlin	ido ng Green	10-					78 123	101	19
4-0 4-0 4-1 4-1	1-0 1-1		127 123	144 145			10/2	Iowa at Ohio St		14-51 17-66	L	13 22	16 23	35 49		2 15	5 37	#16 #31	Pass Off Total Of			2-2 3-1 3-2	0-1 1-1	1-0	130 132	128			10/2 a 10/9	at Purdue Bye	e	20-	-13 W	79			61	30	67
4-2	1-2		119				10/16	Bye		17 00	_	29	35	64				#54	Score Of	f #89	3	3-2 3-4	1-1		132	135		1	10/16	Nebras		30-	-23 W	8:	2 20	62	19	32	124
4-2 4-2 2-4	1-2	2-1 0-3	119	133 130			10/23	at Minneso Indiana				31	36	71	76 95	18 23	68 48	#55 #34	Sks Allow Rush De			1-2 4-2 3-3	2-1	1-2	133	119 123			10/23 10/30 a	Maryla at Northw				7(5 18	68	31 58	36 94	71 102
5-1 7-0		2-1 4-0		145 136			11/6	Penn St at Michigan							45 22	4 34	18 51	#104 #68	Pass De			2-5 6-1		1-3 3-1		118 143			11/6 11/13 a	Illinois	5						96 104	77 2	69 7
6-0		3-0		143			11/20	Michiga	in						8	14	3	#87	Score De	ef #35		2-4		0-3		130		1	11/20 a	at Indian							95	23	48
3-4		0-4		122			11/27	at Rutgers	5						100	56	5	#18 #45	Sks By Ypg Diff	#59 #66	╁	3-3		1-2		133		1	11/27	Wiscor	nsin						64	9	100
																		-6	Total TO	's +2																			
Sc			Yds	Net FD	TOI-	FD	Offens	se YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY	VDC	Comp	Yds Pass	et						core		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp			FI	Defen	ie Y YPC	Comp		Sks
West Virginia	ore	30-24	171	4	4	22	44-164	3.7	26-36	332	2	18	21-48	2.3	24-40	277				y v	-	Ohio St	core	31-45	-87	7	-1	24 5	50-203	4.1	14-2	Pa 5 20	05 2	1	7 26-20	1 7.7	13-22	294	0
Howard at Illinois			428	24 5	-1		49-227 27-131		27-32 32-43	347 350	1	8	20-66 45-150				2			je j		Miami, OH Colorado		31-26 30-0		-1 16		19 4		3.8 5.2	8-17 11-1				30-10		16-3		0
Kent St		37-16	68	-4	-1	23	28-142	5.1	31-41	384	1	27	47-150	3.2	19-33	308	5			Gophers		Bowling Gre	en	10-14	49	0	-1	12 4	45-182	4.0	5-13	5	9 4	13	2 25-2	2 0.9	19-36	170	4
Iowa at Ohio St			-157 -263				17-97 36-56	5.7 1.6	21-37 28-39	174 279	0		42-145 33-166				1	1	rerrapins	Ğ		Purdue Bye				-16			35-125	3.6	9-18				34-7				4
Bye at Minnesota																			Yiand Terrap	Golden		Nebraska Maryland		30-23	19	3	-2	22 4	43-182	4.2	21-2	5 21	14 1	15	9 31-13	6 4.4	18-33	241	2
Indiana																				8	at i	Northwester	n																
Penn St at Michigan St																				9		Illinois Iowa																	
Michigan at Rutgers																				8	at I	Indiana																	
at Kutgers																			Maryiand TEAM AN	les		Wisconsin																	
																				Minnesota	-																		
Average Conference		30-29 17-45	65.5				34-136 27-95		72.4% 68.1%	311 268	5.3% 6.7%	21	35-121 40-154								F	Average Conference			25.2 -74.0	1.5 -2.0		19 4 19 4	45-191 43-170	4.2	59.19 64.79				7 28-8	7 3.1 8 4.5			
Away			-58.5	-1.0	-1.5	23	32-94	3.0	73.2%	315	9.8%	24	39-158	4.1	56.3%	309	10.9%		Position by Positi		F	Home			-18.3	2.3	-0.5	19 4	46-186	4.0	60.09	6 14	18 10.0	% 1	7 28-11	6 4.1	54.19	236	4.9%
																		Mar	/land	Minnesota																			
																			Maryland QB vs.	0.22																			
																			Minnesota E Maryland R		-																		
																			vs. Minnesota I	1.39																			
																			Maryland (OL	1																		
																			vs. Minnesota	0.66 DL																			
																		0.15	Maryland	DL	1																		
																		0.15	vs. Minnesota	OL																			
																		1.12	Maryland L vs.	B'S																			
																			Minnesota F																				
																		1.14	Maryland D vs.																				
Last Fi	ve Years	Meeti	nas (L	ast 22	vears a	t PhilStr	eele.cor	m)											Minnesota QE Maryland S			Categor	y		Team &	Margin							Phil's Fo	recast:					
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		Att-Yds	TO's				Proje	cted	Box S	core				vs.	0.55							м	laryland	d leads t	he series	s 4-2. Las	st year t			ned for 1	126 yds	s, 89 pts	and 12 T	TD's as
Maryland	-	61	45	28	31-281	26-3	15-394												Minnesota S		Las	s Vegas Line			Minneso	ta By 5	ti	ne Terra	apins (+	20'-pt d	log!) won 44! The la	for the	1st time	when t	railing by	17 in th	he 4Q wh	en Minn	у
Minnesota	-	-20.5	44	24	50-262	10-1	5-189	2				Pr	ojected	Rushi	ng				Maryland	к		. Voga - T					rı	ush), ou	ut-FD'd ((30-10)	and had t	he ball	for just o	ver 17	min (42:	53-17:0	07) in the	ir 1st los	ss in
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's		67 y	ards	Mary	land	Minne	esota	187	yards		vs.	1.75	Las	s Vegas Tota			54.5 P	oints	ro	oad the	last 7 y	ears and	d is fresh d PJ Fleck	is 18-1	0 SU at h	ome. N	1inny lool	ced good	at hom	e vs Ohio	St, got
Maryland	-	58	10	10	23-79	10-2	3-131		ents			Pr	ojected	d Passii	ng				Minnesota	ı K		- Datis					p h	ast Miar ome by	mi Ohio Bowling	but ther g Green.	n had a 4. . Purdue h	41-63 y nad a 28	/d edge v: 3-12 FD e	s Colora	do. They it the Go	were -3 ohers w	31 and w on 20-13	ere shock on the r	ked at road.
Minnesota	17	-16	52	30	54-321	13-2	2-177	1	Cont	258 y	ards	Mary	land	Minne	esota	208	yards		Maryland Coa	iches	1.	- Ratings		4	Minnesota	By 18.0	, L	ast wee	ek Martir	nez was	high on n 21-16 No	nany of	his passe	s in 1F	and Min	ny led 2	1-9 (Net	miss xp) with
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	e of (Proje	cted Ya	ardage	Total				vs.	1.15	Gr.	me Grade					S	OD at U	JM 34 th	hen Mart	tinez was	called for	or intenti	onal gr	ounding i	n own E	Z with 4	:45 left.	UM
Maryland	-	-2.5	42	15	37-315	10-1	4-117		Table	326 y	vards	Mary	land	Minne	esota	396	yards	L	Minnesota Co	aches	Gar	me Grade		N	Minnesota	By 11.7	7 A	TS and	were a	trendy u	and Neb w upset pick	vs Iowa	a, but I u	sed Iov	va as a Be	st Bet a	and they	beat the	Terps
Minnesota		47.5	13	23	40-94	14-3	2-169	-3	to			Proj	ected F	Final So	core				Maryland F	Pts	_	mputer Yard									s a Best Berry. The Terr								
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	sturn	21 p	oints	Mary	land	Minne	esota	34	points	2.41	vs.	5.71	Cor	puter Yard	•	M	Minnesota	396-32	6 M	linny is	down th	heir top :	2 RB's. Mi efense as	inny ha	s taken o	n the t	ougher so	hedule i	(#13 vs	#69). MI	Inny
Maryland	-	43.5	31	23	47-262	18-2	18-154		. A			Exp	erience	Ranki	ngs				Minnesota	Pts	Cr.	mputer Point					ti	nis year	r are hol	ding foes	s to 100 y	pg belo	w their s	eason a	avg. MInn	y is play	ing to a	AGG of	104.5
Minnesota	-	-13	24	18	31-80	13-2	16-229	-2		6	7	Mary	land	Minne	esota		1	PC	SITIONAL EDGE A	WARDED TO:	Cor	mputer Point			Minnesot	a 34-21	a H	nd Mary F with a	yıand is an outri	at 100.0 ght upse	0 (Minny bet loss to	טע ט.5). BG and	they are	e rresh off a bi	rerps tea g underd	ım nere og win w	as Minn vhile MD	is U-2 A is fresh o	off a
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's				Team	Schedu	ule Str	ength				Minneso		DI-1	il Steele					b	ye.											
Maryland	-	-6.5	10	16	36-130	18-3	7-161			6	9	Mary	land	Minne	esota		13		Minneso		l'''	ıı əteelê			Minneso	ta by 3					Minn	000	ta 27	Ма	nda=	4 24			
Minnesota	-	49	31	16	48-229	7-1	5-82	4											By 3.30 Po	oints											MIIII	eso	Ld 2/	Ma	yıan	u 24			

Nombros de un Wilde		OVERALL: OVERALL:		Michigan Walnesings
Northwestern Wildca Wildcats Logs	115	3-3 6-0 vs. BIG 10 vs. BIG 10		Michigan Wolverines Wolverines Logs
THE PER PER THE FOR THE PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PE	TEAMPS RANK FOE	1-2 3-0	TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/- TM	AP TEAMPS RANK FOE
0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 132 124 9/4 Michigan St 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-1 127 9/11 Indiana St 0-1 1-1 1-1 0-1 0-0 124 114 9/18 at Duke	SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST 21-38 L 89 53 74 78 90 116 24-6 W 89 58 118 23-30 L 92 72 48 55 100 21	NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKINGS Northwestern Michigan	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 132 114 1-0 0-1 0-0 0-0 0-0 134 128 2-0 1-1 0-0 0-0 136 115 25	FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST 9/4 at Western Michigan 47-14 W 41 50 40 49 99 33 100 9/11 Washington 31-10 W 31 37 2 27 28 111 9/18 Northern Illinois 63-10 W 26 30 5 117 122 104
1-2 0-3 0-1 0-0 121 109 9/25 Ohio 2-2 2-3 0-1 0-2 123 131 10/2 at Nebraska 2-3 0-2 119 10/9 Bye	35-6 W 79 82 84 105 123 72 7-56 L 53 86 76 17 25 127 57 101 90	#57 Rush Off #7 #94 Pass Off #102 #84 Total Off #40	3-0 3-0 0-0 0-0 140 134 19 4-0 1-2 1-0 0-1 140 135 14 5-0 3-3 2-0 1-2 143 135 9	9/25 Rutgers 20-13 W 5 16 6 102 25 9
2-3 3-3 0-2 0-3 119 126 10/16 Rutgers 3-3 6-0 1-2 3-0 123 143 6 10/23 at Michigan 4-2 2-1 133 10/30 Minnesota	21-7 W 56 104 92 97 50 3 58 94 102 8 14 3 76 18 68	#109 Score Off #14 #47 Sks Allowed #2 #116 Rush Def #30	6-0 3-0 143 8 6-0 3-3 3-0 1-2 143 123 6 7-0 4-0 136	10/16 Bye 11 13 2 10/23 Northwestern 8 14 3 58 94 102 10/30 at Michigan St 22 34 51 22 34 51
6-1 3-1 143 11/6 Iowa 3-3 1-2 133 11/13 at Wisconsin 4-2 2-1 131 11/20 Purdue	104 2 7 64 9 100 62 21 106	#41 Pass Def #21 #92 Total Def #19 #61 Score Def #9	2-4 0-3 130 5-1 2-1 145 4-2 1-2 119	11/6 Indiana 95 23 48 11/13 at Penn St 45 4 18 11/20 at Maryland 31 36 71
2-5 1-3 118 11/27 at Illinois	96 77 69	#59 Sks By #71 #98 Ypg Diff #12 0 Total TO's +6	5-1 3-0 149	11/27 Ohio St 1 11 31
Net	Yds Defense Comp Yds Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks		Net Score Yds FD TO's	
Michigan St 21-38 +111 -3 1 20 38-117 3.1 30-42 Indiana St 24-6 106 6 -1 18 46-209 4.5 9-16 at Duke 23-30 -151 -4 -2 22 32-147 4.6 19-39	283 3 23 37-326 8.8 15-26 185 2 66 2 12 22-31 1.4 22-35 138 3 260 2 26 53-208 3.9 32-45 350 1	ats	at Western Michigan 47-14 241 5 0 Washington 31-10 44 1 1 Northern Illinois 63-10 398 17 1	28 48-373 7.8 12-17 233 0 11 36-162 4.5 9-17 46 0
Ohio 35-6 113 5 2 22 51-373 7.3 12-20 at Nebraska 7-56 -364 -11 -1 17 26-37 1.4 25-40 Bye 8 1 21 48-135 2.8 18-33	88 0 17 31-179 5.8 21-32 169 4 256 4 28 53-427 8.1 15-21 230 0 267 0 13 34-63 1.9 19-32 159 4	Wildcats AGES	Rutgers 20-13 -77 -6 1 at Wisconsin 38-17 155 3 2 at Nebraska 32-29 28 7 1 Bye	15 44-112 2.5 18-30 253 0 12 32-43 1.3 11-23 167 6
Nutgers	207 0 13 34-03 1.9 19-32 139 4		Northwestern at Michigan St Indiana	
at Wisconsin Purdue at Illinois		Northwestern Wildcal TEAM AVERAGES Michigan Wolverines	at Penn St at Maryland Ohio St	
Average 22-24 -37.8 0.2 0.0 20 40-170 4.2 59.2%	203 5.8% 20 38-206 5.4 64.9% 205 7.3%	Nor	Average 39-16 131.5 4.5 1.0	21 45-247 5.5 60.4% 194 1.5% 17 34-118 3.4 55.5% 191 7.5%
Conference 16-34 -98.3 -2.0 0.3 19 37-96 2.6 62.9% Away 15-43 -257.5 -7.5 -1.5 20 29-92 3.2 55.7%	269 6.0% 21 41-272 6.6 62.0% 191 7.6% 258 7.6% 27 53-318 6.0 71.2% 290 1.5%	Position by Position Edges	Conference 30-20 35.3 1.3 1.3 Home 40-12 151.5 4.3 0.8	19 41-143 3.5 57.6% 224 1.2% 17 35-126 3.6 57.3% 205 9.8%
		Northwestern Michigan Northwestern QB/WR vs. 1.36		
		Michigan DB's Northwestern RB's vs. 1.42		
		Michigan LB's Northwestern OL vs. 0.64 Michigan DL		
		Northwestern DL vs. 2.70 Michigan OL		
		Northwestern LB'S vs. 1.93 Michigan RB's		
		Northwestern DB's vs. 0.24 Michigan QB/WR		
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) 2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's	Projected Box Score	Northwestern ST's vs. 2.95	Category Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Michigan 14 -15.5 20 18 42-180 15-24-196 0	Projected Rushing	Michigan ST's Northwestern K	Las Vegas Line Michigan By 24.5	Michigan has won 11 of the last 12 including the last 6. In their last meeting ('18), UM went on the road and held NW to 97 yds of total off in the last 3 Q's and had 6 sacks for the game and got a TD w/4-06 left to grind out a 20-17 win (-15'). NW has only beaten UM once in the last 2 Q years
tents	87 yards Northwestern Michigan 286 yards Projected Passing	0.30 vs. Michigan K	Las Vegas Total 51 Points	—(08), but 4 of their last 6 losses have been by just 1 score. Last time here (°15), MW was held to just 168 ydd 38 rush) and was 2-13 on 37d down in a 38-0 shutout lose (*10), Michigan is fresh off a bye and Jim Harbaugh is 34-8 SU at home. Northwestern is 15-4 ATS as an AD the last 7 years but were in that role once this year and lost to Nebraska 56-71 NW opened 2-3 with ugy
e of Con	146 yards Northwestern Michigan 253 yards Projected Yardage Total	Northwestern Coaches 0.50 vs.	+/- Ratings Michigan By 25.0	years out well ein link foot blick sink year and last week (Ryan Hillinski threw for 267 yds and capped a 198 yd drive with a 64 yd TD pass & Rutgers purded on 5 of first 6 poss and NW led 14-7 at half with d8 half sink years with a 64 yd TD pass & Rutgers purded on 5 of first 6 poss and NW led 14-7 at half with d8 half years with years of the sink years of the sink years of the sink years of the sink years of the sink years of the sink years of the sink years of the years of th
Return to Table of Cor	233 yards Northwestern Michigan 539 yards Projected Final Score	Michigan Coaches Northwestern Pts	Michigan By 33.9	Rutgers miss 33 yd FG in 30, NW 71/9 TD 7:33 left and had 21-13 FD edge. Michigan had modest expectations this year but opened a perfect 6-0 and 4-1-1 ATS. Northwestern had one of the nation's best defenses last year but lost DC Hankwitz and some key players and have gone from
Return	10 points Northwestern Michigan 42 points Experience Rankings	0.80 vs. 11.24 Michigan Pts	Michigan 539-233 Computer Points Michigan 42-10	holding fees to 56 ypg below their season avg to allowing teams 48 ypg above their season avg and allowing 206 ypg rush (5.4) which plays into the strength of Michigan. NW is -98 ypg in Big Ten play. The Wolverines have won their Big Ten games by Just 10 ppg and are Just +35 ypg in those. Michigan does have rival Mich S to n deck and Fitzgerafis't seams usually starts dow and then get
	130 Northwestern Michigan 120 Team Schedule Strength 93 Northwestern Michigan 8	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO: Michigan	Phil Steele Michigan 42-10	better each week.
	Hengen	By 10.44 Points	g	Michigan 34 Northwestern 17
		,		

									luskie	s								OVER 5-	-2		/ERALL: 4-3						СМ			hippe	was							
								Huskies L	ogs			TF4		80.5	RANK	FO	_		MAC		s. MAC					ΔР		Chi	ppewas	Logs				ΔΜ	PS RA	NIV.	FOE	
TM REC FOE REC COM	NF C	FOE	TM +/-	FOE +	/- TM	FOE	DATE		FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST	3-	NCAA RAN		2-1	TM REC FOE REC	TM FOR	E TM+/	- FOE +/-		FOE	DATE	F	DE	SCOR	W/L	OFF	DEF		OFF		ST
0-0 0-0 0-1 1-0 1-0 0-1			112					at Georgi		22-21 43-50		114	119	88	62	43	96 38		2021 TEAM R	ANKINGS	s	0-0 0-0 0-1	0-0 0-0	116				/4 at			24-34 45-0		77 69	89		75	74	30
1-0 1-0 0-1 1-1 2-0 0-1			116 115	121		25	9/11	Wyomi at Michiga		10-63	г г	118 117	120 122	104	95 26	59 30	38 5	N III	linois	12	Michigan	0-1 1-1 1-1	0-0	116			_		Robert I	Morris	21-49		69	92 110	80 65	46	14	34
1-2 0-1			111				9/25	Maine		41-14	W	108	125	107				#11	Rush (#70	1-2 1-2	0-0 0-0						FIU		31-27		70	106	54	81		18
2-2 3-1 0-1 3-2 3-2 1-1			114 117	122			10/2	Easteri at Toledo	n Michigan	27-20 22-20		81 91	122 117	109		110 40	103 65	#123 #81	Pass (#24	2-2 1-3 2-3 1-4	0-0 0-0	115					Miami, C	DH	17-28 30-27		54 48	105 108		110		66 86
4-2 2-4 2-1	0	0-2	120	96			10/16	Bowlin	g Green	34-28		90	116	106	127	84	11	#65	Score	Off	#73	3-3 3-3	1-1 1-1	1 114	120		1	0/16	Toledo		26-2		46	109	65	89	41	67
5-2 4-3 3-1	0	2-1	117	116	,		10/23	at Centra Bve	l Michigan			89	110	87	54	105	73	#4 #97	Sks Allo Rush I		#117	4-3 5-2	2-1 3-0	116	117			0/23	Norther	n Illinois			54	105	73	89	110	87
3-4		2-1		114			11/3	at Kent S	t						56	112	122	#64	Pass D	Def	#103	5-2	2-1		122		1	1/3 at	Western	Michigan						60		119
4-3 3-4		2-1 1-2		125			11/10	Ball St at Buffalo							113 93	92 78	22 98	#89 #96	Total I Score		# 76 #76	3-4 4-3	2-1 2-1		114 125			1/10 1/17 at	Kent St							56 113		122 22
5-2		2-1		122			11/23		n Michigan						60	76	119	#94	Sks E		#25	4-3	1-2		119					Michigan						108	107	1
																		#95 -4	Ypg D Total T		#53 -2																	
																			TOTAL I	10 5	-2																	=
			Yds	Net FD		FD	Offen: RA-RY	se YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defense RA-RY	VDC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks							Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD F	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	G1
at Georgia Tech		22-21	-128						11-17	136	0		52-273		15-26		4					at Missouri	24-		1			9-174	4.5	24-47		9		36-211		21-32		2
Wyoming		43-50	82	-2	-2		38-244		19-36	233 46	2		45-191	4.2	13-23	204	1				w	Robert Morris	45					6-310	5.5	17-25		2	3	30-96	3.2	5-8	13 400	0
at Michigan Maine		10-63 41-14	-398 284	-17 16			36-162 45-292		9-17 17-24	282	0		30-125		12-17 14-26		0	,	v		Š	at LSU FIU	31-	49 -200 27 162				31-56 IO-202	1.8 5.1	25-39 30-49		5 2	23 18	24-84 32-42		27-39 20-38	395	5
Eastern Michigan		27-20		4	1	24	55-242		9-21	84	0	20	24-38	1.6	27-40	338	5		ruskies ERAGES		ě	at Miami, OH	17-			1		27-52	1.9	29-49		3	26	38-164		25-38	350	1
at Toledo Bowling Green		22-20 34-26		8			55-242 59-319		14-24 4-8	154 38	1				16-31 16-41		0	1			<u>.</u>	at Ohio Toledo	30- 26-		-7		23 4 13 3		5.0	16-30 21-35		1		46-179 44-142				7
at Central Michigan																		j	AVERAGES		5	Northern Illino																
Bye at Kent St																			<u> </u>		<u>-</u>	Bye at Western Michig	nan															
Ball St																			¥ I		g	Kent St	jun															
at Buffalo Western Michigan																		-	TEAM AVE		등	at Ball St Eastern Michig	an										1					
Western Flienigen																		2	Z		Michigan Chippewas	Lastern Fileing	u.,															
						-															O												-					
Average			-32.0				47-238		56.5%		2.0%				55.4%			İ				Average		27 52.1			23 3		4.2	59.1%		8.4%					259 8	3.7%
Conference Away		28-22	-21.3 -167.0		0.0	23	56-268 44-190		50.9% 58.6%	92 112	1.9%	17	25-111	4.5	52.7% 58.1%		5.4%					Conference Home	24-		-2.3 3 9.7	0.0	20 3	4-128	3.7 4.9	57.9% 62.4%	280	4.4%	23	43-162	3.8	59.6%	249 1 205 1	1.2%
Andy		10 33	107.0	0	, 0.,	10	11 150	1.5	30.0 %	***	11,7,0	LJ	11 200	0.1	50.170	200	3.470		Position by Pos			Home		17 103.	, ,,,	0.5	25 1	11 213	4.5	02.470	203	-1.070	1	33 33	2.0	31.370	203 1	3.470
																		NIII	inois N Illinois C		Michigan																	
																		0.21	vs.																			
																			C Michiga N Illinois																			
																		0.53	vs.																			
																			C Michiga N Illinois																			
																		0.45	vs.																			
																			C Michiga N Illinoi																			
																			vs.		1.11																	
																			C Michiga N Illinois																			
																		ĺ	vs.		0.63																	
																			C Michiga N Illinois																			
																			vs.		0.85																	
Last Five Ye		4 41	(1			A DL IIC	l	\											C Michigan N Illinois			6-1		*	& Margin							Phil's Fore						
2020 Rar		<u>line</u>	Score	ast 22 FD	years a		-Att-Yds	<u>m)</u> TO's				Proje	cted	Box 9	Score			l	N Illinois vs.	. 31 5	0.21	Category		ream	a margin							.m s rore	-casti					
Northern Illinois -		57.5	10	13	33-7		34-170											1	C Michiga	n ST's	0.21	Las Vegas Line		C Mici	nigan By 5													
Central Michigan -		-7.5	40	16			20-196	1				Pr	ojected	l Rushi	ng				N Illino								hinnews	e haal a	II-time se	eries 31-2	4-1 Ca	itral Mick	nigan is 4	on a 6-1	SU & 7-0	ATS r	n vs NTII	. Last
2019 Rar	nk	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	S	195	ards	N IIIi	nois	C Mic	higan	182 y	ards	ĺ	vs.		1.65	Las Vegas Total		57.	5 Points	y	ear CM v	was a 7′p	pt AF. CM	1 only led	9-0 at h	alf with	a 134-9	6 yd edg	but NIL	J was ju	st 2 of 15	5 on
Northern Illinois -		-1.5	10	13	25-2		31-229		tent				ojected			. ,		1	C Michig											nto the 40 n :11 left.								
Central Michigan -		51	48	24	50-32		25-288	4	Cont	176	ards	N IIIi	•	C Mic	-	290 y	ards		N Illinois C			+/- Ratings		C Mich	igan By 2.0	, w	in in '13	3. Last ti	me here	NIU was	a 1' pt A	F but ne	ever led 8	& trailed	38-10 at	HT & Id	st 48-10	(-4
2018 Rar	nk	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	of C			Proje	cted Ya	ardage	Total			ĺ	vs.		1.50					M	lissouri,	LSU and	Miami C	t 4 of the Ohio all on	the roa	d. Last w	reek CM	open 80,	/14 TD ti	nen 21/	5 (after 1	9 yd
Northern Illinois -		-14	24	15	48-18	0 12-	20-104		aple	371	ards	N IIIi		C Mic		472 y	ards	1	C Michigan			Game Grade		C Mich	igan By 4.4	, p	unt) TD	while To	oledo sett	tled 2 FG's	's (41 &	18) and i	miss one	. Toledo	SOD 25	CM 63/1	0 46 yd	FG
Central Michigan -		46	16	21	46-16		33-158	-1	to T			Proj	ected F	Final S	core				N Illinois	is Pts						5	0 yd FG	's pull to	23-16 t	hen 71/7	QB Finn	18 yd T	D run :3	5 force (T. CM F	G and To	oledo 3rd	
2017 Rar	nk	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	E	31 p	oints	N Illi		C Mic		34 pc	oints	1.19	vs.		5.96	Computer Yards		C Michig	jan 472-37	'1 d	own sac	k miss 5	1 yd FG. and Geor	Northern gia Tech o	n Illinois	opened a	surprisi	ing 4-2 S	U with a	loss to	Michigan CMich is:	and at
Northern Illinois -		-3	24	19	40-13	7 20-	36-128		Retu			Exp	erience	Rank	ings			İ	C Michiga	an Pts										me is a to				5 10 0.17	0. 0.		1101 13 1	-
Central Michigan -		51	31	16	33-13	9 20-	39-247	1		1	04	N Illi			higan	1	8	P.O.	SITIONAL EDGE	EAWADDE	in to-	Computer Points		C Mich	igan 34-31	L												
2016 Rar	nk	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's				Team	Sched					PU			.5 10.																	
Northern Illinois -		64	28	16	37-17	4 18-	30-165			8	7	N Illi	nois	C Mic	higan	11	15		C Michi	igan		Phil Steele		C Mici	higan by 1												_	
Central Michigan -		-2.5	34	24	50-23	0 19-	35-254	-1											By 4.76 I	Points								Cei	ntral	Mich	iigan	31 N	vorth	nern	Lilino	ois 3	U	

W . C. C. L	OVERALL: OVERALL:	21.	
Kent St Golden Flashes	3-4 1-6		io Bobcats
Golden Flashes Logs	vs. MAC vs. MAC 2-1 1-2		Bobcats Logs TEAMPS RANK FOE
TM REC FOEREC TM FOE TM +/- FOE+/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/- AP TM REC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE	FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST
0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 121 143 6 9/4 at Texas A&M 10-41 L 54 123 127 14 6 36 0-1 0-0 120 9/11 VMI 60-10 W 63 124 112	2021 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 123 121 9/4 0-1 0-0 118 9/11	Syracuse 9-29 L 88 110 54 84 68 18 Duquesne 26-28 L 91 113 102
1-1 2-0 0-0 1-0 124 148 5 9/18 at lowa 7-30 L 64 123 111 103 7 10	Kent St Ohio		at Louisiana 14-49 L 102 120 79 74 49 2
1-2 3-0 0-0 1-0 124 124 9/25 at Maryland 16-37 L 65 107 120 18 21 34 1-3 2-2 0-0 0-0 121 97 10/2 Bowling Green 27-20 W 66 107 120 127 85 24	#16 Rush Off #30 #75 Pass Off #122		at Northwestern 6-35 L 105 123 72 79 82 84 at Akron 34-17 W 106 125 84 123 129 129
2-3 2-3 1-0 0-1 117 115 10/9 Buffalo 48-38 W 75 103 123 101 106 105	#27 Total Off #104	1-4 2-3 1-0 0-1 108 114 10/9	Central Michigan 27-30 L 100 123 86 48 108 72
3-3 4-2 2-0 1-1 118 118 10/16 at Western Michigan 31-64 L 57 103 124 87 87 127 3-4 1-6 2-1 1-2 114 109 10/23 at Ohio 56 112 122 98 120 84	#65 Score Off #113 #121 Sks Allowed #47		at Buffalo 26-27 L 95 121 83 88 115 101 Kent St 98 120 84 56 112 122
10/30 Bye	#120 Rush Def #123	3-4 2-1 116 11/2	Miami, OH 92 102 88
5-2 3-0 117 11/6 Northern Illinois 89 110 87 4-3 2-1 116 11/13 at Central Michigan 54 105 73	#106 Pass Def #25 #122 Total Def #97		at Eastern Michigan 108 107 1 Toledo 91 42 53
4°5 2°1 110 11/15 at Certiful illusigni 34 103 75 2°5 1•2 100 11/20 at Akron 120 125 130	#122 Total Del #97 #112 Score Def #97	3"4 1"2 110 11/10	Bye
3-4 2-1 116 11/27 Miami, OH 92 102 88	#84 Sks By #84 #92 Ypg Diff #110	2-5 0-3 99 11/26	at Bowling Green 126 90 12
	#92 Ypg Diff #110 +11 Total TO's -2		
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass		Net Offense Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY	
at Texas A&M 10-41 -259 -10 3 19 45-226 5.0 14-30 110 5 29 42-303 7.2 21-34 292 2]	Syracuse 9-29 -37 -1 -1 20 32-134	4.2 23-31 212 0 21 44-283 6.4 12-18 100 1
VMI 60-10 414 12 4 31 62-494 8.0 14-19 204 1 19 37-138 3.7 17-36 146 2 at Iowa 7-30 -154 -4 0 17 41-79 1.9 16-23 185 7 21 38-206 5.4 26-37 212 0	- v	Duquesne 26-28 -55 -11 0 15 24-139 at Louisiana 14-49 -312 -17 0 16 28-112	5.8 14-22 168 1 26 45-168 3.7 23-34 194 1 4.0 14-27 138 1 33 49-310 6.3 25-34 252 1
at Maryland 16-37 -68 4 1 27 47-150 3.2 19-33 308 5 23 28-142 5.1 31-41 384 1	1	at Northwestern 6-35 -113 -5 -2 17 31-179	5.8 21-32 169 4 22 51-373 7.3 12-20 88 0
Bowling Green 27-20 78 7 2 25 56-262 4.7 15-28 134 0 18 26-55 2.1 29-46 263 5 Buffalo 48-38 87 -3 2 33 45-226 5.0 22-36 407 1 36 54-312 5.8 24-45 234 2	Flash	at Akron 34-17 131 6 0 25 47-398 Central Michigan 27-30 -92 -1 1 22 46-179	8.5 8-15 60 2 19 38-112 2.9 18-25 215 7 3.9 13-19 190 2 23 41-204 5.0 16-30 257 1
Buffalo 48-38 87 -3 2 33 45-226 5.0 22-36 407 1 36 54-312 5.8 24-45 234 2 at Western Michigan 31-64 -286 -12 -1 20 34-124 3.6 19-34 238 5 32 51-341 6.7 15-22 307 0	olden Fla Averages Bobcats	Central Michigan 27-30 -92 -1 1 22 46-179 at Buffalo 26-27 -27 -9 0 16 43-271	
at Ohio	Golden M AVERA	Kent St	
Bye Northern Illinois	S S M	Miami, OH at Eastern Michigan	
ACCENTED MICHIGAN	St G	Toledo	
at Akron Miami, OH		Bye at Bowling Green	
Pilatili, Ori	Kent	at bowling Green	
	3		
Average 28-34 -26.9 -0.9 1.6 25 47-223 4.7 58.6% 227 11.8% 25 39-214 5.4 62.5% 263 4.6%	†	Average 20-31 -72.1 -5.4 -0.3 19 36-202	5.6 63.5% 145 7.1% 24 44-223 5.1 67.0% 195 6.3%
Conference 35-41 -40.3 -2.7 1.0 26 45-204 4.5 57.1% 260 6.1% 29 44-236 5.4 60.2% 268 6.2%		Conference 29-25 4.0 -1.3 0.3 21 45-283	6.2 61.4% 108 11.4% 22 40-143 3.6 65.9% 244 10.6%
Away 16-43 -191.8 -5.5 0.8 21 42-145 3.5 56.7% 210 18.3% 26 40-248 6.2 69.4% 299 2.2%	Position by Position Edges	Home 21-29 -61.3 -4.3 0.0 19 34-151	4.4 69.4% 190 4.2% 23 43-218 5.0 62.2% 184 3.7%
	Kent St Ohio		
	0.82 Vs.		
	Ohio DB's		
	Kent St RB's 1.15 vs.		
	Ohio LB's		
	Kent St OL 1.78 vs.		
	1.78 vs. Ohio DL		
	Kent St DL		
	vs. 1.69		
	Kent St LB'S		
	vs. 0.02 Ohio RB's		
	Kent St DB's		
	0.69 vs.		
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)	Ohio QB/WR Kent St ST's	Category Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Box Score	vs. 2.04		
Kent St - 64 38 27 39-214 24-35-262	Ohio ST's	Last time here t	the game had 10 lead changes & was tied 3 times including at 31 early 4Q. The
Ohio7.5 45 31 46-229 19-28-342 1 Projected Rushing	Kent St K	Flashes fell behi	ind 45-31. Kent St scored a TD w/:31 left but could not recover the onside & lost 45-
2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 264 yards Kent St Ohio 270 yards	vs. 1.30		obcats lead the all-time series 45-25-2 including 16-8 SU since 1996. Played every 49 until last year's game was cancelled (Covid). Ohio has won 6 straight vs Kent St
Kent St - 69.5 26 25 36-201 27-35-271 Projected Passing	Ohio K	(4-2 ATS). Frank	k Solich was 65-25 SU at home, but Ohio is 0-3 under Tim Albin. Sean Lewis is 4-
Ohlo12 27 25 38-130 18-20-284 -1 226 yards Kent St Ohio 175 yards	Kent St Coaches	+/- Ratings 16 SU on the rote teams. Last week	bad. Kent had losses to Texas A&M, Iowa and Maryland and was 3-0 vs their level of lek WM scored on their first 5 poss's but 3 were FG's and they led 23-17 at half with
2017 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Yardage Total	vs. 0.95	Kent settling for	r 20 yd FG at :00. Kent fumble 2nd play 3Q WM score TD's first 5 poss of 2H with
Kent St - 47 3 7 37-102 7-17-64 90 yards Kent St Ohio 445 yards	Ohio Coaches		times, 57-23. Each team late TD 64-31 WM 648-362 yd edge. Ohio opened 0-4 in ut then beat Akron 34-17 and almost upset CMich in 3-point home loss but were 2-
Ohio18 48 23 43-336 9-20-138 1 Projected Final Score	Kent St Pts	0 ATS in MAC pl	ay with Armani Rogers taking over at QB. Last week it was a tough loss for Ohio
2016 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 34 points Kent St Ohio 29 points	4.44 vs. 6.00		after 1Q including a 99 yd run by QB Rogers and led 21-10 H and 26-10 after 3Q itil Buffalo got a FG at :00 after missing a FG but Ohio was offsides on previous play.
Kent St - 44.5 10 18 49-163 12-29-101 Experience Rankings	Ohio Pts	Ohio led 26-18 l	but fumbled at own 35 and Buffalo got a TD mid 4Q off that. The Bobcats have not
Ohio3 14 13 36-208 11-19-127 -3 68 Kent St Ohio 42		Computer Points Kent St 35-30 Kent is at just 8	e by more than 7 since 2015. In MAC play Ohio is playing at an AGG of 90.7 and 85.7 in MAC play (Ohio by 8.5).
Team Schedule Strength	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:		
56 Kent St Ohio 111	Ohio	Phil Steele Kent St by 3	
36 Kelit St Unio III		walle at by a	Kent St 37 Ohio 34
	By 1.57 Points		

	Cincinnati Bearcats	OVER	ERALL: OVERALL:		Navy Midshipmen
	Bearcats Logs	6-I vs. A			Midshipmen Logs
TM REC FOE REC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE +/- TM	FOE DATE FOE SCORE W	TEAMPS RANK FOE 2-I	2-0 1-3	M REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/- TM	P
0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 137 120 8	9/4 Miami, OH 49-14 W	46 5 48 113 103 91	2021 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 121 114	9/4 Marshall 7-49 L 117 92 120 93 61 39
1-0 0-0 139 7 2-0 1-1 0-0 0-1 139 137 8	9/11 Murray St 42-7 W 9/18 at Indiana 38-24 W			0-1 1-0 0-0 0-0 116 121 0-2 0-0 113	9/11 Air Force 3-23 L 117 88 130 74 103 101 9/18 Bye 122 88 127
3-0 0-0 142 8	9/25 Bye	45 5 81 #32 52 7 80 68 5 23 #58		0-2 2-1 0-0 0-0 113 123	9/25 Houston 20-28 L 128 94 130 96 45 11
4-0 3-2 0-0 1-0 145 111 5	10/9 Temple 52-3 W	52 4 80 92 75 112 #34		0-3 2-1 0-1 0-0 114 128 1-3 5-0 1-1 1-0 117 128	24 10/9 SMU 24-31 L 128 92 126 4 94 91
5-0 3-2 1-0 1-1 147 124 3 6-0 1-5 2-0 1-3 148 115 2	10/16 UCF 56-21 W	37 4 44 22 83 81 #6 24 3 23 128 101 126 #21		1-4 3-3 1-2 0-2 117 119 1-5 6-0 1-3 2-0 115 148	10/16 at Memphis 17-35 L 128 93 123 21 94 18 2 10/23 Cincinnati 128 101 126 24 3 23
1-5 0-2 117	10/30 at Tulane	80 114 91 #35	Rush Def #55	3-4 2-1 119	10/30 at Tulsa 39 68 128
3-4 2-1 119 1-5 0-2 112	11/6 Tulsa 11/13 at USF	39 68 128 #12 112 124 85 #11	Pass Def #72 Total Def #58	5-1 0-0 137	11/6 at Notre Dame 85 10 37 11/13 Bye
6-0 2-0 128 3-3 1-1 125	11/20 SMU 11/27 at East Carolina	12 98 60 #3 55 84 47 #71	Score Def #108 Sks By #124	3-3 1-1 125 3-3 1-1 109	11/20 East Carolina 55 84 47 11/27 at Temple 97 100 111
3 3 11 123	11/17 de Last caronna	#9	Ypg Diff #114	33 11 103	12/4 Bye
		+9	Total TO's +2		
Net Score Yds FD TO's	Offense Comp Yds FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sk	Defense Comp Yds FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks		Net Score Yds FD TO's	Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks
Miami, OH 49-14 264 10 -3	27 31-247 8.0 20-26 295 2	17 45-169 3.8 9-28 109 2		Marshall 7-49 -66 1 1	24 76-337 4.4 5-16 61 9 23 30-101 3.4 21-33 363 1
	17 32-130 4.1 15-25 261 2 20 36-118 3.3 20-36 210 1	20 37-152 4.1 17-40 224 1	B	Air Force 3-23 -157 -8 -1 Bye	6 34-36 1.1 3-10 32 5 14 59-176 3.0 3-10 49 1
Bye at Notre Dame 24-13 49 -3 1	16 30-93 3.1 19-32 297 1	19 28-84 3.0 23-46 257 2	ats	Houston 20-28 -89 -5 -1 UCF 34-30 80 9 0	15 52-190 3.7 4-8 98 2 20 34-120 3.5 22-31 257 2 26 76-348 4.6 2-4 58 1 17 29-148 5.1 16-27 178 0
	23 33-279 8.5 23-31 263 1	14 29-84 2.9 18-32 150 6	nati Bearcats & TEAM AVERAGES Midshipmen	SMU 24-31 -163 -10 2	12 53-177 3.3 4-7 64 3 22 29-80 2.8 30-40 324 1
UCF 56-21 180 9 2 at Navy	23 42-336 8.0 13-23 140 1	14 37-155 4.2 16-27 141 1	Pig A A at	t Memphis 17-35 -174 2 1 Cincinnati	17 65-198 3.0 4-6 43 4 15 34-200 5.9 8-12 215 0
at Tulane		-	it Ei it	t Tulsa	
Tulsa at USF			<u> </u>	t Notre Dame Bye	
SMU at East Carolina			Cincinnati ADERS & TEA Navy Mids	East Carolina t Temple	
at East Caronila		5	Cincin LEADERS Navy	Bye	
		 	"		
	21 34-201 5.9 63.6% 244 4.6 23 38-308 8.2 66.7% 202 3.7			Average 18-33 -94.8 -1.8 0.3 Conference 24-31 -86.5 -1.0 0.5	
Conference 54-12 244.0 9.0 2.5 Away 31-19 0.5 -1.5 1.5	23 38-308 8.2 66.7% 202 3.7 18 33-106 3.2 57.4% 254 2.9	6 14 33-120 3.6 57.6% 146 11.9% 6 20 33-118 3.6 46.5% 241 3.5%			18 62-228 3.7 56.0% 66 40.0% 19 32-137 4.3 69.1% 244 2.7% 17 60-225 3.8 37.8% 54 48.6% 19 37-126 3.4 63.6% 229 2.7%
		Cincin	Position by Position Edges innati Navy		
		1.14	Cincinnati QB/WR		
			Navy DB's Cincinnati RB's		
		0.43	vs.		
			Navy LB's Cincinnati OL		
		1.11	vs.		
			Navy DL Cincinnati DL		
		2.75	vs. Navy OL		
			Cincinnati LB'S		
		2.44	vs. Navy RB's		
		4.83	Cincinnati DB's		
		4.03	vs. Navy QB/WR		
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Pl 2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush C	hilSteele.com) Comp-Att-Yds TO's	Projected Box Score	Cincinnati ST's vs.	Category Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush Concinnati13.5 42 22 41-262	14-20-189	7.18		as Vegas Line Cincinnati By 28	Will be their 3rd meeting as AAC foes. First met as conference foes in '17 when Navy won 42-32 at
Navy - 48.5 0 13 52-124	3-4-47 -1	Projected Rushing	Cincinnati K	Circumati by 20	home & then the next year in '18 Cincy held Navy to 171 yds and shut them out 42-0 (451-171) at home. "We played Navy a few times," Fickell said. "Obviously, they're still different. They do
	Comp-Att-Yds TO's 156 yards	Cincinnati Navy 213 yards		as Vegas Total 49 Points	some uniquely different things. But, all in all, you've at least played it a few times. You've seen
Cincinnati - 52.5 32 22 23-58	28-46-381	Projected Passing	Navy K	49 POIILS	what not to do and what did work." Last year Cincy held Army to 276 total yards and 10 points at home. Navy is 12-8-1 ATS as a HD. Cincy opened the year 5-0 SU and 4-0 ATS vs FBS foes with
Navy11 42 31 72-569	Ę.	Cincinnati Navy 9 yards		/- Ratings Cincinnati By 28.5	road wins over Indy and Notre Dame. Last week for the first time in school history Cincy scored 50+ in consecutive games. A partially blocked punt led to a 38 yd TD drive to lead 7-0 and RB Ford
11 42 31 /2-369	2-3-53 0 5 197 yards	Projected Yardage Total	vs. 0.05	Cincinnati by 26.5	had a 79 yd TD run to make it 28-0 and it was 35-7 at half with 314-99 yd edge. UCF without QB Gabriel, RB Harvey and Bowser and WR Robinson. Cincy got a 74 yd IR TD to lead 42-7 and rolled
	9 9 353 yards	Cincinnati Navy 223 yards		ame Grade Cincinnati By 19.6	56-21 at home. Navy got off to a slow start with two blowout losses and fired their long time OC.
	Tape 353 yards	Projected Final Score	Cincinnati Pts	Cincinnati By 19.6	They covered vs Houston and SMU and upset UCF. Last week Memphis opened with a 75/7 TD and Navy then went on a 75/21/11:50 drive for a TD to tie it 7-7. Mem got a 69 yd run by Austin on
	39 points	Cincinnati Navv 9 points 19.88	Co	omputer Yards Cincinnati 353-223	next play. Navy had two TD call back on pen's and settle for FG 14-10 then Memphis 74 yd TD pass and 63/12 TD led 28-10 H. Navy open 2H with 75/14/6:51 TD 28-17. Mem 72/5 TD Navy punt
	39 points	Experience Rankings	Navy Pts	Cincinnati 353-223	then 42/15/8:31 miss 55 yd FG in 35-17 Memphis win with 415-241 yd edge but Navy 17-16 FD. Navy is holding foes to 105 ypg below their season average but Cincy is gaining 117 ypg above
	95	Cincinnati Navy 103	Co	omputer Points Cincinnati 39-9	what opp's allow. Cincy is avg 44 ppg and Navy is allowing 33 ppg. Cincy has a soft schedule down
	95	Team Schedule Strength	OSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Circiniati 39-9	the stretch and needs impressive wins and have the defense and the knowledge to stuff the option.
	82	Cincinnati Navy 15	Cincinnati	hil Steele Cincinnati by 39	
	82	Cincilliau Navy 13		Cincinnati by 39	Cincinnati 45 Navy 6
			By 19.13 Points		

	OVERALL: OVERALL:	
NC State Wolfpack Wolfpack Logs	5-1 2-4 vs. ACC vs. ACC	Miami, FI Hurricanes Hurricanes Logs
THE FOR THE FO	2-0 0-2 NCAA RANKINGS	FOE TM FOE THE
0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 129 116 9/4 USF 45-0 W 38 13 26 108 108 85	NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 133 158 14 1 9/4 † Alabama 13-44 L 5 17 31 8 1 1
1-0 1-0 0-0 0-0 133 128 9/11 at Miss St 10-24 L 30 12 40 46 30 96 1-1 0-0 129 9/18 Furman 45-7 W 41 9 105	NC State Miami, FI	0-1 1-0 0-0 0-0 131 128 22 9/11 Appalachian St 25-23 W 8 27 27 26 22 29 1-1 2-0 0-0 1-0 131 128 24 9/18 Michigan St 17-38 L 13 31 49 35 84 113
2-1 2-1 0-0 1-0 131 139 9 9/25 Clemson 27-21 W 35 11 82 48 4 94 3-1 2-2 1-0 1-0 134 113 23 10/2 Louisiana Tech 34-27 W 44 10 92 101 98 108	#66 Rush Off #73 #42 Pass Off #26	3 1-2 0-0 126 9/25 Central Conn St 69-0 W 57 50 43
4-1 1-0 123 23 10/9 Bye 35 17 83	#51 Total Off #45	5 2-3 0-1 128 10/9 Bye 32 30 50
4-1 4-1 1-0 0-1 123 128 22 10/16 at Boston College 33-7 W 34 17 90 49 77 15 5-1 2-4 2-0 0-2 136 128 18 10/23 at Miami, FI 32 13 77 40 27 62	#38 Score Off #38 #38 Sks Allowed #105	5 2-4 5-1 0-2 2-0 128 136 18 10/23 NC State 40 27 62 32 13 77
3-3 1-2 128 10/30 Louisville 49 75 35 2-4 2-2 127 11/6 at Florida St 43 53 64	#11 Rush Def #71 #34 Pass Def #79	
6-0 4-0 134 11/13 at Wake Forest 42 74 6 3-4 0-3 126 11/20 Syracuse 63 73 82	#12 Total Def #73 #6 Score Def #92	3 2-4 2-2 127 11/13 at Florida St 43 53 64
4-3 3-3 131 11/27 North Carolina 21 57 72	#101 Sks By #51 #14 Ypg Diff #55	1 3-4 0-3 111 11/27 at Duke 26 86 56
	#14 Ypg Diff #55 +3 Total TO's -5	
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds		Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks USF 45-0 254 12 2 27 40-293 7.3 17-26 232 1 15 32-104 3.3 14-33 167 0		Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks † Alabama 13-44 -235 -10 -2 18 31-87 2.8 23-31 179 4 28 38-147 3.9 28-39 354 1
at Miss St 10-24 19 1 -3 16 25-32 1.3 30-51 303 4 15 15-22 1.5 33-49 294 1 Furman 45-7 309 16 0 25 31-218 7.0 28-37 287 0 9 32-92 2.9 10-21 104 1		Appalachian St 25-23 49 6 1 25 43-175 4.1 20-33 200 2 19 39-127 3.3 21-34 199 1 Michigan St 17-38 -14 6 0 29 24-52 2.2 38-60 388 3 23 41-193 4.7 18-31 261 3
Clemson 27-21 172 21 0 31 51-148 2.9 32-45 238 3 10 23-103 4.5 12-26 111 2	유 등	Central Conn St 69-0 541 20 1 31 45-322 7.2 21-25 417 3 11 26-29 1.1 19-37 169 3
Louisiana Tech 34-27 -62 -3 2 23 31-167 5.4 22-36 251 1 26 35-139 4.0 26-44 341 3 Bye	Wolfpack rerages Hurricane	Virginia 28-30 -77 -5 1 18 40-169 4.2 15-29 203 4 23 35-181 5.2 25-44 268 3 Bye
at Boston College 33-7 90 -1 2 16 34-130 3.8 16-24 251 1 17 30-97 3.2 21-39 194 3 at Miami, FI	lolf Page June	at North Carolina 42-45 39 7 -2 30 34-157 4.6 20-45 264 3 23 48-228 4.8 17-26 154 4 NC State
Louisyille at Florida St	e Wolfpa Averages	at Pittsburgh Georgia Tech
at Wake Forest	State TEAM AV	at Florida St
Syracuse North Carolina	NC State Wolfpack TEAM AVERAGES Miami, FI Hurricanes	Virginia Tech at Duke
	Miar	
Average 32-14 130.3 7.7 0.5 23 35-165 4.7 66.2% 260 4.6% 15 28-93 3.3 54.7% 202 4.7%]	Average 32-30 50.5 4.0 -0.8 25 36-160 4.4 61.4% 275 8.5% 21 38-151 4.0 60.7% 234 7.1%
Conference 30-14 331.0 10.0 1.0 24 43-139 3.3 69.6% 245 5.8% 14 27-100 3.8 50.8% 153 7.7% Away 22-16 54.5 0.0 -0.5 16 30-81 2.7 61.3% 277 6.7% 16 23-60 2.6 61.4% 244 4.5%		Conference 35-38 1-9.0 1.0 -0.5 24 37-163 4.4 47.3% 234 9.5% 23 42-205 4.9 60.0% 211 10.0% Home 35-23 124.8 6.8 -0.3 26 38-180 4.7 63.9% 302 8.2% 19 35-133 3.8 56.8% 224 6.8%
AWMY 22-10 34.3 0.0 -0.3 10 30-01 2.7 01.370 2/7 0.770 10 23-00 2.0 01.470 244 4.370	Position by Position Edges	Tillie 35°23 124.0 0.0 °U.3 20 30°100 4.7 03.970 302 0.270 19 35°133 3.0 30.070 224 0.070
	NC State Miami, FI NC State QB/WR	
	vs. 0.96 Miami, FI DB's	
	NC State RB's vs. 0.62	
	Miami, FI LB's NC State OL	
	0.02 vs. Miami, FI DL	
	NC State DL	
	0.68 vs. Miami, FI OL	
	NC State LB'S 1.34 vs.	
	Miami, FI RB's NC State DB's	
	1.15 vs. Miami, Fl QB/WR	
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Box Score	NC State ST's	Category Team & Margin Phil's Forecast:
2020 Kank Line Score FD Rush Compacted S 10's	0.74 Vs.	Las Vegas Line Miami is 22-7 SU the L5Y at home including 13-5 vs ACC foes. Miami leads al-time series 10-5 and
NC State - 58.5 41 24 34-131 20-29-279	Miami, FI ST's	Canes have won 3 straight meetings. Last time here was in 2012, Miami led 23-7 1Q. Canes got 62 yd TD pass with :19 left & won 44-37. The teams combined for 1,315 yds. Last year tied at 31,
Mami, Fl	NC State K	NCSt got a 100 yd KR TD by Knight and after a 53 yd FG led 41-31 early 4Q. Miami (down 11 players incl LT and TE) got 38 & 22 yd FG's then QB King (31-41-430) hit a 54 yd pass to Harley
2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 141 yards NC State Miami, FI 149 yards NC State - 51 13 18 29-119 20-44-210 Froiected Passing	1.00 vs. Miami, FI K	with 2:34 left. Miami end game at NCSt 10 in 44-41 win (-10). Miami opened on a poor note going 2-3 with upset losses to Mich St and Virginia at home. They did miss a chip shot game winning FG
te de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de	NC State Coaches	2-3 with update visites to Pinci S and uriginal actionies. They unlies a Lipi Situ gaine winning to 4-4 for the first time s/1997. 1 Tyler Van Dyke in his first road start hit 5-15 in 14 and 20-45-264 in 24 NC led 31-17 at half with
Projected Yardage Total	0.80 vs.	18-10 FD edge as Miami had a 33 yd IR TD. Miami first 3 2H drives TD, FG, TD 38-34 then SOD 42
G 347 yards NC State Miami, FI 409 yards	Miami, Fl Coaches	Game Grade 48.3 and NC 58/8 TD. Miami punt then 97/12 TD 3:08 left force punt 56/9 to 16 3&4 pass hit at line and intercept. Miami 30-23 FD edge game. NCSt lost at Miss St in a game they trailed 24-3 last
347 yards NC State Miami, Fl 409 yards Projected Final Score 28 points NC State Miami, Fl 27 points	NC State Pts	4Q but did upset Clemson with a 386-214 yd edge at home. They got an int in the EZ to stop Louis Tech in a 7-point home win. Last week the 1H yds were 172-172 but BC had a TD pass knocked
28 points NC State Miami, FI 27 points	5.73 vs. 1.58	but they let the play stand as an incompletion so NCS1 got a 27 ya 10 to lead 10-7 ii. bc 31
Experience Rankings	Miami, FI Pts	dropped the ball and NCST returned it for a TD then after a pass off the WR's hand was int NCST went 61/7 for TD. A pass intended for TE was snagged by WR Thomas on broken play and he went
44 NC State Miami, FI 8	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	79 yds for TD 31-7 in 33-7 Wolfpack road win. Miami has taken on the tougher schedule (#33 vs #90). My computer has Miami winning outright with a 408-346 yd edge and I agree.
Team Schedule Strength	NC State	Phil Steele
90 NC State Miami, FI 32		Miami, FL 30 NC State 27
	By 4.15 Points	, . 2 22 112 3131 2.

	OVERALL: OVERALL:	
Wake Forest Demon Deacons	6-0 4-2	Army Black Knights
Demon Deacons Logs	vs. ACC vs. IND 4-0 1-0	Black Knights Logs TEAMPS RANK FOE
TM REC FOERCE TM FOE TM +/- FOE+/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 132 101 9/4 Old Dominion 42-10 W 39 63 65 125 124 93	NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKINGS	THERE REC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE+/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST
1-0 0-0 132 9/11 Norfolk St 41-16 W 48 62 65	Wake Forest Army	1-0 1-0 0-0 0-0 125 116 9/11 WKU 38-35 W 104 79 14 103 68 32
2-0 0-2 0-0 0-0 128 122 9/18 Florida St 35-14 W 60 56 4 27 35 73 3-0 2-1 1-0 0-1 130 133 9/25 at Virginia 37-17 W 54 62 15 47 95 92	#55 Rush Off #2	2-0 0-3 0-0 0-0 124 91 9/18 Connecticut 52-21 W 100 79 22 129 129 125 3-0 1-2 1-0 0-0 123 116 9/25 Miami, OH 23-10 W 42 71 44 106 110 36
4-0 3-1 2-0 1-0 135 128 24 10/2 Louisville 37-34 W 48 42 19 71 84 58	#31 Pass Off #128	4-0 1-3 1-0 0-1 123 116 10/2 at Ball St 16-28 L 40 53 29 122 109 45
5-0 3-2 3-0 0-1 134 126 19 10/9 at Syracuse 40-37 W 36 64 21 59 81 52 6-0 4-0 134 16 10/16 Bye 39 73 9	#38 Total Off #88 #12 Score Off #46	4-1 2-3 1-0 1-2 119 135 10/16 at Wisconsin 14-20 L 73 40 57 65 5 95
6-0 4-2 4-0 1-0 134 121 16 10/23 at Army West Point 42 74 6 86 48 70 3-4 0-3 111 10/30 Duke 26 86 56	#27 Sks Allowed #2 #91 Rush Def #4	4-2 6-0 1-0 4-0 121 134 16 10/23 Wake Forest 86 48 70 42 74 6 10/30 Bye
4-3 3-3 131 11/6 at North Carolina 21 57 72	#88 Pass Def #38	6-1 3-1 129 11/6 † Air Force 71 65 125
5-1 2-0 136 11/13 NC State 32 13 77 4-2 3-1 135 11/20 at Clemson 66 6 90	#93 Total Def #6 #43 Score Def #39	11/13 Bucknell 1-5 1-0 95 11/20 UMass 124 129 123
4-2 0-2 124 11/27 at Boston College 61 83 33	#25 Sks By #71 #64 Ypg Diff #35	5-2 0-0 131 11/27 at Liberty 78 39 105 12/4 Bye
	+8 Total TO's +2	1-5 1-3 115 12/11 † Navy 128 101 126
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds		Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks Old Dominion 42-10 80 3 1 20 41-164 4.0 18-29 188 2 17 39-145 3.7 18-38 127 2	v	Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks at Georgia St 43-10 179 6 2 20 67-258 3.9 3-4 98 0 14 28-48 1.7 12-20 129 3
Norfolk St 41-16 77 3 0 22 31-161 5.2 18-29 252 0 19 39-141 3.6 19-31 195 3	cons	WKU 38-35 -61 1 0 23 67-339 5.1 5-6 77 1 22 19-42 2.2 28-40 435 3
Florida St 35-14 167 11 4 27 58-225 3.9 22-31 259 3 16 29-91 3.1 16-22 226 3 at Virginia 37-17 -33 -7 2 24 45-203 4.5 17-29 270 0 31 27-99 3.7 33-59 407 6	Deac s ghts	Connecticut 52-21 279 15 1 24 67-397 5.9 3-3 107 0 9 19-116 6.1 11-26 109 2 Miami, OH 23-10 152 3 1 16 63-384 6.1 0-5 0 0 13 20-28 1.4 12-27 204 2
Louisville 37-34 -21 1 1 26 44-177 4.0 23-40 324 2 25 43-213 5.0 19-27 309 2 at Syracuse 40-37 -88 -12 0 21 37-96 2.6 19-32 330 2 33 58-354 6.1 15-27 160 2	ist Demon Dea am AVERAGES Black Knights	at Ball St 16-28 10 6 -2 18 61-213 3.5 5-15 66 0 12 26-36 1.4 17-28 233 2
Bye	non Agi	Bye at Wisconsin 14-20 -44 -5 0 13 50-179 3.6 5-6 87 1 18 43-198 4.6 8-15 112 1
at Army West Point Duke	Demon I AVERAGES ack Knig	Wake Forest Bye
at North Carolina	t D	† Air Force
NC State at Clemson	rest	Bucknell UMass
at Boston College	e Fore:	at Liberty at Liberty
	é A	Bye † Navy
Average 39-21 30.3 -0.2 1.3 23 43-171 4.0 61.6% 271 4.7% 24 39-174 4.4 58.8% 237 8.8%	Wake	Average 31-21 85.8 4.3 0.3 19 63-295 4.7 53.8% 73 5.1% 15 26-78 3.0 56.4% 204 8.3%
Conference 37-26 6.3 -1.8 1.8 25 46-175 3.8 61.4% 296 5.3% 26 39-189 4.8 61.5% 276 9.6%	>	Conference 14-20 -44.0 -5.0 0.0 13 50-179 3.6 83.3% 87 16.7% 18 43-198 4.6 53.3% 112 6.7%
Away 39-27 -60.5 -9.5 1.0 23 41-150 3.6 59.0% 300 3.3% 32 43-227 5.3 55.8% 284 9.3%	Position by Position Edges	Home 38-22 123.3 6.3 0.7 21 66-373 5.7 57.1% 61 7.1% 15 19-62 3.2 54.8% 249 7.5%
	Wake Forest QB/WR	
	0.17 vs.	
	Army DB's Wake Forest RB's	
	vs. 0.94 Army LB's	
	Wake Forest OL	
	vs. 0.48 Army DL	
	Wake Forest DL vs. 0.24	
	vs. 0.24 Army OL	
	Wake Forest LB'S 0.15 vs.	
	Army RB's	
	Wake Forest DB's 0.86 vs.	
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)	Army QB/WR Wake Forest ST's	Category Team & Margin Phil's Forecast:
2016 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's	3.25 Vs.	
Wake Forest6.5 13 17 23-123 23-43-220	Army ST's	Las Vegas Line Wake Forest By 3
Army West Point - 41.5 21 21 64-238 6-9-145 1 Projected Rushing	Wake Forest K	The last meeting was in 2015 when WF won 17-14. WF is 19-5 against non-conf foes and have
85 yards Wake Forest Army 322 yards	vs. 0.80	Las Vegas Total won their last 4 traveling to G5 foes. WF has a big edge on offense, but Army has the edge on defense & ST's. The Demon Deacons have had plenty of time to prep for the option coming off a
Projected Passing	Army K	bye and Army comes off a physical game at Wisconsin. Wake opened the year 6-0 SU and 3-3 ATS only beating Louisville and Syracuse by 3 each. They are allowing 174 (4.4) on the ground and the
229 yards Wake Forest Army 80 yards	Wake Forest Coaches	+/- Ratings D is allowing foes 1 ypg above their season average. WF has a balanced offense and QB Sam Hartman is hitting 63% with a 14-3 ratio. Army opened up a perfect 4-0 SU but lost at Ball St 28-
229 yards Wake Forest Army 80 yards Projected Yardage Total 23 314 yards Wake Forest Army 401 yards Projected Final Score 3 31 points Wake Forest Army 25 points	vs. 0.80	16 to fall to 2-3 ATS. Last week Wisconsin took its 3rd drive 95/12/6:04 for TD then went
314 yards Wake Forest Army 401 yards	Army Coaches	Game Grade 81/10/6:14 on next and led 13-0 H with 12-2 FD and 230-48 yd edge. Army miss 43 yd FG then took 3rd 2H drive 54/8 TD 13-7. Army sack and fumble and UW 1/1 QB sneak TD 2:43 left 20-7
Projected Final Score	Wake Forest Pts	but Howard great catch on 36 yd pass and Army 77/7 TD :38 left back door cover. Army is playing to an AGG of 104.2 and Wake is at 102.0. Army is 27-2 SU at home the last 5 years but have
S 31 points Wake Forest Army 25 points	4.44 vs. 3.26	Computer Yards hosted just one P5 team (beat Duke by 5) in that span. I like the Demon Deacons to stay undefeated, Army plaved last weeks game in a phone booth vs a similar run oriented offense and
Experience Rankings	Army Pts	this week take on a much more explosive offense with a defense that has extra time to prep for
27 Wake Forest Army 54		Computer Points the option. Wake Forest 31-25
Team Schedule Strength	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	
91 Wake Forest Army 80	Wake Forest	Phil Steele Wake Forest by 7
	Du 1 10 Delete	Best Bet: ★ Wake Forest 31 Army 24
	By 1.18 Points	

								nple O										OVER 3-	3	OVERALL: 1-5									SF Bu									
							(Owls Logs				ΤFΔ		PS R	****	FO	_	vs. A		vs. AAC						ΔΙ			Bulls Lo	gs				TFAM		S RANK		FOE
TM REC FOE REC	CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	тм *	FOE	DATE	FOI	E	SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST ST	OFF	DEF	ST	1-	NCAA RANKING	0-2 is	TM REC R	DE 1	TM FOE ONF CONF	F TM +/	- FOE +/-	TM	FOE	DATE	F	OE	sco	RE W/	L O	FF DE			DEF	ST
0-0 0-0	0-0		112	128				at Rutgers		14-61	L	106	111	118	99	49	5 64		2021 TEAM RANKI	NGS				116					t NC Stat	e	0-4	45 L		08 10			13	26
0-1 0-1 1-1 2-0	0-0		108 110	96 125			9/11 a 9/18	at Akron Boston C	ollege	45-24 3-28	W L	110 112	111	122 129	37	125 86	11	Tem	ple	USF	0-1 1	-0 (0-0 0-0	112 111	135		13	9/11	Florida Florida	A&M	38-			09 11 16 11		8 33 6	24	61
1-2 2-2 3-1	0-0		108 107	125			9/25	Wagner		41-7	W	110	77 78	128	19	76		#99 #82	Rush Off Pass Off	#83			0-0 0-0				15		t BYU		27-			16 12 12 12			88	25
2-2 3-1 3-2 4-0	0-0 1-0		107	145			10/2 10/9 a	Memphis at Cincinnat	i	34-31 3-52	L	103 92		115 112	52	4	80	#82 #106	Total Off	#106 #114		-0 (0-0 0-0 0-1	113 112				10/2 a	t SMU Bye		17-	41 L		12 12 13 12			92	105
3-3	1-1		109				10/16	Bye				102	91	113				#100	Score Off	#107			0-1 1-1	112				10/16	Tulsa		31-	32 L		12 12	3 11	5 47	71	126
3-3 1-5 3-3	1-1	1-2	109	112 123			10/23 a 10/30					97	100	111	29	124 95	85 114	#78 #101	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#27 #126		-3 (-3	0-2 1-1 1-1		109 125			10/23 10/30 a	Temple at East Ca				1	12 12	4 85	97 55		111 47
3-3		1-1		125				at East Card	lina						55	84	47	#9	Pass Def	#112		-1	3-0	1	129			11/6	Houston							72		13
5-1 3-4		3-0 2-1		129 119			11/13 11/20 a	Houston at Tulsa							72 39	25 68	13 128	# 48 #109	Total Def Score Def	#128 #119		-0 -5	2-0 0-2		148 117			11/13 11/20 a	Cincinn at Tulane	ati						24 80		23 91
1-5		1-3		115			11/27	Navy							128	101	126	#110	Sks By	#128	3	-3	1-2		123			11/26 a	t UCF							29	95	114
																		#85 -3	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#127 +1																		
Sc	ore		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks					Score		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp			s F	Defe D RA-		Com _l		Sks
at Rutgers			-104	-9	-5	12	36-113	3.1	8-27	148	3	21 !	51-220	4.3	16-29	145	0				at NC State		0-4	45 -254	-12	-2	15	32-104	3.3	14-33	3 16	57 0	2	7 40-2	93 7.3	3 17-2	5 232	1
at Akron Boston Colleg		45-24 3-28		-7 0	2	19 13	34-177 36-78	5.2 2.2	19-23 17-24	245 161	0 4		43-171 35-187		19-34 5-13	191 34	3				Florida Florida A	&M	20-4		-6 -2	1 0	20 18	41-95 46-241	2.3 5.2	17-30 12-26				0 29-		3 17-2 5 25-4		1
Wagner		41-7	248	14	0	22	29-115	4.0	22-33	292	2	8 4	48-123	2.6	4-9	36	2				at BYU		27-3	35 -76	2	0	23	48-181	3.8	17-24	4 18	36 1	2	25-:	38 5.5	5 20-2	5 305	0
Memphis at Cincinnati		34-31 3-52		-2 -9	-3		33-157 29-84	4.8 2.9	36-50 18-32	322 150	6				24-40	305 263	3	<u> </u>	2 2		at SMU Bye		17-4	41 -127	-11	0	19	37-113	3.1	14-22	2 22	23 2	3	80 40-	.62 4.1	1 29-4	2 301	0
Bye		J J.	300			- 1	25 01	2.5	10 31	150		23 .	JJ 273	0.5	23 31	203		2	AG	<u>=</u>	Tulsa		31-3	32 -267	-18	2	13	31-113	3.6	11-26	6 15	5 3	3	81 49-2	269 5.5	5 22-3	9 266	0
at USF UCF																		٥	AVERAGE	Bulls	Temple at East Card	olina																
at East Carolina																		5	1 4	USF	Houston																	
Houston at Tulsa																		emule	TEAM	š	Cincinnat at Tulane	i																
Navy																		-	- =		at UCF																	
																																	_					
Average Conference			-11.2	-2.2 -5.5	-0.5 -0.5		33-121 31-121	3.7	63.5% 65.9%	220 236	7.9%		41-189 36-217			162 284	5.8%				Averag Confer				5 -7.8 0 -14.5			39-141 34-113	3.6	52.8% 52.1%		30 5.69 39 10.4			226 6.0 216 4.8	63.19		0.0%
Away		21-46	-117.3	-8.3	-2.0	15	33-125	3.8	54.9%		11.0%	23	12-223	5.3	61.7%	200					Home		30-3	30 -208.	7 -8.7	1.0	17	39-150	3.8	48.8%	% 16	9 7.39	% 2	6 41-2	255 6.3	56.69	6 272	
																		Tem	Position by Position E	Edges USF	4																	
																			Temple QB/WR		1																	
																		0.27	vs. USF DB's																			
																			Temple RB's		1																	
																			vs. USF LB's	0.01																		
																			Temple OL		1																	
																		1.45	vs. USF DL																			
																			Temple DL		1																	
																			vs. USF OL	0.10																		
																			Temple LB'S		1																	
																			vs. USF RB's	0.05																		
1																			Temple DB's		1																	
1																		1.48	vs. USF QB/WR																			
Last Fi	e Years	s Meeti	ngs (La	st 22 y	ears at	PhilSte	ele.com	ı)				Duni-	-t- d -	n ^					Temple ST's		Cate	egory		Team	& Margin							Phil's Fo	orecast:					
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's				Proje	ctea E	oox S	core				vs.	1.10	Las Vegas L	ine																
Temple	-	-13	39	32	43-146	30-42													USF ST's			-		Usf	By 2.5		Temple the 2nd	has won	5 of the la the seaso	st 7 mee	etings ag	gainst USI	F dating	g back to	2012. La	st year's er their he	meeting	was just le
South Florida	-	54	37	21	44-143	16-29	9-181	-1				Pro	jected	Rushir	ng				Temple K		Las Vegas T	otal					jumped	out to a :	10-0 lead ed an onsi	1Q but th	hen USF	scored T	D's on	3 of their	next 5 c	Irives to	jo up 21-	17 late
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's	nts	153 y	ards	Tem		US		210 y	ards	0.70	vs.					55.5	5 Points		then had	a FG blo	cked to e	nd the ha	nalf (212	-182 Tem	ple). T	o start th	e 2H Ten	nple got a	FG, then	1 USF
Temple	-	-1.5	17	16	39-153	17-23			nter			Pro	ojected	Passin	ıg				USF K		+/- Ratings						TD (2-pt	NG) and	TD then a	ne 4Q Ter	emple to	ok the lea	ad 32-3	1 after a	FR TD (2	-pt). Tem	ple would	d extend
South Florida	-	66	7	20	37-61	22-38	3-225	-1	l Co	221 y	ards	Tem	ple	US	SF	145 y	ards		Temple Coache	es	,ngs			Usf	By 6.5		their lea	d 39-31 a e and US	ofter an 8 F fell 39-3	1/11 driv 37 (416-4	ve, but U 424 yd e	ISF 72/12 dge for T	TD dri	ve but m). The Ov	issed a 2 Is are of	-pt try th f a bye w	at would I hile USF i	have tied is at
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's	le of			Proje	cted Ya					0.80	vs.		Game Grade						home a	gain after	facing Tu lemphis.	Isa here	last we	ek. Rod C	Carey is	15-9 AT	S as an A	AD. Temp	le is 3-3 i	including
Temple	-	-13.5	27	18	40-73	20-35			Тар	373 y	ards	Tem		US		355 y	ards		USF Coaches					Usf	By 2.1		(61%, 5	-2) but th	ne Owls av	/g 48 ypg	g below	what thei	ir opp's	allow. U	SF opene	d 1-4 SU	and 2-3	ATS and
South Florida	-	62	17	15	48-184	14-2		-3	rn to			-	ected Fi						Temple Pts		Computer Y	ards					TD and	actually t	ad a 19-6	20. USF v	went 81,	/10 for TE	D to lea	d 31-20 a	after 3Q.	Tulsa we	nt 99/10	for a TD
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's	ğ	28 p	oints	Tem		US		35 pc	oints	4.70	vs.	1.26				Templ	e 373-355	5	with:47	to win 32	4&1 at ov 2-31 with	31-13 FD	2 and 53	5-268 yd	edges.	USF has	a weak	defensive	front 7 a	nd is
Temple	-	63	7	6	334	7-25			Re				erience					\sqcup	USF Pts		Computer P	oints					allowing defensiv	226 ypg e edge a	& 6.0 ypc nd is play	rush but ing to an	it Temple n AGG of	e's top RE 84.6 whi	3 is Edv ile USF	ward Say is at just	dee (191 81.7. US	, 3.9). Te SF did alm	mple has ost beat	the Tulsa
South Florida	21	-19.5	43	22	63-312	8-20		4		10	18	Tem		US		78	В	POS	SITIONAL EDGE AWAF	RDED TO:				Usi	35-28				t week an									
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's					Schedu		-				Temple		Phil Steele																	
Temple	-	60	46	26	51-319	14-21				10	17	Tem	ple	US	SF	53	3							Us	of by 6						USF	34 T	emr	ole 2	8			
South Florida	-	-6.5	30	18	33-165	11-20)-187	-1											By 3.44 Point	ts											J J.	- '	~F					

						E Mic	higan	Eagle	s								OVEI 4		OVERALL: 2-5							Во			en Fal	lcon	s						
							Eagles L	ogs			1						vs.		vs. MAC									Falcons	Logs								
TM REC FOE REC TM CONF	FOE F CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	тм '	AP FOE	DATE		FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF	AM DEF	PS R ST	OFF	DEF	DE ST	1	NCAA RANKII	0-3	TM REC	FOE REC	TM FO	OE T	M +/- FOE +	/- TM	AP FOE	DATE		FOE	sc	ORE V	W/L	TEAM OFF	DEF	PS RANK		FOE DEF ST
0-0		117				9/4	St Fra	ncis Pa	35-15	W	107	118	29					2021 TEAM RAN		0-0				84 120)		9/4	at Tenne							115		65 33
1-0 0-1 0-0 1-1 0-2 0-0		120	139		18	- /	at Wiscon		7-34	L	105	114	89	29	20	106	E Mic	higan	Bowling Green	0-1		0-0 0	1-0	85 111 87			9/11		Alabama						55 1	21 9	96 72
1-1 0-2 0-0 2-1 1-2 0-0		119 118	95 108			9/18	at UMass Texas		42-28 59-21	W	113 112	116 116	101	127 114	130 108	128 62	#109	Rush Off	#128	0-2 1-2)-1	92 135	5		9/18 9/25	Murra at Minne			7-10 1-10		123 123		28 19	i9 (60 75
3-1 2-2 0-0			114			10/2	at Northe		20-27	L	97	110	103	81	122	109	#55	Pass Off	#65	2-2				97 121				at Kent 9					127	85	24 6		107 120
3-2 2-3 0-1 4-2 3-3 1-1		119 119	117			10/9	Miami, Ball St		13-12 31-38	W	103 111	105 101	98 86	106 124	109 95	63 21	#92 #57	Total Off Score Off	#121 #117	2-3 2-4)-1 !-0	101 94 96 120			10/9 10/16	Akror at North	ı ern Illinois		0-35 5-34			78 84	18 1		127 129 116 106
4-3 2-5 1-2	0-3		99			10/23	at Bowlin	g Green			108	107	1	126	90	12	#111	Sks Allowe	d #111	2-5	4-3	0-3 1	2	99 119)		10/23	Easte	rn Michiga				126		12 1	08 1	107 1
3-4 1-6	1-2 1-2		118 109			11/2	at Toledo							91 98	42 120	53 84	#104 #39	Rush Def Pass Def	#108 #2		3-4	1	2	113	3		10/30 11/6	at Buffal Bve	0						9	3 7	78 98
5-2	2-1		122			11/16		rn Michigan						60	76	119	#81	Total Def	#40		3-4	1	-2	118	3		11/13	Toled	0						9	1 4	42 53
						11/20	Bye										#67	Score Def	#68		3-4		!-1	116				at Miami	, OH								102 88
4-3	2-1		116			11/2/	at Centra	l Michigan						54	105	73	#59 #97	Sks By Ypa Diff	#42 #99		1-6	1	2	109	,		11/2/	Ohio							,	8 1	120 84
																	-1	Total TO's																			
			Net		_	Offen		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds									Net		_	Offens	•	Com	n V	/ds		De	fense	C	mp Y	fds
Score		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks					Score	,		Yds FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	P	ass :	Sks	FD R	A-RY	YPC A	tt P	ass Sks
St Francis Pa at Wisconsin	35-15 7-34		15 -23	0	27	58-221 18-16		15-22 10-20		2		34-105 55-352	3.1	14-30 16-20	185 166	4	-			at Tenne	ssee Alabama		6-38 9-22	-256 -20 -54 -11		11 10	23-32 19-9	1.4 0.5	25-3 23-3					-331 -114		-24 1 -46 2	144 3 257 2
at UMass	42-28		-4	1	26	42-184		16-24		1		34-206		23-39		1			L S	Murra				138 2	1		43-118		22-2								132 3
Texas St	59-2		15	1	33	44-242		22-35	257	2				16-25	187	4	1	8	Falcons	at Minne:	sota		4-10	-49 0	1	12	25-22	0.9	19-3								59 4
at Northern Illinois Miami, OH	20-27		-4 -3	-1 0	20 16	24-38 33-51		27-40 21-31	338 206	5 6		55-242 29-126	4.4	9-21 16-39	84 259	3	1 7	E S	<u></u>	at Kent S Akron			0-27 0-35	-78 -7 -21 0		18 18	26-55 34-107	2.1 3.1	29-4 21-3					-262 -117			134 0 268 4
Ball St	31-38		-1	-2	28			36-49		3		40-200				0	i i	AGES	<u> </u>	at Northe	ern Illinoi:	s 26		39 -6			28-161		16-4				23 59				38 0
at Bowling Green at Toledo																			9	Easter at Buffalo	rn Michiga	n															
Ohio																	1 3	TEAM AVEF	Green	Bye																	
Western Michigan																	1	ТЕАМ	<u> </u>	Toledo at Miami.																	
Bye at Central Michigan																	3		₽	Ohio	, UH																
																	L	4	Bowling																		
																			Ď																		
Average		-34.9		-0.1		35-114		66.5%	247			41-192				6.7%	Ī				rage		9-25 -			15	28-72	2.5	60.39						4.2 57		
Conference Away		-42.0 -129.3			21 16	28-45 28-79		70.0% 63.1%				41-189 48-267					1			Con	ference ne		2-32 - 2-22				29-108 32-78	3.7 2.4	54.1°		252 8. 262 9.						147 6.6% 219 9.1%
																		Position by Positio																			
																	E Mic	E Michigan QB	Bowling Green /WR																		
																		vs.	1.02																		
																		Bowling Green E Michigan R																			
																		vs.	1.50																		
																		Bowling Green E Michigan (-																	
																	0.54	vs.																			
																		Bowling Gree E Michigan I																			
																	0.27	vs.																			
																		Bowling Green																			
																	2.08	E Michigan Li vs.	3'5																		
																		Bowling Green	RB's																		
																	0.27	E Michigan D	B'S																		
							,											Bowling Green C														_					
Last Five Yea 2017 Rank	Line	ngs (La Score	FD FD	Rush		<u>eele.co</u> -Att-Yds	m) TO's				Proje	ected I	Box S	Score			6.26	E Michigan S	I's		Category			Team & Margi	n							Forecas					
Eastern Michigan -	-13	34		53-266	13-2	27-124											0.20	Bowling Green	ST's	Las Vega	s Line		E	Michigan By	3												cluding 34- ist time here
Bowling Green -	59	31	22	31-209	21-3	34-256	-1				Pr	ojected	Rushii	ng				E Michigan	к	Las Vega	- 7-4-1					('16) El	M (+3) tr	ailed 10-	7 mid-3Q I	but took	k a 21-1	0 lead 8	& held on	for a 28	8-25 outri	ght upse	et. EMich is 4- things have
2016 Rank		Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's	ents	138	yards		higan			136 γ	yards	1.80	vs.		Las vega	rocar			49 Points		been di	ifferent ti	nis year.	BG opene	d 2-4 SI	U but wa	as 5-0 A	ATS as a	dog and	l lost outri	ght as a	a favorite vs
Eastern Michigan -	66	28	24	45-212		30-262		ont				rojected						Bowling Gree		+/- Ratir	ngs					7. Last	week Jay	/ Ducker	(PS#74) h	had 33-2	210 rush	h for NI	U. BG we	nt 36/6	(after fun	1) for 7-	to Kent by O lead, NIU
Bowling Green -	-3	25	29	42-206	23-4	47-250	1	o C	173	yards		higan			220 y	yards		E Michigan Coa	ches	<u> </u>			EM	4ichigan By 1	7.5	went 63	3/13 & 73	3/6 for TE)'s 14-7. B	3G settle	ed 23 yd	f FG and	d NIU 100	yd KR	TD. BG 49	yd FG	:07 NIU 21- BG SOD 48
									210	vards		ected Ya higan			356 \	uard-	1.30	vs. Bowling Green C	nachas	Game Gr	ade		D	rling Green B	w 1 C	fmbl 50	last 2 d	ives did	have 396-	-357 yd	edge. E	astern	opened 4	-2 SU v	s a soft so	hedule	and are 0-3 EM 75/13 TD,
								n to Table	310	yaiuS		nigan jected F i			356)	yarus	-	E Michigan F		 			BOW	ınıng ureen B	y 1.U	Ball 18,	/7 FG (af	ter int). E	M 75/15 T	TD 1:31	Ball mis	ss 39 yc	FG 17-1	4 H. Bal	Il score TI	s first 3	3 2H poss
								÷	29	oints			Bowling		20 p	oints	12.51	e michigan r	2.52	Compute	r Yards		Bowli	ing Green 35	6-310	BG QB	Matt McE	onald wa	s the wors	st FBS (QB last y	year bu	t has imp	roved to	61% wit	h a 6-6	47 :57 left. ratio and
								ā				erience			P			Bowling Green						,		that BG	defense	which al	lowed 6.3	ypc rus	sh last y	ear is a	Illowing ji	st 4.2 y	pc this ye	ar. BG i	is playing to e and EM is
								Rei		5		higan			12	26	P.C	SITIONAL EDGE AV		Compute	r Points		EI	Michigan 29-	20	at 85.8	on the n	oad. BG	does have	a ST ed	dge (#1	1 vs #8	36) but m	y compu	uter is cal	ing for E	EM to win by
											Team	Schedu	ıle Str	ength			70			DLU C						y and t	ney are j	ust a 3 p	oint favori	ite. All o	or BG'S F	røb COV	ers nave	come a	is aogs of	or mo	лe.
									1	19	E Mic	higan	Bowling	Green	10	D4		E Michiga	"	Phil Stee	ie		E	Michigan by	10			aeta	rn Mi	chic	ıan i	3U 0	low!i	na G	- -	20	
																		By 9.99 Poi	nts									asie	1411	cing	jan s	JU 6	JWII	ng e	Ji EEII	20	

East Carolina Pirates		OVERALL: OVERALL: 3-3 5-1	Houston Cougars	
Pirates Logs	TEAMPS RANK FOE	vs. AAC vs. AAC 1-1 3-0	Cougars Logs	TEAMPS RANK FOE
	ORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC REC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L	OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST
	7-20 L 60 100 36 40 78 56	2021 TEAM RANKINGS		73 48 3 31 45 53 83 53 58 122 102 103
0-2 2-0 0-0 0-0 125 116 9/18 at Marshall 42	e-38 W 85 97 67 44 68 66	East Carolina Houston	1-1 0-0 123 9/18 Grambling St 45-0 W	94 46 20
1-2 0-0 125 9/25 Charleston Southeri 31 2-2 1-3 0-0 0-0 121 123 10/2 Tulane 52	e-29 W 85 94 42 72 106 40	#67 Rush Off #89 #36 Pass Off #54		96 45 11 128 94 130 89 56 18 35 59 124
3-2 2-2 1-0 0-1 125 124 10/9 at UCF 16 3-3 1-1 125 10/16 Bye	61 81 50 54 89 47 50 87 100 88 50 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	#48 Total Off #80 #58 Score Off #17	4-1 1-4 2-0 0-1 127 119 10/9 at Tulane 40-22 W 5-1 3-0 129 10/16 Bye	86 32 10 76 110 79 78 27 14
3-3 5-1 1-1 3-0 125 129 10/23 at Houston	55 84 47 72 25 13	#111 Sks Allowed #72	5-1 3-3 3-0 1-1 129 125 10/23 East Carolina	72 25 13 55 84 47
1-5 0-2 112 10/30 USF 3-3 1-1 109 11/6 Temple		#82 Rush Def #21 #123 Pass Def #6	6-0 2-0 128 10/30 SMU 1-5 0-2 112 11/6 at USF	12 98 60 112 124 85
4-3 1-2 121 11/13 at Memphis	15 97 20	#118 Total Def #4	3-3 1-1 109 11/13 at Temple	97 100 111
1-5 1-3 115 11/20 at Navy 6-0 2-0 148 11/27 Cincinnati		#80 Score Def #11 #59 Sks By #6	4-3 1-2 121 11/19 Memphis 1-7 0-2 95 11/27 at Connecticut	15 97 20 129 122 93
		#91 Ypg Diff #19		
		+3 Total TO's +4		
Net	ds Defense Comp Yds ass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks		Net Offense Comp Yds Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks	Defense Comp Yds FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks
Appalachian St 19-33 -99 -5 -1 20 24-86 3.6 22-41 3	00 4 25 36-226 6.3 20-27 259 1		Texas Tech 21-38 -125 1 -3 16 35-77 2.2 27-38 174 4	15 28-145 5.2 17-24 231 3
	52 5 15 39-99 2.5 13-24 214 3 95 5 24 36-214 5.9 24-40 433 1	"		15 36-126 3.5 9-19 86 4 3 34-53 1.6 5-12 49 3
Charleston Southern 31-28 -133 -20 -1 14 33-165 5.0 17-26 2	38 1 34 39-131 3.4 38-61 405 5	Š Ęt	Navy 28-20 89 5 1 20 34-120 3.5 22-31 257 2	15 52-190 3.7 4-8 98 2
	102 2 19 31-124 4.0 23-38 280 2 119 4 16 31-165 5.3 23-35 194 2	Pirates AGES ugars		14 28-31 1.1 20-39 258 4 17 38-94 2.5 20-31 214 8
Bye		na Pirate ERAGES	Bye	
at Houston USF		olina Pi AVERAGE	East Carolina SMU	
Temple at Memphis		ist Carolina TEAM AVERA Houston Co	at USF at Temple	
at Navy		t Carr	Memphis	
Cincinnati		East	at Connecticut	
		ŭ		
	68 10.1% 22 35-160 4.5 62.7% 298 6.2%			13 36-107 3.0 56.4% 156 18.0%
	61 9.1% 18 31-145 4.7 63.0% 237 5.5% 607 11.0% 20 34-190 5.7 62.7% 314 4.0%			15 39-105 2.7 56.4% 190 17.9% 11 38-129 3.4 59.1% 126 18.2%
,		Position by Position Edges East Carolina Houston		
	 	East Carolina QB/WR	1	
		vs. 0.19 Houston DB's		
		East Carolina RB's	1	
		vs. 0.93 Houston LB's		
		East Carolina OL vs. 1.43		
		Houston DL		
		East Carolina DL vs. 0.25		
		Houston OL		
		East Carolina LB'S 1.06 vs.		
	-	Houston RB's East Carolina DB's	_	
		vs. 0.07		
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)		Houston QB/WR East Carolina ST's	Category Team & Margin Phil's Forecas	at:
2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's	Projected Box Score	vs. 1.71	Las Vegas Line	
East Carolina - 69.5 20 27 31-41 38-63-374 Houston16 42 18 43-157 16-28-249 4	Projected Rushing	Houston ST's East Carolina K	Houston By 13.5 Houston has won B2B meetings and have won 6 of the	e last 9 meetings dating back to 2003, but
	101 yards East Carolina Houston 136 yards	vs. 0.25	teams have not met since '18. In their last meeting in ECU went on B2B scoring drives & the game ended 42-	'18 Houston (-16) led 42-6 early 4Q before
East Carolina - 63 27 33 24-36 54-74-468	Projected Passing	Houston K	edge (HOU +4 TO's). Both teams come into this game	off a bye. Houston is 3-1 ATS the last 3Y as
Houston24 52 16 26-142 15-22-330 3	211 yards East Carolina Houston 297 yards	East Carolina Coaches	+/- Ratings a home favorite while E.Carolina is 6-2 ATS the L3Y as games have featured a lot of scoring (6 of the L/8 have	gone OVER). Houston led TTech 21-7 at
	Projected Yardage Total	vs. 0.30	the half but lost 38-21 then went on 5 mostly dominat	ting wins as they are +118 ypg and have a
n to Table	312 yards East Carolina Houston 433 yards Projected Final Score	Houston Coaches East Carolina Pts	upset win over Marshall and UCF got a TD in the final s	econds to avoid a home upset loss to EC 20-
	18 points East Carolina Houston 32 points	1.06 vs. 5.13	Computer Yards 16. The Pirates RB Keaton Mitchell has rushed for 639 1485 (60%, 8-6). UH QB Clayton Tune has thrown for	1258 (70%, 10-6). EC has faced the
Retu	Experience Rankings	Houston Pts	tougher schedule (#76 vs #121). EC has delivered for are a solid road dog and are getting 2 TD's here.	me in their last two games as Best Bets and
E	25 East Carolina Houston 31	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Houston 32-18	
	Team Schedule Strength 77 East Carolina Houston 121	Houston	Phil Steele Houston by 6	
	,, Last Carollila Houston 121	By 4.08 Points	Best Bet: ★ Eastern Carolin	na 24 (+) Houston 30
		-,		

																			0\	ERALL:	: O	VERALL:	l								.,											
							C		oma :	Soone	rs									7-0 BIG 12	2 vs	1-5 s. BIG 12										as ja syhawk	yhaw	KS								
TM REC FOE REC	тм	FOE CONF	TM +	/- FOE	+/-	AF	P							ТЕАМ		RANK		FOE		4-0		0-3	TM REC	FOE REC C	TM ONF	FOE	TM +/- F	OE +/-	AP	•						Т	TEAP		PS RA		FO	
0-0 0-0 1-0 2-0 2-1	0-0 0-0 0-0	0-0	149 149 147) 1	27	TM 2 4 3		9/4 9/11 9/18	Tulane	rn Carolini	40-3 a 76-1 23-1	5 W 0 W 6 W	1 1 2	3 4 4	22 63 25	31	72	124	01		NCAA RANKINGS 21 TEAM RANKING 1	SS Kansas	0-0 1-0 1-1	1-0	0-0 0-0 0-0	0-0	108 108 108	129 128	тм	FOE 17	9/4 9/11 9/18	South	FOE Dakota al Carolina r	17 a 22	7-14	W	127	121 116 114	117 41 76	17 70	50 28	97 60
3-0 2-1 4-0 2-2 5-0 4-1 6-0 3-2		2-0	145 142 142	2 1	29 30 40 35	6	21	10/9	at Kansa † Texas	Virginia s St	16-1 37-3 55-4 52-3	1 W	14	16	22 14 27 46	77 4	51 85	17 27	#20	1	Rush Off Pass Off Total Off	#81 #109 #115 #122	1-2 1-3 1-4	2-1 2-2 4-2	0-1 0-2	0-0 0-1	105 103	117 140			10/2 10/9	at Duke at Iowa S Bye		7-	-59	L	121	122 127 129 128	85 81 87 87	42	86 25	17 118
7-0 1-5 5-2			145	1	01 25	3		10/16 10/23 10/30 11/6	TCU at Kansa Texas Bye		52-3	51 W	5	19 37		121	1 12	8 10:	#63	3	Score Off Sks Allowed Rush Def Pass Def	#122 #21 #127 #80	1-5			4-0 3-0 0-3		145 138 131		3	10/16 10/23 10/30 11/6	Texas Oklah at Oklah Kansa	oma oma St	14	1-41				101	5 79 74	88 37 17 72	58 55 79 34
6-1 4-2 6-0		3-1 2-1 3-0		1	35 41 38			11/20	at Baylor Iowa S at Oklah	5t						10 18 79	24	11!	#66	5	Total Def Score Def Sks By Ypg Diff	#125 #128 #128 #126		4-3 3-3 2-4		2-2 1-2 0-3		136 134 125			11/13 11/20 11/27	at Texas at TCU West 1								7 6 94	79 85 52	19 66 15
																			+4		Total TO's	+1																				
Tulane Western Caro Nebraska West Virginia at Kansas St † Texas TCU at Kansas Texas Tech Bye at Baylor Iowa St		16-13 37-31	3 446 3 87 -28 3 146	5 2	0	TO's 1 3 1 0 0 -1 0	21 19 22 26	0ffens RA-RY 35-116 38-277 35-194 28-57 32-131 41-339 35-230	3.3 7.3 5.5 2.0 4.1 8.3	Comp Att 31-4(27-38 24-34 26-36 23-28 24-4(18-2)	Pass 314 3 347 4 214 5 256 3 261 3 323	1 1 2 4 0 5 4 2 3 2	24 11 18 18 22 19	32-10 27-5 38-9 29-4 7-10	7 YPC 0 3.1 5 2.0 5 2.5 7 1.6 0 14.3 8 4.7	27-4 21-3 19-2 23-3 8 29-4 20-3	Pas 15 296 36 12: 25 28: 34 179 11 326 34 38:	5 Ske Ske 6 4 3 4 9 5 9 1 0 1 8 3		Oklahoma Sooners	EAM AVERAGES	Kansas Jayhawks		St Tech ioma oma St is St		17-14 22-49 7-45 33-52 7-59	-50 -410 -77 -262	-2 -11 -21 -6 -7 -6	TO's 0 1 1 0 -2 1	12 16 8 21 18	Offenss RA-RY 41-82 38-172 33-109 40-207 44-175 33-145	5.2 4.0	Com Att 17-2 15-3 8-18 19-3 11-2	P 16 1 10 2 13 12 3	163 238 57 323	0 1 0	FD 14 4 27 4 29 4 27 5 25 3	15-307 52-279 33-290	4.0 5.4 6.8 5.4	Comp Att 10-22 17-21 19-24 22-29 19-27	328 274	Sks 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0
Average Conference		40-3		3 3	.0	0.6	23	35-192 34-189	5.6	72.49 71.79	6 284	7.9	% 20	28-10 25-11	5 4.6	66.2	% 30	8 3.69	6	OK		Ka	Ave	Virginia erage eference		9-48	-163.7 -279.0	-11.3	0.2	14	38-148 37-143	3.9	54.5° 50.0°	% 1	173 5 104 0	.0%	26 4	0-280	5.9 7.0 7	3.7%	246	2.0%
Away		46-40	59.	0 3	.5	-0.5	24	37-235	6.4	69.1%	6 292	5.9	% 21	17-11	4 6.7	65.3	% 354	4 5.39			ition by Position Edg	ges	Hon	ne		13-33	-197.7	-9.7	0.7	12	36-112	3.1	55.6	% 1	16 1	.4%	22 4	13-239	5.6	6.2%	187	1.4%
																			2.83		oklahoma QB/WR vs. Kansas DB's Oklahoma RB's	Kansas																				
																			3.14		Vs. Kansas LB's Oklahoma OL Vs. Kansas DL																					
																			2.45		Oklahoma DL vs. Kansas OL Oklahoma LB'S																					
																			0.32		vs. Kansas RB's Oklahoma DB's																					
Last Fi	ve Years	s Meeti	ngs (Last 2	2 ve	ars at	PhilSte	eele.con	n)												Kansas QB/WR Oklahoma ST's			Category			Team & I	Margin							Phil's	s Forecas	st:					
2020 Oklahoma	Rank 19	-38.5 63.5	Scor 62	e F	1 D	Rush 31-200 42-95	22-3 14-3	Att-Yds 6-340	TO's					Project			e				vs. Kansas ST's Oklahoma K	0.34	Las Vega	s Line		C	Oklahoma	By 38.5		have no every q	ot lost to ame duri	Kansas s ing their	11 times! ince 1997 16-game	' (20-17 win stre	' in Lawr eak and	rence). have w	OU has on ever	scored a y game	minimu by doub	m of 34 e digits	points i . More re	in ecently
Z019 Oklahoma	Rank 6	63.5 Line -33.5	Scor 45	e F	D	42-95 Rush 87-268	Comp-/	Att-Yds	TO's	tents	24	5 yards	Ol	Project lahoma Project	K	ansas	8	1 yards	1.30		vs. Kansas K		Las Vega				66.5 Pc	ints		38') and straight 246). K	d scored points in ansas go	the first 3 the 2H b t past So	or less in ! 31 points before KU outh Dakot If in their !	before I scored ta in the	KU got a a TD or opener	FG at the fin 17-14	the end al play and the	of the 2 of the 4 fans ch	Q (268-1 Q in a 62 arged the	12). Ol -9 thum e field.	I scored ping (54 They we	31 10- re
Kansas 2018 Oklahoma	Rank	67 Line -34.5	20 Scor 55	e F	D	82-130 Rush 80-294	18-2i	Att-Yds	1 TO's	ble of Con		3 yards	Pr	lahoma ojected	Yardag		ı	27 yards	2.80	,	vs.	•	+/- Rati				Oklahoma			TTech h TD and garbage (289, 4.	ad a 15- FG and le TD's 41 .8). Oklai	4 FD edg ed 41-0 v -14. Kans homa ope	e at half a with 6:29 l sas QB Ja ened 5-0 !	and led i left then son Bea SU but j	24-0 at n Kansas an has ti just 1-4	Kansas s went : hrown f ATS an	. TT was 75/10 ar for 852 (id trailed	s 9-11 o nd 35/5 56%, 5 d Oklaho	n 3rd doo (after fur -3) and is oma 38-2	vn. TT on the street of the st	pen 2H pair of wks #1 f. OU	with 2 rusher
Oklahoma Kansas 2017	- Rank	-34.5 70 Line	40 Scor			10-294 86-348 Rush	20-2i	8-176	2 TO's	urn to Tal		8 yards	P	lahoma r ojecteo lahoma	l Final S	Score ansas		08 yards 2 points	17.7		Oklahoma Pts vs.	0.34	Compute	er Yards	_		oklahoma			5-0 thei week C WR Que	n trailed aleb Willi entin Johr	Texas 38 ams in hi nston had	-48 behin I-20 at hal is first sta I 185 yds led 24-14	If and in rt (first and 3 T	serted (true sta D's. TCI	QB Cale ort OU s U RB Ev	b Willia /1990) I vans was	ms and nit 18-23 s out an	rallied fo 3 and ran d OU was	for 66 withou	n. Last v yards ar t S Turn	week nd TCU ier-Yell
Oklahoma Kansas 2016	3 - Rank	-37.5 68.5 Line	41 3 Scor	1	.0	86-157 27-32 Rush	23-3 19-3	4-123	-2 TO's	Ret		74	O	xperien lahoma m Sche	K	ansas	n	97		POSITIO	Kansas Pts ONAL EDGE AWARD	ED TO:		er Points			Oklahoma	55-12		TCU TD here. TI the big	11:16 le	ft then pu ers only h would exp	unt and O nave Texa pect. Kans	U 68/9 f	for TD w	vith 1;0	9 left 52 nnot tra	-31. The	Sooner	can na	me the	score get
Oklahoma Kansas	16 -	-40 65.5	56 3			38-267 28-49	20-3		-1			63	OI	lahoma	K	ansas		30		В	Oklahoma By 17.43 Points		Phil Stee	le			Oklahoma	a by 48					Okl	laho	ma	58 k	(ans	as 1	.0			

						М	liami,	OH R	edhaw	ks								OVERAL 3-4	L: C	OVERALL:						В	all St	Card	dinal	s						
							R	edhawks	Logs									vs. MA	С	vs. MAC							Card	dinals L	ogs							
TM REC FOE TN		FOE TONE	ΓM +/-	FOE +/	- тм	AP FOE	DATE	F	DE	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF		PS RAI ST		FOE DEF S	ST	2-1	NCAA RANKINGS	2-1	TM REC FOE TM		TM +/-	FOE +/-	AP TM I	OE D	DATE	FO	E	SCOR	E W/L	. OF	TEAM F DEF	PS ST	RANK OFF	FOE DEF ST
0-0 0-0 0-		0-0	120	137		8		at Cincinna		14-49	L			91	46		18	20	21 TEAM RANKIN	GS	0-0 0-0		130			9/4		Western	Illinois	31-2				97	22	44 25
0-1 0-1 0- 0-2 0-		0-1	117 119	133			9/11	at Minneso Long Is		26-31 42-7	L W			108 77	47	97 1	04	Miami, O	н	Ball St	1-0 1-0 0-0 1-1 2-0 0-0			144 122				Penn St Wyoming		13-4 12-4		_		52 43	22 93	11 25 54 52
1-2 3-0 0 -	0 :		116	123			9/25	at Army W	est Point	10-23	L	106	110	36	42		14	#78	Rush Off	#102	1-2 1-2 0-0	0-0	120	117		9/2	25 T	Toledo		12-2		11	.8 104	55	103	61 46
1-3 2-2 0- 2-3 3-2 1-		0-0 0-1	116 117	115			10/2	at Eastern	Michigan Michigan	28-17 12-13	W L			66 63			71	#59 #69	Pass Off Total Off	#84 #109	1-3 4-0 0-1 2-3 4-1 0-1			123 123					st Point Michigan					45 29	40 82	53 29 77 122
2-4 2-4 1-	1 :	1-1	117	99			10/16	Akron		34-21	W	100	102	69	122	124 1	30	#96	Score Off	#87	3-3 4-2 1-1	1-1	125	119		10)/16 at E	Eastern N	1ichigan			12	4 95	21	111	101 86
3-4 4-3 2- 1-6		2-1 1-2	116	125 109			10/23	at Ball St				92	102	88			22 34	#38	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#72 #85	4-3 3-4 2-1 2-5	1-2		116 100)/23 N	Miami, O	Н			11	.3 92	22	92 120	102 88 125 130
3-4		1-2		113			11/6	Buffalo							93	78 9	98	#47	Pass Def	#85	23			100				Bye							120	125 150
2-5	(0-3		99			11/13	Bowling	Green						126	90 1	12	# 49 #53	Total Def Score Def	# 82 #82	5-2 4-3	3-0 2-1		117 116		_	./10 at N	Northern Central N							89 54	110 87 105 73
3-4		2-1		114				Bye at Kent St							56	112 1	22	#18	Sks By	#42	3-4	1-2		113		_		Buffalo	iiciiigaii						93	78 98
																		#61	Ypg Diff	#108																
																	- 	-2	Total TO's	+3																
				Net			Offens	e	Comp	Yds			efense			Yds								Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defens		Comp	Yds
Score at Cincinnati	1	14-49	Yds -264	-10	TO's	FD 17	RA-RY 45-169	3.8	9-28	Pass 109	Sks 2			PC 3.0			iks 2				Score Western Illinois	31-21	Yds 1 -33	FD 1				YPC 5.4	Att 17-28	Pass 188					Att 30-43	9 Pass Sks 367 2
at Minnesota	2	26-31	54	1	-2	20	30-104	3.5	16-31	237	0	19 4	5-175	3.8	8-17	112	1				at Penn St	13-44	4 -198	-12	-2	20 20	6-69	2.7	30-45	226		32	2 48-24	0 5.0	22-31	253 3
Long Island			307 -152	-3	-1 -1	27 13	36-229 20-28	6.4 1.4	18-25	294 204	2				15-21 0-5		0	ks			at Wyoming	12-45		-2				2.9 3.5	21-34 21-36					7 4.5 2 6.2	14-23 12-20	201 1 172 3
at Army West Point Central Michigan		28-17	84	1	-1	26	38-164		12-27 25-38	350	1						3	≥	(0	<u>s</u>	Toledo Army West Point	12-22 28-16		-6				1.4	17-28						5-15	66 0
at Eastern Michigan		12-13		3	0	19	29-126		16-39	259	3	16 3	3-51 1	1.5	21-31		6	edhaw	GE	i.	at Western Michigan	45-20	-1	-5				2.3	15-26						19-35	257 4
Akron at Ball St	3	34-21	118	1	0	22	39-245	6.3	19-27	229	1	21 2	4-65 2	2./	z5-39	Z91 .	3	ed	- ₹	rdinal	at Eastern Michigan Miami, OH	38-31	1 48	1	2	29 40	J-20U	5.0	28-36	233	0	28	8 26-4	1.8	3b-49	339 3
at Ohio																		ž	AVERAGES	ပ္မ	at Akron															
Buffalo Bowling Green																		ᆼ		St	Bye at Northern Illinois															
Bye																		.=`	EAM	<u>e</u>	Central Michigan															
at Kent St																		Miami,		Ba	Buffalo															
																	-1	Ξ																		
		24-23	20.2	1.0	-0.3	21	24.452	4.5	53.5%	240	4.7%	20 3			52.00/	209 10.	401					26.26	3 -63.4	-3.3	0.4	19 34	1-118		63.9%	217	6.0%				63.00/	236 7.4%
Average Conference		25-17		1.7			34-152 35-178		57.7%		4.7%					292 10.					Average Conference		4 -28.3			21 34		3.5	65.3%				2 42-16 3 40-14			
Away	1	16-29	-58.5	-2.3	0.0	17	31-107	3.4	42.4%	202	5.6%	20 4	3-214 5	5.0 6	52.0%	153 11.	.4%	_			Home	24-20	-58.3	-2.3	0.7	16 34	1-125	3.7	59.8%	204	6.5%	6 18	8 43-18	5 4.3	60.3%	202 6.4%
																	F	Miami, C	sition by Position Ed OH	Ball St																
																			Miami, OH QB/W	R																
																		0.31	vs. Ball St DB's																	
																	Ī		Miami, OH RB's																	
																			vs. Ball St LB's	1.39																
																	f		Miami, OH OL																	
																		0.28	vs. Ball St DL																	
																	H		Miami, OH DL																	
																		1.18	vs. Ball St OL																	
																	F		Miami, OH LB'S																	
																		1.53	vs.																	
1																	ŀ		Ball St RB's Miami, OH DB's																	
1																			vs.	0.76																
Last Five Y	ears N	Meetin	as (1 =	ast 22	veare	at Phils	teele co	m)											Ball St QB/WR Miami, OH ST's		Category		Team 8	Margin							Phil's For	recast:				
2020 Ra	nk L	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's				Projec	ted Bo	x Sc	core				vs.	3.04			6	y							5.0					
Miami, OH -		-1	38	26	42-134		32-288												Ball St ST's	3.94	Las Vegas Line		Rall C+	By 5.5												10 3Q. Miami
															_		F						Dan St	Jy J.5												h 1:07 left to &G in protect
Ball St -	- 5	55.5	31	26	38-169		32-309	0					ected R		-				Miami, OH K		Las Vegas Total				lik	e setting	g up for F	G but ra	an it in fo	or TD ar	d 38-31	L win (-1) at hor	ne. Team	s have p	ayed for the
2019 Ra	nk L	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	-Att-Yds	TO's	S	121 y	ards	Miami,	DH	Ball 9	St	112 yard	ls	1.05	vs.				51.5	Points												st time here outgained
Miami, Oh -	- 5	55.5	27	10	29-107	10-1	19-168		ë			Pro	ected P	assing	9				Ball St K		. / Bating	_	_	_	57	8-275.	Miami us	sually ma	ails it in f	or non	conf gan	nes an	d it appea	red Ball t	took that	same tact as
Ball St -		-3.5	41	30	54-261	. 26-4	40-317	1	ont	249 y	ards	Miami,	ОН	Ball 9	St	261 yard	ls		Miami, OH Coache	es	+/- Ratings		Ball St	By 11.5												their MAC t a powerful
2018 Ra	nk I	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	-Att-Yds	TO's	of C			Proiec	ed Yard	lage T	otal				vs.						W	4ich tea	am. Last v	week Ba	II St oper	n 75/9	& 68/13	TD's f	irst two d	rives 14-	 EM 75 	13 TD, Ball
Miami, Oh -		-17	42	22	53-364		17-159		a	369 y	arde	Miami,		Ball S		373 yard			Ball St Coaches		Game Grade		Miami C	h By 0.1												st 3 2H poss t at Ball 47
			-						to Tab	309 y	ui US					J/J yaru	-						rnann, C	by U.1	:5	7 left. M	1iami lost	to that	same An	my tea	n 23-10	to ope	en 1-3 bu	t I used t	them as a	Phil Steele
Ball St -	- 5	54.5	21	22	34-143		36-239	-1				-	cted Fin						Miami, OH Pts		Computer Yards															5-257 yd edge TD's. Akron
2017 Ra	nk L	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	-Att-Yds	TO's	Return	20 pc	oints	Miami,	DH	Ball S	St	25 points	S	4.34	vs.	6.09			Ball St	373-369	38	/3 (afte	er fum) TI	D 14-7.	Miami 75	5/9 TD :	21-7 H v	vith 27	75-138 yd	edge. M	iami first	3 2H drives
Miami, OH -		-18	28	14	29-125	15-2	27-148		ď			Expe	ience R	ankin	gs				Ball St Pts		Commission Deleter															ss:31 left 34 the season
Ball St -	. 5	56.5	7	23	56-293	13-	29-98	-3		32	2	Miami,	ОН	Ball S	St	14		POST	IONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:	Computer Points		Ball St	26-21	Mi	ami has	a higher	- AGG 94	.7 to 92	.0 but t	he L4W	Ball is				Miami is at
2016 Ra	nk L	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	-Att-Yds	TO's				Team S	chedule	Stren	ngth			70311		J2D 10:					96	.o. Mian	mi is 17-6	b SU in i	MAC play	the las	t 4 year	s.				
Miami, Oh -		-7.5	21	20	25-62	76-7	35-380			85	5	Miami,		Ball S		52			Ball St		Phil Steele		Ralle	t by 3												
										0.	-	ami,		Sun 3		32							Jan 3	, .					Ball	St 2	4 Mi	iam	i, Oh	21		
Ball St -	. 5	53.5	20	26	40-247	22-3	34-188	-1									_		By 1.75 Points	S																

	OVERALL: OVERALL:	
New Mexico Lobos Lobos Logs	2-5 4-2 vs. MTW vs. MTW	Wyoming Cowboys Cowboys Logs
TH FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOF +/- AP TEAMPSRANK FOE	0-3 0-2	TM PEC FOE TM FOE TM +/s FOE+/s AP TEAMPS RANK FOE
TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKINGS New Mexico Wyoming	O-0
2-1 2-1 0-0 0-0 110 101 9/25 at UTEP 13-20 L 99 67 101 75 72 88 2-2 3-1 0-0 0-1 107 124 10/2 Air Force 10-38 L 107 57 86 56 82 128	#116 Rush Off #7 #119 Pass Off #10	
2-3 4-0 0-1 0-0 105 126 25 10/9 at San Diego St 7-31 L 115 80 81 71 18 11 2-4 2-3 0-2 1-0 105 123 10/16 Colorado St 7-36 L 119 80 91 107 107 70	#129 Total Off #11 #128 Score Off #8	11 4-0 4-1 0-0 1-1 120 126 10/9 at Air Force 14-24 L 89 63 78 51 67 128
2-5 4-2 0-3 0-2 103 117 10/23 at Wyoming 125 82 76 105 58 104	#117 Sks Allowed #3 #75 Rush Def #8	8 4-2 2-5 0-2 0-3 117 103 10/23 New Mexico 105 58 104 125 82 76
0-6 0-2 109 11/6 UNLV 123 123 120 5-2 2-1 127 11/13 at Fresno St 27 44 80	#43 Pass Def #7 #55 Total Def #2	7 3-3 2-0 125 11/6 Colorado St 102 45 81
3-4 1-2 127 11/20 at Boise St 99 69 9 4-2 2-1 116 11/27 Utah St 52 104 30	#85 Score Def #4 #51 Sks By #5	7 4-2 2-1 116 11/20 at Utah St 52 104 30
12 22 120 120 000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	#118 Ypg Diff #7: -4 Total TO's -2	4
	-4 lotal los -2	
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass		Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks
Houston Baptist 27-17 121 1 2 16 40-129 3.2 21-27 179 2 15 239 -0.4 27-47 196 5 New Mexico St 34-25 214 10 2 29 46-178 3.9 26-38 381 2 19 23-109 4.7 18-45 236 0		Montana St 19-16 26 0 0 17 43-151 3.5 15-26 196 2 17 30-121 4.0 19-29 200 2 at Northern Illinois 50-43 -82 2 2 24 45-191 4.2 13-23 204 1 22 38-244 6.4 19-36 233 2
at Texas A&M 0-34 -307 -13 0 9 36-89 2.5 10-23 33 4 22 34-154 4.5 19-33 275 3 at UTEP 13-20 -56 5 -1 17 35-123 3.5 17-39 165 1 12 33-51 1.5 15-29 293 2	so S	Ball St 45-12 118 0 3 19 39-177 4.5 14-23 201 1 19 41-118 2.9 21-34 142 6 at Connecticut 24-22 71 3 -1 22 45-203 4.5 15-26 149 0 19 28-110 3.9 19-39 171 3
Air Force 10-38 -215 -10 -3 13 20-47 2.4 14-22 179 4 23 73-408 5.6 1-2 33 1 at San Diego St 7-31 -143 -7 -1 12 34-66 1.9 13-27 127 4 19 43-203 4.7 12-25 133 0	Lobos	Bye at Air Force 14-24 -64 -5 -1 15 27-114 4.2 11-28 143 3 20 64-211 3.3 7-10 110 1
Colorado St 7-36 -373 -10 -3 11 36-63 1.8 4-20 16 6 21 54-163 3.0 16-26 289 4 at Wyoming		Fresno St 0-17 12 1 -5 16 33-128 3.9 9-30 143 3 15 43-163 3.8 15-28 96 1 New Mexico
Bye UNLV	exico	at San Jose St Colorado St
at Fresno St at Boise St	v Me	at Boise St at Utah St
Utah St	New Mex TEAM AV	Hawaii
Average 14-29 -108.4 -3.4 -0.6 15 35-99 2.8 53.6% 154 11.7% 19 40-154 3.8 52.2% 208 7.2% Conference 8-35 -243.7 -9.0 -2.3 12 30-59 2.0 44.9% 107 20.3% 21 57-258 4.6 54.7% 152 9.4%		Average 25-22 13.5 0.2 -0.3 19 39-161 4.2 49.4% 173 6.4% 19 41-161 4.0 56.8% 159 8.5% Conference 7-21 -26.0 -2.0 -3.0 16 30-121 4.0 34.5% 143 10.3% 18 54-187 3.5 57.9% 103 5.3%
Away 7-28 -168.7 -5.0 -0.7 13 35-93 2.6 44.9% 108 10.1% 18 37-136 3.7 52.9% 234 5.7%	Position by Position Edges	Home 21-15 52.0 0.3 -0.7 17 38-152 4.0 48.1% 180 7.6% 17 38-134 3.5 60.4% 146 9.9%
	New Mexico Wyoming New Mexico QB/WR	
	vs. 1.6 Wyoming DB's	9
	New Mexico RB's	0
	Wyoming LB's New Mexico OL	
	vs. 1.0 Wyoming DL	4
	New Mexico DL vs. 0.5.	3
	Wyoming OL New Mexico LB'S	
	0.66 vs.	
	Wyoming RB's New Mexico DB's	
Last Fina Variation (Last 22 annual Philipped Last)	1.26 vs. Wyoming QB/WR	
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com). None Projected Box Score	New Mexico ST's 1.35 vs.	Category Team & Margin Phil's Forecast:
	Wyoming ST's	Las Vegas Line Wyoming By 20 Last year was the first time s/'14 the game was decided by less than 10 pts. In last year's game New Mexico snapped a 20 game MW losing streak. Fifth-string QB Isaiah Chavez took over after fellow walk
Projected Rushing	New Mexico K	on QB Connor Genal left in 2Q with wrist injury. Wyoming RB Valladay DNP and QB Williams was injured 4Q. The Cowboys top tackler LB Chad Muma was eject 1H. Wyoming led 13-10 at half but NMex
98 yards New Mexico Wyoming 173 yards	vs. 2.7	went on a 72/10 3Q drive but were SOD at the 6. Down 16-10 Chavez on a fake fly sweep hit a wide open Bobby Cole for a 44 yd TD pass to take a 17-16 lead. Wyoming had TO's on last two drives and
Projected Passing 87 yards New Mexico Wyoming 182 yards	Wyoming K New Mexico Coaches	they lost by 1 point as an 18-point favorite. Since 2007 WY is just 4-10 ATS against the Lobos. The Lobos are on the road for the 2nd time in 3 weeks. Dating back to '16 Wyoming is 11-5 ATS as a Do underdog vs MW foes under HC Gonzales in year one. After lavorite and Nikex was 4-1 ATS as a Db underdog vs MW foes under HC Gonzales in year one. After
Projected Yardage Total	vs. 1.7	LY's upset Wyoming will not be looking past the Lobos. New Mexico opened 2-4 but a perfect 0-6 ATS and last week I used Colo St on the Phil Steele Plus Tour and Colo St allowed just 76 yds to New Mexico
185 yards New Mexico Wyoming 354 yards	Wyoming Coaches	Game Grade the 2nd lowest total in school history. Cayden Camper had 5 FG's (also 5 vs Toledo, 6 vs San Jose) but did miss one. Lobo frosh QB CJ Montes was just 3-19-11 but NM only trailed 13-7 at half thanks to a
185 yards New Mexico Wyoming 354 yards Projected Final Score 9 points New Mexico Wyoming 29 points	New Mexico Pts	Wysong 63 yd PR. Colo St open 3Q 75/6 TD and rolled 36-7 on the road with 452-79 yd edge. The Lobos offense had been avg just 207 ypg the previous 4 games. The elevation does not bother New Mexico which is 4-1 ATS their last 5 trips here and Wyoming is only playing to an AGG of 92.9 and New
9 points New Mexico Wyoming 29 points	3.27 vs. 9.9	Mexico is at 79.7 (Wyom by 15.8). Wyoming is 10-2 ATS at home and last week were a home dog to Fresno. Wyoming had 271-259 yd edge but 5 TO's and Fresno's 3 scoring drives were all off TO's and
experience kankings	Wyoming Pts	covered a combined 50 yards! Wyoming was int'd at 29, missed a 53 yd FG and int at FSU 11 in 17-0 home loss. Lobos are a perfect 0-7 ATS this year with an offense that had just 197 ypg the L4W and
53 New Mexico Wyoming 3 Team Schedule Strength	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Wyoming 29-9 going vs a defense that has held foes to 114 ypg below their season avg the last 4 weeks!
89 New Mexico Wyoming 103	Wyoming	Phil Steele Wyoming by 27
	By 6.70 Points	Wyoming 30 New Mexico 3

							Cole		do Bu		s									RALL:		OVERALL: 1-5							Cal		ia Go			rs					
FOE T		FOE .				AP		Buff	falos Log	gs			TEA	M	PS R	ANK	FC	DE	vs. P	AC 12 -2	`	vs. PAC 12 0-3		DE TM	4 FO				AD	Gol	den Bea	rs Logs				TEAM	P1	S RANK	FOE
IM REC CO	NF C	ONF	ΓM +/-	FOE +/	′- тм		DE DATE		FOE			W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST		NC/	AA RANKINGS	6	IM REC R	CO1	NF COM	NF IM +	/- FOE +/	TM	FOE	DATE	ı	OE.		CORE W		FF DEF	ST	OFF	DEF
	-0 -0	0-0	122 118	144			9/4 5 9/11		Northern (exas A&M		35-7 7-10	W	55 58	47 45	95 66	7	-	33		2021 T	TEAM RANKIN	IGS		-0 O-		0 12				9/4 9/11	Nevada at TCU	3		7-22 L 2-34 L		31 56 37 43	107 126		62 9
		0-1	122	131			9/18		Minnesota		0-30	L	78	39	92	51	94	72	Colo	orado		California	0-2	0-		13				9/18		nento St				75 41			
		0-0 1-2	118 117	131 129			9/25 10/2		Arizona St JSC		13-35 14-37	L L	113 117	43 60	113 101	24 37	29 41	10 33	#88 #126		Rush Off Pass Off	#76 #53		-2 0- -3 0-		0 12				9/25 10/2	at Washin	gton gton St				37 55 28 54	118 119		13 68
	-2	1-2	115	129			10/2		Bye .		14-37	L	120	72	97	3/	41	33	#126		Total Off	#59	1-3 1	-3 0-		12				10/2	Bye	igton St	0)-21 L		28 54 42 52			08
1-4 0-5 0			115	111			10/16		Arizona		34-0	W	121	70	94	103	92	120	#121		Score Off	#103		-1 0-		1 12			9		at Oregon		17	7-24 L		41 48 47 60	105		21
2-4 1-5 1 5-1		0-3 2-1	119	124 133				3 at 0	California Oregon				117	63	40	47 28	60 19	95 44	#87 #72		Rush Def	#63 #48		-4 0-	3 1-	2 12	4 119 130			10/23	Colora					47 60	95	117 37	63 46
4-2		2-1		130			11/6	C	Oregon St							37	46	43	#53		Pass Def	#110	0	-6	0-:	3	107			11/6	at Arizona							109	99
5-2 2-4		3-1 1-2		134 128			11/13	3 at U 0 V	JCLA Nashingto	n						30 68	26 16	29 107	# 56 #32		Total Def Score Def	#88 #77		-3 -4	2-:		127 131			11/13	USC at Stanfor	rd						23 51	66 50
4-2		3-0		137				7 at U								34	15	108	#120		Sks By	#115		-2	3-		134				at UCLA							30	
																			#116 0		Ypg Diff Total TO's	#83 +2																	
Score			Yds	Net FD	TO's		Offe D RA-R		YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks						Score		Yd	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offens RA-RY	e YPC	Comp		Yds Pass SI	ks I	Defen FD RA-R		Comp Att	Yds Pass
Northern Colorad			127	6	1	2	2 49-28	81	5.7	10-15	102	2	16	18-20	1.1	24-42	236	3					Nevada	,		-22 -4:	3 -2	0	17	27-153	5.7	25-3	38 1	177 2	2 1	19 26-6	1 2.3	22-39	312
† Texas A&M Minnesota		7-10 0-30	-28 -378	-16	-2		4 38-17 5 211		4.5 -0.9	13-25 12-24	89 82	4	14 22	29-97 53-277	3.3	19-40 11-17	191 164	1				5.	at TCU Sacrame	nto St		-34 -56 -30 67		0		27-133 33-246	4.9 7.5	16-2 22-3				31 50-20 24 22-5			
at Arizona St			-189	-7	0		8 44-18		4.2	7-17	67	3		32-167				0	,	Ñ		ea	at Washingt			-31 13		-2		37-138	3.7	30-4				18 30-9			
USC		14-37	-252	-9	-1	1	1 39-8	30	2.1	10-17	162	5	20	35-218	6.2	19-29	276	0	- 4	<u>a</u>	S	œ	Washingt		6-	-21 -59	-7	1	14	24-121	5.0	14-3	30 1	152 4	4 2	21 34-1	13 3.3	25-42	2 219
Bye Arizona		34-0	85	-1	2	1	8 39-11	17	3.0	12-19	248	1	19	31-127	4.1	20-39	153	2	\$	uffalos	EAM AVERAGES	Golden	Bye at Oregon		17-	-24 -52	2 0	2	24	36-155	4.3	25-4	15 2	247 2	2 2	24 35-2	10 6.0	20-28	3 244
at California																			٥	8	표	믕	Colorado																
at Oregon Oregon St																			- 4	8	≩		Oregon S at Arizona	it															
at UCLA																			Ì	ē	₽	Ē.	USC																
Washington at Utah																			- 4	Colorado	2	Ë	at Stanford at UCLA																
																			Ċ	ŭ		California																	
																						ပ္မ																	
Average		17-20	105.8	-4.5	0.0	1	5 38-13	36	3.5	54.7%	125	13.7%	19	33-151	4.6	58.2%	215	3.6%					Averag	e	23-	-27 -2.	0 -2.7	0.3	20	31-158	5.1	61.19	% 2	249 6.0	0% 2	23 33-1	33 4.1	58.19	6 275
Conference Away		20-24					6 41-12 6 41-17			54.7% 47.6%	159 78	17.0%	21				234						Confer Home	ence		-25 6.7 -24 -11			20	32-138		59.09 59.89		239 7.7					6 232 : 6 313 :
Away		10-23	100.5	-3.3	0.0	1	0 41-17	,,	4.3	47.070	76	9.370	20	31-132	4.3	30.270	232	1.370		Position	n by Position Ed	dges	Home		22	-24 -11	7 -1.3	0.3	20	20-1/3	0.2	39.07	70 2	200 3.5	970 2	21 2/-/	0 2.0	33.57	0 313 .
																			Colo	orado	orado QB/WF	California																	
																				COR	vs.	1.83																	
																					alifornia DB's olorado RB's																		
																					vs.	1.59																	
																					alifornia LB's Colorado OL																		
																					vs.	0.12																	
																					California DL																		
																					Colorado DL vs.	0.79																	
																					California OL																		
																			1.06	Co	olorado LB'S vs.																		
																					alifornia RB's]																
																			0.34	Co	olorado DB's																		
																			5.54		vs. ifornia QB/WI	R																	
<u>Last Five \</u>													Proje	cted	Box S	core				Co	olorado ST's		Cat	gory		Tea	n & Margin							Phil's F	Forecast:				
2018 Ra Colorado		Line 44	Score 21	FD 19	Rush 43-14		mp-Att-Yds 16-33-170	s 1	TO's										2.55	~	vs. alifornia ST's		Las Vegas L	ine		Celli	ornia By 9		These	wo have	split the	last 4 m	eeting	s with the	e home	team win	ning all	4 SU (2-	1-1 ATS). L
California		-12	33	12	38-9		14-26-116		5				Dr	ojected	Rushi	na					Colorado K					Call	orma by S		time h	ere ('18)	, Cal jump	ed out to	to a 21	-0 1Q lea	d thank	s to 2 int	returns	for TD's	(forced 5 To
			Score	FD	Rush	_	mp-Att-Yds		TO's	nts	114	yards	Colo		Calif		148 y	yards			vs.	1.30	Las Vegas T	otal		43	.5 Points)-4 SU and and Colora
Colorado		-3.5	44	27	53-20		21-27-353			nte				ojected			- /				California K				_				their fi	st confe	rence shu	tout sinc	ce 200	5. Colorad	do had	got two 11	FG and	d led 6-0	with AZ SC
California	-	52	28	26	25-6	1	30-53-374		0	r Col	147	yards	Colo	rado	Calif	ornia	258 y	yards		Colo	orado Coache	s	+/- Ratings			Califo	rnia By 8.	5											RTD and a 6 and lost Cru
										e o			Proje	cted Ya	ardage	Total					vs.	0.30	Game Grade						2Q and	Plumme	er hit 7-17	7-71. Col	lo went	t 70/9 for	r TD 4:0	08 left 34-	0. AZ di	d throw	into EZ inc
										Return to Tabl	261	yards	Colo		Calif		407 y	yards			fornia Coache	es	Jame Grade	•		Califo	rnia By 8.	0											HF. Last w mbled at C
										to.				ected F						С	Colorado Pts		Computer Y	ards					then 6	7/7 TD 1	0-7. UO f	mbl Cal 3	35 :16	left H. Ca	al 45 yd	FG then	80/11/5	:46 TD l	ead 17-10 1
										2	16 p	oints	Colo		Calif		21 p	oints	3.95	_	VS.	5.94	-			Califor	nia 407-2	61	playing	to an A	GG of 91.7	7 as they	y are -1	106 ypg v	vhile Ca	l is playing	to an A	AGG of 9	. Colorado i 6.6 and is -
										Re	,	94	Colo	erience	Calif	-	2	15			alifornia Pts		Computer P	oints		Calif	ornia 21-1	6	(AGG C	al 7.9). I	My compu	ter has 0	Cal by	5 with a	407-26		Seems	east to p	oick Cal hen
											•			Sched			3		PO		AL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:				Caill	a Z1-1		Nari Do	iren is 1	u-/ AIS a	s dii AD	and Ju	ISCIII WIICO	DX IS 1-	11-1 AIS	as a MF!		
											5	54	Colo		Calif		5	51		(California		Phil Steele			Cali	fornia by 4												
																				Ву	1.99 Points	s										Calif	torn	11a 20	Co	lorad	0 16		
											_																												

								ltah I	ltos									OVER	ALL:	OVERALL:									Oroge	on St	Poav	orc							
							U	Utes L										4- vs. P/		4-2 vs. PAC 12										Beavers	Beav	ers							
TM REC FOE	TM FC	DE TM	+/- FOE	+/-	AP							TEA		PS RA		FC		3-	0	2-1	TM REC RE	E T	M FO	DE TM	+/- FOI	+/-	AP							Т	TEAM		PS RAM		FOE
	O-0	NF			TM 24	FOE	DATE 9/4	Weber	FOE St	SCORE 40-17		OFF 21	DEF 9	ST 38	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKING 2021 TEAM RANKI	-	0-0 0-		ONF COI			27	TM	FOE	DATE 9/4	at Purdu	FOE		1-30 L					OFF 48	DEF 5
1-0 1-0	0-0 0-	-0 13	37 1	30	21		9/11	at BYU		17-26	L	20	10	107	45	74	30	Ut		Oregon St	0-1 1-	1 0)-0 0-	-0 12	24 1	15			9/11	Hawai	ii	4	5-27 V	N	82	83	94	99	74 6
		-0 13 -1 13		23			9/18 9/25	at San Di	ego St ngton St	31-33 24-13		24 53	47 33	106 102	88 77	34 66	32 52	#49	Rush Off	#9	1-1 2-1 2-)-0)-0 1-	-1 12	25	34			9/18 9/25	Idaho at USC							56 64	29	19 3
2-2	1-0	13	31					at Bye	igton St			51	22	110	-//	00	JZ	#76	Pass Off	#95	3-1 2-	2 1	0 1-	-0 13	33 1	30			10/2	Washi	ington	2	7-24 V	V	25	45	60	59	15 9
		-2 13 -0 13		31 38			10/9 10/16	at USC Arizon	- C+	42-26 35-21		47 35	19	116 107	27	45 26	32	# 65 #43	Total Off Score Off	#37 #31	4-1 2- 4-2		!-0 1- !-1	-2 13 13		26			10/9 10/16	at Washi Bve	ngton St	2	4-31 L				45 48	96	50 6
		-0 13 -1 13		30				at Orego		35-21	VV	34	15 15	107	23 37	46	28 43	#43	Sks Allowed	#31				-0 13		37			10/16	Utah								34	15 1
5-2		-1		34			10/30	UCLA							30	26	29	#47	Rush Def	#20	1-			-3		24				at Califor								47	60 9
3-4 0-6		-3 -3		31 07				at Stanfo at Arizon							51 109	50 99	59 129	#53 # 41	Pass Def Total Def	#113 #66	2- 3-			-2 -3		19 31			11/13	at Colora Stanfo								117 51	63 4 50 5
5-1		-1		33			11/20	Orego							28	19	44	#51	Score Def	#58	5-		3-	-1		35			11/20	Arizor								20	31 3
2-4	1-	-2	1	19			11/27	Colora	do						117	63	40	#25 #51	Sks By Ypg Diff	#71 #48	5-	1	2-	-1	1	33			11/27	at Orego	in					_	_	28	19 4
																		-2	Total TO's	+3																			
			N	et			Offens	e	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds										let			Offense	e	Com	ID.	Yds		Dei	efense		Comp	Yds
Score		Yo	ds F	D T	'0's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks					Score		Y	is I	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	: 1	Pass SI		FD RA	A-RY	YPC	Att	Pass S
Weber St at BYU		1-17 18 1-26 -2					31-188 25-193		21-29 15-26	262 147	2	14 21	30-57 46-219		21-33 18-30	213 149	3				at Purdue Hawaii			-30 -3 i-27 10		-9 2	0	15 28	25-78 42-256	3.1 6.1	21-3		285 1 302 1					29-42 24-49	313
at San Diego St		-33 7				21	31-70	2.3	33-58	257	3		45-204		10-19	44	1				Idaho			2-0 24		9	1	23	39-248	6.4	17-2							13-25	84
Washington St	24	-13 3	1 -	3	0	19	33-212	6.4	13-23	137	1	22	37-70	1.9	25-38	248	8			ers	at USC			-27 10		-1	2		51-322	6.3	15-1			_				31-49	355
at Bye at USC	42	!-26 -:	7 -	4	1	23	35-180	5.1	22-28	306	2	27	25-92	3.7	33-53	401	2		, E	Š	Washingto at Washingto			7-24 -2 1-31 -2		3	-1		50-242 45-309	4.8 6.9	7-1: 11-2							17-26 32-46	142 399
Arizona St	35	i-21 7	0	7			36-208		21-33	247	0			4.2	20-31	237	4	1	} §	Sea	Bye																		
at Oregon St UCLA					-															St B	Utah at California																		
at Stanford																		4			at Colorado																		
at Arizona Oregon																		±	EAM	Jon	Stanford Arizona S	t																	
Colorado																			F	reg	at Oregon																		
																				ō																			
Average Conference		-23 54 -20 31					32-175 35-200		63.5% 66.7%		4.6% 3.6%			3.6		215 295	8.8%				Average Confere			1-23 60 1-27 17			0.5		42-243 49-291	5.8 6.0	60.5 55.0		199 3.9 140 5.0		22 30 23 27	7 115		51.6%	277 5.5 299 5.6
Away		1-28 14							62.5%			20									Home	ince		3-17 10					44-249		65.2								197 9.0
																		1.35	Utah QB/WR vs. Oregon St DB's Utah RB's vs. Oregon St LB's Utah OL vs.	1.08																			
i																			Oregon St DL Utah DL																				
																			vs.	0.10																			
																			Oregon St OL Utah LB'S																				
																		0.78	vs.																				
																			Oregon St RB's	5	-																		
																		1.31	vs.																				
Last Five	Veare M	ootinac	(Lact	22 vez	re at l	DhilSt	eele co	m)											Oregon St QB/V Utah ST's	VR	Cate	gory.		Te	am & Ma	rain							Dhil'e F	Forecast	t -				
	Rank Li					Comp-A		TO's				Proje	cted I	Box S	core				vs.	1.98		g ,				- 9													
Utah	1:	1.5 3	0 2	1 44	-229	18-27	7-174												Oregon St ST's		Las Vegas Lir	ne		ι	Itah By	3													e Nolan in irst 6 drive
Oregon St	- 51		4 2	2 31	-133		8-202	-1				Pre	jected	Rushin	ng				Utah K								v	vith 1	punt in 1	1st 3Q's	and led 3	0-10 a	fter a 54	yd PR	TD. Utah	h had ju	just 21 y	ds in 4	and OSU
	Rank Li					Comp-A		TO's	0	174	yards	Uta		Orego		209 y	ards	1.85	vs.		Las Vegas To	tal		5	6.5 Poin	ts													:34 left, 3 in a 52-7
Utah	15 -14				-256	14-18			ents					Passin		,			Oregon St K								b	olowout	t (+14').	Utes ha	ive won t	he last	3 in Corv	allis (2	2-1 ATS)	and Ut	tah is 11	1-7-1 A	ΓS as an A
Oregon St	- 5				2-48		2-169	0	Sont	216	vards	Uta		Orego	-	186 y	ards		Utah Coaches		+/- Ratings			Ut	ah By	1.3													an at QB a me OSU or
2018	Rank Li	ne Sor				Comp-A		TO's	of C					rdage 1		,		1.50	vs.								4	4&19 p	ass got :	18 yds a	nd was Si	OD at 5	with :33	3 in a r	road loss	to Was	sh St 31	-24. U	ah opened
	21 -	7 1			-275	4-13				390	yards	Uta		Orego		395 y	ards		Oregon St Coach	ies	Game Grade			Orec	on St B	y 2.2													t 6 Q's Uta on the roa
Oregon St		.5 1		.5 35		9-30		0	Return to Table	330	,			inal Sc		233 y			Utah Pts					2.2				ast we	eek I use	d them	as a Best	Bet an	d ASU op	en 75,	/12 TD U	Jtah ope	oen 75/1	1 TD 7	7 but ASU
L. egon oc	40	1		33	/	, ,,,			Ę	30 /	ooints	Uta		Orego		28 p	nints	6.79	vs.	3.90	Computer Ya	ırds		Orego	on St 39	5-390													with ASU really favo
									etu	50				Rankir		20 pi			Oregon St Pts					J. 091			t	he Bea	evers fre	sh off a b	ye and a	t home	with Uta	ah off E	B2B huge	e game	es vs Pac	12 So	th foes. T
1									æ		72	Uta		Orego		4	3				Computer Po	ints		,,,	tah 30-	28													ying their nith is 2-9
														ıle Stre		4	_	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWA	RDED TO:				- 0	50-			ATS as			-								
											35	Uta		Orego		5	7		Utah		Phil Steele				Jtah by	7													
										•	,,	uta		orego	nı ət	5	,								zan by	•					Ut	tah	30 Oı	reg	on St	t 23			
																			By 2.90 Point	ts .																			

						BY	U Cou	gars									OVER		OVERALL:								Wasl	h St C	ouga	rs						
							Cougars L	-									5-: vs. I		4-3 vs. PAC 12									Cougars								
TM REC FOE TM REC CONF	FOE .	ΓM +/- F	OE +/-	AF TM	FOE	DATE		0E	SCORE	w/I	TEA OFF	M DEF	PS RA	NK OFF	FOE DEF	E ST	0-0	NCAA RANKIN	3-2 GS	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/	- FOE +/-	TM A	FOE	DATE		FOE	sco	RE W/L		TEAM DEF	PS R ST	ANK OFF	FOE DEF ST
0-0 0-0 0-0	0-0		116	- 1111	FUL		† Arizona	OL .	24-16		57	78	8	103	106	102		2021 TEAM RANK		0-0 0-0				110	114	FOL	9/4	Utah S		23-		42		128	101	100 24
1-0 1-0 0-0 2-0 2-0 0-0			137 132	23	21 19	9/11 9/18	Utah Arizona	C+	26-17 27-17		45 54	74 75	30 40	20 17	10 27	107 9	ВУ	1	Wash St	0-1 1-1 1-1	0-0		128 125				9/11 9/18	Portla: USC	nd St	44-1 14-4				73 30	14	19 45
3-0 1-2 0-0			109	15	19	9/18	USF	St	35-27		76	88		116	120	116	#79	Rush Off	#111	1-1 1-1								at Utah		13-				52	53	33 102
4-0 3-1 0-0			116	13			at Utah St		34-20		63		31	38	103	34	#47	Pass Off	#41	1-3 1-3								at Califor		21-				64	28	54 119
5-0 2-3 0-0 5-1 5-1 0-0	1-1 3-1		127 135	10 19		10/9	Boise S at Baylor	t	17-26 24-38		49 54	82 72	34 41	95 14	60 33	4 26	# 62 #79	Total Off Score Off	# 82 #86	2-3 4-1 3-3 3-3							10/9 10/16	Orego Stanfo		31-3				69 60	33 55	46 45 45 80
5-2 4-3 0-0	3-2	126	129			10/23	at Washin				53			83	49	83	#47	Sks Allowed		4-3 5-2	3-2	0-0	129	126			10/23	BYU				83	49	83	53	87 54
5-2	3-2		135			10/30	Virginia Idaho S							41	91	99	#77 #87	Rush Def Pass Def	#79 #86	5-2		3-1		135			10/30 11/6	at Arizon Bye	a St						20	31 39
						11/13	Bye										#78	Total Def	#79	5-1		2-1		133			11/13	at Orego							28	19 44
2-5 3-3	1-3 2-3		110 127			11/20	at GA Sou USC	thern						107 23	115 66	41 36	#53 #71	Score Def Sks By	#72 #71	0-6 2-4		0-3 1-2		107 128			11/20	Arizon at Washii							109 68	99 129 16 107
3.3	2-3		127			11/2/	030							23	00	30	#75	Ypg Diff	#88	2.7		- 12		120			11/2/	at wasiii	ngton						00	10 107
																	+3	Total TO's	+3																	
			Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds									Net			Offens	e	Comp	y Yd:	s		Defense		Comp	Yds
Score		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks			YPC	Att	Pass	Sks					Score		Yds		TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att			FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Sks
† Arizona Utah	24-16 26-17	-58 28	-9 6	2	18 21	34-161 46-219	4.7 4.8	19-29 18-30	207 149	0				36-48 15-26	345 147	2				Utah St Portland St		23-26 44-24			1	20 27	26-149 33-159		20-3			25 24			23-36 28-50	219 0 318 2
Arizona St	27-17	-65	4	2	23	39-144	3.7	16-28	217	2	19	33-161	4.9	21-29	265	2				USC		14-45	5 -168	-9	-1	15	30-99	3.3	20-3	4 18	0 3	24	25-48	1.9	21-48	399 1
USF at Utah St	35-27 34-20	76 130	-2 -1	2		25-138 36-221	5.5 6.1	20-25 22-30	305 245	2					186 314	3			S	at Utah at California		13-24 21-6			-1	22	37-70 34-113	1.9 3.3	25-31 25-4						13-23 14-30	137 1 152 4
Boise St		101	0	-4		27-111	4.1	22-37	302	1					172	1	Z.	AVERAGES	Cougar	Oregon St		31-24		-3	1	23	24-92	3.8	32-4						11-26	158 1
at Baylor	24-38	-125	-7	0	15	24-67	2.8	22-31	342	5	22	47-303	6.4	18-28	231	0	Cougars	, ₹	5	Stanford		34-31	1 40	0	2	20	27-100	3.7	17-3	0 28	9 1	20	35-76	2.2	23-32	273 4
at Washington St Virginia																	į			BYU at Arizona St																
Idaho St																		 	5	Bye																
Bye at GA Southern																	BYU	TEAM	łs.	at Oregon Arizona																
USC																		-	Wash	at Washington	1															
																			_																	
Average	27-23		-1.3	0.4		33-152	4.6	66.2%				33-154 35-185								Average				-0.6			30-112		62.39		4 7.0%					
Conference Away	25-22 27-25		-1.5 -5.7	1.3		36-148 31-150		63.6% 70.0%				39-135								Conferen Home	ice			-0.4			30-95 28-120	3.1 4.3	62.69							224 6.9% 273 4.2%
																		Position by Position																		
																	BY	BYU QB/WR	Wash St																	
																		vs.	1.04																	
																		Wash St DB's BYU RB's	5																	
																		vs.	1.61																	
																		Wash St LB's BYU OL	•																	
																	0.64	vs.																		
																		Wash St DL BYU DL																		
																		vs.	0.05																	
																		Wash St OL																		
																	1.38	BYU LB'S vs.																		
																		Wash St RB's	5																	
																		BYU DB's	0.21																	
																		Wash St QB/W																		
Last Five Years	s Meetin	gs (Las	st 22 y	ears at	PhilSt	eele.cor	n).				Proje	cted B	Box S	core]	BYU ST's		Catego	ory		Team	& Margin							Phil's For	ecast:				
None												u L	J	_0.0			0.46	vs.		Las Vegas Line	a					The las	t time W	/ash St pl	layed a no	on-conf o	game afte	r Septe	mber was	in 2009	, when P	aul Wulff's
																		Wash St ST's	•				Byu	ı By 4.5		Cougar	s lost to	Notre Da	me 40-14	4 in a Ha	illoween n	matchu	at the A	lamo-doi	me. The	Cougars
											Pro	ojected I	Rushin	g				вуи к		Lac Versa T	-1	_							gue game 38-36). Th							ne 1981 ! when BYU
									126	yards	BY	U	Wash	St	113 ya	ards		vs.	0.70	Las Vegas Tot	al		55.5	5 Points		won 30	0-6 as an	11' pt H	IF. Wazzoi	u is 1-3	in the ser	ies (2-2	ATS) and	d has los	t the last	Rolovich
								ints			Pr	ojected	Passin	g				Wash St K											at Hawaii 11 in the							3 (+10). ra to injury.
								onte	237	yards	BY		Wash		295 ya	ards		BYU Coaches		+/- Ratings			Wash	St By 17.5		They le	ed Utah 1	13-7 3Q b	out lost bu	ut then r	ebounded	d to ups	et both C	al (21-6)	and Ore	gon St (31-
								Return to Table of Co		,										-				,		70/6 T	D's tie 13	3-13 ther	n 67/10 S	OD 9 bu	it Stanf fr	mbl and	WST 10/	1 TD. Sta	anf 62/8	/ST 70/3, FG :39 left 20
								e o				cted Yar					0.75	vs.		Game Grade																's lead 31-27
								de	363	yards	BY	U	Wash	St	408 ya	ards		Wash St Coach	es				Byu	By 0.5		after a	5-0 star	t and are	a perfect	3-0 vs t	the Pac 12	2 this y	ear includ	ing home	e upsets	ig playoffs of Utah and
								a to			Proj	ected Fi	nal Sc	ore				BYU Pts		Computer Yar	ds					Ariz St.	. Last we	ek Baylo	r had a 30	05-135 y	d edge at	t half bu	it on 4&4	from 6	was int in	EZ and SOD fumble 67/4
								gar	24 p	points	BY	U	Wash	St	25 po	ints	3.23	vs.	3.61		-		Wash S	St 408-36	3	for TD	31-14. B	Bay went	82/12 for	TD to le	ad 38-17	3:55 k	eft then B	YU went	75/7 for	gbg TD 1:51
								Re			Exp	erience	Rankin	ngs				Wash St Pts				•														s at a 108.0 /ash St this
									1	.19	BY	U	Wash	st St	20	,				Computer Poir	nts		Wash	St 25-24		week s	o they a	re short-l	handed bu							with the
												Schedu					POS	ITIONAL EDGE AWA	AKDED TO:							Raiders	over De	enver last	week).							
										25			Wash		36			Wash St		Phil Steele			Y**-	h St by 1												
									1	2.3	BY	0	wasn	1 31	36	'							wasi	St by 1					Was	hina	ton S	t 24	BYU	23		
																		By 0.38 Poin	its																	

	Lovenau	
Oregon Ducks	OVERALL: OVERALL: 5-1 5-2	UCLA Bruins
Ducks Logs TEAMPS RANK FOE	vs. PAC 12 vs. PAC 12 2-1 3-1	Bruins Logs TEAM PS RANK
TM REC REC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC REC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST
0-0 1-0 0-0 0-0 135 125 11 9/4 Fresno St 31-24 W 11 14 78 34 69 125 1-0 1-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 134 146 12 3 9/11 at Ohio St 35-28 W 18 17 20 2 15 49	2021 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 131 122 8/28 Hawaii 44-10 W 28 32 82 90 57 86 1-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 135 144 16 9/4 LSU 38-27 W 21 29 15 16 10 16
1-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 134 146 12 3 9/11 at Ohio St 35-28 W 18 17 20 2 15 49 2-0 0-0 139 4 9/18 Stony Brook 48-7 W 23 22 74	Oregon UCLA	1-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 135 144 16 9/4 LSU 38-27 W 21 29 15 16 10 16 2-0 0-0 139 16 9/11 Bye 12 23 16
3-0 0-3 0-0 0-0 139 109 3 9/25 Arizona 41-19 W 26 26 38 100 99 126		
4-0 2-2 1-0 1-1 137 129 3 10/2 at Stanford 24-31 L 26 28 39 45 55 90 4-1 1-1 134 8 10/9 Bye 31 26 41	#83 Pass Off #9 #50 Total Off #5	The state of the s
4-1 1-4 1-1 0-2 134 123 9 10/16 California 24-17 W 32 21 39 41 48 105		
5-1 5-2 2-1 3-1 133 134 10 10/23 at UCLA 28 19 44 30 26 29 2-4 1-2 119 10/30 Colorado 117 63 40	#47 Sks Allowed #79 #54 Rush Def #8	4-2 2-3 2-1 1-1 132 130 10/16 at Washington 24-17 W 30 26 29 62 10 114 5-2 5-1 3-1 2-1 134 133 10 10/23 Oregon 0 0 0 28 19 44
2-4 1-2 128 11/6 at Washington 68 16 107	#109 Pass Def #12	1 4-2 3-0 137 10/30 at Utah 34 15 108
4-3 3-2 129 11/13 Washington St 83 49 83 4-2 3-0 137 11/20 at Utah 34 15 108	#87 Total Def #6 #40 Score Def #6	
4-2 2-1 130 11/27 Oregon St 37 46 43	#84 Sks By #5	3-3 2-3 127 11/20 at USC 23 66 36
	#71 Ypg Diff #6 +9 Total TO's +5	
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass		Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass
Fresno St 31-24 -15 -3 2 20 49-186 3.8 15-24 172 3 23 30-75 2.5 30-43 298 4		Hawaii 44-10 123 4 2 20 43-244 5.7 12-22 148 2 16 21-26 1.2 28-53 243 2
at Ohio St 35-28 -107 -5 1 27 38-269 7.1 17-35 236 0 32 31-128 4.1 35-54 484 2 Stony Brook 48-7 165 12 4 26 39-173 4.4 22-30 263 3 14 39-140 3.6 10-23 131 1		LSU 38-27 91 -5 0 19 47-210 4.5 9-16 260 4 24 25-49 2.0 26-46 330 2 Bye
Arizona 41-19 -42 -14 5 17 31-187 6.0 10-24 206 2 31 53-202 3.8 21-35 233 1	_	Fresno St 37-40 -174 -15 1 17 29-117 4.0 14-24 278 0 32 37-114 3.1 29-54 455 3
at Stanford 24-31 60 -2 -1 21 54-228 4.2 14-26 186 2 23 35-124 3.5 20-36 230 2	S Si s	at Stanford 35-24 95 13 0 24 51-204 4.0 18-29 251 2 11 22-67 3.0 19-32 293 2 Arizona St 23-42 -40 5 0 22 52-190 3.7 21-32 235 4 17 33-178 5.4 13-19 287 0
Bye California 24-17 52 0 -2 24 35-210 6.0 20-28 244 1 24 36-155 4.3 25-45 247 2	on Ducks AVERAGES A Bruins	Arizona St 23-42 -40 5 0 22 52-190 3.7 21-32 235 4 17 33-178 5.4 13-19 287 0 at Arizona 34-16 49 -5 0 22 47-329 7.0 8-19 82 3 27 42-122 2.9 26-38 240 3
at UCLA		at Washington 24-17 153 3 2 22 40-237 5.9 21-27 183 0 19 31-83 2.7 20-30 184 2
Colorado at Washington	egon	Oregon at Utah
Washington St	rego EAM A	Bye
at Utah Oregon St	- P - P - P - P - P - P - P - P - P - P	Colorado at USC
Oregon St.		California Care Care Care Care Care Care Care Car
Average 34-21 18.8 -2.0 1.5 23 41-209 5.1 58.7% 218 6.6% 25 37-137 3.7 59.7% 271 5.1%		Average 34-25 42.4 0.0 0.7 21 44-219 5.0 60.9% 205 8.9% 21 30-91 3.0 59.2% 290 5.1%
Conference 30-22 23.3 -5.3 0.7 21 40-208 5.2 56.4% 212 6.4% 26 41-160 3.9 56.9% 237 4.3% Away 30-30 -23.5 -3.5 0.0 24 46-249 5.4 50.8% 211 3.3% 28 33-126 3.8 61.1% 357 4.4%		Conference 29-25 64.3 4.0 0.5 23 48-240 5.1 63.6% 188 8.4% 19 32-113 3.5 65.5% 251 5.9% Home 36-30 0.0 -2.8 0.8 20 43-190 4.5 59.6% 230 10.6% 22 29-92 3.2 55.8% 329 4.1%
Away 30-30 (2.5. 2.3) 0.0 24 40-249 3.4 30.0% 211 3.3% 20 33-120 3.0 01.1% 337 4.4%	Position by Position Edges	Titline 30-30 0.0 -2.0 0.0 20 43-190 4.3 35.070 2.30 10.070 22 23-92 3.2 33.070 323 41.170
	Oregon UCLA Oregon QB/WR	
	vs. 0.1	
	UCLA DB's	
	Oregon RB's vs. 1.5	
	UCLA LB's	
	Oregon OL 0.60 vs.	
	UCLA DL	
	Oregon DL vs. 0.1	
	UCLA OL	
	Oregon LB'S	
	0.77 vs. UCLA RB's	
	Oregon DB's	-
	0.86 vs. UCLA QB/WR	
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)	Oregon ST's	Category Team & Margin Phil's Forecast:
2020 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Box Score	vs. 1.3	Las Vegas Line
Oregon 11 -17 38 21 34-88 19-30-334	UCLA ST's	Ucla By 2 Oregon has won 13 of the last 16 in the series including 8 of the last 9 (5-4 ATS). Last year UCLA
UCLA - 62.5 35 27 51-267 19-31-195 -3	Oregon K	was without 9 players including DTR and rFr Chase Griffin started with RB Felton rushing for 167
2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 2127 yards Oregon UCLA 218 yards	vs.	yds. Oregon took advantage of two fumbles to lead 14-0 but trailed 17-14 when they got a 58 yd IR TD at :00 by Happle to lead 21-17 H. Oregon had leads of 31-21, 38-28 but UCLA down 3 had
Oregon10 42 20 42-200 19-33-292 Projected Passing	UCLA K	ball but was SOD, and Oregon escaped 38-35 (-17). The Bruins lone win occurred the last time
UCLA - 61.5 21 29 38-199 23-49-297 -3 238 yards Uregon UCLA 256 yards	Oregon Coaches	here (17) when UCLA won its 600th game in a 31-14 (-6) victory. UCLA has had impressive wins vs LSU and Stanford but also lost to Fresno St and Ariz St both at home. Last week UCLA was
2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Yardage Total	0.70 vs.	without WR Phillips. UCLA led 17-10 H. UW 75/9 TD 17-17 but after each team punt UCLA 90/13
Oregon - 68.5 14 24 62-246 8-15-74 UCLA6 31 22 37-142 21-36-266 2 Projected Final Score 29 points Oregon UCLA 474 yards 29 points Oregon UCLA 32 points Experience Rankings	UCLA Coaches	TD 8:19 left for the win. Oregon opened 4-1 with an impressive win vs Ohio State. They did trail Fresno late and only led Arizona by 5 4Q in a couple of wins where they were outgained. Last week
UCLA6 31 22 37-142 21-36-266 2 Projected Final Score	Oregon Pts	Oregon led 10-7 H. Cal 45 yd FG then 80/11/5:46 TD lead 17-10 13:37 UO 62/5 TD then 70/7 TD
29 points Oregon UCLA 32 points	2.93 vs. 3.1	Ucla 474-364 Oregon is at 105.2 (UCLA by 6.2) but even more impressively UCLA is at 110.7 the L4W and UO is
Experience Rankings	UCLA Pts	at 101.4 (UCLA by 12.8) and the Bruins are +42 ypg taking on the #16 schedule and Oregon is
101 Oregon UCLA 23	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Computer Points
Team Schedule Strength		Dhi Chanle
55 Oregon UCLA 17	UCLA	Phil Steele Ucla by 7
	By 0.25 Points	UCLA 31 Oregon 24

							as St W		S							OVER/ 2-4	ı	5-2					Te	xas Te			ders					
FOE	TM	FOE			A	.P	Wildcats L	ogs			TEAM	PS	RANK	FO	E	vs. BIC 0-3		vs. BIG 12 2-2	FOE	TM FO	E		AP	Red	Raiders L	.ogs		Т	TEAM	PS	RANK	FOE
			TM +/-		тм	FOE DATE	FC		SCORE		OFF DEF		OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKINGS	3		TM FO		FOE +/-	TM FOE		FOE		SCORE		OFF DE		OFF	DEF ST
	0-0	0-0	125 130	131		9/4 9/11	† Stanford Souther	n Illinois	24-7 23-31		53 96 49 93		58	67	37		2021 TEAM RANKIN		0-0 0-0 1-0	0-0 0-	0 126 128	121		9/4 +	Houston SF Austin		38-21 28-22		31 45 39 40		73	48 3
	0-0	0-0	129	128	25	9/18	Nevada at Oklahon	6.	38-17		61 83			62	55	Kansa		Texas Tech	2-0 1-1		0 124	108		9/18	FIU		54-21		36 36			89 18
	0-0	0-0 1-0	132 130	130 142	25	9/25 6 10/2	Oklahon		20-31 31-37		58 48 77 51		86 14	40 8	63 14	#69 #100	Rush Off Pass Off	#62 #21	3-0 2-1 3-1 2-2		0 126 1 123	139 132			t Texas t West Virgi	nia	35-70 23-20		30 32 36 65			70 65 32 13
	0-2 0-2		130	142		10/9	Bye		20.22		72 59 75 54		24	22	110	#95 #76	Total Off	#24	4-1 4-1 2-2	1-1	126	122			Bye TCU		21 52		41 74 38 88		16	69 57
		1-1 2-2		142 125		10/16 10/23	Iowa St at Texas Te		20-33		75 54 74 72			22 88	119 58	#38	Score Off Sks Allowed	#25 #27	4-1 2-2 4-2 1-4		1 123 2 125	132 103		10/16 10/23 at			31-52 41-14		36 88	58		128 87
3-3 1-5		1-2 0-3		134 101		10/30	TCU at Kansas						6 121	85 128	66 101	#23 #95	Rush Def Pass Def	#84 #65	2-4 7-0	0- 4-	3	131 145			Kansas St t Oklahoma						74 5	72 34 37 55
2-4		0-3		125		11/13	West Vir	ginia					94	52	15	#51	Total Def	#74	4-2		1	141			Iowa St						18	24 115
6-1 4-3		3-1 2-2		135 136		11/20	Baylor at Texas						10 7	38 79	16 19	#65 #42	Score Def Sks By	#100 #94	6-0 6-1		0	138 135		11/20 11/27 at	Oklahoma t Baylor	St					79 10	17 79 38 16
						,										#82	Ypg Diff	#40						,-:								
																-2	Total TO's	-4														
				Net		Offens		Comp	Yds		Defen		Comp									Net		Offense		Comp	Yds		Defer		Comp	Yds
† Stanford		24-7	Yds 111	FD 1	TO's	FD RA-RY 15 31-200	YPC 6.5	9-14	Pass 144		FD RA-R 14 22-3		Att 23-30	Pass 194	Sks 4				† Houston		-21 125	FD	TO's FD 3 15		YPC 5.2	Att 17-24	Pass 231	Sks 3	FD RA-F		Att 27-38	Pass Sks 174 4
Southern Illinoi	s	31-23	104	7	-1	24 49-208		11-21	172		17 40-10		16-28		6			õ	SF Austin		-22 -11	-11	-4 11	28-190	6.8	12-22	174		22 29-3		38-59	343 2
Nevada at Oklahoma St		38-17 20-31	-221	-12	-2	22 48-269 13 25-62	5.6 2.5	9-13 14-31	129 198		14 23-2 25 43-1		28-41 22-34		3	3		<u>a</u>	FIU at Texas		-21 338 -70 -119	-7	0 31 -1 20	37-177 33-128		27-37 26-34	407 392		10 29-5 27 52-3		13-29 18-23	191 2 303 1
Oklahoma		31-37	28	0	0	22 27-100		29-41	320			31 4.1			2	ca	Si	Raider	at West Virginia	23		-2	0 20			23-35	266		22 27-9			
Bye Iowa St		20-33	-76	2	-1	21 33-136	4.1	15-23	206	3	19 35-2:	10 6.0	22-25	208	0	Wild	AVERAGES	P	TCU TCU	31	-52 60	8	-1 26	37-214	5.8	23-41	344	1	18 47-3	94 8.4	8-10	104 0
at Texas Tech																≥		Red	at Kansas	41	-14 165	6	-1 23	42-244	5.8	18-25	194	0	17 33-1	45 4.4	15-28	128 0
TCU at Kansas																ş		ech I	Kansas St at Oklahoma													
West Virginia																as	IEAM	ခို	Iowa St													
Baylor at Texas																ınsa	•	<u> </u>	Oklahoma St at Baylor													
																Ā		e xa														
																		Ĕ														
Average Conference		27-25		1.0 -3.3		20 36-163 19 28-99		60.8% 61.1%	195 241		19 33-10 22 37-1								Average Conference		-31 68.6 -39 7.0	2.0 1.3		34-168 36-167		67.0% 66.7%			19 36-1			225 5.0% 216 3.1%
Away			-55.0			14 28-131		51.1%			20 33-8								Home		-32 129.0		-1.7 23			62.0%						213 4.1%
																Kansa	Position by Position Ed s St	iges exas Tech														
																	Kansas St QB/WF	R														
																	vs. Texas Tech DB's	0.23														
																	Kansas St RB's	0.08														
																	vs. Texas Tech LB's															
																0.38	Kansas St OL vs.															
																0.30	Texas Tech DL															
																0.27	Kansas St DL vs.															
																0.27	Texas Tech OL															
																0.59	Kansas St LB'S vs.															
																	Texas Tech RB's															
																	Kansas St DB's	1.06														
																	Texas Tech QB/W											-				
Last Five	Years	s Meeti	ngs (La	FD FD	years at	Comp-Att-Yds	<u>om).</u> TO's			Р	rojecte	d Box	Score				Kansas St ST's vs.		Category		Team &	Margin					Phil	's Forecas	it:			
	auk	Line	ocure o				.08									1.49			Las Vegas Line		-											TTech. In last
Kansas St	-	-2	31	20	42-198												Texas Tech ST's				Ev	en		s game KSt I ech missed a								TD to go up 7 0 lead and
Texas Tech	-	63.5	21	27	34-204		-1					ed Rush	-				Kansas St K		Las Vegas Total				TTech	missed and	other FG or	n their ne	ext poss. T	Tech ca	me out in t	ne 2H and	l looked li	ike a new team d. KSt scored
	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	S	191 ya	ırds	Kansas St		s Tech	129 y	ards	0.10	vs.				60.5 I	Points	TD of	their own to	o re-take tl	he lead 2	4-21 and	then wo	uld put the	game aw	ay with a	88/6 TD drive
Kansas St	-	55.5	30	21	37-126	14-28-246		tent				ted Pass					Texas Tech K		+/- Ratings					Q to win 31 r HC Kliemar								t Big 12 foes st 5 games
Texas Tech	-	-2.5	27	26	31-144	29-50-368	-1	Con	167 ya	irds	Kansas St	Texa	s Tech	297 y	ards		Kansas St Coache	es			Kansas S	St By 2.3	have	decided by 1	10 pts or le	ss. Texas	Tech ope	ned 4-1	with a big	win over I	Houston,	a blowout loss
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	e of			Projected	Yardage	e Total			0.35	vs.		Game Grade					xas but a so ock. Last we								nt TT 52-31 in -11 on 3rd
Kansas St	-	56.5	21	22	50-154	17-26-213		to Table	358 ya	ırds	Kansas St	Texa	s Tech	427 y	ards		Texas Tech Coache	es	odine orage		Kansas S	St By 0.5	down	. TT open 21	H with 2 TD	and FG	and led 4	L-0 with	6:29 left t	nen Kansi	as went 7	75/10 and 35/ nating wins
Texas Tech	-	-6.5	6	11	26-31	19-30-150	-2	ţ			Projecte	d Final S	core				Kansas St Pts						over	Stanford and	d Nevada. \	Without C	QB Thomp	son they	struggled	at Okla St	and lost	by 11 but he
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	Return	33 po	ints	Kansas St	Texa	s Tech	30 pc	oints	3.18	vs.	1.37	Computer Yards		Texas Tec	:h 427-358										ot a 75 yd TD 18/10:02 TD
Kansas St	-	63	42	24	36-145	18-28-263		Re			Experier	ice Rank	ings				Texas Tech Pts						27-7	2:55. KST 7	5/11 TD, I	ST 52/5	FG and KS	T 75/11	TD 30-20	5:05. IST	recover	onside 40 yd
Texas Tech	-	-3.5	35	26	31-122		0		88		Kansas St		-	7:	1				Computer Points		Kansas	St 33-30	edge	on defense a	and on Spe	cial team						it KSt has the ble is the
	Rank	Lipe	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's				eam Sch			,		POS	ITIONAL EDGE AWARI	DED TO:					slight	ly better tea	am and at h	nome.						
Kansas St		-10	44	21	39-231	•	103		12		Kansas St			79	q		Kansas St		Phil Steele		Texas T	och by 4										
	-				28-63				12		numas al	ieka	.5 18611	/:	_						rexas I	cen by 4			Te	xas 1	Tech :	31 K	ansas	St 27	,	
Texas Tech		67.5	38	33	∠8-63	46-63-529	-1										By 1.81 Points	S														

1																	OVE	MALL:	VERALL:															
The content of the					_			-		ainers							2-	4	3-3									gs						
The control of the	TM REC PEC	TM	FOE	TM +/- I	FOE +/-	Al	P											3	1-2	TM REC POE	TM F	OE TM +	- FOE +/-	AP										
Total Control					тм																		TM FO	_								OFF C	EF ST	
3 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			1-0		130	15									13	15	West V	'irginia	TCU								nia	34-32	. W				87 4	13 126
The content with the	2-1 3-0	0-0	0-0	129	145		4 9/25	at Oklaho	oma	13-16	L	73 3	8 12	4	6	22				2-0 3-0	0-0 0)-0 137	122		9/25	SMU				14	46	61		
1	2-3 4-1	0-2					10/9	at Baylor			L i	84 2	4 15	21			#83		#21	2-2 4-1	0-1 1	l-1 132	123		10/9	at Texas	Tech	52-31	. W	16	69	57	38 1	38 72
4 1			1-2		134										85	66								4				31-52	! L					
The control of the	4-2		2-1		141		10/3	0 Iowa S						18	24					2-4	C)-3	131			at Kansas	s St						74	72 34
This is a second content of the co	2-4		0-3		131		11/1	3 at Kansas	s St					74	72	34	#45	Total Def	#111	6-0	3	3-0	138		11/13	at Oklaho	oma St						79 :	17 79
Part																																		
No. 1																																		
Part					N-4		0#		C	V-l-		D-f		C	V-1-								N-A		0#		C	V-1-			D-4			d-
Continue		ore			FD		FD RA-F	RY YPC	Att	Pass		FD RA-	RY YPC	Att	Pass								FD		RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass		FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att P	ass Sks
Water Part																	Š	2																
The content	Virginia Tech	ı			-1	-1	18 33-1	76 5.3				19 41-	106 2.6	19-31	. 223		2.		w		3.	4-42 -140	-0				16-78	276	2		52-350			45 N
The column The	Texas Tech		20-23	78	2	0	22 27-9	94 3.5	26-35	330	2	20 30-	-80 2.7	23-35	266	3	ţ	S S	60	Texas	2	7-32 -63	-1	-2 24	41-169	4.1	20-28	182	1	25 5	53-272	5.1 1	2-22 1	42 2
The column The			20-45	-163	3	-1	24 38-9	90 2.4	24-37	272	6	21 32-	171 5.3	20-31	354	0		8 8	正															
State Stat																	1	_	eq															
The content of the	Oklahoma S	:															2.	4	<u> </u>	Baylor														
Part																	Ş																	
Accorage Part Par	at Kansas																5	. -	5	at Iowa St														
Accession Control Co																			_															
This is a continue of the co	Average		28-23	24.0	3.2	-1.0	22 34-1	09 3.2	64.7%	266							3	F		Average	3	7-32 13.7	2.2	0.2 23	41-226	5.6	65.6%	233	4.0%	21 3	39-210	5.4 58	1.2% 2	35 5.2%
Mark Part		е																																
Next Vision Part Vision Part Vision Part Next Vision Part Vision Visi																	West V	,																
Total Part P																	west		'R															
Projected Bass Projected Plass Projected P																			0.18															
Note Note																																		
Vest Vergins D. D. Case Projected Rushing TOU Case Projected Rushing TOU Case Projected Rushing TOU Case Projected Rushing TOU Case Projected Rushing TOU Case Projected Rushing TOU Case Tou Case																																		
																		vs.	0.00															
True True																																		
New Triging 1.5 1.																			0.37															
Total Ray Tota																		West Virginia LB'S																
Last Five Years Heerlings (Last 22 years at Philisted acomposition Philips Femomats																			0.69															
Last Five Years Heatings Last Five Years																																		
Name Line Store FO Rush Line Store FO Rush Comp-Alt-Vide To	l act E	ve Ver-	rs Maas	nas (La	st 22	veare of	PhilSteele	com)												Category		Term	& Marnin						Phil's Force	rast:				
To Fig. Fi		Rank	Line	Score Score							P	roject	ed Box	Score			1.35					redit	. A margin	Tho	Mountaino	ers are 4	1 SII and				1 Front	ast veer	TCILcan	ne into this
Projected Rushing 1.50	West Virginia	-	-3	24	18	37-180	19-26-212											TCU ST's		Las Vegas Line		Tcı	By 4.5	gam	e as the sli	ight under	rdog (+3)	but WVU	kicked of	ff the scor	ring with	a 99/15	TD drive	that took
Name Line Score FO Rush Comp-Att-Vide To's	TCU	-	44	6	19	34-134	16-29-161	-2				Proje	cted Rusi	hing				West Virginia K						half	the two te	ams would	d trade FG	's and th	e only otl	her score	came or	a WVU 4	2/2 driv	e after a
Projected Passing Pro	2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yd	s TO's		127 y	ards W	Vest Virgir	nia	TCU	202 y	ards		vs.	1.60	Las Vegas Total		56.	5 Points											
TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 222 yards TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 17 17 34-153 15-37-144 1 1 TCU13.5 17 17 34-153 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	West Virginia	-	42.5	20	13	27-86	20-35-158		ents			Proje	cted Pas	sing				тси к						all-t	me as a ro	ad underd	dog. Six of	the past	7 meetin	igs have a	also gone	'Under' 1	he total	. West
Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds To's F	TCU	-	-13.5	17	17	34-153	15-37-144	1	5	222 y	ards W	Vest Virgir	nia	TCU	232 y	ards		West Virginia Coache	es	+/- Ratings		Tcu	By 13.3	hom	e and a blo	wout loss	to Baylor.	. They did	take Ok	lahoma to	the wir	e and kn	ock off a	ranked
2017 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Ad (10 68/9 for T0 with 1/09 left S2-31. WR Quinton Johnston Indonation Johnston Indonation Johnston Indonation Johnston Johnston Indonation Johnston Johnston Indonation Johnston Johnston Indonation Johnston Indonation Johnston Johnston Indonation Joh	2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yd	s TO's	ō			Projecte	d Yardag	e Total				vs.	1.10					66%	with a 8-	5 ratio. TO	CU only bea	at Cal by	2 and los	st outright	t to SMU	at home	. They g	ot a late
2017 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Experience Rankings As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's As a Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's Ad (10 68/9 for T0 with 1/09 left S2-31. WR Quinton Johnston Indonation Johnston Indonation Johnston Indonation Johnston Johnston Indonation Johnston Johnston Indonation Johnston Johnston Indonation Johnston Indonation Johnston Johnston Indonation Joh	West Virginia	7	-13.5	47	26	40-164	26-41-371		able	349 y	ards W	Vest Virgir	nia	TCU	434 y	ards		TCU Coaches		Game Grade		Tcı	Ву 6.3	31.	Last week	Caleb Willi	iams in his	s first star	rt (first tr	ue start (OU s/199	90) hit 18	-23 and	ran for 66
Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's	TCU	-	55.5	10	12	247	22-37-229	0	to T			Project	ed Final	Score				West Virginia Pts						yard	s and TCU	WR Quen	tin Johnsto	on had 18	85 yds ar	nd 3 TD's.	TCU RB	Evans wa	as out. C	U led 24-
Computer Points Computer	2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yd	s TO's	turn	26 pc	ints W	Vest Virgir	nia	TCU	35 pc	oints	1.35	vs.	6.85	Computer Yards		Tcu	434-349	and	OU 68/9 fc	or TD with	1;09 left	52-31. W	/R Quinto	on Johnsto	on lived	up to his	PS#27 b	illing and
TCU 8 -13 31 16 36-170 16-29-236 2 103 West Virginia TCU 47 POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO: POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	West Virginia	23	67.5	24	28	39-142	25-45-366		Re			Experie	ence Ran	kings				TCU Pts						(65)	% 13-2) an	d RB's Za	ch Evans ((PS#1, 5	79, 7.8) a	and Kend	re Miller	(357, 7.3). WV is	avg just
2016 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds To's Team Schedule Strength TCU Phil Steele TCU 94 Best Bet: ★ TCU 36 West Virginia 24	TCU	8	-13	31	16	36-170	16-29-236	2		10	3 W	Vest Virgir	nia	TCU	4	7	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWARD	ED TO:	Computer Points		Tc	35-26	offer	nse (#11 v	s #89). TI	he Frogs a	re the sti						
West Virginia 12 -6.5 34 25 48-158 16-23-231 45 West Virginia TCU 44 Tcu by 12	2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yd	s TO's			т	Team Sc	hedule S	trength						Bl. II Ch				expl	osive offen:	se and the	ey are only	y -4'.						
	West Virginia	12	-6.5	34	25	48-158	16-23-231			45	5 W	Vest Virgir	nia	TCU	4	4		rcu		Phil Steele		Тс	u by 12											
	TCU	-	65.5	10	18	29-152	18-31-148	-3										By 5.50 Points								Best	Bet:	* TCI	J 36	west	Virg	jinia .	24	

						Oklaho	ma St	Cowbo	ovs								RALL:					lowa S	t Cyclone	S			
							Cowboys L		-,-								4-2 BIG 12						lones Logs				
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE .	TM +/- FC	DE +/-	AP TM	FOE DATE	FC	·-	SCORE		TEAM OFF DEF	PS R ST	ANK OFF	FOE DEF ST		I-O NCAA RANKINGS	2-1	TM REC FOE TM	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP TM	FOE DATE	FOE	SCORE W/L	TEAM OFF DEF	PS RANK- ST OF	
0-0	0-0		132		IM	9/4	Missouri		23-16	W	45 36	71	UFF	DEF SI		2021 TEAM RANKINGS		0-0 0-0		146	7		Northern Iowa	16-10 W	2 20	110	r DEF SI
1-0 0-1 2-0 1-1	0-0	0-0		124 129		9/11 9/18	Tulsa at Boise St	-	28-23 21-20	W	53 41 67 48	68 31	44 62	51 74 66 3		noma St Ic	wa St	1-0 1-0 0-0 1-1 0-2 0-0	1-0 0-0	142 147 141 106	9		Iowa UNLV	17-27 L 48-3 W	4 23 10 18	69 7: 121 12	
3-0 2-1	0-0	0-0	130	132		25 9/25	Kansas :		31-20	W	86 40	63	58	48 28	#59	Rush Off	#41	2-1 3-0 0-0	1-0	143 132			Baylor	29-31 L	38 20	93 9	31 77
4-0 4-0 5-0	1-0 2-0	2-0	132 134	135	19 12	21 10/2 10/9	Baylor Bye		24-14	W	80 34 83 21	83 84	12	35 30	#88 #79	Pass Off Total Off	#51 # 41	2-2 1-3 0-1 3-2 1-1	0-1	140 105 142			Kansas Bye	59-7 W	42 25 23 27	118 12 118	21 127 81
5-0 4-2	2-0		134	136	12		at Texas		32-24	w	84 18	89	7	79 19	#82	Score Off	#33	3-2 2-3 1-1	0-2	142 130		10/16 at		33-20 W	24 22	119 7	
6-0 4-2 1-5	3-0	2-1 0-3		141 101	8	10/23	at Iowa St Kansas				79 17	79	18 121	24 115 128 105		Sks Allowed Rush Def	#9 #14	4-2 6-0 2-1 2-4	3-0 0-3	141 138 125			Oklahoma St West Virginia		18 24	115 7	9 17 79 4 52 15
2-4		0-3		125		11/6	at West Vir	ginia					94	52 15	#45	Pass Def	#5	4-3	2-2	136		11/6	Texas			7	7 79 19
3-3 5-2		1-2 2-2		134 125		11/13 11/20	TCU at Texas Te	ech					6 36	85 66 88 58		Total Def Score Def	#3 #13	5-2 7-0	2-2 4-0	125 145		11/13 at 11/20 at	Texas Tech Oklahoma			3	
7-0		4-0		145		11/27	Oklahon						5	37 55	#18	Sks By	#59	3-3	1-2	134			TCU				85 66
															#36 -1	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#4 +2										
Sc	ore			Net FD	TO's	Offense FD RA-RY	YPC	Comp Att	Yds Pass	Sks	FD RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass Sks				Score		Net Yds FD	TO's	Offense FD RA-RY	YPC Att	Yds Pass Sks	FD RA-RY		
Missouri St		23-16		-7	-1	16 28-54	1.9	22-40	315	1	23 37-102		24-46	234 5				Northern Iowa	16-10				4.0 21-26		13 26-45		-34 230 2
Tulsa at Boise St		28-23 21-20	-34 25	-4	-1 1	19 43-140 15 57-246	3.3 4.3	15-26 6-13	173 82	2	18 39-123 19 35-61	1.7	19-27 22-35	224 2 242 4		<u>ဖ</u>		Iowa UNLV	17-27 48-3				3.2 24-43 5.0 23-30		11 39-67 7 31-36		21 106 4 19 94 3
Kansas St		31-20	221	12	2	25 43-137	3.2	22-34	344	1	13 25-62	2.5	14-31	198 2		<u>``</u>	Ś	at Baylor	29-31	197 12	0	27 40-216	5.4 22-33	263 2	15 33-118	3.6 14-	-19 164 2
Baylor Bye		24-14	126	14	-3	24 59-218	3.7	13-23	182	1	10 29-10	3.5	13-27	173 3	-	wb ses	clones	Kansas Bye	59-7	262 7	2	25 33-290	8.8 19-27	274 1	18 44-17	4.0 11-	-22 127 0
at Texas		32-24	81	9	1	25 49-220	4.5	19-33	178	1	16 33-138	4.2	15-27	179 3		a St Cowl	Į	at Kansas St	33-20	76 -2	1	19 35-210	6.0 22-25	208 0	21 33-13	4.1 15-	23 206 3
at Iowa St Kansas															-	St St	ر ک	Oklahoma St at West Virginia									
at West Virginia																Z Z	Şt	Texas									
TCU at Texas Tech																E AM	×a	at Texas Tech at Oklahoma									
Oklahoma																Klahoma TEAM A	<u> </u>	TCU									
																š											
Average		27-20	75.3	4.2	-0.2	21 47-169	3.6	57.4%	212	4.7%	17 33-98	3.0	55.4%	208 9.89	6			Average	34-16	187.3 8.5	0.3	23 34-187	5.5 71.2%	251 3.3%	14 34-96	2.8 59.	4% 155 10.1
Conference	2	29-19	142.7	11.7	0.0	25 50-192	3.8	60.0%	235	3.3%	13 29-100	3.5	49.4%	183 9.49	6			Conference	40-19	178.3 5.7	1.0	24 36-239	6.6 74.1%	248 3.5%	18 37-14	3.9 62.	5% 166 7.8
Away		27-22	53.0	2.5	1.0	20 53-233	4.4	54.3%	130	6.5%	18 34-100	2.9	59.7%	211 11.3	%	Position by Position Edge	•	Home	31-15	162.7 7.0	0.3	21 31-171	5.5 66.7%	242 4.2%	14 36-96	2.6 55.	8% 154 7.8
															Oklai	oma St Io	wa St										
																Oklahoma St QB/WR	0.53										
																Iowa St DB's											
																Oklahoma St RB's vs.	1.92										
																Iowa St LB's											
																Oklahoma St OL vs.	1.04										
																Iowa St DL Oklahoma St DL											
															0.03	vs.											
																Iowa St OL Oklahoma St LB'S											
															0.72	vs.											
																Iowa St RB's Oklahoma St DB's											
															0.37	vs.											
Last Fi	ve Year	s Meetin	gs (Lac	t 22 v	ears at I	PhilSteele.co	m)									Iowa St QB/WR Oklahoma St ST's		Category		Team & Margin				Phil's Fore	ecast:		
2020	Rank	Line		FD		Comp-Att-Yds	TO's			F	Projected	Box S	core		3.65	vs.					-	Dating back to 201	2 Iowa Ct has t			ame in /10 /4	18-42 upost win
Oklahoma St	6	-3	24	24	51-226	20-29-235									3.05	Iowa St ST's		Las Vegas Line		Iowa St By 7	S	Stillwater). Home	eam is on a 2-5	-1 ATS slide since	'12 and the pa	st 6 meetings	s have all been
iowa St	17	52			33-227	19-34-162	1				Projecte	d Rushir	na			Oklahoma St K					d	decided by 7 points after an INT, ISU	s or less. Last ye vould later miss	ar's game was #1 a FG and OSU tie	17 ISU at #6 0: d it 7-7. OSU to	SU and ISU w ok the lead in	ent up 7-0 in 10 1 20 on a 79/12
2019	Rank	Lin-				Comp-Att-Yds	TO's		110 va	rde	Oklahoma St			140				Las Vegas Total		47 Points	d	drive and ISU miss	ed another FG la	ate 1H and trailed	14-7 at HT. Th	e teams trade	ed INT's then TD
	Kank	Line	Score	FD			IU's	ıts	110 ya	ius				140 yards		vs.				47 Points		to open the 3Q. Af times before getting					
Oklahoma St	-	64		14	31-153	16-24-249		nten				d Passir				Iowa St K		+/- Ratings			Н	Hall did have 185	yds (9.3 ypc!) in	the game. ISU is	4-1 ATS LY as	a home favor	ite vs Big 12 foes
		-10.5	27	30	25-86	39-63-382	-2	ီ	159 ya	rds	Oklahoma St	Iow		256 yards		Oklahoma St Coaches				Iowa St By 7.0	fi	out OSU is 4-1 ATS inishes strong and	l opened up just	2-2 SU and 1-3 /	ATS with upset	osses to Iowa	and Baylor. The
Iowa St	23			FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	e of			Projected \	'ardage	Total			vs.	0.25	Game Grade			C	Cyclones crushed I Breece Hall had jus	Cansas and then	last week led Kar	nsas St 27-7 on	the road in a	33-20 road win
Iowa St	23 Rank	Line	Score					a P	269 ya	rds	Oklahoma St	Iowa	a St	396 yards		Iowa St Coaches				Iowa St By 10.5	C	Cyclone D is holdin	g foes to 123 yr	g below their sea	son avg (#10)	and the offen	se is putting up a
Iowa St 2018		Line -9		22	43-126	19-33-289										Oklahoma St Pts		Computer Yards	_	·	lo	pg above their se osses. OSU barely			10wa and +19	yas vs Baylo	or in their two
Iowa St 2018 Oklahoma St	Rank	-9 55	42		43-126 38-140	19-33-289	0	Tot			Projected	Final Sc	ore					computer rarus				neat Baylor by 10		st 3 foes, took or	a backup QB v	s Kansas St a	nd got a late TD
Iowa St 2018 Oklahoma St Iowa St	Rank	-9	42		38-140		0 TO's	turn to T	18 po	nts	Projected Oklahoma St			28 points	4.77	vs.	3.75			Iowa St 396-269			at home. Last w	eek Texas was in	n a backup QB v complete contr	s Kansas St a ol up 17-3 at	nd got a late TD the OSU 18 whe
2018 Oklahoma St Iowa St	Rank 25	-9 55	42 48 Score	21 FD	38-140	19-25-325		Return to Table of Co	18 po	nts		Iow	a St	28 points	4.77	vs. Iowa St Pts	3.75			Iowa St 396-269	a C	an out pass was in OSU pulled to 24-2	at home. Last w t'd and returned 22 but missed th	eek Texas was in 85 yds for a TD I e 2 then took its	n a backup QB v complete contri by Jason Taylor. first lead on FG	s Kansas St a ol up 17-3 at Texas still led 4:37 left. Tes	ind got a late TD the OSU 18 whe d 17-13 H, 24-1 xas had 12 yds c
Iowa St 2018 Oklahoma St Iowa St 2017 Oklahoma St	Rank 25 - Rank	-9 55 Line	42 48 Score 49	21 FD 23	38-140 Rush	19-25-325 Comp-Att-Yds		Return to T	18 po		Oklahoma St	Iowa ce Ranki	a St ngs	28 points		Iowa St Pts		Computer Points		Iowa St 396-269	a C	an out pass was in OSU pulled to 24-2 ast 6 drives and w	at home. Last w t'd and returned 22 but missed th as SOD at own	eek Texas was in 85 yds for a TD I e 2 then took its 12 and OSU 42/3	n a backup QB v complete contri by Jason Taylor. first lead on FG TD 2:18 left as	s Kansas St a ol up 17-3 at Texas still led 4:37 left. Tex maybe Texas	ind got a late TD the OSU 18 whe d 17-13 H, 24-1 xas had 12 yds c s let them score.
Iowa St 2018 Oklahoma St Iowa St 2017 Oklahoma St Iowa St	Rank 25 - Rank 12 24	-9 55 Line -6.5	42 48 Score 49	21 FD 23 30	38-140 Rush 37-146 29-105	19-25-325 Comp-Att-Yds 25-31-376	TO's	Return to T			Oklahoma St Experience Oklahoma St	Iowa e Ranki Iowa	a St ngs a St					Computer Points			a C la T	an out pass was in OSU pulled to 24-2	at home. Last w t'd and returned 22 but missed th as SOD at own next play. Iowa	eek Texas was in 85 yds for a TD I e 2 then took its 12 and OSU 42/3 St is playing to a	n a backup QB v complete contri by Jason Taylor. first lead on FG TD 2:18 left as n AGG of 113.8	s Kansas St a bl up 17-3 at Texas still led 4:37 left. Tex maybe Texas and OSU is a	and got a late TD the OSU 18 whe d 17-13 H, 24-1: xas had 12 yds o s let them score. It 106.3 (ISt by
Iowa St 2018 Oklahoma St Iowa St 2017 Oklahoma St Iowa St 2016	25 - Rank 12	-9 55 Line -6.5 61.5	42 48 Score 49 42 Score	21 FD 23 30	38-140 Rush 37-146 29-105 Rush	19-25-325 Comp-Att-Yds 25-31-376 30-47-386 Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	Return to T	66		Oklahoma St Experience Oklahoma St Team Sche	Iowa e Ranki Iowa dule Stre	a St ngs a St ength	29		Iowa St Pts		Computer Points		Iowa St 28-18	a C la T	an out pass was in OSU pulled to 24-2 ast 6 drives and w Fexas was int'd on	at home. Last w t'd and returned 22 but missed th as SOD at own next play. Iowa	eek Texas was in 85 yds for a TD I e 2 then took its 12 and OSU 42/3 St is playing to a	n a backup QB v complete contri by Jason Taylor. first lead on FG TD 2:18 left as n AGG of 113.8	s Kansas St a bl up 17-3 at Texas still led 4:37 left. Tex maybe Texas and OSU is a	and got a late TD the OSU 18 whe d 17-13 H, 24-1: xas had 12 yds o s let them score. It 106.3 (ISt by
Iowa St 2018 Oklahoma St Iowa St 2017 Oklahoma St Iowa St	Rank 25 - Rank 12 24	-9 55 Line -6.5	42 48 Score 49 42 Score 38	21 FD 23 30 FD 20	38-140 Rush 37-146 29-105	19-25-325 Comp-Att-Yds 25-31-376 30-47-386	TO's	Return to T			Oklahoma St Experience Oklahoma St	Iowa e Ranki Iowa dule Stre	a St ngs a St ength			Iowa St Pts					a C la T	an out pass was in OSU pulled to 24-2 ast 6 drives and w Fexas was int'd on	at home. Last w t'd and returned 22 but missed th as SOD at own next play. Iowa puter has the C	eek Texas was in 85 yds for a TD I e 2 then took its 12 and OSU 42/3 St is playing to a	n a backup QB v complete contriby Jason Taylor. first lead on FG TD 2:18 left as n AGG of 113.8 well with a 396	s Kansas St a ol up 17-3 at Texas still led 4:37 left. Tex maybe Texas and OSU is a 269 yard edg	and got a late TD the OSU 18 whe d 17-13 H, 24-1: xas had 12 yds o s let them score. It 106.3 (ISt by

								D:	0										OVER	ALL:	OVERALL:	Τ									4 D D										
									Owls										2-4 vs. Cl		5-2 vs. CUSA									U	AB B Blazer	lazer	'S								
TM REC FOE	тм	FOE	TM +/-	FOF ±	/-	AP			Logs			Τ.	TEAM		S RANK	-	FOE		vs. cc	Ĺ	3-0	TM RE	EC FOE	тм	FOE	TM +/-	FOE +/	_	AP		Diazei	S LOGS				TEAM		PS R		FOI	
0-0 0-0	CONF 0-0		117	127	IM	FOI	9/4	at Ark	FOE	SCOR 17-38	W/L	0FF 123			_			ST 111		NCAA RANKING 2021 TEAM RANKI		0-0		CONF 0-0	CONF	122	FOL +/	TM	FOE	9/4	+ 15 cla	FOE sonville S	`+	SCORE 33-0	W/L W	OFF 74	DEF 29	ST 47	OFF	DEF	ST
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0		118			9/11	Hou		7-44		122						58	Ric		UAB	1-0		0-0	0-0		147		2		at Geo) L	7-56	L	67	31	17	3	3	42
0-2 1-1 0-3	0-0	0-0	111	135			9/18		as as Southern	0-58 48-34		121 124				0	45	97	#80	Rush Off	#63	1-1 2-1		0-0 1-0	0-0 0-0		105			9/18		th Texas		40-6	W	77 82	37 27	117 96	50 67	117 98	39 32
1-3 1-3	0-0	0-0	107	111			9/25		thern Miss	24-19					3 17	25	88	65	#118	Pass Off	#98			1-0	0-0		125 130			9/25 10/2	at Tula Libe			28-21 12-36	L	70	31	85	74	44	114
2-3	1-0		106				10/9	Bye				108							#120	Total Off	#90			1-0	1-0		120			10/9		ida Atlani		31-14	W	80	58	85	56	86	42
2-3 6-0 2-4 5-2	1-0 1-1	2-0 3-0	106 102	128 127				at UTS at UAB		0-45	L	108 116						22 61	#125 #72	Score Off Sks Allowed	#85 #87			2-0 3-0		125 127	103 102			10/16		thern Mis	S	34-0	W	80 69	38 29	96 61	126 116	99 118	36 127
1-5		0-3		100			10/30	Nor	th Texas						7	77	116	86	#117	Rush Def	#25									10/30	Bye										
4-2 2-4		2-0 1-1		112 121			11/6	at Cha WKI										63 38	#73 #105	Pass Def Total Def	#50 # 31		2-4 4-3		1-1 2-1		111			11/6	Loui at Mar	isiana Te	ch						103 48	96 67	112 74
6-1		3-0		113				at UTE										117	#125	Score Def	#19		7-0		3-0		132				at UTS								50	43	26
2-4		1-1		111			11/27	Lou	isiana Tech						10	03	96	112	#71 #120	Sks By Ypg Diff	#51 #59		6-1		3-0		113			11/27	UTE	Р							75	41	117
																			#120 -5	Total TO's	+2																				
																																							_	***	
Sc	ore		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offer RA-RY		Comp C Att	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defen RA-R				Yds Pass	Sks					Sc	ore		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offer RA-RY			omp Att	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks
at Arkansas		17-38		1	-2	19	39-81	1 2.1				18					128	2					ksonville S	it	31-0		11	-2	19				9-23	371	1		16-64	4.0	18-35	91	0
Houston at Texas		7-44 0-58		-8 -12	-3 0						3	23 26		4.1 27 10.			249 193	3				at Geo	orgia rth Texas		7-56 40-6		-12 -1	-2 1	11				-17 '-14	47 234	2		88-163 14-121		14-22 14-34	376 99	3
Texas South	ern	48-34		9	-2							21					357	2				at Tul			28-2		1	2					9-27	240	3		28-90		28-50	305	0
Southern Mis	SS	24-19	-53	-6	4	15	33-11	8 3.6	17-2	3 207	1	21	27-5	7 2.1	25	-40	321	5		Si	v		erty		12-36		-10	0					2-26	152	5		39-213		15-21	331	1
Bye at UTSA		0-45	-301	-14	-2	8	36-66	5 1.8	8-16	36	3	22	38-20	51 6.9	14	-24	142	1	5	AGE	ē		rida Atlant uthern Mis		31-14		-3 11	0					3-24 1-20	173 165	1				21-36 6-16	239 32	8
at UAB																			8	AVERAG	laz	Ric																			
North Texas at Charlotte																			9	\ \	Bla	Bye	e uisiana Teo	ch																	
WKU																			Rice	EAM	AB	at Mar	rshall																		
at UTEP Louisiana Te	ch																		_	12	Ď	at UTS																			
Louisialia Te	CII																					011	LF																		
Average		16-40	-129.5	-5.0	-0.8	17	38-14	9 3.9	62.99	6 159	10.09	6 22	37-20	06 5.6	63.	.4%	232 7	7.4%					Average		26-19	9 42.9	-0.4	0.3	17	41-16	7 4.1	. 58	3.9%	197 1	0.6%	18 3	84-111	3.3	54.2%	210	7.0%
Conference	e	12-32	-177.0	-10.0	1.0	12		2 2.7	64.19	6 122	10.39	6 22	33-1	9 4.9	60.	.9%	232 9						Conference Home	9		7 161.0		1.3	18	42-170 35-15	5 4.2	53	3.4%		6.9%	15	39-83	2.1	47.7%	123	
																				Rice QB/WR vs. UAB DB's Rice RB's vs. UAB LB's Rice OL vs. UAB DL	1.73 2.23 1.17	_																			
																				Rice DL vs. UAB OL Rice LB'S	1.76																				
																				vs. UAB RB's	0.41	1																			
																		İ		Rice DB's																					
																				vs. UAB QB/WR	0.67																				
Last Fi	ve Year:	s Meeti	ngs (L	ast 22	years	at Phi	Steele.c	om)				D		d D						Rice ST's			Category	/		Team	& Margin							Phil	's Foreca	st:					
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Con	p-Att-Yds	TO'	s			Pro	jecte	n Rox	Sco	re				vs.	3.09	12-1	egas Line		_																
Rice	-	43.5	16	20	39-66	5 19	9-33-166													UAB ST's		Las Ve	egas Line			Uab	By 23							t year UAI							
UAB	-	-7	21	13	34-13	7 9	-18-217	1					Project	ed Rus	hing					Rice K		Ī.	_											top 2 RB's							
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Con	p-Att-Yds	TO'	s y	66	yards		Rice		UAB		217 yaı	rds		vs.	2.10	Las Ve	egas Total			45	Points		punte	ed and w	as int'd a	at midfiel	ld on la	ast two dri	ives. Th	ne home	team is	7-2 SU	J/8-1 A	ΓS. UAB is	s 16-6
Rice		43.5	20	22	37-13	4 16	5-36-171		ent				Projec	ted Pas	sing					UAB K														AD under e 8th stra							
UAB		-10	35	18	37-12	7 14	1-26-282	2	S S	118	yards		Rice		UAB		226 yaı	rds		Rice Coaches		+/- R	tatings			Uab	By 29.3		poor	1-3 SU a	nd 0-4 A	ATS with	only a	win vs a	weak F	CS team	. Rice w	as outg	ained 3	78-325 t	ру
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		p-Att-Yds						jected				,			vs.	1.70								SMiss aot 2	but wor TD and I	and aft G on fir	er a bye st 3 driv	last we	eek, UTSA n a DL tipp	reache	ed 7-0 fo s 40 vd 1	r first ti IR TD, I	me in s JTSA pu	chool h	story. U1 e then 75	5A 5/7 TD
Rice		52.5	0	9	37-5		3-28-136	_	ble	1,9./	yards		Rice		UAB		443 yaı	rds		UAB Coaches		Game	Grade			Uah	3y 28.4		led 3	1-0 H wit	h 294-5	3 yd edg	ge. 4th	play of 30	Q UTSA	49 yd II	R TD an	d add 6	0/7 3Q	TD in 45	-0
UAB	-	-16.5	42	18			l-19-274	-1	o Ta	100	, us		rojecte				J yai			Rice Pts		+				303	,,		rout.	UAB got	mauled tied 3-3	by Georg	gia but out got	opened 3 "Malik Wi	-1 and illis'd" a	in the fir and lost 3	rst game 86-12 to	e of the	eir brand v. Thev	i-new ho	ne as
2017	Rank	-16.5	42 Score	FD FD			p-Att-Yds				points		Rice		UAB		39 poir	nte	0.00	vs.	14.86	Comp	uter Yards	•		[Jak	43-184		impre	essive as	the scor	e win at	home	vs FAU (3	1-14) a	and last	week U	AB led 3	31-0 at	half with	275-
Rice	Naiik						1-24-227	.0	etn	0	JUILIS		xperier				ווטק ככ	103	3.00	VS. UAB Pts	14.00	-				Oab.	-3-104							unt TD to							rG in
UAB	-	51.5	21	17)-21-197	_			81		xperier Rice		UAB	•		ŀ				Comp	uter Point	s		1161	39-6		comp	uter has				and the s							team
UAB	-	-10.5	52	21	36-24	20	y-21=19/	3			01						55		POS	ITIONAL EDGE AWA	RDED TO:	\vdash				Ual	39-6		Blaze	rs here.											
											7.0		m Sch			ın				UAB		Phil St	teele				h 5.5.														
											76		Rice		UAB		65					<u> </u>				Uab	by 33					Be	st B	et: ★	UAI	В 39	Rice	6			
																				By 14.86 Poin	its																				

						Tenne	ssee V	olunte	ers						T	OVERAL	L: C	VERALL:						Alabama	Crimson	Tide					
							Volunteers		CIS							4-3 vs. SEC		6-1 vs. SEC							son Tide Logs						
TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	Α	P					TEAM		RANK	FOE		2-2		3-1	TM REC FOE	TM FOE		FOE +/-	AP					TEAM	PS RA		FOE
0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0 1-1 2-1 2-1	0-0 0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	120 119 119 121	84 127	TM	9/4 9/11 9/18 11 9/25	Bowlin Pittsbu	Green g Green gh see Tech	38-6 34-41 56-0 14-38	W/L W L W	79 65 73 65 72 60 44 35	7	0FF 126 38	128	115 21 88	ZO Tennesse	NCAA RANKINGS 21 TEAM RANKIN E Rush Off		0-0 0-0 1-0	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 0-0	158 159 155	133 136 112	1 1	9/11 11 9/18 at	Miami, Fl Mercer Florida Southern Miss	44-13 48-14 31-29 63-14	W	OFF DEF 8 1 5 1 4 3 15 7	1 8 1	5	DEF ST 17 31 17 82 64 23
2-2 2-2 3-2 3-2 4-2 4-1	0-1 1-1 2-1	0-1 0-2 1-1	121 126 128	124 119 142		10/2 10/9 13 10/16	Mississ	Carolina Ippi	62-24 45-20 26-31	W W L	39 48 15 37 13 37	10 33 20	20 77 2	81 39 66	15 26 53	#79 #16 #11	Pass Off Total Off Score Off	#15 #13 #4	4-0 3-0 5-0 3-2 5-1 3-2	1-0 0-0 2-0 0-2 2-1 1-1	154 155 150	145 134 133	1 1 5 4	12 10/2 10/9 at 10/16 at	Mississippi Texas A&M Miss St	42-21 38-41 49-9	W L	9 11 7 11 6 16 3 8	1 1 1 2	2 39 44	38 68 5 12 12 116
4-3 6-1 6-1 7-0	2-2	3-1 4-1 5-0	129	154 136 156		,	at Alabam at Bye at Kentuc Georgi	су			16 30	28	3 35 11	35	92	#121 #52 #75 #57	Sks Allowed Rush Def Pass Def Total Def	#87 #10 #44 #16	6-1 4-3 4-3 1-6 4-3	3-1 2-2 2-2 0-0 1-3		129 133 99 133	4	10/30 11/6	Tennessee LSU New Mexico St Arkansas			3 8		46 119	30 28 47 45 127 96 51 89
4-2 2-5		1-2		112		11/20 11/27	South	Alabama					87 118	62	97 109	#52 #18 #28 +3	Score Def Sks By Ypg Diff Total TO's	#34 #18 #5 +7	5-2	2-1		140		11/20 at							33 11
Bowling Gre Pittsburgh Tennessee at Florida		38-6 34-41 56-0 14-38	-23 238 -82	Net FD 20 -4 14 -5	TO's -2 -3 3	Offe FD RA-R1 31 64-33 20 33-13 24 47-20 20 37-14	YPC 1 5.2 6 4.1 2 4.3 8 4.0	Comp Att 12-24 22-33 20-32 15-31	Yds Pass 144 238 215 275	Sks 3 5 1 3	Defen: FD RA-R: 11 23-3 24 45-9 10 28-3 25 40-28	Y YPC 2 1.4 6 2.1 5 1.3 33 7.1		187 301 144 222	Sks 2 2 4 1	teers		Tide	+ Miami, Fl Mercer at Florida Southern Miss	44- 48- 31- 63-	14 393	Net FD 10 11 -7 11	2 2 1 0	28 38-147 24 34-158 19 27-91 22 33-211	YPC Att 3.9 28-3 4.6 20-3 3.4 22-3 6.4 23-3	t Pass 39 354 31 266 35 233 26 395	\$ks 1 2 2 2	Defens FD RA-R\(18\) 31-8\(13\) 36-4\(126\) 43-25 11 3-82	YPC 7 2.8 3 8 1.3 8 6.0 27.3	Att 1 23-31 10-18 17-27 11-24	7ds Pass Sks 179 4 168 3 181 1 131 2
at Missouri South Carol Mississippi at Alabama at Bye at Kentucky Georgia South Alaba		62-24 45-20 26-31	102	14 2 0	2 2 0	35 59-45 24 49-24 29 50-22	7 5.0	15-19 17-23 18-29	225 225 245	5	21 30-7 22 41-15 29 62-27		27-44 21-34 21-39	217	1 4 5	essee Volunt	EAM AVERAGES	ma Crimson	Mississippi at Texas A&M at Miss St Tennessee LSU New Mexico S Arkansas at Auburn			9 1 -2	-1	27 50-210 25 34-153 22 41-195	4.2 21-2 4.5 28-4 4.8 20-2	48 369	3 4 2	18 34-74 24 27-94 24 191	3.5	21-31	213 2 285 0 300 7
Vanderbilt Average		30-23	105.0	5.9	0.4	26 48-24	9 5.1	62.3%	224	12.6%	20 38-13	36 3.5	61 70%	232 7	.4%	Tenne	-	Alaba	Average	45	20 181.1	4.7	1.0	24 37-166	4.5 69.2	2% 315	6.8%	19 28-9	2 3.3 6	54.2%	208 8.8%
Conferen	e	37-28		2.8	1.3	27 49-26 28 48-30	9 5.5	63.7% 60.0%	243	14.7%		7 4.6	62.8%	248 7	.6%		sition by Position Ed		Conference Home	40-	25 108.0 16 253.7	0.3	0.8	23 38-162	4.3 65.9 4.9 76.2	9% 298	8.0% 8.3%	23 31-10	7 3.5 6		245 7.0%
															_	Tennesse	vs. Alabama DB's Tennessee RB's vs.	Alabama R 0.66													
															_	0.25	Alabama LB's Tennessee OL vs. Alabama DL Tennessee DL														
															=	0.04	vs. Alabama OL Tennessee LB'S vs.														
																	Alabama RB's Tennessee DB's vs. Alabama QB/WR	0.70													
						PhilSteele.					Projecte	d Box s	Score				Tennessee ST's		Category		Team	& Margin				F	hil's Forec	ast:			
alabama fennessee	Rank 2	-21.5 65.5	48 17	FD 30 16	Rush 40-170 38-139	28-36-417 14-25-163	TO's					ed Rushi			_		Vs. Alabama ST's Tennessee K	1.82	Las Vegas Line		Alabar	na By 25	N	ick Saban has wo	on all 14 meeti en slightly close	ings vs Tenr er with Bam	n by 25.7 na 'only' v	ppg and is 10 vinning by 22	-4 ATS in t 4 ppg. HC I	hose. The	home as the OC a
ennessee	Rank 1	Line -34.5	Score 35	FD 23	Rush 34-140	Comp-Att-Yds 18-24-233	TO's	nts	110 y	ards	Tennessee		bama	171 yar	ds		vs. Alabama K	2.00	Las Vegas Total		67 1	Points	st B	klahoma in `13 w raight vs Top 10 ama returns hon s a HF vs FBS tea	ranked teams ne after B2B ro	. Both team oad gms vs A	s have b	yes on deck at ss St. The Cri	ter playing nson Tide a	8 straigh ire on an	t weeks. 7-0 ATS ru
ennessee	- Rank	61 Line	13 Score	18 FD	37-114 Rush	12-24-117 Comp-Att-Yds	-1	of Conte	169 y	ards	Tennessee Projected	Alat	bama	339 yar	ds	1	Tennessee Coache	es 3.25	+/- Ratings		Alabam	a By 30.5	lo ai C	st 38-14 in the S nd South Carolina orral for safety 7	Swamp. They be a combined. La -2. UT 49/3 for	peat up on t ast week Ole r TD after fr	wo weak Miss we ee kick 9	SEC teams point 11/2 for TE -7. Ole Miss 5	itting up 10 after a fm 7/11 FG 54	07 pts on bl PR and /8 TD 91,	Missouri Tenn sack /12 TD led
Alabama	1	-29 57.5	58 21	30	42-218 30-31	21-32-327	0	to Table	279 y	ards	Tennessee Projected	Alal	bama	510 yar	ds		Alabama Coaches		Game Grade		Alabam	a By 17.6	T1 3	4-9 with UT 39 y D, int and pulled 9/5 Milton run O eeks ago and led	to 31-26 13:4 OB last play ins	1 left. UT 4	&24 own ow. Bama	36 pass 23 y	ds SOD :54 ame Ole Mi	. UT force	punt :27 at home 3
2017 Alabama	Rank 1	Line -36.5	Score 45	FD 35	Rush 53-272	Comp-Att-Yds 22-33-332	TO's	Return	23 po	ints	Tennessee Experien	Alat	bama	46 poin	its	0.48	vs. Alabama Pts	9.84	Computer Yards		Alabam	a 510-279	1 Ti	4-10 FD edge an D and trailed 21- EZ 2:29 left. Te	d the yds were 6. Bama 75 yd ennessee exper	e even at 20 I TD pass 1s nded a lot of	0-200. M t play 3Q effort (a	liss St settled and took con did their fan	for 2 FG an trol to lead s throwing	d gave u 49-9 and stuff on t	p 40 yd IR I Miss St ini he field) las
Fennessee	- Rank	51 Line	7 Score	7 FD	30-64 Rush	9-16-44 Comp-Att-Yds	1 TO's		12	5	Tennessee Team Sche	Alal	bama	124		POSITI	IONAL EDGE AWARI	DED TO:	Computer Points		Alabar	na 46-23		eek and QB Hook ave the depth to						. renness	ee uves no
Alabama Tennessee	1 9	-13.5 57	49 10	28 11	48-409	18-28-185 18-31-131	1		75	į	Tennessee		bama	19			Alabama By 9.36 Points		Phil Steele		Alabar	na by 35			Alab	oama 4	l8 Te	nnesse	e 13		

TM REC FOE TM REC CON O-0 0-0 1-0 2-0 2-1 3-0 2-2 2-2 2-2 2-2 3-2 3-3 3-3 2-4 4-3 3-4 5-2 4-3 Score	0 0- 0 0- 0 0- 1 1- 2 0- 2 1- 3 0-	117 119 120 120 120 121 118 119 122 116 113 133 13 14	126 151 123 119 126 103 139 133 118	Δ1	9/4 9/11 2 9/18 9/25 10/2 10/9 10/16 17 10/23 10/30	Gamecocks	oE Illinois rolina	score 46-0 20-17 13-40 10-16	W/L W W		P DEF ST	S RANK	FO)F	OVEF 4- vs.	3	ERALL: 5-2 s. SEC								A&M Agg	jies		TEAM	PS RAN	·	
10 Per Per Per Per Per Per Per Per Per Per	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 2 0 0 1 2 1 1 3 0 2 1 1 2 0 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	117 119 120 120 120 121 118 119 122 116 113 133 13 14	126 151 123 119 126 103 139 133 118		9/4 9/11 2 9/18 9/25 10/2 10/9 10/16 17 10/23 10/30	Eastern at East Ca at Georgia Kentuck Troy at Tennes	OE Illinois rolina	46-0 20-17 13-40	W	OFF			FO)E		SEC vs	s. SEC							A	ggies Logs			TEAM	DC DAN	,	
10 Per Per Per Per Per Per Per Per Per Per	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 0 1 2 1 1 3 3 2 1 2 2 0 0 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1	117 119 120 120 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121	126 151 123 119 126 103 139 133 118		9/4 9/11 2 9/18 9/25 10/2 10/9 10/16 17 10/23 10/30	Eastern at East Ca at Georgia Kentuck Troy at Tennes	Illinois rolina I	46-0 20-17 13-40	W	OFF			FU			_															
1-0 0-1 0-1 2-0 2-0 0-1 2-1 3-0 0-1 2-2 2-2 0-1 3-2 3-2 0-2 3-3 2-4 0-1 4-3 5-2 1-1 4-3 3-4 5-2 4-2	0 0- 0 0- 1 1- 2 0- 2 1- 3 0- 3 2- 2- 0- 2-	119 120 120 121 1 118 1 119 2 116 2 113	151 123 119 126 103 139 133 118 140		9/11 2 9/18 9/25 10/2 10/9 10/16 17 10/23 10/30	at East Ca at Georgia Kentuck Troy at Tennes	rolina I Ky	20-17 13-40	W	40		OFF	DEF	ST	1-	NCAA RANKINGS	2-2	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE TN	+/- FOE +	/- TM	FOE	DATE	FOE	SCORE	N/L OF			FF DE	FOE F ST
2-0 2-0 0-1 2-1 3-0 0-1 2-2 2-2 0-1 3-2 3-2 0-1 3-3 2-4 0-1 4-3 5-2 1-2 4-3 3-4 5-2 4-2	0 0- 1 1- 2 0- 2 1- 3 0- 3 2- 0- 2-	0 120 0 121 1 118 1 119 1 122 116 1 13 3 3 3 1	151 123 119 126 103 139 133 118 140		2 9/18 9/25 10/2 10/9 10/16 17 10/23 10/30	at Georgia Kentuck Troy at Tennes	cy	13-40		40	73 62		400	26		2021 TEAM RANKINGS	i	0-0 0-0	0-0		43 121			9/4	Kent St		W 14			4 12	
2-2 2-2 0-1 3-2 3-2 0-1 3-3 2-4 0-1 4-3 5-2 1-3 4-3 3-4 5-2 4-2	1 1- 2 0- 2 1- 3 0- 3 2- 2- 0- 2-	0 121 1 118 1 119 2 116 2 113 3 3 3	119 126 103 139 133 118 140		10/2 10/9 10/16 17 10/23 10/30	Troy at Tennes		10-16	L		78 56 58 26		100	36 53	South C	arolina Tex	as A&M	1-0 1-0 2-0 2-0	0-0		.44 118 .40 110			9/11 † 9/18	Colorado New Mexico		W 7 W 21			68 45 76 10	
3-2 3-2 0-3 3-3 2-4 0-3 4-3 5-2 1-3 4-3 3-4 5-2 4-2	2 1- 3 0- 3 2- 2- 0- 2-	1 119 2 116 2 113 3 3 4	126 103 139 133 118 140		10/9 10/16 17 10/23 10/30	at Tennes			L		36 56	27		80	#95	Rush Off	#51	3-0 3-0	0-0		.40 135		16		Arkansas		L 28	2		19 22	2 47
3-3 2-4 0-1 4-3 5-2 1-5 4-3 3-4 5-2 4-2	3 0- 3 2- 2- 0- 2-	2 116 2 113 3 3 4	103 139 133 118 140		10/16 17 10/23 10/30		see	23-14 20-45	W L		40 47 39 26			94 33	#80 # 99	Pass Off Total Off	#89 #78	3-1 2-2 3-2 5-0	0-1 0-2		.37 130 .34 155		1	10/2	Miss St Alabama		L 43 W 39			17 14 7 11	
4-3 3-4 5-2 4-2	2- 0- 2-	3 3 L	133 118 140		10/30		bilt	21-20	W	79	39 24	117	117	110	#108	Score Off	#75	4-2 3-3	1-2	0-2	.39 118	21		10/16 at	Missouri		W 42	. 7	17	25 10	16 4
3-4 5-2 4-2	0- 2-	3 L	118 140			at Texas A	A&M			82	40 21	. 33	5	17	#99 #74	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#63 #56	5-2 4-3	2-2	1-3	39 113	17		10/23	South Carolina Bye		33	5	17	32 40	21
5-2 4-2	2-	L	140		11/6	Florida						9	7	52	#15	Pass Def	#52	5-2		2-1	140			11/6	Auburn					13 33	
4-2					11/13 11/20	at Missour Auburn						25 13		8 11	#33 #44	Total Def Score Def	# 43 #14	5-1		2-1	141				Mississippi Prairie View A8	M				4 71	1 57
Score			135		11/27							66		90	#25	Sks By	#9	4-3		2-2	133	3		11/27 at						16 47	7 45
Score															#67 +1	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#62 -3														
Score															71	Total 10's	-3														
Jule		Yds	Net FD	TO's	Offe FD RA-R)		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		efense A-RY YPO	Com _l		Sks				Sco		١,	Net 'ds FD		FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC At		Sks FD	Defense RA-RY		omp Yd Att Pas	
Eastern Illinois	4	5-0 334	14	1	23 47-25		18-28	185	1		2-31 1.4			2	,			Kent St	JIE .		59 10			42-303	7.2 21-		2 19			-30 11	
at East Carolina		17 60	3	-1	15 39-10		13-24	214	3		3-111 2.9			5				† Colorado			28 0			29-97	3.3 19-		1 14			-25 89	
at Georgia Kentucky		40 -181 16 -116	-13 -10	3	13 34-96 12 26-58		14-29 17-25	214 158	2		l-184 5.9 l-230 5.2		2 102	0	300	3	Ś	New Mexico † Arkansas			07 13 171 -5			34-154 23-121	4.5 19- 5.3 20-		3 9			-23 33 -19 24	
Troy		14 54	-2	1	19 27-10		20-34	255	3		2-155 4.8			2	8		Aggie	Miss St			141 -13			32-162	5.1 12-		3 28			-59 40	
at Tennessee Vanderbilt		45 -102 20 122	-2 2	-2 -2	22 41-15 17 32-11		21-34 22-35	217 317	4		9-247 5.0 9-106 2.7		3 225 1 206	6 2	•	9	6	Alabama at Missouri			.03 -1 .03 10	1	24	27-94 42-283	3.5 21- 6.7 13-		0 25		3.3 29	-48 36 -43 23	
at Texas A&M						-											Σ	South Carolin	ıa												
Bye Florida															<u>:</u>	}	A&	Bye Auburn													
at Missouri															3	TEAM	S	at Mississippi													
Auburn Clemson															ć	3 🖺	еха	Prairie View A at LSU	A&M												
ciciisoii															4	5	<u>1</u> e	ut 250													
															į	3															
Average	22	22 24.4	-1.1	0.1			59.8%	223	8.1%		5-152 4.2		% 174	9.7%	U	י ו		Average		28-16 3	4.6 2.0			33-173	5.3 57.1		.9% 19			.3% 21	2 8.5%
Conference Away		30 -69.3 34 -74.3	-5.8 -4.0	-0.0	16 33-10 17 38-11		60.2% 55.2%		8.1% 11.5%		l-192 4.7 9-181 4.6			8.9% 14.5%				Conference Home	!	27-25 -8 35-19 7	8.0 -2.3 0.5 2.3			31-165 34-178	5.3 58.9 5.3 61.9		.3% 23	33-120 33-125		.3% 31	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		- 1														Position by Position Edges															
														-	South C	arolina Texa South Carolina OB/WR	as A&M														
																vs.	2.13														
														-		Texas A&M DB's South Carolina RB's															
																vs.	2.32														
														=		Texas A&M LB's South Carolina OL															
																vs.	1.18														
																Texas A&M DL South Carolina DL															
																vs.	0.42														
														-		Texas A&M OL South Carolina LB'S															
																vs.	0.25														
																Texas A&M RB's South Carolina DB's															
															1.06	vs.															
Last Five Ye	aare Me	otings (act 22	voore of	DhilSteele (om)										Texas A&M QB/WR South Carolina ST's		Category		т.	eam & Margi	n				Phil's	Forecast:				
2020 Ran		e Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's				Projec	ted Box	Score	е			vs.	0.48				um a mug						r orccusc.				
Texas A&M 7	-1) 48	26	45-264	17-27-266											Texas A&M ST's	0.10	Las Vegas Line	[Texa	s A&M By :	20.5	A 0 M i=	7.0.011/4.3	ATS and all 7 r		i- CE	Salan The		- 520 15	.0
South Carolina -	59		9	25-50	12-28-100	-2				Proj	ected Rus	hing		ŀ		South Carolina K							last yea	ar and won	48-3. SC is 4-6	ATS as an AD,	A&M was 9	-3 as a HF i	n '18 & '19	out went (0-3 last
2019 Ran			FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	s	92 va	ards		olina Te:		197 y	ards		vs.	0.60	Las Vegas Total	[45 Points		weeks	on deck. Th	ll or zero crowd ne Aggies seaso	n was headed S	outh after	upset losses	to Arkans	as and Mis	s St but
Texas A&M -	-1	1 30	27	46-319	20-33-221		tent	,			ected Pas		•			Texas A&M K									eason back aro RB's Spiller and						
South Carolina -	49		15	17-45	19-46-215	1	Cont	155 v	ards		olina Te:	_	197 y	ards		South Carolina Coache	s	+/- Ratings	[Texa	s A&M By 3	30.8	a FG ar	nd only led	28-7 at half. Ead 1. South Carolin	h team open 3	with TD a	and A&M mis	s 46 yd FG	(short ag	ain) and
2018 Ran			FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	of C	,			ed Yarda					vs.	2.90						short v	s UK in wee	k 4 losing by 6	(+4). After a co	ver vs Tro	y they were	mauled at	Tennesse	e. Last
Texas A&M 22			25	40-105	25-37-353		to Table	247 v	ards		olina Te:			ards		Texas A&M Coaches		Game Grade	[Texa	s A&M By :	14.9	without	RT Wonnui	#4 at home vs m WR Brooks. S	C open 75/12 T	D. Vandy S	51/7 for FG	first drive.	Car 75/2	82 yd TD
South Carolina -	52	23	19	18-76	17-35-223	-2	o Ta	,			cted Final		,	-		South Carolina Pts									ld scoreless 49: L Vandy 89/8 TE						
2017 Ran			FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	Ē	6 poi	ints		olina Te:		31 pc	oints	1.06	vs.	10.29	Computer Yards	-	Texa	s A&M 394	-247	20-14.	SC bring in	bkp QB Noland toughest schedu	SC 75/8 TD :37	left escap	e 21-20 with	1 434-312 v	d edge. A	&M has
Texas A&M -	-7.		20	49-237	19-27-159		Return	2 50			ience Rar					Texas A&M Pts							teams	not named '	Vandy and A&M	has the top RB	corps of S	piller and A	chane. The	Gamecocl	ks are on a
South Carolina -	49		14	26-23	17-30-256	0		11	7		olina Te:	_	11	,				Computer Points	• [Te	cas A&M 31	-6	SCaroli	s run in SEC ina trailed T	C play. A&M's se ennessee 35-0	ason was in the n 2Q of 25 poir	t road loss	and get blo	wn out aga	given the in here.	an life and
2016 Ran			FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's					chedule S				PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDED	D TO:														
Texas A&M 9			22	39-216	23-40-206			61	1		olina Te:			4		Texas A&M		Phil Steele	[Tex	as A&M by	28									
South Carolina -	48.		21	35-161	22-35-217	0		0.					-			Pv 0 22 Paint					,				Texas	A&M 34	Souti	n Caro	lina 6		
	.0.			101												By 9.23 Points															

							М	iss	St Bu	ılldog	IS									OVER 3-:		(OVERALL: 2-5							Va	nder	bilt (Comn	nodo	ores						
								Bu	Ildogs	Logs										vs. S			vs. SEC								C	ommod	dores Lo	gs							
TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	- тм	AP FC	DE DA	ATE	,	:OE	sc	ORE V	V/L	TEAI OFF	M DEF	PS R ST	ANK OFF	DEF	DE ST	1-		RANKINGS	0-3	TM REC	OE T EC CO	M FO	OE TM -	-/- FOE +	-/- TI	AP M FOE	DATE		FOE		SCORE	W/L	TEA OFF	M DEF	PS RAN		FOE DEF ST
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	132	110	_		9/4			na Tech			W	50	28	51	111	87	98		2021 TE	AM RANKIN	GS	0-0	0	-0	11	2			9/4	Eas	st Tenn S	t	3-23	L	110	116	106		
	0-0	0-0	128	133			9/1		NC Sta				W	46	30	96	30	12	40	Miss	St		Vanderbilt		-1 0		-0 10				9/11		orado St		24-21	W	112	118			86 70
	0-0	0-0	132 130	125 138			9/1		t Memph LSU	IS			L L	49 56	24 15	59 110	18 52	57 18	12 26	#129		Rush Off	#110		-1 0 -0 0		-0 11 -0 11			2	9/18 9/25		inford orgia		23-41 0-62	L L	115	115		56 11	67 115 1 51
2-2 3-1	0-1	0-1	130	137		1	5 10/	'2 at	t Texas A	A&M	26		W	47	14	111	43	3	21	#4		Pass Off	#97	1-3	-5 0	-1 0	-1 10	7 96			10/2	Cor	nnecticut		30-28	W	119	111	102	129	128 101
	1-1	2-1	133	150			10/		Bye Alabam		0	-49	L	40	13 12	117 116	6	16	- 1	#58 #93		otal Off	#118 #126				-2 10 -3 10			20	10/9	at Flor	rida uth Caroli	ina	0-42 20-21	L L	114	116 117		79	10 74 39 24
	1-2	0-3	129	105					t Vander		9-	-49	L	59	22	113	118	113	109	#93		s Allowed	#126				-3 10 -2 10				10/16		s St	ma	20-21	L	117	113			22 113
6-1		4-1		136			10/		Kentuc	,							35	35	92	#22		Rush Def	#100		-4	0-	-3	118	В		10/30		souri							25	108 8
4-3 5-2		1-3 2-1		133 140					t Arkans t Auburn								17 13	51 33	89 11	#98 #52		otal Def	#105 #113		i-1	1.	-1	136	6		11/6	Bye	e ntucky							35	35 92
32		2-1		140			11/		Tennes								13	33	- 11	#87		core Def	#111		-1		-1	141				at Mis									71 57
5-1		2-1		141			11/	27	Mississ	ippi							4	71	57	#71		Sks By	#127		-3	2	-2	129	9		11/27	at Ten	nnessee							16	30 28
																				#54 -2		Ypg Diff otal TO's	#122																		
C	_		V4-	Net	TO!-			ffense	VDC	Comp		/ds	·		Defense	VDC	Comp	Yds	Ch-						c			Net			Offer			Comp	Yds	CI		Defense			Yds
Score Louisiana Tech	•	35-34	Yds 66	FD	TO 's			- RY -65	3.4	Att 39-47			Sks 3		RA-RY 25-101	4.0	Att 21-37	Pass 268	Sks 1					East Te	Score n St	3	3-23 7			3 23				Att 24-44	Pass 236	Sks 2		RA-RY 37-179			Pass Sks 135 0
NC State		24-10	-19	-1	3	1	5 15	-22	1.5	33-49) 2	94	1	16	25-32	1.3	30-51	303	4	1			S	at Colorad	St	24	4-21 -10	3 1	(21	37-10	4 2.8	8 2	7-42	238	3	20	33-207	6.3 2	0-38	238 0
t Memphis		29-31 25-28		14 14				-49 -116	3.1 4.5	50-67 47-62			2		25-87 27-63	3.5 2.3	16-28 17-27		2				ore	Stanfor			3-41 -2 0-62 -45			1 23 2 4	44-24 28-53			.8-40 5-18	151 24	1		27-204 48-241			218 1 291 0
t Texas A&M		26-22		13				-116	1.8	47-62			3		32-162				3		מ	(0	р	Georgia Connect	cut		0-62 -45			2 4				27-40	333	1		48-241 37-192			331 2
Bye																				5	[]	ä	Ĕ	at Florida)-42 -19	2 0		18	34-88	3 2.0	6 2	4-45	199	3	18	34-181	5.3 1	8-28	298 0
Alabama at Vanderbilt		9-49	-244	2	-3	2	4 19	91	-0.1	35-55	5 3	800	7	22 4	11-195	4.8	20-28	348	2	Bulld	[Ž.	ommo.	at South C Miss St	irolina	20	0-21 -12	2 -2	2	2 15	39-10	6 2.7	7 1	1-21	206	2	17	32-117	3.7 2	2-35	317 1
Kentucky																						AVERAG	ပိ	Missour																	
at Arkansas																				ţ	;		Ŧ	Bye																	
t Auburn Tennessee St																				U.	, I	EAM	erbilt	Kentuck at Mississi																	
Mississippi																				Σ	'	F	<u>ē</u>	at Tenness																	
																				_	.		Vand																		
																							>																		
Average			51.7	8.3	-0.3			I-47	2.5	73.5%			.0%						6.8%					Avera			4-34 -139				35-11			4.4%		5.2%			5.3 6		
Conference Away			13.7	9.7	-1.0		7 21 7 17	-48	2.3	72.2% 75.4%		60 6. 114 4.	.3%		33-140			254 147	9.3%					Confe Home			7-42 -250			.5 18				7.6%		7.1%			4.7 6		302 1.19 244 2.59
Away		20 27	101.5	15.5	0.5		, 1,	40	2.7	75.47	0 7	117 7	.0 70	17 2	27 123	7.7	30.3 /0	147	0.570		Position	by Position Ed	iges	Home		1.7	7 33 13.	7.0 -5	J 1	.5 10	34 12.	<i>J J</i> .	0 3	2.170	100	3.370	22	37 204	5.5 0.	J.1 /0	244 2.37
																				Miss			/anderbilt	ļ																	
																				2.46	MISS	st QB/WR																			
																						derbilt DB's																			
																					Mi	ss St RB's	1.56																		
																					Van	vs. derbilt LB's																			
																					M	liss St OL		1																	
																				0.18	V-	vs. nderbilt DL																			
																						liss St DL																			
																				1.56		vs.																			
																						ss St LB'S																			
																				2.72		vs.																			
																						derbilt RB's ss St DB's																			
																				1.53	MI	vs.																			
																						erbilt QB/W	R																		
Last Five														Proje	cted	Box S	core			1]	Mi	ss St ST's		Ca	egory		Tea	m & Margi	in	Mic-	St bac	n the !-	st 4 ms -	tings b		il's Forec		2000	The Build	000 1110	o 10' nt ch-
	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		mp-Att-Y		TO's					,.						0.48	V	VS.		Las Vegas	ine		M'	Ch B., 22		last y	ear despi	ite a 4-g	jame losi	ng strea	ak where	they so	ored just	30 total	points. It	was QB	e 18' pt cha Rogers firs
Miss St	-	-18.5	24		112		35-46-22		-					D	doct-	Duck'						derbilt ST's					Miss	St By 20	1.5	care	r start an	d Miss S	St led 17	0 at H	despite o	nly a 16	2-156 yd	edge. Ti	he Bulldog	s were	outgained vs SEC East
/anderbilt	-	45	17	30	36-14		31-46-33	0	-5	ş		86 yard	le.	Miss	jected s+	Vand	-	76 y	arde			4iss St K vs.	0.50	Las Vegas	otal		F4	.5 Points		foes	while Van	dy is 3-:	15 SU/6-	12 ATS	vs SEC V	Vest foe	s includin	ıg 6 strai	ght losses	(1-5 AT	TS). Visiting
										ten		oo yaro	ia		ojected			/0 y	ผเนร		V-	vs. nderbilt K	0.50	1			51	Points		Vand	erbilt is 3	-14 as a	n HD. Mi	ss St wa	as in a to	ugh spo	t last yea	r as Lea	d portion of the took ov	er a rur	n heavy tear
										Con		394 yarı	ds	Miss	-	Vand	-	208	/ards			St Coaches		+/- Rating	;		Mice	St By 21	.0	and t	ried to inc	corporat	e his pas	sing scl	hemes ar	nd they	put up ju:	st 340 yp	g. This ye	ar they	are close to and in losse
										٥		yali			cted Ya			200	,	1.75	1-1135	vs.		 			11155	J. Jy 21		to Me	mphis an	d LSU th	ney were	+222 a	and +144	ypg. Th	ey upset	A&M on	the road a	and last	week off a
										able		480 yarı	ds	Miss		Vand		284	ards		Vande	erbilt Coache	es	Game Grad	e		Miss	St By 21	.7												en at 200- st play 3Q
										Return to Table		. ,			ected F							iss St Pts								and t	ook contr	ol to lea	id 49-9 a	nd Miss	St int in	EZ 2:29	left. Van	dy open	ed 2-4 but). Last we	vs the	first 3 Powe
										Ē		36 poin	ts	Miss		Vand		15 p	oints	10.67		vs.	2.06	Computer	ards		Miss	St 480-2	84	witho	ut QB Sea	als. Scar	r open 75	5/12 TD	Vandy 5	1/7 FG f	irst drive	. Scar 75	5/2 82 yd	TD pass	14-3 then
										egr.				Expe	erience	Ranki	ngs				Var	derbilt Pts								held	scoreless	49:00. \	Vandy So	DD 4 SC	Car SOD 1	Vand	ly 14/3 (a	after fum) TD trail yd FG 1:3	14-10 H	 SC fumble
										č		93		Miss		Vand	-	7	'3	POS	SITIONAL	. EDGE AWAR	DED TO:	Computer	oints		Mis	s St 36-1	.5	bring	in bkp QI	B Noland	d SC 75/8	3 TD :37	7 left esc	ape 21-2	20 with 4:	34-312 y	d edge. M	iss St D	is holding
															Schedu					.03				ni i c						year.	ypg دی ن. Vandy is	elow t s #104 i	neir seas in my pas	on aver ss D ran	rage and nkings an	vandy i d Leach	likes to s	core poi	nts.	vs SEC	foes this
												1		Miss	St	Vand	erbilt	4	1			Miss St		Phil Steele			Mis	s St by 3	2				Miaa	ias!-	: C		Ver	d a sele i	11 40		
																					Dv 9												MISS	ıssı	ppi S	ι 42	vano	uerb	ilt 10		
																					Бу с	.61 Points	<u> </u>																		

1						V	Vicco	ncin D	2 daor									OVER	ALL: C	VERALL:							Durdu	o Poil	ermal	/OFC							
						v		adgers Lo	adger	<u> </u>								3- vs. B		4-2 s. BIG 10						r		ilermake		cers							
TM REC PEC	TM	FOE	TM +/-	EOE + /-	A	P		augers L	Jys			TEAP	м	PS R#	ANK	FO	E	VS. B.	1	2-1	TM REC PEC	TM FO	DE TM ±	/- FOE +	1-	AP		пегтаке	ers Logs			T	TEAM		-PS RANK		FOE
REC	CONF	CONF	TM +/-		TM	FOE	DATE	FO		SCORE			DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKINGS		THI REC REC	CONF CO	NF III T		IM	FOE	_		FOE		ORE W/	_	FF D		ST O		
0-0 0-0 0-1 1-0		0-0	142	142	12 18	19	9/4 9/11	Penn St Eastern	Michigan	10-16 34-7	L W	23 29	16 20	83 106	18 105	12 114	9 89		2021 TEAM RANKING		0-0 0-0 1-0 0-2		-0 127 -0 127				9/4	Orego at Conne			-21 W 9-0 W						3 69 27 119
1-1	0-1		140		18		9/18	Bye				22	15	91				Wisc		Purdue	2-0 2-0	0-0 0-	-0 130	134		12	9/18	at Notre	Dame	13-	-27 L	4	12 5	3	58 1	.9 1	.0 44
1-1 3-0 1-2 4-0		0-0 1-0	140	135 140	18	12 14		Notre Dar Michigan		13-41 17-38	L L	22 46	8	79 91	34 8	12 19	29 3	#26 #115	Rush Off Pass Off	#122 #10	2-1 1-3 3-1 2-2		-1 129 -1 128				9/25	Illinois Minnes			3-9 W -20 L						'3 57 '9 78
1-3 2-4		1-2		121		17		it Illinois		24-0	W	68	12	96	93	76	63	#89	Total Off	#53	3-2	1-1	126				10/9	Bye	3014	13	20 L				77	, ,	70
2-3 4-1		1-0	135	119			10/16	Army W	est Point	20-14	W	65	5	95	73	40	57	#114	Score Off	#97	3-2 6-0		-0 126			2		at Iowa		24	1-7 W					05	2 5
3-3 4-2 6-1		2-1 3-1	133	131 143			10/23 a 10/30	Iowa				64	9	100	62 104	21	106 7	#47 #3	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#78 #28	4-2 3-3 3-5		-2 131 -4	133			10/23	Wiscon at Nebras				6	52 2	1 1		9 3	9 100 2 124
3-4		0-4		122				t Rutgers							100	56	5	#8	Pass Def	#18	7-0		-0	136			11/6	Michig								.2 3	
3-3 3-5		1-2 1-4		123 133			11/13	Northwe Nebrask							58 19	94 32	102 124	#2 #25	Total Def Score Def	#13 #5	5-1 3-3		-0 -2	149 123				at Ohio S † Northw								1 1 8 9	1 31
4-2		2-1		133				t Minnesot							76	18	68	#84	Sks By	#94	2-4		-3	130			11/27	Indian									3 48
																		#10 -11	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#15 -2																	
																		-11	Total 10 s																		
	_			Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds					_			Net			Offen		Comp				Def			mp Y	
Penn St	Score	10-16	Yds 62	FD	TO's	FD 29	RA-RY 58-174	3.0	Att 22-37	Pass 185	Sks 2		RA-RY 18-50	YPC 2.8	Att 18-33	Pass 247	Sks 2				Oregon St)-21 38		TO's	FD 24		2.7	Att 29-41	2 31							85 Sks
Eastern Mich	higan	34-7		23	0		55-352	6.4	16-20	166	1				10-20	76	2				at Connecticut	4	19-0 339	18		29	42-187		30-30	5 37	75 3	1	.1 34-	124	3.6 14	-25 9	9 0
Bye † Notre Dame		13-41	72	-1	-4	16	28-74	2.6	18-41	240	1	17	32-3	0.1	21-37	239	6			Ž.	at Notre Dame Illinois		3-27 5 .3-9 40	3	-2 -2			2.3	36-5- 24-4					120 3			23 4 00 2
Michigan		17-38		-3	-2	12	32-43	1.3	11-23	167	6		14-112		18-30	253	0	Ì		<u>k</u>	Minnesota		3-9 40			28		2.3	34-5			_					69 0
at Illinois		24-0		21	-2	30	61-391	6.4	10-19	100	0			2.0	11-34	67	1	200		μ	Bye																
Army West at Purdue	Point	20-14	44	5	0	18	43-198	4.6	8-15	112	1	13 5	50-179	3.6	5-6	87	1	9	₽	Ē	at Iowa Wisconsin	2	4-7 193	7	3	24	33-86	2.6	31-4	3 37	78 1	1	.7 30	-/6 2	2.5 17	-32 1	95 4
Iowa																				Boilermak	at Nebraska																
at Rutgers	rn																	2	Σ		Michigan St																
Northwester Nebraska	rn																	rio do	EAM	ne	at Ohio St † Northwestern																
at Minnesota																			-	urdu	Indiana																
																		۶		P																	
Average Conference			141.2 101.7	10.5	-1.8 -2.3		46-205 50-203	4.4	54.8% 54.4%	162 151	7.1%		29-64 25-63				7.5%				Average Conference		-14 128. 7-12 129.					2.8	68.99 65.99		34 5.6° 42 5.9°			116	3.5 52. 3.5 51.		79 6.4% 55 7.8%
Away	ice		235.0					5.2	46.7%	170		13									Home)-17 77.				31-68		64.99								85 3.6%
																			vs. Purdue DB's Wisconsin RB's vs. Purdue LB's Wisconsin OL	2.19																	
																		0.22	vs. Purdue DL																		
																			Wisconsin DL																		
																		1.24	vs. Purdue OL																		
																			Wisconsin LB'S																		
																		3.94	vs.																		
																			Purdue RB's Wisconsin DB's																		
																		0.07	vs.																		
			nas (La	st 22 v	ears at	DI- IICI	eele.com	•)											Purdue QB/WR												Phil's Fo	orecast:					
l act F	rive Year	's Meet			-00.00														Wisconsin ST's		Category		Tear	1 & Marci	n								either te	am in t	he series). In the	
2019	Rank	s Meet Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		TO's				Proje	cted E	Box S	core			0,08	Wisconsin ST's vs.		Category		Tear	n & Margir	n	Purdu	e has lost	the last 1	4 to Wisco	nsin (Io							ir last
				FD 31	Rush 55-403	Comp-A	tt-Yds					Proje	cted E	Box S	core			0.08	vs.		Category Las Vegas Line					meeti	ng (`19), F	Purdue dro		r 7th str	raight in N	Madison			ned 606-	376 (40	3-50 rush)
2019 Wisconsin	Rank	Line -24.5	Score 45	31	55-403	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's										0.08	vs. Purdue ST's					n & Margii onsin By		meeti in a 4	ng (`19), l 5-24 loss	ourdue dro (+24'). La	opped thei	r 7th str re ('18)	raight in N I, UW outr	Madison rushed	Purdue 3	385-76	ned 606- out found	376 (40 itself do	3-50 rush) own 27-13
2019 Wisconsin Purdue	Rank 14 -	Line	Score 45 24	31 20	55-403 20-50	15-19 27-44	-203 -326	TO's		154		Pro	jected	Rushin	ng	1	urde		vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K				Wisc	onsin By		in a 4. the 40 Wisco	ng ('19), i 5-24 loss Q but the i nsin and I	Purdue dro (+24'). La Badgers ra leff Brohm	opped thei ast time he allied in 3 n is 9-4 AT	r 7th str re (`18) OT's to S as a F	raight in N I, UW outr win 44-41 HD. The B	Madison rushed 1 (+4). Badgers	Purdue : Paul Chi opened	385-76 I ryst is 1 1-3 but	ned 606- out found 7-6 ATS : had a 8:	376 (40) itself do as an AF 3-46 FD	3-50 rush) own 27-13 with edge in
2019 Wisconsin Purdue 2018	Rank	-24.5 48 Line	Score 45 24 Score	31 20 FD	55-403 20-50 Rush	27-44 Comp-A	-203 326 	TO's	ints	164 y		Pro	pjected I	Rushin Purd	ng due	1 ya	ırds	0.08	vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs.		Las Vegas Line		Wisc			meeti in a 4: the 40 Wisco those yd ed	ng ('19), I 5-24 loss Q but the I nsin and I games vs ge at Illin	Purdue dro (+24'). La Badgers ra leff Brohm powers P pis and las	opped thei ast time he allied in 3 n is 9-4 AT Penn St, Ne st week W	r 7th str re ('18) OT's to S as a F otre Dan isconsin	raight in N I, UW outr win 44-41 HD. The B me and M I took its 3	Madison rushed 1 (+4). Badgers lichigan 3rd driv	Purdue 3 Paul Chi opened . They lo re 95/12	385-76 I ryst is 1 1-3 but ooked lii /6:04 fc	ned 606- out found 7-6 ATS had a 8: ke UW of or TD thei	376 (40) itself do as an AF 3-46 FD old with n went 8	3-50 rush) own 27-13 with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14
2019 Wisconsin Purdue 2018 Wisconsin	Rank 14 -	Line -24.5 48 Line 53.5	\$core 45 24 \$core 47	31 20 FD 24	55-403 20-50 Rush 51-385	27-44 Comp-A	-203 -326 -tt-Yds	TO's 3 TO's	antents	,	ards	Pro Wiscon	ojected I	Rushin Purd Passin	ng due ng	·			vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs. Purdue K		Las Vegas Line		Wisc 40	onsin By Points	3	meetin a 4. the 40 Wisco those yd edgon ne:	ng ('19), I 5-24 loss Q but the I nsin and I games vs ge at Illin xt and led	Purdue dro (+24'). La Badgers ra leff Brohm s powers P bis and las 13-0 H w	opped thei ast time he allied in 3 n is 9-4 AT Penn St, No st week With 12-2 FO	r 7th str re ('18) OT's to 'S as a l otre Dan isconsin D and 23	raight in N I, UW outr win 44-41 HD. The B me and M I took its 3 30-48 yd	Madison rushed 1 (+4). Badgers ichigan 3rd driv edge. <i>F</i>	Purdue : Paul Chi opened . They love ye 95/12 Army mis	385-76 I ryst is 1 1-3 but ooked lil /6:04 fo ss 43 yo	ned 606- out found 7-6 ATS had a 83 ke UW of or TD then I FG then	itself do as an AF 3-46 FD old with went 8 took 3rd	3-50 rush) own 27-13 with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14 d 2H drive
Wisconsin Purdue 2018 Wisconsin Purdue	Rank 14 -	-24.5 48 Line	Score 45 24 Score	31 20 FD 24 28	55-403 20-50 Rush 51-385 31-76	27-44 Comp-A 16-24 31-48	-203 -326 -326 -160 -386	TO's 3 TO's	f Contents	164 y	ards	Pro Wiscon Pro Wiscon	nsin pjected	Rushin Purd Passin	ng due ng due	1 ya 320 y		0.30	vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs.	S	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total		Wisc 40	onsin By	3	meetiin a 4. the 40 Wisco those yd edion ne: 54/8 Ton 36	ng ('19), I 5-24 loss Q but the I nsin and I games vs ge at Illin xt and led FD 13-7. I yd pass a	Purdue dro (+24'). La Badgers ra leff Brohm s powers P ois and las 13-0 H w Army sack and Army	opped thei ast time he allied in 3 n is 9-4 AT Penn St, Ne st week W ith 12-2 FG and fumb 77/7 TD:3	r 7th str re ('18) OT's to S as a hotre Dan isconsin D and 23 le and U 38 left b	raight in N I, UW outr win 44-41 HD. The B me and M I took its 3 30-48 yd UW 1/1 Qi lack door	Madison rushed 1 (+4). Badgers lichigan 3rd driv edge. A B sneal cover.	Purdue : Paul Chi opened . They k re 95/12 Army mis k TD 2:4 Purdue	385-76 I ryst is 1 1-3 but boked lil /6:04 fo ss 43 yo 3 left 20 beat Or	ned 606- out found 7-6 ATS had a 8: ke UW of or TD then I FG then 0-7 but He egon St i	376 (40) itself do as an AF 3-46 FD old with n went 8 took 3rd oward gi n the op	3-50 rush) own 27-13 with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14 d 2H drive reat catch ener and
2019 Wisconsin Purdue 2018 Wisconsin	Rank 14 -	Line -24.5 48 Line 53.5	\$core 45 24 \$core 47	31 20 FD 24	55-403 20-50 Rush 51-385	27-44 Comp-A 16-24 31-48	tt-Yds -203 -326 -160 -386 -tt-Yds	TO's 3 TO's	le of Contents	133 y	ards	Pro Wiscon Pro Wiscon	ojected I	Rushin Purd Passin	ng due ng due	320 y	ards		vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs. Purdue K Wisconsin Coache	5	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total		Wisc 40 Purc	Points ue By 1.	3	meetii in a 4: the 40 Wisco those yd edi on ne: 54/8 1 on 36 the Be a 315	ng ('19), I 5-24 loss Q but the I nsin and I games vs ge at Illin xt and led FD 13-7. A yd pass a eavers are -275 yd e	Purdue dro (+24'). La Badgers ra leff Brohm s powers P pois and las i 13-0 H w Army sack and Army e near the dge vs Illii	opped thei est time he allied in 3 n is 9-4 AT Penn St, No sit week W rith 12-2 FI : and fumb 77/7 TD :: top in the inois. They	r 7th str re ('18), OT's to 'S as a hotre Dan isconsin D and 23 le and U 88 left b Pac 12, had a 2	raight in N I, UW outr win 44-41 HD. The B me and M I took its 3 30-48 yd UW 1/1 QI Jack door . They ha 28-12 FD	Madison rushed 1 (+4). Badgers lichigan 3rd driv edge. A B sneal cover. Id a 348 edge v	Purdue : Paul Chi opened . They k re 95/12 Army mis k TD 2:4 Purdue 3-343 yd s Minny	385-76 I ryst is 1 1-3 but ooked lii /6:04 fo ss 43 yo 3 left 20 beat Ord I edge v but lost	ned 606- out found 7-6 ATS: had a 8: ke UW of or TD then I FG then 0-7 but Ho egon St in s ND but at home	376 (40) itself do as an AF 3-46 FD old with n went 8 took 3rd oward go n the op- lost by 3 despite	3-50 rush) own 27-13 with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14 d 2H drive reat catch ener and 14, only ha a 448-294
Wisconsin Purdue 2018 Wisconsin Purdue	Rank 14 - Rank -	Line -24.5 48 Line 53.5 -4	\$core 45 24 \$core 47 44	31 20 FD 24 28 FD	55-403 20-50 Rush 51-385 31-76	27-44 Comp-A 16-24 31-48	tt-Yds -203 -326 -160 -386 -tt-Yds	TO's 3 TO's	Table	,	ards	Pro Wiscon Pro Wiscon	nsin ojected nsin cted Ya	Rushin Purd Passin	ng due ng due Total	·	ards	0.30	vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs. Purdue K Wisconsin Coache	S	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings		Wisc 40 Purc	onsin By Points	3	meetiin a 4: the 40 Wisco those yd edi on ne: 54/8 1 on 36 the Be a 315 yd edi last w	ng ('19), F 5-24 loss Q but the I nsin and I games vs ge at Illin xt and led FD 13-7. A yd pass a e-275 yd e ge. Last w in on the	Purdue dro (+24'). La Badgers ra leff Brohm s powers P bis and las 13-0 H w Army sack and Army e near the dge vs Illing reek I use road vs th	opped thei ast time he allied in 3 n is 9-4 AT Penn St, No st week W ith 12-2 FI : and fumb 77/7 TD :: top in the inois. They at them on the #2 team	r 7th str re (*18), OT's to S as a hotre Dan isconsin O and 23 le and U 38 left b Pac 12, had a 2 Phil Ste n was ba	raight in N I, UW outr win 44-41 HD. The B HD. The B I took its 3 30-48 yd UW 1/1 QI Vack door I. They ha E8-12 FD eele Plus ack in 197	Madison rushed 1 (+4). Badgers Ichigan 3rd driv edge. A B sneal cover. Id a 348 edge vs +12 vs 74 vs N	Purdue 3 Paul Chi opened They ke e 95/12 Army mis k TD 2:4 Purdue 3-343 yd s Minny and Iow otre Dar	385-76 I ryst is 1 1-3 but boked lil /6:04 fc ss 43 yc 3 left 20 beat On I edge v but lost va team ne. Purc	ned 606- but found 7-6 ATS had a 8 ke UW of r TD ther FG then 0-7 but He egon St in s ND but at home off a hug due alterr	376 (40) itself do as an AF 3-46 FD old with n went 8 took 3rd oward go n the op- lost by 3 despite ge win an	3-50 rush) own 27-13 f with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14 d 2H drive reat catch ener and 14, only ha a 448-294 nd Purdue's QB's early
2019 Wisconsin Purdue 2018 Wisconsin Purdue 2017	Rank 14 - Rank -	Line -24.5 48 Line 53.5 -4 Line	Score 45 24 Score 47 44 Score	31 20 FD 24 28 FD	55-403 20-50 Rush 51-385 31-76 Rush	27-44 Comp-A 16-24 31-48	-203 326 326 160 386 tt-Yds	TO's 3 TO's	to Table	133 y	ards	Pro Wiscon Wiscon Project	nsin ojected nsin cted Ya	Rushin Purd Passin Purd rdage 1	ng due ng due Total	320 y	ards	0.30	vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs. Purdue K Wisconsin Coache	S	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade		Wisc 40 Purc	Points ue By 1.	3	meetiin a 4. the 40. Wisco those yd edion ne: 54/8 1 on 36 the Be a 315- yd edilast w but 00	ng ('19), I 5-24 loss Q but the I nsin and I games vs ge at Illin xt and led FD 13-7. A yd pass a eavers are -275 yd e ge. Last w in on the Connel thr	Purdue dro (+24'). La Badgers ra leff Brohm is powers P bis and las 13-0 H w Army sack and Army e near the dge vs Illin reek I use road vs th	opped thei sst time he allied in 3 n is 9-4 AT Penn St, No st week W sith 12-2 Ff r and fumb r 77/7 TD: top in the nois. They de them on the #2 team sty year	r 7th str re ('18), OT's to 'S as a hotre Dan isconsin O and 23 le and U 38 left b Pac 12, had a 2 Phil Ste was ba WR Bel	raight in N I, UW outr Win 44-41 HD. The B me and M I took its 3 30-48 yd UW 1/1 QI Vack door I. They ha 28-12 FD eele Plus ack in 197 II had a st	Madison rushed 1 (+4). Badgers Ichigan 3rd driv edge. A B sneal cover. Id a 348 edge vs +12 vs 74 vs N cadium	Purdue 2 Paul Chi opened . They k re 95/12 Army mis k TD 2:4 Purdue 3-343 yd s Minny and Iow fotre Dar record 2	385-76 I ryst is 1 1-3 but boked lii /6:04 fc ss 43 yc 3 left 20 beat On I edge v but lost va team ne. Purc (40 rec	ned 606- but found 7-6 ATS: had a 8: had your theal had	376 (40) itself do as an AF 3-46 FD old with n went 8 took 3rd oward gr n the op- lost by 3 despite ge win an atted 3 (7-7 Pure	3-50 rush) own 27-13 is with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14 d 2H drive reat catch ener and L4, only ha a 448-294 nd Purdue's QB's early due went
2019 Wisconsin Purdue 2018 Wisconsin Purdue 2017 Wisconsin	Rank 14 - Rank -	Line -24.5 48 Line 53.5 -4 Line -17	\$core	31 20 FD 24 28 FD 24	55-403 20-50 Rush 51-385 31-76 Rush 53-295	Comp-A 15-19 27-44 Comp-A 16-24 31-48 Comp-A		TO's 3 TO's 0 TO's	to Table	133 y	ards ards ards	Pro Wiscon Wiscon Project	njected nsin njected nsin cted Yal	Rushin Purd Passin Purd rdage 1	ng due ng due Total due	320 y	ards ards	0.30	vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs. Purdue K Wisconsin Coache vs. Purdue Coaches	2.30	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings		Wisco 40 Puro Puro	Points ue By 1.	3	meetiin a 4: the 4C Wisco those yd edd on ne: 54/8 1 on 36 the Be a 315 yd edd last w but 00 T5/10 TB. PL	ng ('19), I 5-24 loss Q but the I nsin and I games vs ge at Illin xt and led FD 13-7. I yd pass a eavers are -275 yd e ge. Last w in on the Connel thr for TD :1 J then 46)	Purdue dro (+24'). La Badgers ra leff Brohm s powers P bis and las I 13-0 H w krmy sack and Army e near the dge vs Illii reek I use road vs th rew for 37 9 left half 7 TD and	opped thei ast time he allied in 3 an is 9-4 AT Penn St, Nost week Wirith 12-2 FC and fumb 77/7 TD :2 top in the inois. They at them on the #2 team 5 yds and I Iowa was	r 7th str re ('18), OT's to ' S as a liptore Dan isconsin O and 23 le and U 38 left b Pac 12, had a 2 Phil Ste n was ba WR Bel moment int'd 4 t	raight in N , UW outr win 44-41 HD. The B me and M took its 3 30-48 yd UW 1/1 Qi ack door They ha 28-12 FD eele Plus ack in 193 II had a st tum. Purd times with	Madison rushed 1 (+4). Badgers iichigan 3rd driv edge. B sneal cover. Id a 348 edge v: +12 vs 74 vs N cadium due 66/ n Purdu	Purdue : Paul Chi opened . They k ve 95/12 Army mi k TD 2:4 Purdue 3-343 yd s Minny and Iow otre Dar record 2 8 FG the e having	385-76 I ryst is 1 1-3 but boked lil /6:04 fc ss 43 yc 3 left 20 beat Or I edge v but lost va team ne. Puro 40 rec y n 85/15 I 464-27	ned 606- put found 7-6 ATS: had a 8: te UW of the TD then FG then FG then FS ND but at home off a hug uue alterr dus. Tied but reac 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg 1 yd edg	376 (40.1 itself do as an AF 3-46 FD old with n went 8 took 3r oward gin the opplost by 3 despite ge win an ated 3 (7-7 Purch EZ anne. Purdue.	3-50 rush) own 27-13 is with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14 d 2H drive reat catch ener and 14, only ha a 448-294 nd Purdue's QB's early due went d fumble ie does
2019 Wisconsin Purdue 2018 Wisconsin Purdue 2017 Wisconsin Purdue	Rank 14 - Rank - Rank 7	Line -24.5 48 Line 53.5 -4 Line -17 50	\$core 45 24 \$core 47 44 \$core 17 9	31 20 FD 24 28 FD 24 14	55-403 20-50 Rush 51-385 31-76 Rush 53-295 26-66	27-44 Comp-A 16-24 31-48 Comp-A 13-18	tt-Yds -203 -326 -326 -34t-Yds -160 -386 -386 -4t-Yds -199 -155	TO's 3 TO's 0 TO's	Table	133 y 297 y	ards ards ards	Pro Wiscon Project Wiscon Project Wiscon Wiscon	njected nsin njected nsin cted Yal	Rushin Purd Passin Purd rdage 1 Purd inal Sco	ng due ng due Total due core	320 y 321 y	ards ards	0.30	vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs. Purdue K Wisconsin Coache vs. Purdue Coaches Wisconsin Pts		Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards		Wisco 40 Puro Puro	Points UP oints UP oints UP oints UP oints UP oints	3	meetiin a 4 the 4C Wisco those yd edion ne: 54/8 non 36 the Be to 18 a 315 yd edilast w but 00 75/10 TB. Pc have	ng (19), I 5-24 loss g y but the I nsin and J games vs ge at Illin tt and led FD 13-7. Y yd pass a eavers are -275 yd e gg. Last w in on the Connel the for TD:1 J then 46, Brohm's E	Purdue dro (+24'). La Badgers ra leff Brohm s powers P ois and las I 13-0 H w krmy sack and Army e near the down for 37 enear the down rew for 37 9 (Ff half 7 (T D and leest defense	opped thei sist time he allied in 3 n is 9-4 AT Penn St, Not week With 12-2 FL; and fumb 77/7 TD: 2 top in the inois. They did them on me #2 team 5 yds and 14-7 and 10wa was se holding sist time holding to the top in the inois.	r 7th str re (*18), OT's to 'S as a Hotre Dan isconsin D and 23 le and U38 left b Pac 12, had a 2 Phil Ste n was ba WR Bel moment int'd 4 t foes to	raight in N, UW outr win 44-41 HD. The B me and M I took its 3 30-48 yd UW 1/1 QU lack door . They ha 28-12 FD eele Plus ack in 197 Il had a st tum. Purd times with	Madison rushed 1 (+4). Sadgers ichigan 3rd drivedge. A B sneal cover. Id a 348 edge v. +12 vs 74 vs N tadium due 66/on Purdu pelow the	Purdue : Paul Chi opened . They k ve 95/12 Army mi k TD 2:4 Purdue 8-343 yd s Minny and Iow otre Dar record 2 8 FG the e having eir seas	885-76 I ryst is 1 1-3 but ooked lil /6:04 fc /ss 43 yc 3 left 2C beat Or I edge v but lost va team ne. Purc /40 rec y n 85/15 J 464-25 on avg	ned 606- out found 7-6 ATS: had a 8: te UW of ir TD thei FG then 1-7 but He gon St iis s ND but at home off a hug lue alterr rds. Tied but reac 1 yd edg and 295	376 (40. itself do as an Af 3-46 FD old with a went 8 took 3rd observed go win an ated 3 (7-7 Purush E. Pu	3-50 rush) wm 27-13 · with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14 d 2H drive reat catch ener and 14, only ha a 448-294 nd Purdue': QB's early die went d fumble ue does rall.
2019 Wisconsin Purdue 2018 Wisconsin Purdue 2017 Wisconsin Purdue 2017 2016	Rank 14 - Rank - Rank 7 Rank	Line -24.5 48 Line 53.5 -4 Line -17 50 Line	\$core	31 20 FD 24 28 FD 24 14 FD	55-403 20-50 Rush 51-385 31-76 Rush 53-295 26-66 Rush	Comp-A 15-19 27-44 Comp-A 16-24 31-48 Comp-A 13-18 13-25 Comp-A	:tt-Yds -203 -326 -tt-Yds -160 -386 -tt-Yds -199 -155 -tt-Yds -191	TO's 3 TO's 0 TO's	to Table	133 y 297 y	ards ards ards	Pro Wiscon Project Wiscon Project Wiscon Wiscon	pjected insin pjected nsin cted Yai nsin ected Fi nsin	Rushin Purd Passin Purd rdage 1 Purd inal Sco	ng due ng due Total due core due	320 y 321 y	vards vards pints	0.30	vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs. Purdue K Wisconsin Coache vs. Purdue Coaches Wisconsin Pts vs. Purdue Pts	2.30	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade		Wisc 40 Purc Purc	Points UP oints UP oints UP oints UP oints UP oints	3 3 5 5 97	meetii in a 4 the 40 Wiscoo those yd ed on ne: 54/8 Ton 36 the Be a 315 yd ed last w but 00 75/10 TB. Pt have Wiscoo avg (2	ng (19), I 5-24 loss y 5-24 loss y 5 but the I nsin and I games vs ge at Illin xt and led ID 13-7. A yd pass a eavers are -275 yd e ge. Last w in on the Connel the for TD :1 J then 46, Brohm's b nsin has a 2nd only t	Purdue drc (+24'). La Badgers r. leff Brohm powers P bis and las 13-0 H w krmy sack and Army e near the dge vs Illii eeek I use road vs th eew for 37 9 left half 17 TD and best defense to Georgia to Georgia	opped thei sist time he allied in 3 an is 9-4 AT Penn St, No Frenn St,	r 7th str re (*18), OT's to 'S as a hotre Dan isconsin D and 23 le and L 38 left b Pac 12, had a 2 Phil Ste n was ba WR Bel moment int'd 4 t foes to wing just acing 3	raight in N, UW outr win 44-41 HD. The B me and M I took its : 30-48 yd . UW 1/1 QI ack door . . They ha 28-12 FD . eele Plus ack in 19? Il had a st tum. Purd times with 57 ypg b st 226 yp top 10 te	Madison rushed 1 (+4). Sadgers ichigan 13rd drivedge. AB sneal cover. Id a 348 edge vietus Natural vietus 66/n Purduelow the g and hams. Weams. Weams. Weams.	Purdue : Paul Chi a opened They ke 95/12 Furny mis They ke TD 2:4 Furdue S-343 yd S Minny and Iow outre Dar record 2 FG the E having eir seas nolding f Visconsin	1-3 but bryst is 1 1-3 but booked lil booked lil booked lil booked lil beat Orl l edge v but lost va team ne. Purc 40 rec y n 85/15 464-27 on avg boes to 1 has co	ned 606- out found 7-6 ATS had a 8: ce UW of rr TD ther I FG then 0-7 but Hegon St is s ND but at home off a hugue alterry rds. Tied but reac 1 yd edg and 295 of 76 ypg b	376 (40) itself do as an AF as	3-50 rush) wwn 27-13 with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14 d 2H drive reat catch ener and 14, only ha a 448-294 d Purdue' QB's early due went d fumble ie does rall. ir is eason trips to
2019 Wisconsin Purdue 2018 Wisconsin Purdue 2017 Wisconsin Purdue 2016 Wisconsin	Rank 14 - Rank - Rank 7 Rank	Line -24.5 48 Line 53.5 -4 Line -17 50 Line -28	\$core	31 20 FD 24 28 FD 24 14 FD	55-403 20-50 Rush 51-385 31-76 Rush 53-295 26-66 Rush 56-221	Comp-F 15-19 27-44 Comp-F 16-24 31-48 Comp-F 13-18 13-29 Comp-F 12-19	:tt-Yds -203 -326 -tt-Yds -160 -386 -tt-Yds -199 -155 -tt-Yds -191	TO's 3 TO's 0 TO's 2 TO's	to Table	133 y 297 y 13 pc	ards ards ards	Pro Wiscon Project Wiscon Project Wiscon Expe	pjected insin pjected nsin cted Yai nsin ected Fi nsin	Rushin Purd Passin Purd rdage 1 Purd inal Sco	ng due ng due Total due ore due	320 y 321 y 19 po	vards vards pints	0.30	vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs. Purdue K Wisconsin Coache vs. Purdue Coaches Wisconsin Pts vs. Purdue Pts	2.30	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards Computer Points		Wisc 40 Purc Purc	Points Ue By 1 Ue By 2 Ue 321-29	3 3 5 5 97	meetii in a 4: the 40: Wisco those yd edi on ne: 54/8 1 on 36 the Be a 315 yd edi last w but 00 75/10 TB. Pt have 1 Wisco avg (2 West 1	ng (19), I 5-24 loss 2 but the I 9 but the I 9 sin and I 9 ames vs 9 ge at Illing kt and led FD 13-7. I y d pass a eavers are -275 yd e 9 connel the for TD :1 J then 46, Brohm's t nsin has a 2nd only t Lafayette Lafayette	Purdue drc (+24'). La Badgers r. leff Brohm powers P powers P pois and las 13-0 H w krmy sack and Army e near the dge vs Illii reek I use- road vs th rew for 37 9 left half '7 TD and post defense a defense e o Georgiaj and have	opped thei set time he allied in 3 n is 9-4 AT Penn St, Niss t week With 12-2 Ff et and fumb 77/7 TD: 1: et op in the nois. They de them on he 12-4 Td and 14-7 and 10wa was se holding that is allied.	r 7th str re ('18), OT's to '5 S as a Hotre Dan isconsin D and 23 le and L 38 left b Pac 12, had a 2 Phil Ste was ba WR Bel moment int'd 4 t foes to wing ju- acing 3 onal edge	raight in N, UW outr win 44-41. HD. The B me and M is took its 3 30-48 yd wack door . They ha a 28-12 FD eele Plus ack in 193 II had a st tum. Purd times with 57 ypg b st 226 yp top 10 te ge and B\$ ge and B	Madison rushed 1 (+4). Sadgers ichigan 13rd drivedge. AB sneal cover. Id a 348 edge vietus Natural vietus 66/n Purduelow the g and hams. Weams. Weams. Weams.	Purdue : Paul Chi a opened They ke 95/12 Furny mis They ke TD 2:4 Furdue S-343 yd S Minny and Iow outre Dar record 2 FG the E having eir seas nolding f Visconsin	1-3 but bryst is 1 1-3 but booked lil 6:04 fc ss 43 yc 3 left 20 beat Orl l edge v but lost va team ne. Purc 40 rec y n 85/15 1 464-27 on avg bes to 1 l has co	ned 606- out found 7-6 ATS had a 8: ce UW of rr TD ther I FG then 0-7 but Hegon St is s ND but at home off a hugue alterry rds. Tied but reac 1 yd edg and 295 of 76 ypg b	376 (40) itself do as an AF as	3-50 rush) wwn 27-13 with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14 d 2H drive reat catch ener and 14, only ha a 448-294 d Purdue' QB's early due went d fumble ie does rall. ir is eason trips to
2019 Wisconsin Purdue 2018 Wisconsin Purdue 2017 Wisconsin Purdue 2016 Wisconsin	Rank 14 - Rank - Rank 7 Rank	Line -24.5 48 Line 53.5 -4 Line -17 50 Line -28	\$core	31 20 FD 24 28 FD 24 14 FD	55-403 20-50 Rush 51-385 31-76 Rush 53-295 26-66 Rush 56-221	Comp-F 15-19 27-44 Comp-F 16-24 31-48 Comp-F 13-18 13-29 Comp-F 12-19	:tt-Yds -203 -326 -tt-Yds -160 -386 -tt-Yds -199 -155 -tt-Yds -191	TO's 3 TO's 0 TO's 2 TO's	to Table	133 y 297 y 13 pc	ards ards ards	Pro Wiscon Project Wiscon Project Wiscon Expe	njected nsin ojected nsin cted Yan nsin ected Fi nsin erience nsin	Rushin Purd Passin Purd rdage 1 Purd inal Sco	ng due ng due Total due oore due ngs due	320 y 321 y 19 pc	vards vards pints	0.30	vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs. Purdue K Wisconsin Coache vs. Purdue Coaches Wisconsin Pts vs. Purdue Pts	2.30	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards		Wisco 40 Puro Puro Purol	D Points Lee By 1.: Lee 321-29 Lee 19-13	3 5 97	meetii in a 4: the 40: Wisco those yd edi on ne: 54/8 1 on 36 the Be a 315 yd edi last w but 00 75/10 TB. Pt have 1 Wisco avg (2 West 1	ng (19), I 5-24 loss 2 but the I 9 but the I 9 sin and I 9 ames vs 9 ge at Illing kt and led FD 13-7. I y d pass a eavers are -275 yd e 9 connel the for TD :1 J then 46, Brohm's t nsin has a 2nd only t Lafayette Lafayette	Purdue drc (+24'). La Badgers r. leff Brohm powers P powers P pois and las 13-0 H w krmy sack and Army e near the dge vs Illii reek I use- road vs th rew for 37 9 left half '7 TD and post defense a defense e o Georgiaj and have	opped thei sest time he allied in 3 n is 9-4 AT Penn St, N. St week With 12-2 Ft and fumb 77/7 TD:: t top in the inois. They do them on the #2 team 14-7 and Idway as se holding that is allo) despite f the situation big Ten the situation of the	r 7th str re (*18) OT's to ' S as a h otre Dan isconsin D and 23 le and L 88 left b Pac 12 Phil Ste n was ba WR Bel moment int'd 4 t foes to wing just acing 3 onal edd ele game	raight in N , UW outr win 44-41, HD. The B me and M took its 3 30-48 yd . UW 1/1 QI tack door . They ha 28-12 FD eele Plus ack in 197 II had a st tum. Purd times with 57 ypg b st 226 yp top 10 te. ge and Ba e.	Madison rushed 1 (+4). I (+4). Sadgers sichigan 3rd drivedge. A B sneal cover. Id a 348 edge v. +12 vs Nadium due 66/n Purdu pelow th g and hams. Wadgers	Purdue : Paul Chi c opened . They k ve 95/12 Army mix k TD 2:4 Purdue 3-343 yd s and Iow otre Dar record 2 8 FG the e having ieir seas holding fr fisconsin control t	385-76 I ryst is 1 1-3 but ooked lii lii lii lii lii lii lii lii lii li	ned 606- but found 7-6 ATS had a 8: ke UW of ir TD thei I FG then I-7 but He gon St is s ND but at home off a hug ue alterr ds. Tied but reac '1 yd edg and 295' 76 ypg b	376 (40) itself do as an AF as	3-50 rush) wwn 27-13 with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14 d 2H drive reat catch ener and 14, only ha a 448-294 d Purdue' QB's early due went d fumble ie does rall. ir is eason trips to
2019 Wisconsin Purdue 2018 Wisconsin Purdue 2017 Wisconsin Purdue 2016 Wisconsin	Rank 14 - Rank - Rank 7 Rank	Line -24.5 48 Line 53.5 -4 Line -17 50 Line -28	\$core	31 20 FD 24 28 FD 24 14 FD	55-403 20-50 Rush 51-385 31-76 Rush 53-295 26-66 Rush 56-221	Comp-F 15-19 27-44 Comp-F 16-24 31-48 Comp-F 13-18 13-29 Comp-F 12-19	:tt-Yds -203 -326 -tt-Yds -160 -386 -tt-Yds -199 -155 -tt-Yds -191	TO's 3 TO's 0 TO's 2 TO's	to Table	133 y 297 y 13 pc	ards ards ards	Pro Wiscon Project Wiscon Project Wiscon Project Wiscon Expe	njected nsin ojected nsin cted Yan nsin ected Fi nsin erience nsin	Rushin Purd Passin Purd rdage 1 Purd inal Sco Purd Rankin	ng due ng due Total due oore due ngs due	320 y 321 y 19 po	vards vards pints	0.30	vs. Purdue ST's Wisconsin K vs. Purdue K Wisconsin Coache vs. Purdue Coaches Wisconsin Pts vs. Purdue Pts	2.30 DED TO:	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards Computer Points		Wisco 40 Puro Puro Purol	Points Ue By 1 Ue By 2 Ue 321-29	3 5 97	meetii in a 4: the 40: Wisco those yd edi on ne: 54/8 1 on 36 the Be a 315 yd edi last w but 00 75/10 TB. Pt have 1 Wisco avg (2 West 1	ng (19), I 5-24 loss 2 but the I 9 but the I 9 sin and I 9 ames vs 9 ge at Illing kt and led FD 13-7. I y d pass a eavers are -275 yd e 9 connel the for TD :1 J then 46, Brohm's t nsin has a 2nd only t Lafayette Lafayette	Purdue drc (+24'). La Badgers r. leff Brohm powers P powers P pois and las 13-0 H w krmy sack and Army e near the dge vs Illii reek I use- road vs th rew for 37 9 left half '7 TD and post defense a defense e o Georgiaj and have	opped thei sest time he allied in 3 n is 9-4 AT Penn St, N. St week With 12-2 Ft and fumb 77/7 TD:: t top in the inois. They do them on the #2 team 14-7 and Idway as se holding that is allo) despite f the situation big Ten the situation of the	r 7th str re (*18) OT's to ' S as a h otre Dan isconsin D and 23 le and L 88 left b Pac 12 Phil Ste n was ba WR Bel moment int'd 4 t foes to wing just acing 3 onal edd ele game	raight in N, UW outr win 44-41. HD. The B me and M is took its 3 30-48 yd wack door . They ha a 28-12 FD eele Plus ack in 193 II had a st tum. Purd times with 57 ypg b st 226 yp top 10 te ge and B\$ ge and B	Madison rushed 1 (+4). I (+4). Sadgers sichigan 3rd drivedge. A B sneal cover. Id a 348 edge v. +12 vs Nadium due 66/n Purdu pelow th g and hams. Wadgers	Purdue : Paul Chi c opened . They k ve 95/12 Army mix k TD 2:4 Purdue 3-343 yd s and Iow otre Dar record 2 8 FG the e having ieir seas holding fr fisconsin control t	385-76 I ryst is 1 1-3 but ooked lii lii lii lii lii lii lii lii lii li	ned 606- but found 7-6 ATS had a 8: ke UW of ir TD thei I FG then I-7 but He gon St is s ND but at home off a hug ue alterr ds. Tied but reac '1 yd edg and 295' 76 ypg b	376 (40) itself do as an AF as	3-50 rush) wwn 27-13 with edge in a 491-93 1/10/6:14 d 2H drive reat catch ener and 14, only ha a 448-294 d Purdue' QB's early due went d fumble ie does rall. ir is eason trips to

								SU Tig	iorc									OVER	ALL:	OVERALL:									Micci	ccinn	i Reb	olc.							
								Tigers L										4- vs. 5		5-1 vs. SEC										Rebels I		612							
TM REC PEC	тм	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOF +/		AP	T					TEA		PS RA		FO		2-	2	2-1	TM REC	FOE	тм	FOE	TM +/-	FOF +/-	,	IP.							TEAM		RANK	FOE	
0-0 1-0	O-O			135	IM	FOE		at UCLA	OE	27-38	W/L L	OFF 16	DEF 10	ST 16	OFF 21	DEF 29	ST 15		NCAA RANKING 2021 TEAM RANKII	-	0-0		O-0		138	130	TM	FOE	DATE 9/6	† Louisvi	FOE	sco 43-	24 W	OFF 7	DEF 60	ST 103	OFF 63	DEF 70	ST 80
0-0 1-0	0-0	0-0	140	133	10		9/11	McNees	e	34-7	W	23	13	43	21	23	13	LS		Mississippi	0-0	0-0	0-0	0-0	130	130	20		9/11	Austin		54-			- 00	103	03	70	80
1-1 1-1	0-0	0-0	138	117			9/18		Michigan	49-21	W	46	14	34	69	110	65				2-0	1-1		0-0		129	17		9/18	Tulane	9	61-	21 W	3	43	38	65	71	33
2-1 2-1 3-1 3-1	0-0 1-0	0-0	138	130		22	9/25	at Miss St Auburn		28-25 19-24	W L	52 55	18 18	26 25	56 4	15 43	110	#103 #28	Rush Off Pass Off	#3 #19	3-0 3-0	4-0	0-0	1-0	145 145	154	13 12	1	9/25 10/2	Bye at Alabar	ma	21-	42 L	2	44 38	58 68	9	11	1
3-2 5-0	1-1	3-0	135	129		16	10/9	at Kentuc		21-42	L	60	20	24	38	33	76	#71	Total Off	#2	3-1	4-1	0-1	1-1	144	135	17	13	10/9	Arkan:	sas	52-	51 W		47	43	29	14	70
3-3 4-2 4-3 5-1	1-2 2-2	2-2 2-1	130	136 141			10/16	Florida at Mississi	nni	49-42	W	66 46	42 47	30 45	7	71	52 57	#37 #87	Score Off Sks Allowed	#5 #63	4-1 5-1	4-2 4-3	1-1 2-1		142 141	128			10/16	Tenne LSU	ssee	31-	26 W	2	66 71	53 57	13 46	37 47	20 45
. 5 51			155	1.12			10/30	Bye					.,	.5			٠,	#73	Rush Def	#114	7.	5-2		2-1	111	140				at Auburi	n				, -	3,	13	33	11
6-1 4-3		3-1 1-3		154			11/6 11/13	at Alabam Arkans							3 17	8 51	2 89	#94 #83	Pass Def Total Def	#89 #108	-	5-2 5-2		0-0 2-2		131 139			11/6 11/13	Liberty							78 33	39 5	105 17
3-3		1-2		97			11/13	ULM	as						130	126	118	#82	Score Def	#93		2-5		0-3		105			11/20	Vande							118		109
5-2		2-2		139			11/27	Texas	A&M						33	5	17	#9	Sks By	#25		3-3		1-2		129			11/27	at Miss S	t						59	22	113
																		#84 +5	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#25 + 5																			
																																							=
Sco	re		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offens RA-RY	e YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks					Sco	ore		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offens RA-RY	e YPC	Comp	Yd Pa:		FD	Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks
at UCLA		27-38		5	0	24	25-49	2.0	26-46	330	2			4.5	9-16	260	4				† Louis		,,,,	43-24		9	2	31	41-188	4.6	22-32			22			23-38		2
McNeese		34-7	164	7	1	17	36-126		21-37	180	3		42-51	1.2	10-20	91	8					tin Peay		54-17		3	1	29	43-336	7.8	24-39			26			24-44		5
Central Michig at Miss St	an	49-21 28-25	200 -143	-14	1	23 15	24-84 27-63	3.5 2.3	27-39 17-27	400 280	2		31-56 26-115	1.8	25-39 47-62	228 371	5 1			S	Tula Bye			61-21	402	27	0	41	61-372	6.1	23-33	3 33	15 2	14	38-139	3.7	10-18	166	2
Auburn		19-24	-95	-4	-1	19	25-33	1.3	26-46	325	3	23	29-163	5.6	24-48	290	0		S	þel	at Alab	ama		21-42		-9	0	18	34-78	2.3	21-29			27		4.2			3
at Kentucky Florida		21-42 49-42		-2 -5	-1 4	22	35-147 45-321		22-38 14-24	261 133	1		45-330 35-138		14-17 23-40	145 350	2	, i	l e	Ret	Arka	nsas nessee		52-51 31-26		-17 0	0	22 29	49-324 62-279	6.6 4.5	14-21			39 29		6.0	25-35 18-29		5
at Mississippi		73 72	- 54			- 22	73 321	7.1	14 24	133		21	33 130	3.3	23 40	330		9		Pi F	LSU			31-20	73	- 0	- 0	23	02 273	4.5	1 33	23	,1 ,	23	30 222	. 7.7	10 25	243	
Bye																		-	· }		at Aub																		
at Alabama Arkansas																		Ū	_	SS	Libe	as A&M																	
ULM																		-	1 12 1	SSi	Van	derbilt																	
Texas A&M																			•	Mississip	at Miss	St																	_
																				_																			
Average		22.20	-9.4	1.0	0.7	20	21 110	3.8	E0 E0/-	272	6 20/-	21	36-152	4.2	62.00/.	248	8.7%					/OF2.00		44.30	110.0	2.2	0.0	20	40 767	E /	54.4%	, an	0 6.7%	. 26	45-203	1 5	63.4%	240	9.4%
Average Conference		32-28 29-33	-84.8	-1.0 -6.3		20	31-118 33-141		59.5% 58.5%		6.2% 7.4%		34-187				2.4%					verage onference			110.0 -60.7	2.2 -8.7	0.8		48-263 48-227	5.4 4.7	40.4%				53-261				9.4%
																		0.81	LSU QB/WR vs. Mississippi DB's LSU RB's vs. Mississippi LB's LSU OL vs.	1.41	_																		
																			Mississippi DL LSU DL vs. Mississippi OL LSU LB'S																				
I																			vs. Mississippi RB's	0.25 s																			
																			LSU DB's																				
																			vs. Mississippi QB/V	1.19 VR																			
	e Years	Meeti	ngs (La		years a		Steele.co	•				Proje	cted E	Boy S	core				LSU ST's			Category			Team 8	k Margin							Phil's For	ecast:					
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's				оје	cieu I	-UA 3					vs.	0.40	Las Ve	gas Line																	
LSU	-	74.5	53	29	43-158	27-	51-435												Mississippi ST's	5		,			Mississip	pi By 9.	5	HC Org	eron is 5	-0 SU/4-1	L ATS agai open 3Q C	nst his f	ormer em	ployer.	Last year	the Tige	rs led 34	-21 at half	on a
Mississippi	-	-1.5	48	25	48-307	15-	27-251	-5				Pro	ojected	Rushin	ng				LSU K		lac V	gas Total	_					TD's. C	orral finis	hed with	5 int's & 0	le Miss	fumbled o	n LSU27	with :40	left & Tig	gers won	53-48. LS	U has
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	ts	161 y	ards	LS	U	Missis	sippi	256 y	ards	2.35	vs.		Las ve	gas rutdi			76.5	Points		with 3 l	blowout w	ins then	last 5 me	down vs	Bama trai	led 42-7	4Q. They	won a	shootout	vs Arkans	as
LSU	1	-21.5	58	35	39-225	32-4	42-489		ten			Pr	ojected	Passin	ıg				Mississippi K												ent 11/2 fo . UM 57/11								
Mississippi	-	66	37	26	44-402	15-2	27-212	1	Co	262 y	ards	LS	U	Missis	sippi	323 y	ards		LSU Coaches		+/- Ra	tings	[N	Mississip	pi By 12	.0	17-10 F	FD. Tenn	open 3Q ı	with TD, FO	G , TD to	UM's FG,	TD, int	and pulled	l to 31-2	6 13:41	left. UT 4&	24
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	Jo .			Proje	cted Ya	rdage 1	Total			1.10	vs.									to UCLA	A in the o	pener the	:54. UT fo en won 3 ir	n a row.	They led A	Auburn	13-0 and 1	were in o	control b	ut gave up	
LSU	5	-10.5	45	33	50-281	18-	25-292		able	422 y	ards	LS		Missis		579 y	ards		Mississippi Coach	nes	Game (Grade	ſ	N	Mississip	pi By 14		Nix TD	pass whe	re he had	d scramble ist week m	ed from :	sideline to	sideline	, lost that	game la	ate and t	hen were	
Mississippi	-	60	16	18	31-150		38-178	1	to Table	I '			ected Fi			- /			LSU Pts		1							were a	DD home	dog to F	lorida and	Tyrion I	Davis-Price	e rushed	for LSU i	record 2	87 yard	and LSU de	espite
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's	Ē	34 p	oints	LS		Missis		46 pc	nints	4.39	vs.	3.47	Compu	ter Yards	Γ	M	lississipp	oi 579-4	22	28-13,	35-21, 49	9-42 and	ers led 21- got the 4th	n int with	1:59 left	and ran	out the c	lock in u	ipset hor	ne win. QB	3
LSU	24	-7	40	26	55-393		13-200	.03	Return	34 p	onica.		o erience			+υ ρι	J.111.3	55	vs. Mississippi Pts							5. 5-4.		Corrall	ran for 3	0-195 las	t week but	t is very	banged u	p and ?	for this. C	le Miss	D was pla	ying well	at the
	47						34-194	2	æ	6		LS			-	21					Compu	ter Points	·		Mississi	ni 46. 3		year ar	nd that m		team playi								
Mississippi	- ·	60.5	24	21	28-153			-3 TOI-		6	1			Missis		31	0	PO	ITIONAL EDGE AWAI	RDED TO:	\vdash				1-11551551	יקי יקי-3י		surpris	e me.										
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's			_		Schedu				_		LSU		Phil Ste	eele	г																
LSU	25	-7	38	20	36-311		28-204			2	U	LS	U	Missis	sippi	10	U								Mississ	ippi by 3					Mi	ssis	sippi	41 L	SU 3	8			
Mississippi	23	60.5	21	19	36-107	20-	33-218	1											By 0.92 Point	ts																			

South Alabama Jaguars	OVERALL: OVERALL: 4-2 3-3	
Jaguars Logs FOR THE FOR AP TEAMPS RANK FOR	vs. SUN vs. SUN 1-2 1-2	
TM REC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF
0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 106 116 9/4 Southern Miss 31-7 W 122 107 105 102 80 46 1-0 0-1 0-0 0-0 111 85 9/11 at Bowling Green 22-19 W 121 96 72 125 127 55	2021 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 96 125 9/4 at Kentucky 10-45 L 130 126 130 67 42 5
2-0 0-0 109 9/18 Alcorn St 28-21 W 118 95 80	South Alabama ULM	0-1 0-0 96 9/18 Jackson St 12-7 W 130 126 114
3-0 0-0 105 9/25 Bye 109 79 69 3-0 3-1 0-0 1-0 105 127 10/2 Louisiana 18-20 L 102 74 72 62 91 2	#87 Rush Off #12 #46 Pass Off #11	
3-1 1-3 0-1 0-0 108 104 10/9 at Texas St 31-33 L 107 68 93 119 111 71	#72 Total Off #12	#127 2-2 1-4 1-1 0-1 96 112 10/9 Georgia St 21-55 L 130 125 121 109 119 1
3-2 2-4 0-2 1-2 107 115 10/16 GA Southern 41-14 W 99 69 98 101 111 54 4-2 3-3 1-2 1-2 112 97 10/23 at ULM 87 62 97 130 126 118		#118 2-3 5-1 1-2 0-0 92 136 10/16 Liberty 31-28 W 130 127 121 69 31 1 #115 3-3 4-2 1-2 1-2 97 112 10/23 South Alabama 130 126 118 87 62 9
1-5 0-2 105 10/30 Arkansas St 106 130 32	#33 Rush Def #9	#95 4-2 1-1 122 10/30 at Appalachian St 38 59 2
4-3 2-1 117 11/6 at Troy 101 54 121 4-2 1-1 122 11/13 at Appalachian St 38 59 24	#17 Pass Def #11 #14 Total Def #11	
4-3 2-2 129 11/20 at Tennessee 16 30 28	#19 Score Def #11	#114 4-3 2-2 133 11/20 at LSU 46 47 4
6-0 2-0 122 11/27 Coastal Carolina 2 61 14		#59 5-1 3-0 133 11/27 at Louisiana 57 89 2
	+3 Total TO's +6	+6
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds		Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks Southern Miss 31-7 42 -6 3 13 34-31 0.9 17-22 269 3 19 45-125 2.8 13-29 133 4		Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass S at Kentucky 10-45 -467 -12 3 12 43-17 0.4 11-20 70 6 24 34-135 4.0 22-33 419
at Bowling Green 22-19 54 11 1 21 37-114 3.1 29-46 257 2 10 19-9 0.5 23-33 308 3	ا ر _م ا	Bye
Alcorn St 28-21 107 9 -3 22 43-246 5.7 14-24 158 0 13 31-32 1.0 20-34 265 4 Bye	Jaguars	Jackson St 12-7 -35 1 3 17 41-94 2.3 17-35 156 3 16 17-26 1.5 28-42 259 Troy 29-16 -88 -3 0 15 41-122 3.0 11-19 168 2 18 23-67 2.9 35-49 311
Louisiana 18-20 104 -2 1 15 43-144 3.3 19-29 243 2 17 33-225 6.8 10-21 58 1	s s	
at Texas St 31-33 -70 -3 0 20 44-140 3.2 19-26 189 1 23 48-212 4.4 18-28 187 3 GA Southern 41-14 312 10 1 20 32-140 4.4 25-33 405 2 10 44-121 2.8 7-25 112 4	Ja Ja	Georgia St 21-55 -213 -18 -2 21 37-122 3.3 20-32 237 3 39 58-326 5.6 20-29 246 Liberty 31-28 -21 0 2 22 47-140 3.0 16-25 225 6 22 49-251 5.1 16-28 135
at ULM	bama J AVERAG	South Alabama
Arkansas St at Troy	abama Jag A AVERAGES Warhawks	at Appalachian St at Texas St
at Appalachian St	n Alabama TEAM AVERA	Arkansas St
at Tennessee Coastal Carolina	th Ala	at LSU at Louisiana
	South	
	- %	
Average 29-19 91.5 3.2 0.5 19 39-136 3.5 68.3% 254 5.6% 15 37-121 3.3 53.5% 177 11.29 Conference 30-22 115.3 1.7 0.7 18 40-141 3.6 71.6% 279 5.7% 17 42-186 4.5 47.3% 119 10.89		Average 18-35 -196.3 -8.0 1.0 16 40-95 2.4 56.8% 165 14.2% 24 37-180 4.8 69.3% 275 6. Conference 19-43 -218.3 -12.3 -0.7 15 36-105 2.9 58.7% 179 9.3% 28 41-223 5.4 74.5% 280 2.
Away 27-26 -8.0 4.0 0.5 21 41-127 3.1 66.7% 223 4.2% 17 34-111 3.3 67.2% 248 9.8%		Home 23-27 -89.3 -5.0 0.8 19 42-120 2.9 57.7% 197 12.6% 24 37-168 4.6 66.9% 238 6.
	Position by Position Edges South Alabama ULM	
	South Alabama QB/WR	
	2.53 vs. ULM DB's	
	South Alabama RB's	0.15
	ULM LB's	0.15
	South Alabama OL 0.61 vs.	
	ULM DL	
	South Alabama DL 3.07 vs.	
	ULM OL	
	South Alabama LB'S	
	ULM RB's	
	South Alabama DB's 1.50 vs.	
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)	ULM QB/WR South Alahama ST's	Category Team & Margin Phil's Forecast:
2020 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Box Score	1.23 vs.	Court Alchemater Visit and A. The modern to the Marie Town The Wilder
South Alabama15 38 20 44-169 11-17-246	ULM ST's	Las Vegas Line South Alabama trails the series 4-3. The road team has won just 1 time in 7 games. The 'Under this series has hit the last 4 games. In LY's meeting USA opened the scoring when CB Rockette
ULM - 57 14 20 27-94 23-34-286 -1 Projected Rushing	South Alabama K	(USA's first INT of year) returned an INT 95 yds for a TD (14 pt swing). USA got an 80 yd TD pa to lead 17-0 in 1Q. ULM went 85/8 for a TD with 3:54 left 1H and trailed 17-6 H (missed PAT).
2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds T0's 119 yards South Alabama ULM 93 yards		Las Vegas Total ULM missed a 29 yd FG in 3Q then USA went 80/10 and then 49/5 for 2 TD's in the 3Q and the
9		0.15 Score was 31-6 and USA won 38-14 (-15) at home. ULM is 12-25-3 ATS dating back to '14 at home. USA is a SBC road favorite for the first time since '17 when they were at GSthrn (-5) and
South Alabama - 58 17 24 52-263 14-26-114 Projected Passing	ULM K	lost 52-0. South Alabama is 4-2 after an 0-2 start to Sun Belt play last week blasted Georgia
ULM 15 30 18 32-142 17-25-286 1 5 257 yards South Alabama ULM 174 yards	South Alabama Coaches	Southern leading 31-0 in a 41-14 home win. ULM is 2-4 getting past Jackson St and somehow upsetting Troy (+23'). Last week they did it again. Liberty was -32 and after a 0-0 1Q they wen
		1.00 Same Grade 18/4 (after Int) and 85/8 for TD's on last two drives and led 14-0 at half with 16-8 FD edge. UL shockingly went 73/10, 1/1 (after int), 68/5 and 62/8 for TD's all in the 3Q for a 28-14 lead!
South Alabama - 62 10 11 31-89 14-23-129 377 yards South Alabama ULM 267 yards	ULM Coaches	S Alabama By 13.5 Liberty went 65/8 & 60/3 in 4Q for TD's to tie. ULM Calum Sutherland's 53 yd GW FG with 1:44 left was the Warhawks' first field goal from bevond 50 yards since 2014, ULM is playing an AGG
ULM7 38 26 38-175 28-33-376 -1 S Projected Final Score	South Alabama Pts	Computer Yards 84.2 over the L4W and South Alabama is at 92.1 (USA by just 4.7). USA has a defense that is
2017 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 3 30 points South Alabama ULM 19 points	12.16 vs. 1.3	1.30 S Alabama 377-267 holding foes to 45 ypg below their season avg and South Carolina/Utah transfer QB Jake Bentley just accounted for 5 TD's last week and has super WR Jalen Tolbert to throw to. ULM is -67 ypg
South Alabama4.5 33 26 35-232 20-33-317 Experience Rankings	ULM Pts	opp avg on offense and -83 on defense. When ULM loses, they lose by 40.6 ppg but when they
ULM - 59 23 20 41-307 14-23-179 -1 56 South Alabama ULM 86	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Computer Points Cover as a dog they win outright covering by 36 ppg! Chandler Rogers has topped 200 yds pass B2B weeks and has 213 rush yds in just a few starts. My computer has it 30-19 and I have a
2016 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Team Schedule Strength		tough time finding the pulse of ULM so I will just go with that.
South Alabama12 35 30 41-209 23-37-307 129 South Alabama ULM 88	South Alabama	Phil Steele S Alabama by 11
ULM - 50.5 42 27 46-181 26-39-279 0	By 10.85 Points	South Alabama 30 ULM 19
	Dy 10.03 Pullis	

Liberty Flames	0'	VERALL: OVERALL: 5-2 1-5				No	rth Texas	s Mean G	ireen				
Flames Logs		vs. IND vs. CUSA					Mean G	reen Logs					
TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF	ST	0-0 0-3 NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC FOE T	M FOE	TM +/- FOE +/-	. AP TM FOE	DATE	FOE	SCORE W/L	TEAM OFF DEF	PS RA ST		FOE DEF ST
0-0 0-0 133 9/4 Campbell 48-7 W 34 55 75		2021 TEAM RANKINGS		I-O	105			rthwestern St	44-14 W	68 117	27		
1-0 1-0 0-0 0-0 131 124 9/11 at Troy 21-13 W 32 52 79 86 82 2-0 1-1 0-0 0-0 131 102 9/18 Old Dominion 45-17 W 48 51 84 124 121	76 71	Liberty North Texas	1-0 1-0 0 1-1 1-1 0				9/11 at SM 9/18 UA		12-35 L 6-40 L	56 115 50 117			85 78 37 117
3-0 2-1 0-0 0-0 131 127 9/25 at Syracuse 21-24 L 69 49 109 60 78	45 #2		1-2 1-2 0	-1 0-0	101 114		9/25 at Lou	Jisiana Tech	17-24 L	75 119	49		100 108
3-1 3-1 0-0 1-0 130 128 10/2 at UAB 36-12 W 74 44 114 70 31 4-1 2-3 0-0 1-2 135 117 10/9 Middle Tennessee 41-13 W 65 34 110 116 100	85 #6 106 #4		1-3 0 1-3 2-3 0	I-2 I-2 0-2	102 102 119		10/2 Bye 10/9 at Mis		35-48 L	83 113 88 112		28	102 9
5-1 2-3 0-0 1-2 136 92 10/16 at ULM 28-31 L 69 31 102 130 127	121 #2	9 Score Off #105	1-4 3-3 0	-2 1-1	103 116		10/16 Ma	rshall	21-49 L	81 113	85	48	68 59
5-2 1-5 0-0 0-3 131 100 10/23 at North Texas 78 39 105 77 116 1-5 1-0 95 10/30 UMass 124 129	86 #11 123 #2		1-5 5-2 0 2-4	1-3 0-0 1-1	100 131 102		10/23 Lib 10/30 at Ric			77 116	86		39 105 118 127
1-5 1-0 95 10/30 UMass 124 129 5-1 2-1 141 11/6 at Mississippi 4 71	57 #1		1-6	0-3	102		11/6 at Sou						118 127 106 65
11/13 Bye 5-1 3-0 133 11/20 Louisiana 57 89	#5			3-0	113 103		11/13 UTI						41 117 121 49
5-1 3-0 133 11/20 Louisiana 57 89 4-2 1-0 121 11/27 Army West Point 86 48	25 #1 70 #4		1-5 7-0	0-2 3-0	103		11/20 at FIU 11/27 UT:						121 49 43 26
	#9	Ypg Diff #89											
	-3	Total TO's 0											
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds					Net		Offense	Comp	Yds	Defens			Yds
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Campbell 48-7 268 10 0 27 41-218 5.3 19-29 319 0 17 29-86 3.0 15-32 183 Authors a contract of the co	Sks 0		Score Northwestern St	44-14	Yds FD 109 8	TO's FD 29	RA-RY YP 50-345 6.		Pass Sks 182 0	FD RA-RY 21 49-26			Pass Sks 157 4
at Troy 21-13 107 9 1 22 47-185 3.9 13-18 154 5 13 24-21 0.9 18-25 211	5	a	at SMU	12-35			41-122 3.		384 2		5 7.3		
Old Dominion 45-17 223 8 0 23 41-182 4.4 21-29 242 3 15 35-67 1.9 15-27 134	5	0	UAB	6-40	-187 1	-1 18	44-121 2.			17 51-17	3 3.4	7-14	234 2
at Syracuse 21-24 64 -2 -1 20 41-150 3.7 16-21 219 7 22 53-228 4.3 6-15 77 at UAB 36-12 219 10 0 24 39-213 5.5 15-21 331 1 14 36-173 4.8 12-26 152	5	S Gree	at Louisiana Tech Bye	17-24	47 3	0 24	49-241 4.	9 15-37	92 2	21 40-79	9 2.0	19-28	207 3
Middle Tennessee 41-13 184 9 -1 21 42-227 5.4 17-30 222 3 12 21-35 1.7 22-42 230	3	in Sies	at Missouri	35-48	19 0		49-188 3.			21 39-31			
at ULM 28-31 21 0 -2 22 49-251 5.1 16-28 135 4 22 47-140 3.0 16-25 225 at North Texas	6	Flames rerages Mean G	Marshall Liberty	21-49	-66 -6	0 18	46-277 6.	0 17-32	134 2	24 40-15	4 3.9	24-32	323 1
at worth Texas UMass		iberty Flame: TEAM AVERAGES Texas Mean (at Rice										
at Mississippi		Liberty TEAM AV	at Southern Miss										
Bye Louisiana		6. F	UTEP at FIU										
Army West Point		5 F 5	UTSA										
		Liberty TEAM AV											
		Z Z											
Average 34-17 155.1 6.3 -0.4 23 43-204 4.8 66.5% 232 13.1% 16 35-107 3.1 54.2% 173	13.0%		Average		-18.2 1.5 -68.7 -0.7	0.0 22	47-216 4.		199 5.7%				232 6.6%
Conference 21-24 64.0 -2.0 -1.0 20 41-150 3.7 76.2% 219 33.3% 22 53-228 4.3 40.0% 77 Away 27-20 102.8 4.3 -0.5 22 44-200 4.5 68.2% 210 19.3% 18 40-141 3.5 57.1% 166			Conference Home		-68.7 -0.7 -48.0 1.0		46-213 4. 47-248 5.			21 44-13			
		Position by Position Edges											
	· ·	Liberty North Texas	1										
	1.4												
		North Texas DB's Liberty RB's											
		vs. 0.24											
		North Texas LB's Liberty OL	4										
	0.2												
		North Texas DL											
	0.4	Liberty DL											
	0.4	North Texas OL											
	0.1	Liberty LB'S											
	0.1	North Texas RB's											
		Liberty DB's	1										
	1.3	vs. North Texas QB/WR											
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)		Liberty ST's	Category		Team & Margin				Phil's Fore	cast:			
2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Box Score	1.9		Las Vegas Line										
Liberty - 69.5 7 23 42-122 16-40-222		North Texas ST's			Liberty By 21.5								
North Texas12 47 25 47-346 16-27-185 1 Projected Rushing		Liberty K	Las Vegas Total						st time as the Fla S in non-conferer				
247 yards Liberty North Texas 160 Projected Passing	ards	vs. 0.25 North Texas K			60.5 Points	last 4\	and the Mean	Green haven't	t been an under	dog of more tha	n 2 TD's at	t home si	since '16.
Projected Passing 184 yards Liberty North Texas 18	ards	North Texas K Liberty Coaches	+/- Ratings		Liberty By 27.3				erty. Liberty oper the Blazers new :				
Projected Yardage Total	0.3				Liberty by 27.3	losing	31-28 as a 33	point favorite!	. North Texas op	ened 1-4 SU bu	it had a 22	8-162 ya	ard edge at
431 yards Liberty North Texas 35:	ards	North Texas Coaches	Game Grade		Liberty By 16.6				at LTech by 7 an as a Best Bet at				
Projected Final Score		Liberty Pts	1_			Marsh	all punted on fir	rst poss then s	scored TD's on ne	ext 6 and led 42	2-7 H. NT w	vas SOD a	at Marshall
40 points Liberty North Texas 18	oints 5.7		Computer Yards		Liberty 431-355				19-7 went 90/6 a and Liberty is up				
184 yards Liberty North Texas 18: Projected Yardage Total 431 yards Liberty North Texas 35: Projected Final Score 40 points Liberty North Texas 18: Experience Rankings		North Texas Pts	Computer Points						, but Hugh Free:			,	
2 10 Liberty North Texas	,	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Computer Points		Liberty 40-18								
						- 1							
Team Schedule Strength		Liberty	Phil Steele										
Team Schedule Strength 118 Liberty North Texas	3	Liberty By 5.31 Points	Phil Steele		Liberty by 31			Libert	ty 48 Noi	rth Texas	s 17		

UTSA Roadrunners	OVERALL: OVERALL: 7-0 2-4	LA Tech Bulldogs
Roadrunners Logs FOR THE FOR AP TRANS FOR	vs. CUSA vs. CUSA	
TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE+/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	3-0 1-1 NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE+/- AP TEAMPS RANK FOE REC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST
0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 124 127 9/4 at Illinois 37-30 W 70 59 34 75 95 44 1-0 0-0 127 9/11 Lamar 54-0 W 68 64 95	2021 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 110 132 9/4 at Miss St 34-35 L 111 87 98 50 28 51 0-1 0-0 114 9/11 SE Louisiana 45-42 W 119 91 127
2-0 1-1 0-0 0-0 127 119 9/18 Middle Tennessee 27-13 W 52 69 14 97 101 112	UTSA LA Tech	1-1 2-0 0-0 0-0 113 123 9/18 SMU 37-39 L 111 90 93 11 85 99
3-0 3-0 1-0 0-0 127 127 9/25 at Memphis 31-28 W 62 52 14 13 69 3 4-0 0-4 1-0 0-1 129 108 10/2 UNLV 24-17 W 64 50 11 124 124 104	#46 Rush Off #9: #39 Pass Off #3:	
5-0 1-3 1-0 0-0 128 119 10/9 at WKU 52-46 W 67 51 17 66 99 59	#33 Total Off #70	70 2-3 1-0 115 10/9 Bye 97 97 115
6-0 2-3 2-0 1-0 128 106 10/16 Rice 45-0 W 51 57 22 108 114 128 7-0 2-4 3-0 1-1 132 111 24 10/23 at Louisiana Tech 50 43 26 103 96 112		
10/30 Bye 6-1 3-0 113 11/6 at UTEP 75 41 117	#5 Rush Def #6: #91 Pass Def #12	
1-6 0-3 100 11/13 Southern Miss 127 106 65	#32 Total Def #11	117 4-2 2-0 112 11/13 Charlotte 65 119 63
5-2 3-0 127 11/20 UAB 69 29 61 1-5 0-3 100 11/27 at North Texas 77 116 86	#21 Score Def #98 #36 Sks By #10	
	#17 Ypg Diff #10	109
	+7 Total TO's +2	52
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass		Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks
at Illinois 37-30 85 2 0 26 50-217 4.3 20-32 280 1 24 35-146 4.2 22-43 266 0		at Miss St 34-35 -66 -8 2 14 25-101 4.0 21-37 268 1 22 19-65 3.4 39-47 370 3
Lamar 54-0 305 10 2 20 29-106 3.7 26-32 321 1 10 36-89 2.5 6-13 33 0 Middle Tennessee 27-13 253 12 -1 23 44-175 4.0 26-45 277 2 11 25-8 0.3 14-30 191 5		SE Louisiana 45-42 -146 -9 2 23 43-198 4.6 20-28 250 1 32 27-99 3.7 44-59 495 3 SMU 37-39 -95 -5 -1 26 30-132 4.4 24-41 351 1 31 38-183 4.8 36-50 395 0
at Memphis 31-28 -8 9 1 25 62-205 3.3 19-30 194 2 16 32-78 2.4 15-25 329 2	S N	North Texas 24-17 -47 -3 0 21 40-79 2.0 19-28 207 3 24 49-241 4.9 15-37 92 2
UNLV 24-17 31 3 3 23 41-104 2.5 24-30 278 0 20 29-35 1.2 26-38 316 6 at WKU 52-46 -106 -3 0 32 37-192 5.2 29-39 372 0 35 29-147 5.1 38-60 523 1	rdrunner reraces Bulldogs	at NC State 27-34 62 3 -2 26 35-139 4.0 26-44 341 3 23 31-167 5.4 22-36 251 1 Bye
Rice 45-0 301 14 2 22 38-261 6.9 14-24 142 1 8 36-66 1.8 8-16 36 3	₹ ₹	at UTEP 3-19 -107 -4 1 14 28-92 3.3 15-29 186 4 18 44-102 2.3 19-29 283 1
at Louisiana Tech Bye		UTSA at Old Dominion
at UTEP	A Roz	at UAB Charlotte
Southern Miss UAB		Southern Miss
at North Texas	UTSA TEA	at Rice
Average 39-19 123.0 6.7 1.0 24 43-180 4.2 68.1% 266 3.0% 18 32-81 2.6 57.3% 242 7.6%	-	Average 28-31 -66.5 -4.3 0.3 21 34-124 3.7 60.4% 267 6.3% 25 35-143 4.1 67.8% 314 3.9%
Conference 41-20 149.3 7.7 0.3 26 40-209 5.3 63.9% 264 2.8% 18 30-74 2.5 56.6% 250 8.5%		Conference 14-18 -77.0 -3.5 0.5 18 34-86 2.5 59.6% 197 12.3% 21 47-172 3.7 51.5% 188 4.5%
Away 40-35 -9.7 2.7 0.3 28 50-205 4.1 67.3% 282 3.0% 25 32-124 3.9 58.6% 373 2.3%	Position by Position Edges	Home 35-33 96.0 -5.7 0.3 23 38-136 3.6 64.9% 269 5.2% 29 38-174 4.6 65.1% 327 3.4%
	UTSA LA Tech UTSA QB/WR	
	0.51 vs.	
	LA Tech DB's UTSA RB's	
	vs. 0.03	.03
	LA Tech LB's UTSA OL	
	0.60 vs. LA Tech DL	
	UTSA DL	
	2.50 vs. LA Tech OL	
	UTSA LB'S	
	2.47 vs. LA Tech RB's	
	UTSA DB's	
	vs. 0.46 LA Tech QB/WR	.46
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Box Score	UTSA ST's	Category Team & Margin Phil's Forecast:
ZOZO KARIK LINE SCHE FD KUSH COMPARETUS TO S	2.83 VS.	Las Vegas Line LTech had won 6 straight vs UTSA until last year's 1 pt road loss. The Roadrunners trailed 17-6 at
UTSA - 53.5 27 25 53-188 19-34-197 Louisiana Tech2.5 26 15 27-78 25-39-169 0 Projected Rushing	LA Tech ST's UTSA K	Utsa By 6.5 Utsa B
		Las Vegas Total UTSA is playing their 8th straight week with a bye on deck while LT just had their bye 2 weeks ago.
2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 9 161 yards UTSA LA Tech 82 yards UTSA - 57 27 17 31-128 26-44-280 Projected Passing	vs. 3.00	UTSA reached 7-0 for first time in school history. UTSA got 2 TD and FG on first 3 drives then a DL
	UTSA Coaches	+/- Ratings tipped pass which Harmanson 40 yd IR TD. UTSA punt twice then 75/7 TD led 31-0 H with 294-53 yd edge. 4th play of 30 UTSA 49 yd IR TD and add 60/7 30 TD in 45-0 rout. LT opened just 2-3
2018 Bank Line Some FD Bush Come-Att-Vds T0's	vs. 1.8	but that does not tell the story as they led Miss St by 20 in the 4Q, gave up a tipped hall Mary TD
UTSA - 44.5 3 16 23-85 19-40-201 2 391 yards UTSA LA Tech 366 yards Louislana Tech11 31 21 38-75 25-37-309 0 Projected Final Score 2017 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 31 points UTSA LA Tech 21 points UTSA - 50.5 6 15 45-110 9-16-91	LA Tech Coaches	Game Grade and lost to powerful SMU by 2 and were int'd in the EZ down 7 at NCSt (3 plays away from being 5- Utsa By 4.5 0 and ranked!). Last week they were in the favorites role where they struggle, and they lost
Louisiana Tech11 31 21 38-75 25-37-309 0 Projected Final Score	UTSA Pts	outright at UTEP 19-3 and were outgained 385-278. LT has taken on the much tougher schedule (#27 ur #115) LT school has a large 57 advantage (#27 ur #111). The AGE has LTS by \$10.0 3 and
2017 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 31 points UTSA LA Tech 21 points	8.90 vs. 5.33	Computer Yards Utsa 391-366 LTech at 92.4 (UTSA by 4.3). UTSA has won at Illinois, Memphis and WKU already this year. LT is
UTSA - 50.5 6 15 45-110 9-16-91 Experience Rankings	LA Tech Pts	23-10 as an underdog with 12 outright upsets since 2014. UTSA is only 9-3 ATS as an AD and 3-7 ATS their last 10 as an AF. UTSA is also ranked for first time in school history and that is always a
Louisiana Tech1.5 20 19 37-193 7-21-101 0 2 UTSA LA Tech 49	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Computer Points Utsa 31-21 play against situation this week as well. The dog is 6-0 ATS in LT games and they were 1 play away from beating SMU, Miss St and NCSt this year.
2016 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Team Schedule Strength	UTSA	Phil Steele
UTSA - 67.5 35 20 42-131 22-42-179 126 UTSA LA Tech 71	JIJA	Louisiana Tech by 3 Louisiana Tech 30 UTSA 27
Louisiana Tech22 63 23 30-180 17-27-293 1	By 3.57 Points	Louisidila Tecil 30 013A 27

	OVERALL: OVERALL:												
WKU Hilltoppers Hilltoppers Logs	2-4 1-5 vs. CUSA vs. CUSA	FIU Golden Panthers Golden Panthers Logs											
FOE TM FOE THE	1-1 0-2	FOE TM FOE TMPS RANK FOE											
IN REC REC CONF CONF CONF IN +7 - FUE +7 TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKINGS	N KEC REC CONF CONF N +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST											
1-0 1-0 0-0 0-0 116 125 9/11 at Army West Point 35-38 L 103 68 32 104 79 14	WKU FIU	1-0 0-1 0-0 0-0 108 113 9/11 Texas St 17-23 L 108 98 11 107 119 99											
1-1 0-0 117 9/18 Bye 101 78 51 1-1 1-2 0-0 0-1 117 133 9/25 Indiana 31-33 L 71 96 50 83 24 33	#117 Rush Off #10												
1-2 4-0 0-0 2-0 119 133 17 10/2 at Michigan St 31-48 L 65 95 41 27 58 87 1-3 5-0 0-0 1-0 119 128 10/9 UTSA 46-52 L 66 99 59 67 51 17	#1 Pass Off #12 #4 Total Off #46												
1-4 1-5 0-1 0-2 119 105 10/16 at Old Dominion 43-20 W 50 108 58 116 90 40	#9 Score Off #72	72 1-5 0-2 103 10/16 Bye 83 120 42											
2-4 1-5 1-1 0-2 121 103 10/23 at FIU 44 109 38 84 121 49 4-2 2-0 122 10/30 Charlotte 65 119 63	#21 Sks Allowed #78 #105 Rush Def #98												
2-4 1-2 116 11/6 Middle Tennessee 122 103 110	#118 Pass Def #12	.22 1-6 0-3 103 11/6 Old Dominion 115 93 42											
2-4 1-1 102 11/13 at Rice 116 118 127 3-3 1-1 118 11/20 Florida Atlantic 73 80 50	#121 Total Def #12 #119 Score Def #12												
4-3 2-1 119 11/27 at Marshall 48 67 74		.01 1-6 0-3 100 11/27 at Southern Miss 127 106 65											
	#38 Ypg Diff #10 +2 Total TO's -6												
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds		Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds											
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks		Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks											
UT Martin 59-21 191 3 1 25 25-109 4.4 29-36 478 0 22 34-201 5.9 20-43 195 1 at Army West Point 35-38 61 -1 0 22 19-42 2.2 28-40 435 3 23 67-339 5.1 5-6 77 1		Long Island 48-10 303 7 -1 22 30-318 10.6 15-19 276 0 15 36-96 2.7 16-31 195 1 Texas St 17-23 64 0 -3 21 38-141 3.7 17-34 259 1 21 44-171 3.9 18-31 165 4											
Bye	γ	at Texas Tech 21-54 -338 -21 0 10 29-55 1.9 13-29 191 2 31 37-177 4.8 27-37 407 0											
Indiana 31-33 -49 -11 0 24 20-93 4.7 31-44 365 1 35 39-134 3.4 35-53 373 3 at Michigan St 31-48 41 5 -1 31 26-72 2.8 46-65 488 3 26 43-192 4.5 20-30 327 0	rs ers	at Central Michigan 27-31 -162 -11 0 18 32-42 1.3 20-38 395 5 29 40-202 5.1 30-49 397 2 at Florida Atlantic 21-58 -316 -14 -1 16 27-69 2.6 19-41 319 2 30 47-296 6.3 24-28 408 0											
UTSA 46-52 106 3 0 35 29-147 5.1 38-60 523 1 32 37-192 5.2 29-39 372 0	Hilltoppers 4 AVERAGES den Panthe	Charlotte 33-45 160 -5 -1 18 30-72 2.4 19-30 466 5 23 38-179 4.7 22-28 199 3											
at Old Dominion 43-20 72 6 2 29 27-121 4.5 37-54 397 0 23 37-119 3.2 26-41 327 5 at FIU	Op RA Pa	Bye WKU											
Charlotte	Hilltop AVERA	at Marshall											
Middle Tennessee at Rice	H E B	Old Dominion at Middle Tennessee											
Florida Atlantic	WKU Hillt TEAM AVE U Golden	North Texas											
at Marshall	W UI	at Southern Miss											
	<u> </u>												
Average 41-35 70.3 0.8 0.3 28 24-97 4.0 69.9% 448 2.7% 27 43-196 4.6 63.7% 279 4.7%	1	Average 28-37 -48.2 -7.3 -1.0 18 31-116 3.7 53.9% 318 7.9% 25 40-187 4.6 67.2% 295 4.9%											
Conference 45-36 89.0 4.5 1.0 32 28-134 4.8 65.8% 460 0.9% 28 37-156 4.2 68.8% 350 6.3% Away 36-35 58.0 3.3 0.3 27 24-78 3.3 69.8% 440 3.8% 24 49-217 4.4 66.2% 244 7.8%		Conference 27-52 -78.0 -9.5 -1.0 17 29-71 2.5 53.5% 393 9.9% 27 43-238 5.6 82.1% 304 5.4% Home 33-26 175.7 0.7 -1.7 20 33-177 5.4 61.4% 334 7.2% 20 39-149 3.8 62.2% 186 8.9%											
7110 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 5.3 E. E. F. F. F. F. F. F. F. F. F. F. F. F. F.	Position by Position Edges	TIGHT 33 E0 21377 077 E0 33 E77 317 0E170 331 71E70 E0 33 E73 310 0E1E70 E00 01370											
	WKU DB/WR	_											
	3.06 vs.												
	FIU DB's WKU RB's												
	vs. 0.64 FIU LB's	64											
	WKU OL												
	0.43 vs. FIU DL												
	WKU DL												
	0.06 vs.												
	WKU LB'S												
	0.74 vs. FIU RB's												
	WKU DB's												
	vs. 1.50 FIU QB/WR	50											
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)	WKU ST's	Category Team & Margin Phil's Forecast:											
2020 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's	0.39 vs.	Las Vegas Line											
WKU7.5 38 13 34-157 14-25-121	FIU ST's	FIU has lost the last two vs WKU but also won B2B mtgs in '17-'18. Last year FIU got a 100 yd KR TD to go up 13-3 1Q. WKU got a 19 yd FUM RET td then a 22 yd INT ret td and led 24-13. 'Toppers											
FIU - 42.5 21 17 44-121 14-37-147 -2 Projected Rushing	WKU K	added 2 more 4Q td's, won 38-21. FIU is fresh off a bye while WKU is playing their 2nd of B2B road											
2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Q 151 yards WKU FIU 115 yards	vs. 1.20	has a brand-new offense and basically team this year as they brought in Houston Baptist's OC, QB											
WKU - 57 20 17 35-98 19-33-241 Projected Passing FIII7.5 14 14 46-151 5-18-66 2 455 yards WKII FIII 371 yards	FIU K WKU Coaches	+/- Ratings and top WR's and are putting up 545 ypg and 41 ppg but the fast-paced offense has them allowing foes 115 ypg above their season avg's. They opened 1-4 SU and last week Jerreth Stems had 13-											
FIU7.5 14 14 46-151 5-18-66 2 455 yards WKU FIU 371 yards 2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Yardage Total	WKU Coaches	221 rec for WKU. WKU miss FG but then 68/8 and 81/12 TD's the 2nd on a pass off WR, in and out											
2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Comp-Att-Yds Projected Yardage Total WKU - 54 17 23 38-168 18-34-144 605 yards WKU FIU 486 yards	vs. 1.25	Game Grade TD 78/8 TD 33-20 10:17. WKU 42/13 41 yd FG ODU SOD own 23 WKU TD 2 plays later 43-20											
FIU3.5 38 17 38-197 19-28-171 1 Projected Final Score	WKU Pts	final. They have a manageable schedule down the stretch. FIU opened 1-5 (only win vs FCS). They did outoain Texas St 400-336 and led CMich on the road 27-10 in the 40. Max Bortenschlager is											
2017 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 48 points WKU FIU 35 points	4.68 vs. 4.59	Computer Yards hitting 54% but with a 14-5 ratio and D'Vonte Price has rushed for 500 (5.3). FIU is allowing foes											
FIU	FIU Pts	120 above their season average so points snould be pientitul and WKU is 5-1 to the Over this year. WKU has faced the much tougher schedule (#64 k v # 113) and are +70 ypg so they are playing to											
FIU - 56.5 41 18 34-122 18-27-251 3 122 WKU FIU 58	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Computer Points an AGG of 102.0 and FIU is at just 80.0 (WKU by 19.1). The best matchup here is the nation's #1 pass offense vs FIU's #125 pass D that has allowed a 17-1 ratio so far.											
2016 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Team Schedule Strength													
WKU33 49 24 37-225 18-32-284 66 WKU FIU 113	WKU	Phil Steele Wku by 24											
FIU - 64.5 21 17 30-101 22-34-210 -1	By 0.09 Points	WKU 51 FIU 27											

San Diego St Aztecs	OVERA												
July Diego Stricted	6-0				Air Force Falcons								
Aztecs Logs	vs. M TEAMPS RANK FOE 2-6		Falcons Logs FOR THE FOR AP TEAMPS RANK- FOR										
TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L O		-0 3-1 NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC FOE TM FO		FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST								
0-0 0-1 0-0 0-0 121 91 9/4 New Mexico St 28-10 W 8 1-0 0-1 0-0 0-0 118 118 9/11 at Arizona 38-14 W 8			0-0 0-0 1-0 0-1 0-0 0-	125 -0 121 116	9/4 Lafayette 35-14 W 82 97 124 9/11 at Navy 23-3 W 74 103 101 117 88 130								
2-0 1-1 0-0 0-0 123 134 9/18 Utah 33-31 W 8	8 34 32 24 47 106	iego St Air Force	2-0 2-0 0-0 0-	1-0 124 117	9/18 Utah St 45-49 L 91 99 126 73 87 47								
3-0 0-0 126 9/25 Towson 48-21 W 8 4-0 0-0 126 10/2 Bye 7				-0 121 120 -0 124 107	9/25 Florida Atlantic 31-7 W 85 91 129 74 65 37 10/2 at New Mexico 38-10 W 56 82 128 107 57 86								
4-0 2-3 0-0 0-1 126 105 25 10/9 New Mexico 31-7 W 7	1 18 11 115 80 81 #102	Total Off #54	4-1 4-0 1-1 0-	-0 126 120	10/9 Wyoming 24-14 W 51 67 128 89 63 78								
5-0 3-3 1-0 1-1 126 113 24 10/16 at San Jose St 19-13 W 7 6-0 6-1 2-0 3-1 126 129 22 10/23 at Air Force 8	2 14 12 114 64 67 #35 8 12 4 71 65 125 #27		5-1 3-3 2-1 1- 6-1 6-0 3-1 2-	-1 126 130 -0 129 126	10/16 at Boise St 24-17 W 70 63 125 106 58 7 22 10/23 San Diego St 71 65 125 88 12 4								
5-2 2-1 127 10/30 Fresno St 3-4 1-2 117 11/6 at Hawaii	27 44 80 #1 81 55 94 #62	Rush Def #8 Pass Def #28	4-2 1-	-0 121	10/30 Bye 11/6 † Army West Point 86 48 70								
5-1 2-0 128 11/13 Nevada	67 70 27 #7	Total Def #10	3-3 2-	-0 125	11/13 at Colorado St 102 45 81								
0-6 0-2 109 11/20 at UNLV 3-4 1-2 127 11/27 Boise St	123 123 120 #10 99 69 9 #15	Score Def #12 Sks By #15		-0 128 -2 109	11/20 at Nevada 67 70 27 11/27 UNLV 123 123 120								
J 1 LL LL/ LL/ DOUGLOC	#43	Ypg Diff #16	0.0	103	TAJ TAJ TAJ TAJ								
	+3	Total TO's +7											
Net Offense Comp Yds	Defense Comp Yds		_	Net	Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds								
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks F New Mexico St 28-10 -11 -4 0 17 42-248 5.9 7-20 115 2 2	D RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks 1 26-48 1.8 34-56 326 5		Score Lafayette 35		FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks 24 67-370 5.5 3-5 50 1 12 24-43 1.8 17-28 244 3								
at Arizona 38-14 226 12 1 21 55-271 4.9 10-16 183 2 9 Utah 33-31 -79 -9 0 12 45-204 4.5 10-19 44 1 2					14 59-176 3.0 3-10 49 1 6 34-36 1.1 3-10 32 5 23 63-437 6.9 6-12 182 1 26 39-180 4.6 31-50 448 2								
	2 20-15 0.8 19-36 213 2	<i>y</i>	Florida Atlantic 3	31-7 297 14 1	24 73-446 6.1 2-5 70 0 10 20-141 7.1 11-33 78 1								
Bye 1 19 43-203 4.7 12-25 133 0 1 New Mexico 31-7 143 7 1 19 43-203 4.7 12-25 133 0 1	2 34-66 1.9 13-27 127 4 9 42-117 2.8 16-35 278 2	Falcons			23 73-408 5.6 1-2 33 1 13 20-47 2.4 14-22 179 4 20 64-211 3.3 7-10 110 1 15 27-114 4.2 11-28 143 3								
at San Jose St 19-13 -105 -2 0 17 36-70 1.9 15-30 170 3 1	9 42-117 2.8 16-35 228 2	alc alc	nt Boise St 24		19 63-307 4.9 1-5 59 2 18 25-78 3.1 23-36 259 2								
at Air Force Fresno St			San Diego St Bye										
at Hawaii		EAM AVE	Army West Point										
Nevada at UNLV	, 42-11/ 2.0 10-33 220 2	TEAM AVERAGES TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM TEAM	at Colorado St at Nevada										
Boise St	San	Ai lii 🖺	UNLV										
		ñ <u> </u>											
Average 33-16 66.0 2.7 0.5 18 45-213 4.8 52.2% 136 6.6% 1	6 29-61 2.1 53.6% 222 8.1%		Average 31	1-16 126.6 6.7 1.0	21 66-336 5.1 46.9% 79 14.3% 14 27-91 3.4 53.1% 198 9.7%								
Conference 25-10 19.0 2.5 0.5 18 40-137 3.5 49.1% 152 5.5% 1	6 38-92 2.4 46.8% 178 9.7%		Conference 33	3-23 74.8 3.3 1.0	21 66-341 5.2 51.7% 96 17.2% 18 28-105 3.8 58.1% 257 8.1%								
Away 29-14 60.5 5.0 0.5 19 46-171 3.7 54.3% 177 10.9% 1		Position by Position Edges	Home 34	4-21 121.3 7.0 0.5	23 67-366 5.5 56.3% 103 9.4% 16 28-120 4.3 50.4% 228 6.5%								
	San Die	lego St Air Force San Diego St QB/WR											
		vs. 1.26											
		Air Force DB's San Diego St RB's											
	0.21	vs.											
	 	Air Force LB's San Diego St OL											
	0.09	vs.											
		Air Force DL San Diego St DL											
	1.46	vs. Air Force OL											
		San Diego St LB'S											
	0.38	vs. Air Force RB's											
		San Diego St DB's											
	1.70	vs. Air Force QB/WR											
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)	ojected Box Score	San Diego St ST's	Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:								
2016 Raile Lille Scote PD Rusii Comp-Att-105 10 5	5.15	vs.	as Vegas Line	Air Air	r Force has lost 8 straight games to SDSt (2-6 ATS). Four of the last 5 meetings have been								
San Diego St11.5 21 13 34-84 9-25-213 Air Force - 43.5 17 14 51-214 8-17-62 0	Burda stad Burstina	Air Force ST's San Diego St K		de	cided by 7 pts or less. Last met in 2018 when SDSt went up 4 pts mid 4Q on a 29-yard TD pass								
	Projected Rushing n Diego St Air Force 273 yards		as Vegas Total		d Air Force threw a late INT to fall 21-17. SDSt is known for their strong run defense (allowing typg, 2.1 ypc!). SDSt is rushing for 213 ypg (4.8) but they face an AF defense that is								
2017 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds 10's 9 134 yards Sa San Diego St 22 -3 28 19 41-187 10-16-180	n Diego St Air Force 273 yards Projected Passing	vs. 0.05		ex	reperienced and allowing just 91 (3.4). Air Force opened a solid 5-1 SU and 4-2 ATS with only a								
	n Diego St Air Force 88 vards		-/- Ratings	Air Force By 7.3	own lead vs Utah St keeping them from being unbeaten. Last week Boise lost their 3rd home ime of the season for the first time s/1998. Boise was without RB's Habibi-Likio and Holani and								
5 P	rojected Yardage Total	vs. 1.10		ru	shed for just 78 yds. Boise opened strong with 75/11 and 80/10 drives for TD's and AF 75/14 & 0/10 14-14 after 3 poss. AF 75/8 TD led 21-14 H. Boise was SOD at 2 5:00 left 30. AF Miss FG								
୍ର ପ୍ର 248 yards Sa	n Diego St Air Force 361 yards		Same Grade	Ale Faces Bu 7.0	en after Boise fmbl punt 28 yd FG 2:49 left. Boise 53/11 37 yd FG :49 and recover onside but t's next play. San Diego St opened 5-0 SU and 4-1 ATS including an upset of Utah. Last week at								
e ge 248 yards Sa	Projected Final Score	San Diego St Pts		Sa	in Jose neither team scored a TD in regulation and SDST P Ariza had an 86 & 53 yd punts. SDSt								
18 points Sa	n Diego St Air Force 25 points 8.98	vs. 2.41	Computer Yards		d 6-3 at half with an Ariza 53 yd FG. He missed a 55 yd 3Q FG and SJSt 66/11 48 yd FG 6-6 but issed a 52 yd FG :15 left. Lucas Johnson off the bench hit 14 and 24 yd TD passes in OT as								
Ret	Experience Rankings	Air Force Pts	Computer Points	Az	tecs won in 2 OT 19-13. AF is playing to an AGG of 103.2 and San Diego St is at 98.6 (AF by 4). With 2 strong run games going up against 2 strong defenses I see this being a close, low-								
45 Sa	n Diego St Air Force 105	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	- Facer - Omits	Air Force 25-18	oring game. With the Aztecs winning 8 in a row in the series, I side with them to win by a FG.								
	eam Schedule Strength	San Diego St Pi	Phil Steele										
117 Sa	n Diego St Air Force 110			San Diego St by 3	San Diego St 16 Air Force 13								
		By 6.58 Points											

															OVER	ALL: OV	ERALL:	1																
					ι	SC Tro									3- vs. P/	3	5-1 s. IND	Notre Dame Fighting Irish Fighting Irish Logs																
FOE TM	FOE			A	P	Trojans L	.ogs			TEAM		S RANK	F	OE	VS. PA		0-0	FC	E TM	FOE			AP		Fighti	ng Irish	Logs		Т	TEAM		PS RANK	_	FOE
TM REC FOE TM				1191	FOE DATE		OE	SCORE			EF ST			ST		NCAA RANKINGS		TM REC RE				_			ATE	FOE		SCORE				ST 0		
0-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 0-1 0-0			124 126	15 14	9/4	San Jos Stanfor		30-7 28-42	W L		19 12 18 39			90 117	US	2021 TEAM RANKINGS		0-0 0- 1-0 1-				127 119	9	9/4		Florida St Toledo		41-38 32-29	W			13 Z	6 37 1 55	
1-1 1-1 0-1	0-0	130	125		9/18	at Washin	gton St			14	19 45	58	91	30		_	re Dame	2-0 2-	0 0-0	0-0	134	130	12	9/1		Purdue		27-13	W	19	10	44 4	2 53	3 58
2-1 2-1 1-1 2-2 1-3 1-2			128 117		9/25	Oregon at Colorac		27-45 37-14	W		19 39 41 33	46		64 101	#93 #11	Rush Off Pass Off	#117 #31		0 0-0		135 140	140 142	9	18 9/2 7 10		Wisconsin Cincinnati		41-13 13-24	W			29 2	2 8 2 7	
3-2 2-2 2-2	1-0	131	131		10/9	Utah	-	26-42	L	27	45 32	47		116	#29	Total Off	#87	4-1 3-	1 0-0	1-0	137	127	14	10,	/9 at	Virginia Te	ech	32-29	W	73	7	30 1	04 22	
3-3 2-3 3-3 5-1 2-3	0-0	127 127	137		10/16 13 10/23	Bye at Notre D	ame				62 35 66 36		10	37	#41 #13	Score Off Sks Allowed	#46 #121	5-1 5-1 3-	3 0-0) 2-3	137 137	127	14			Bye USC						33 37 2	3 66	5 36
0-6	0-3		107		10/30	Arizona	1					109	9 99	129	#65	Rush Def	#39	4-	3	3-3		131			/30	North Care	olina					2	1 57	7 72
5-2 1-5	3-1 0-3		135 124			at Arizona at Californ						20 47		39 95	#78 #69	Pass Def Total Def	#84 # 54		2	1-3 3-2		115 135		11,		Navy Virginia							28 10 1 9:	
5-2	3-1		134		11/20	UCLA	iia					30	26	29	#78	Score Def	#62	3-	3	2-2		124		11,	/20	Georgia T	ech					7	0 8:	1 103
5-2	0-0		126		11/27	BYU						53	87	54	#101	Sks By Ypg Diff	#42 #78	3-	4	2-3		131		11,	/27 at	Stanford							1 50	59
															-1	Total TO's	+5																	
					Offe			V4-			fense																	W.4-			efense		٧	
Score		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD RA		C Att	p Yds Pass	Sks					Score		Yds	Net FD	TO's		offense N-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		A-RY	YPC A	mp Yd tt Pa:	
San Jose St	30-7		5	1	23 34-16		24-36	256			9-68 3.6		16 308	0			_	at Florida St			-11	-2				1.9	26-35	366		19 4			-26 17	
Stanford at Washington St	28-42 45-14		6	-1 1	24 33-18 24 25-48		27-42 31-48	223 399	0		-141 4.7)-99 3.3			0			Irish	Toledo Purdue		32-29 27-13		-3			-132 -120	3.4	24-36 15-32	317 223	6		1-132 :5-57		-32 22 -54 29	
Oregon St	27-45	-104	1	-2	32 22-76	3.5	31-49	355	2	31 51	-322 6.3	3 15-1	19 213	0	1		ቯ	† Wisconsin		41-13	-72	1	4	17 3	2-3	0.1	21-37	239	6	16 2	8-74	2.6 18	41 24	0 1
at Colorado Utah	37-14 26-42		9	-1	20 35-21 27 25-92		19-29 33-53	276 401	2		9-80 2.1 -180 5.1		17 162	5		S S	E .	Cincinnat at Virginia T	- ab	13-24 32-29		0	-1 0	19 28 21 43		3.0 4.2	23-46 15-26	257 221	2			3.1 19 3.6 17	32 29	
Bye	20*42		*	-1	27 23-92	3.7	33-33	401	2	23 33	-100 5	1 22-2	26 306		oucion T	AVERAGES	Fighting	Bye	ECII	32-23	, 00	U	U	21 43	-100	4.2	13-20	221	2	21 3.	/-134	3.0 17	.24 10	7 1
at Notre Dame Arizona															į	2 🖺	<u>:-</u>	USC North Car	. P															
at Arizona St															F	- ≥	e.	North Cal Navy	olina															
at California															ŭ	ТЕАМ	Ē	at Virginia																
UCLA BYU															-	-	Dame	Georgia 1 at Stanford	ech				-											
																	Notre																	
															-		ᅙ																	
Average	32-27	66.0	5.7	-0.2	25 29-13	0 4.5	64.2%	318	2.7%	19 34	-148 4.4	4 64.1	% 234	6.0%			Z	Averag	e	31-24	6.5	1.7	0.8	20 35	5-97	2.8	58.5%	271 1	1.3%			3.8 57	1% 23	6 7.3%
Conference Away	33-31		5.8 9.0	-0.4 1.0	25 28-12 22 30-13	4 4.4 3 4.4	63.8%	331 3					% 219 % 171		-			Confere	ence		2 14.0	-1.0 3.7			5-92 -112	2.6 3.3	59.2% 54.4%	262 1	2.3%		5-132		1% 22	4 6.5% 0 7.6%
Andy	11 11	210.0	3.0	1.0	LL 50 15	- 1.1	01.570	330 .	1.570	15 5.	, 50 L.	5 50.0	70 171	13.7 70		Position by Position Edge		Home		2.122	11.0	3.7	0.5	21 31		3.3	31.170	200 1	0.570	1/ 1	.5 51	3.3 01.	170 27	0 7.070
															US	USC OB/WR	re Dame																	
																vs.	0.52																	
																Notre Dame DB's USC RB's																		
																vs.	1.60																	
																Notre Dame LB's USC OL																		
																vs.	0.67																	
																Notre Dame DL																		
															0.73	USC DL																		
																Notre Dame OL																		
															2.05	USC LB'S vs.																		
																Notre Dame RB's																		
																USC DB's	0.22																	
																Notre Dame QB/WR																		
Last Five Ye		ings (La	st 22 y		Comp-Att-Yds	om) TO's			-	Project	ed Box	c Scor	e			USC ST's		Cate	gory		Team &	Margin						Phil	s Foreca	ist:				
USC -	Line 59	Score 27		Rush 35-171	24-35-255	TO's									-	vs. Notre Dame ST's	0.01	Las Vegas Li	ne		Notre Dar	ne Bv 7	-	name el-	and over	n/ von= f	m 1046	-2019. Not	re Da-	na landa -	III-timo :	corioc 47	36-5!-	ning 6 of
Notre Dame 9	-10.5	30	25	48-308	17-32-165	0	.93			Proje	cted Rus	shing				USCK					501	,-	ti	ne last 8	(4-4 ATS	S) includin	ng 3 strai	ght (1-2 A	TS). Ho	me team	is on a	6-1 SU 8	ATS stre	eak. After
2018 Rank		Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	tent	65 yar	ds	USC		tre Dame	e 135	yards		vs.	1.00	Las Vegas T	otal		57.5 P	oints						'02-'09, U						
usc -	54	17	24	24-94	37-51-349		Conf	204			ected Pas					Notre Dame K		+/- Ratings					È	oth team	s are fre	esh off a b	ye. Notre	Dame is	5-1 SU	(3-3 ATS) and st	ill have a	lot to pla	y for this
Notre Dame 3 2017 Rank	-11 Line	Score Score	23 FD	31-121 Rush	22-39-352 Comp-Att-Yds	1 TO's	of o	301 ya	ras	USC	No ed Yarda	tre Dame		yards		USC Coaches	2.80				Notre Dam	e By 14.		ear. They	benche 8 in the	ed QB Coar	n for Buc	hner vs VI 32-29 at V	but Bu	uchner w	as injure	ed and Co	an led th	em back
USC 11	64.5	14	18	31-76	25-36-260		n to Table of	366 ya	rds	USC		tre Dame		yards		Notre Dame Coaches		Game Grade			Notre Dam	e By 8.3	U	SC has a	distinct	pattern o	f looking	great one	week a	and then	poor the	next. Th	ey opene	ed 3-3 with
Notre Dame 13	-3.5	49	23	47-377	9-22-120	3	E S			Projec	ted Final	Score				USC Pts		Computer Ya	rde				S	olid wins	over Sar regon St	n Jose, Wa t and Utah	ash St an h. USC ha	d Colorado	follow	ed up by in AGG of	poor loss	ses to Sta and ND w	nford (co nich plav	st Helton s down to
2016 Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	r .	26 poi	nts	USC		tre Dame	e 37 p	points	2.79	vs.	6.82	- Somputer To		N	lotre Dame	430-36	6 it	's opp lev	el is at 1	107.3 (ND	by 8.8).	. ND has ta	ken on	the #2	toughest	t schedul	and US	C is at
USC 12 Notre Dame -	-17.5 58	45 27		38-207 37-154	19-30-205 21-39-254	-1	Retu	89		Experi USC	ence Rai	nkings tre Dame	. 1	.28		Notre Dame Pts		Computer Po	ints		Notre Dan	10 37-25						on offense ame and t					a dog all	year and
notre Dame -	36	21	23	J/-134	21-39-254	-1		89			∾o hedule 5:			.20	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDE	D TO:				HUTTE Dan	ie 3/-26					. / 3			,		•		
								21		USC		tre Dame		3		Notre Dame		Phil Steele			Notre Dar	ne by 6					Not-	o Do-	20.2	0 110	C 24			
																By 4.03 Points											NOTE	e Dan	iie 3	0 05	C 24			

Nevada Wolf Pack										OVERALL: OVERALL: 5-1 5-2			Fresno St Bulldogs																					
FOE	TM	EOE				Р	Wolf Pa	ack Logs			TEAN	1	PS RAN	IK	FOE	vs. M		vs. MTW 2-1	EOE	TM	EOE			AP		Bulldogs	Logs			TE	ΔМ	PS RAI	IK	FOE
	TM CONF		TM +/-		тм ^	FOE DA		FOE		E W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF DEI	ST		NCAA RANKINGS		TM REC FOE			TM +/- F0		M FC			FOE	SCORI	,-	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF ST
0-0 0-0 1-0	0-0	0-0	126 129	129		9/4	at Cali 1 Ida	lifornia iho St	22-11 49-10		25 36		14 26	81 56	107	Nev	2021 TEAM RANKIN	Fresno St	0-0 0-0 1-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	0-0	121 125	101	1:	8/28 9/4	Conne at Orego		45-0 24-31		33 34	85 69	126 125		127 109 14 78
2-0 1-1 2-1	0-0 0-0	0-0	128 125	129		9/1		nsas St	17-38	3 L	28 55	62 84	55 48	61 83	35	#125	Rush Off	#94	1-1 2-1 2-0	0-0 0-0	0-0	126 127	139	1.		Cal Po at UCLA	oly	63-10 40-37		25 21	55 56	108 104	23	9 24
2-1 2-2	0-0		125	131		10/	2 at Boi	ise St	41-3		67	90	50	91 61		#3	Pass Off	#7	3-1 0-3	0-0	0-0	131	104 2		9/25	UNLV		38-30	W	22	64	95	126	126 98
3-1 1-5 4-1 3-3	1-0		129 128	98 117		#REF! 10/		w Mexico St waii		8 W 7 W	70 67		39 31	115 12 81 55		#28 #21	Total Off Score Off	#12 #23	4-1 2-3 4-2	1-0 1-1	0-1	127	114 1	8	10/2	at Hawaii Bye	i	24-27	' L	25 26	56 56	88 84	81	61 67
5-1 5-2	2-0	2-1	128	127		10/	23 at Fre	sno St			67		27	27 44	80	#87	Sks Allowed	#63	4-2 4-1	1-1		124	120		10/16	at Wyom		17-0	W	27	44	80	98	64 79 70 27
0-6 3-4		0-2 1-2		109		10/		n Jose St						123 12 114 64			Rush Def Pass Def	#40 #19	5-2 5-1 6-0	2-1	2-0 2-0		128 126		10/23	Nevad at San Di				0	0	0		70 27 12 4
6-0 6-1		2-0 3-1		126 129		11/	13 at Sar 20 Air	n Diego St						88 12 71 65	4 125	# 63 #59	Total Def Score Def	# 22 #22	3-4 2-5		1-2 0-3		127 103		11/6 11/13	Boise New M							99 125	69 9 82 76
3-3		2-0		125			27 at Col							102 45		#2	Sks By	#25							11/20	Bye								
																#37 +9	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#7 -2	3-4		1-2		113		11/27	at San Jo	ose St						114	64 67
				Net		01	ffense	Con	np Yds			efense		Comp Yd:									Net		Offen	se	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds
Scor at California	e	22.47	Yds 43	FD	TO's		-RY YP					RA-RY		Att Pas						ore	45.0			D's FI			Att 27-39	Pass 382	Sks 0		RA-RY			Pass Sks
Idaho St		22-17 49-10	303	8	2	19 26 26 19-	145 7.6	6 37	46 441	0	18 4	2-141	3.4 1	5-38 17 5-26 14	2 8	-			Connecticut at Oregon		24-31	15	3 -	2 2:		2.5	30-43	298	4	20	49-186		5-24	172 3
at Kansas St Bye		17-38	-67	-8	-1	14 23	-25 1.:	1 28	41 306	3	22	8-269 3	33.6	9-13 12	9 0			S	Cal Poly at UCLA		63-10 40-37			1 2:			22-29 39-54		1 3		35-53 29-117		.9-37 .4-24	
at Boise St		41-31		-6	3	18 30-	130 4.3		38 263		24	34-22	0.6 3	4-48 38	3 6	<u> </u>	S	Bulldogs	UNLV		38-30	28	5 (0 2:	23-83	3.6	30-42	378	1	18	36-152	4.2	5-28	281 4
New Mexico Si Hawaii	:	55-28 34-17	80 84	2	0 5	27 23 25 38								88-62 42 2-39 20		- 4	AVERAGES	≅	at Hawaii Bye		24-27	157	10 -	5 3	31-117	3.8	28-51	. 388	3	21	44-232	5.3	1-27	116 1
at Fresno St																3	<u> </u>	æ	at Wyoming		17-0	-12	-1 !	5 1	43-163	3.8	15-28	96	1	16	33-128	3.9	9-30	143 3
UNLV San Jose St																_ ~		š	Nevada at San Diego St	:														
at San Diego St Air Force																7	TEAM	2	Boise St New Mexico															
at Colorado St																Nevada Wolf Pack	F	Fresno	Bye															
																		ш	at San Jose St															
Average		36-24	71.0	1.0	1.5	22 27	-85 3.2	2 67.9	9% 363	6.0%	21 2	8-133	4.7 6	3.3% 24	11.9%				Average		36-19	170.0	8.6 -0	0.3 2	34-129	3.8	66.8%	353	4.5%	16	37-129	3.5 4	8.5%	183 9.0%
Conference		38-24	33.5	1.0	4.0	22 34-	106 3.:	1 63.4	1% 329	7.5%	21 3	2-105	3.3 6	4.4% 29	7 12.6%	•			Conference	e	26-19	57.7	4.7 0.	.0 2:	32-121	3.7	60.3%	b 287	4.1%	18	38-171	4.5 4	1.2%	180 9.4%
Away		27-29	-13.7	-4.0	0.7	17 26	-72 2.7	7 63.6	5% 294	7.6%	21 2	3-148	6.4 6	8.7% 23	8.1%		Position by Position Ed		Home		49-13	285.3	11.0 0	.3 2	33-145	4.4	71.8%	412	1.8%	13	34-80	2.4	0.5%	191 11.6%
																Neva	da Nevada QB/WR	Fresno St																
																0.51	vs. Fresno St DB's																	
																	Nevada RB's																	
																	vs. Fresno St LB's	2.61																
																	Nevada OL	1.28																
																	vs. Fresno St DL	1.28																
																0.63	Nevada DL																	
																0.05	Fresno St OL																	
																0.53	Nevada LB'S vs.																	
																	Fresno St RB's Nevada DB's																	
																	vs.	1.32																
Last Fiv	e Year	s Meet	inas (L	ast 22	vears a	t PhilSteele	e.com)										Fresno St QB/WF Nevada ST's	2	Categor	у		Team & M	argin						Phil's Fore	cast:				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Y		's			Projec	cted B	ox Sc	ore		1.92	vs.																	
Nevada		-6.5	37	14	22-62	23-39-35	4									1	Fresno St ST's		Las Vegas Line			Fresno St	Ву З	Fres	no leads th	ne all-time	e series ove	er Nevada	29-22-	1 but ov	er the pa	st 6 mee	ings the	Bulldogs
Fresno St	-	59	26	30	40-114	41-65-48	5 1				Pro	jected R	ushing	ı			Nevada K			. "				with	out key S1	players in	e road tean ncluding th	heir starti	ng LS, P	and K ar	nd in the	fourth q	arter lo	st RB Ronnie
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Y	ds TO	's	45	yards	Neva	da	Fresno	St 12	6 yards	1.85	vs.		Las Vegas Total	' [63.5 Poi	nts	6') s	cored 2 TD	's in 4Q t	o lead 37-	20 before	Fresno	got a late	TD, 37	-26. Fresi	o QB H	ilf. Nevada (- aener threw
Nevada	-	51	35	18	34-254	20-31-15	4	suts			Pro	jected P	assing				Fresno St K							a pr	ogram high	65 passe	es and had	1485 yds	and 2 TI	o's while	Nevada	QB Stron	g becam	
Fresno St		-14	28	18	25-53	28-38-278	8 1	onte	324	yards	Neva	da	Fresno	St 36	4 yards		Nevada Coaches		+/- Ratings		F	Fresno St I	By 2.8	the	missed 2	PAT's with	h bkp WR [Dalena do	ing the l	cicking. F	resno w	as very in	pressiv	e early
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Y	ds TO	's Jo			Projec	ted Yard	dage To	otal		1.35	vs.							gett	ing past UI	NLV and b	eing upset	t at Hawa	ii. Last w	eek Fres	no's last	shutout	win on t	games just he road was
Nevada	-	58	3	21	43-132	22-43-19	5	able	369	yards	Neva	da	Fresno	St 49	0 yards		Fresno St Coache	s	Game Grade		ı	Fresno St E	By 7.8	in 1 and	974. Wyon covered a	ning had 2 combined	271-259 y 50 yards!	d edge bu Wyomin	it 5 TO's q was int	and Free	sno's 3 s missed	coring dri a 53 yd F	es were	all off TO's at at FSU 11
Fresno St	-	-14.5	21	12	24-30	20-28-24	1 2	Return to Table			Proje	cted Fin	al Sco	re			Nevada Pts							in 1	7-0 home	loss. Neva	ada opened	d up 4-1 9	SU with u	psets of	Californ	a and Boi	se St bo	th on the aii's Dae Dae
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Y	ds TO	's	28	points	Neva	da	Fresno	St 3	1 points	6.79	vs.	5.21	Computer Yards	•	Fi	resno St 4	90-369	Hun	ter having	75 & 81	yd runs for	r TD's (le	14-10)	. UH star	ted Sch	ager at QE	and he	hit 22-39-
Nevada		59.5	21	16	23-61	31-49-25	3	S.			Expe	rience R	tanking	js			Fresno St Pts							TD's	34-17 2:2	25 3Q. Ne	v miss 47	yd FG an	d SOD 36	and UF	last tw	drives S	OD at N	
Fresno St		-9.5	41	22	38-192	25-33-312	2 0			7	Neva	da	Fresno	St	26	000	TTIONAL FOGE AWARI	DED TO:	Computer Point	s		Fresno St	31-28	own	33. Nevaci lar season	ia has the	e ST edge (grabs 3rd o	(#31 vs # outriaht :	84). New	/ada is a rear.	perfect	7-0 ATS t	neir last	7 as a
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Y	ds TO	's			Team 5	Schedule	e Stren	gth		POS		J.D 10:								. 5			, 2. ,					
Nevada		-7.5	27	23	49-226	14-23-163	3			96	Neva	da	Fresno	St	102		Nevada		Phil Steele			Nevada l	y 1											
Fresno St	-	55	22	25	48-255	16-28-197	7 -1	1									By 1.58 Points										Nev	ada :	31 Fr	esno	St 3	30		
																	Dy 1.50 Foliate																	

New Mexico St Aggies		OVERALL: OVERALL: 1-6 3-4	Hawaii Rainbow Warriors											
Aggies Logs FOR TM FOR AP TEAMPS RANK	OE .	vs. IND vs. MTW 0-0 1-2	FOF TM	FOF AP	Rainbow Warriors Logs TEAMPS RANK FOE									
TM REC REC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF	ST	NCAA RANKINGS		FOE TM +/- FOE +/- TM FO	OE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST									
0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 94 105 8/28 UTEP 3-30 L 115 130 56 97 115 0-1 0-0 0-0 0-0 91 121 9/4 at San Diego St 10-28 L 123 129 91 85 41	41 17	2021 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-1 0-0	0-0 122 131 118	8/28 at UCLA 10-44 L 90 57 86 28 32 82 9/4 Portland St 49-35 W 100 54 71									
0-2 1-0 0-0 0-0 93 112 9/11 at New Mexico 25-34 L 126 129 68 97 121	100	New Mexico St Hawaii #124 Rush Off #68	1-1 0-1 0-0	0-0 115 124	9/11 at Oregon St 27-45 L 99 74 69 82 83 94									
0-3		#124 Rush Off #68 #23 Pass Off #52	1-2 1-1 0-0 1-3 1-3 0-1	0-0 114 122 0-0 114 95	9/18 San Jose St 13-17 L 87 73 67 97 54 90 9/25 at New Mexico St 41-21 W 76 69 70 126 126 52									
1-4 2-2 0-0 1-0 95 117 10/2 at San Jose St 31-37 L 118 126 61 111 57 1-5 3-1 0-0 1-0 98 129 10/9 at Nevada 28-55 L 115 125 88 70 85		#86 Total Off #56 #103 Score Off #84	2-3 4-1 0-1 3-3 1-1	1-0 114 127 1 117	18 10/2 Fresno St 27-24 W 81 61 67 25 56 88 10/9 Bye 77 59 71									
1-5 3-1 0-0 1-0 98 129 10/9 at Nevada 28-55 L 115 125 88 70 85 1-6 0-0 99 10/16 Bye 119 127 96		#103 Score Off #84 #109 Sks Allowed #105	3-3 4-1 1-1	1-0 117 128	10/16 at Nevada 17-34 L 81 55 94 67 74 31									
1-6 1-3 0-0 0-1 99 117 10/23 at Hawaii 0 0 0 76 69		#89 Rush Def #53 #120 Pass Def #126	3-4 1-3 1-2 4-2	0-0 117 99 2-1 116	10/23 New Mexico St 0 0 0 126 126 52 10/30 at Utah St 52 104 30									
4-2 2-1 116 11/6 Utah St 52 104	30 #	#119 Total Def #108	6-0	2-0 126	11/6 San Diego St 88 12 4									
6-1 3-1 154 11/13 at Alabama 3 8 6-1 4-1 136 11/20 at Kentucky 35 35		#122 Score Def #100 #71 Sks Bv #36	0-6 3-3	0-2 109 2-0 125	11/13 at UNLV 123 120 120 11/20 Colorado St 102 45 81									
1-5 1-0 95 11/27 UMass 124 129	123 #	#113 Ypg Diff #94	4-2	0-2 117	11/20 Colorado St 102 43 81 11/27 at Wyoming 105 58 104									
		+1 Total TO's -1												
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds				Net	Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds									
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass UTEP 3-30 -262 1 1 20 34-101 3.0 9-33 89 3 19 42-203 4.8 11-20 249 UTEP 3-30 -262 1 1 20 34-101 3.0 9-33 89 3 19 42-203 4.8 11-20 249	Sks 0		Score at UCLA		FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks 1.6 21-26 1.2 28-53 243 2 20 43-244 5.7 12-22 148 2									
at San Diego St 10-28 11 4 0 21 26-48 1.8 34-56 326 5 17 42-248 5.9 7-20 115	2	. <u>.</u>	Portland St	49-35 96 1 0 2	25 48-268 5.6 18-25 305 4 24 27-77 2.9 23-47 400 2									
at New Mexico 25-34 214 -10 -2 19 23-109 4.7 18-45 236 0 29 46-178 3.9 26-36 381 South Carolina St 43-35 -1 0 3 24 34-106 3.1 24-33 321 1 24 27-76 2.8 30-47 352	2	St Aggies RAGES	at Oregon St San Jose St		26 29-88 3.0 24-49 366 4 28 42-256 6.1 21-29 302 1 16 37-127 3.4 18-39 242 0 15 26-56 2.2 23-51 235 2									
Hawaii 21-41 -91 1 -1 22 41-121 3.0 28-47 280 4 21 33-215 6.5 16-25 277	3	ar s	at New Mexico St		21 33-215 6.5 16-25 277 3 22 41-121 3.0 28-47 280 4									
at San Jose St 31-37 -16 5 0 23 24-86 3.6 30-44 300 1 18 36-209 5.8 15-18 193 at Nevada 28-55 -80 -2 0 25 29-27 0.9 38-62 425 6 27 23-69 3.0 34-46 463	0		Fresno St Bye	27-24 -157 -10 5 2	21 44-232 5.3 11-27 116 1 31 31-117 3.8 28-51 388 3									
Bye	-	Mexico St EAM AVERA Rainbow	at Nevada	17-34 -84 -8 -5 1	17 29-188 6.5 22-39 205 5 25 38-82 2.2 34-55 395 3									
at Hawaii		Mexico	New Mexico St at Utah St											
Bye Utah St		Mexi	San Diego St											
at Alabama at Kentucky			at UNLV Colorado St											
ar kentucky UMass		New awaii	at Wyoming											
		New I												
Average 23-37 -93.3 -0.1 0.1 22 30-85 2.8 56.6% 282 6.3% 22 36-171 4.8 65.6% 290	6.1%	-	Average	26-31 -29.0 -3.3 -0.1 2	20 34-163 4.7 53.3% 251 7.4% 24 35-136 3.8 56.0% 307 5.6%									
Conference 0-0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0%		Conference Home	19-25 -54.3 -5.7 0.3 1 30-25 5.7 -2.7 2.0 2	18 37-182 5.0 48.6% 188 5.7% 24 32-85 2.7 54.1% 339 5.1% 21 43-209 4.9 51.6% 221 5.5% 23 28-83 3.0 49.7% 341 4.7%									
Away 24-39 -74.0 -0.0 -0.3 22 20-00 2.0 30.0 M 322 3.0 M 23 37-170 4.0 00.3 M 200		Position by Position Edges	Tionie	30-23 3.7 -2.7 2.0 2	11 43-209 4.9 31.070 221 3.370 23 20-03 3.0 49.770 341 4.770									
	N	New Mexico St QB/WR												
		vs. 1.09												
	-	Hawaii DB's New Mexico St RB's												
		vs. 2.28												
		Hawaii LB's New Mexico St OL												
		vs. 1.42												
		Hawaii DL New Mexico St DL												
		vs. 1.15												
	_	Hawaii OL New Mexico St LB'S												
		vs. 2.05												
	_	Hawaii RB's New Mexico St DB's												
		vs. 1.25												
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)		Hawaii QB/WR New Mexico St ST's	Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:									
None Projected Box Score		0.17 Vs.	Category	ream & rearym	Fill 5 FueldSt.									
		0.17 Hawaii ST's	Las Vegas Line	This	s is the second meeting of their in-season home & home matchup. The first game took back on ot 13 in Las Cruces and Hawaii won 41-21. NMSt 60/12 33 yd FG UH 74/1 TD pass Mardner. UH									
Projected Rushing	-	New Mexico St K		5 y	d FR TD lead 17-3 then NMSt 71/5 TD, make 20 yd FG miss 29 yd FG trail 17-13 H with 11-10									
			Las Vegas Total		edge. UH 38/7 for TD (after fmbl). Up 31-21 UH 51/8 41 FG. NMSt 4&32 SOD 2:54 left and UH /4 TD to cover 41-21 (-17). NMST int at UH 34 :29 left as UH 492-401 yd edge. These teams									
92	yards	vs. 0.65		are	former WAC foes and since 2005 Hawaii is 8-0 SU (5-3 ATS) and have won every game by									
Projected Passing		Hawaii K	+/- Ratings	rare	uble digits. Hawaii gets this game at home in the middle of two road MW games. NMSt is in a e spot where they get this game off a bye and then have a bye upcoming next week so the									
O	yards	New Mexico St Coaches	-	Hawaii By 21.3 trav	vel should be no problem. They last faced MW foe Nevada on the road and lost 55-28. Hawaii									
Projected Yardage Total 361 yards New Mexico St. Hawaii 52: 2 Projected Final Score 3 Projected Final Score 4 Projected Final Score 4 Projected Final Score 4 Projected Final Score 4 Projected Final Score 5 Projected Final Score 5 Projected Final Score 5 Projected Final Score 5 Projected Final Score 6 Projected Final Score 6 Projected Final Score 6 Projected Final Score 6 Projected Final Score 7 Projected Final Score 7 Projected Final Score 8 Projected Final Score 8 Projected Final Score 8 Projected Final Score 8 Projected Final Score 8 Projected Final Score 8 Projected Final Score 8 Projected Final Score 8 Projected Final Score 8 Projected Final Score 8 Projected Final Score 8 Projected Final Score 9 Project		0.10 vs.	Game Grade	witi	ened 3-3 and upset Fresno in their last home game which are played in a transitional stadium h no fans. Last week Nevada led 20-17 at half with Hawaii's Dae Dae Hunter having 75 & 81 yd									
361 yards New Mexico St Hawaii 52	yards	Hawaii Coaches		Hawaii Ry 18 0 run	ns for TD's (led 14-10). UH started Schager at QB and he hit 22-39-205 with Corderio still ured. He was int'd 1st two drives 2H and Nevada went 48/8 and 91/12 for TD's 34-17 2:25 3Q.									
Projected Final Score		New Mexico St Pts		Nev	v miss 47 yd FG and SOD 36 and UH last two drives SOD at Nev 32 and own 33. Hawaii has									
23 points New Mexico St Hawaii 41	ooints	0.27 vs. 9.88	Computer Yards		ten on the tougher schedule (#63 vs #100) NMSt is playing to an AGG of 79.4 and Hawaii is at 1.9 (UH by 17.8), Dating back to '16 Hawaii is 2-8 ATS as a DD home favorite while NMSt is a									
Experience Rankings		Hawaii Pts		per	fect 4-0 ATS this year as a road underdog all games involving MW teams. They are 0-4 SU in									
85 New Mexico St Hawaii	9		Computer Points	Hawaii 41-23 edg	use games losing by 15 ppg and -75 ypg. Generally teams that lose the first matchup have an ige in the rate 2nd meeting of seasons (last year MTSt upset Troy after losing first meeting by									
Team Schedule Strength		POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:		33)).									
101 New Mexico St Hawaii	54	Hawaii	Phil Steele	Hawaii by 11										
101 New Mexico St Hawaii	24			Hawaii by 11	Hawaii 38 New Mexico St 27									
		By 9.60 Points												

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Arkansas By 51.5	1st time in 77 years that Arkansas plays an in-state opponent. The last game was in 1944 against Arkansas-Monticello (then Arkansas A&M). The Golden Lions went 4-1 and lost the SWAC
Las Vegas Total	61.5 Points	Championship game in spring. They were still picked 2nd last in the West this year and after beating Lane College 34-16 in the opener they went 0-4 SU and ATS the next 4 games. They did only lose to Alcorn St by 1 but lost their other 3 by 14 ppg. Arkansas is 4-8 ATS vs FCS teams but
+/- Ratings	Arkansas By 65.5	does have a bye on deck. APB QB Skyler Perry is hitting just 47% with a 2-2 ratio and they are allowing teams 88 ypg above their season average. Last week they lost to Southern 34-7 being outgained 381-137 until APB went 70/10 for TD with 1:54 left. Arkansas opened a powerful 4-0 SU and ATS with dominating upsets of Texas A&M and Texas but they were whipped by Georiga
Game Grade	Arkansas By 75.4	and came up a two point conversion short of beating Ole Miss on the road. Last week Auburn won its 6th straight in the series. Bo Nix hit 21-26 vs an Ark D missing 3 starters and Jalen Catalon was OFY during game. Auburn led 14-10 H but Ark had a 16-10 FD edge as they settled for FG, missed
Computer Yards	Arkansas 723-55	a FG and was SOD at 18. Ark opened 3Q with 75/6 TD to lead 17-14 but 3:00 changed game as Ark QB Jefferson was sacked in EZ, fumbled and Auburn fell on it for TD. Ark was SOD at Aub 29 and Aub got 71 yd TD pass next play to lead 28-17. Up 28-23 Auburn went 63/9 for FG and 75/12 for TD for 38-23 road win. Arkansas is playing to an AGG of 114.6 and APB is at just 48.9 which is
Computer Points	Arkansas 60-0	an amazing 73.35 difference. APBU has covered 4 in a row vs FBS teams as they have been a dog by an average of 53.8 and lost by an average of 42 ppg. Arkansas can name the score here but figure to rest banged up players and let backups play most of 2H. My computer has it at 60-0.
Phil Steele	Arkansas by 59	
		Arkansas 59 Arkansas Pine Bluff 0