Inside the Pressbox week 7	149 DUKE 12:00 p.m.	191 FLORIDA 3:30 p.m.
Thursday, October 14th	150 VIRGINIA	192 LSU
111 GEORGIA SOUTHERN	151 RUTGERS	193 MISSISSIPPI
7:30 p.m.	12:00 p.m. 152 NORTHWESTERN	3:30 p.m. <b>194 TENNESSEE</b>
112 SOUTH ALABAMA 113 NAVY	153 BALL ST	195 TROY
7:30 p.m.	12:00 p.m. 154 EASTERN MICHIGAN	3:30 p.m. 196 TEXAS ST
<b>114 MEMPHIS</b> Friday, October 15th	155 COLORADO ST	197 IOWA ST
115 CLEMSON	2:00 p.m. 156 NEW MEXICO	3:30 p.m. 198 KANSAS ST
7:00 p.m.	157 ARIZONA	199 AUBURN
116 SYRACUSE 117 MARSHALL	2:00 p.m. 158 COLORADO	3:30 p.m. <b>200 ARKANSAS</b>
7:00 p.m.	159 AIR FORCE	201 RICE
118 * NORTH TEXAS	2:00 p.m. 160 BOISE ST	6:00 p.m. <b>202 UTSA</b>
119 SAN DIEGO ST 10:30 p.m.	161 ARIZONA ST	203 UTAH ST
120 SAN JOSE ST	2:00 p.m. 162 ★ UTAH	6:00 p.m. <b>204 UNLV</b>
121 CALIFORNIA 10:30 p.m.	163 FRESNO ST	205 LOUISIANA TECH
10.30 p.m. 122 OREGON	2:00 p.m. 164 WYOMING	9:00 p.m. <b>206 UTEP</b>
<u>Saturday, October 16th</u>	165 UCLA	207 STANFORD
123 BOWLING GREEN 12:00 p.m.	3:00 p.m.	10:00 p.m.
124 NORTHERN ILLINOIS	166 WASHINGTON 167 LIBERTY	208 WASHINGTON ST 209 HAWAII
<b>125 MIAMI, FL</b> 12:00 p.m.	3:30 p.m.	10:30 p.m.
126 NORTH CAROLINA	168 ULM 169 BYU	<b>210 NEVADA</b> Wednesday, October 20th
127 PITTSBURGH 12:00 p.m.	3:30 p.m.	COASTAL CAROLINA
128 VIRGINIA TECH	170 BAYLOR 171 ★ TEXAS A&M	10:30 p.m. APPALACHAIN ST
129 TEXAS TECH 12:00 p.m.	3:30 p.m.	AFFALACIIAIN 31
130 KANSAS	172 MISSOURI	
131 MICHIGAN ST	173 OKLAHOMA ST 3:30 p.m.	
12:00 p.m. <b>132 INDIANA</b>	174 TEXAS	
133 ARMY	<b>175 TCU</b> 3:30 p.m.	
12:00 p.m. 134 WISCONSIN	176 OKLAHOMA	
135 OHIO	<b>177 TOLEDO</b> 3:30 p.m.	
12:00 p.m. 136 BUFFALO	178 CENTRAL MICHIGAN	
137 VANDERBILT	179 UAB 3:30 p.m.	
12:00 p.m. 138 SOUTH CAROLINA	180 SOUTHERN MISS	
139 TULSA	181 PURDUE 3:30 p.m.	
12:00 p.m. <b>140 USF</b>	182 IOWA	
141 NC STATE	183 ALABAMA 3:30 p.m.	Phil Steele's
12:00 p.m. 142 BOSTON COLLEGE	184 MISSISSIPPI ST	SPECIAL PLAYS OF THE WEEK
143 ★ NEBRASKA	185 KENT ST 3:30 p.m.	SPECIAL PLAYS OF THE WEEK
12:00 p.m. 144 MINNESOTA	186 WESTERN MICHIGAN	UPSET PLAY OF THE WEEK
145 AKRON	187 WKU 3:30 p.m.	'this is a Best Bet
12:00 p.m. <b>146 MIAMI, OH</b>	188 OLD DOMINION	No Selection This week
147 UCF	189 KENTUCKY 3:30 p.m.	HIGH SCORING POW
12:00 p.m. 148 CINCINNATI	190 GEORGIA	No Selection This week
140 CINCINNATI		

							Ga	Sout	thern	Eagles	5								OVERA		/ERALL:						9	outh	Alaba	ama Ja	guar	s					
									agles Logs										2-4 vs. SU		3-2 s. SUN								Jaguar								
TM REC FOE	REC CO	M ONF C	FOE	TM +/-	FOE +/-	TM AI	FOE	DATE	FO	ı.e	SCORE	W/L	TEA! OFF	M DEF	PS RA	NK OFF	FOE DEF	ST	1-2	NCAA RANKINGS	0-2	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	OE +/-	AP TM F	DE DATE		FOE	sco	ORE W/L		EAM DEF	PS RA	NK OFF	FOE DEF ST
0-0	0	-0		119	69			9/4	Gardner		30-25	W	104	86	90	0	DE.	5.	2	021 TEAM RANKINGS	s	0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	106	116		9/4	Sou	thern Miss		L-7 W				102	80 46
1-0 0-			0-0		116				at Florida A		6-38 10-45	L	99	87 92	35 57	101		113	Ga South	nern Sout	h Alabama	1-0 0-1 2-0	0-0 0-0	0-0		85		9/11		ling Green		-19 W				125	127 55
1-1 2- 1-2 2-			0-0		133 129		20 9	9/18 a 9/25	at Arkansas Louisiana		20-28	L	106 115	115	42	45 64		46	#7	Rush Off	#90	3-0	0-0		109 105	89		9/18	Bye	orn St	28	-21 W	118 109	95 79	80 69		
				111	110			10/2	Arkansa	s St	59-33	W	113	121	63	90			#125	Pass Off	#74	3-0 3-1		1-0		127		10/2	Loui	isiana		-20 L	102		72	62	91 2
	3 1 2 1		0-1	115	118				at Troy at South Al	abama	24-27	L	99 101	113 111	73 54	110 99			<b>#76</b> #89	Total Off Score Off	# <b>96</b> #84		0-1 0-2	0-0 1-2	108 107	104 115		10/9 10/16		Southern	31	-33 L	107 99	68 69	93 98	119 101	111 71 111 54
							1	10/23	Bye										#62	Sks Allowed	#31	2-3		1-2		92		10/23	at ULM							130	127 121
2-			1-1 2-0		116 134			10/30 11/6	Georgia Coastal (							91			#69 #129	Rush Def Pass Def	#38	1-5 3-3		0-2 1-1		105 118		10/30	Arka at Troy	ansas St						109	129 34 53 112
2-	3		1-0		105		1	11/13 a	at Texas St							114	110	99 #	#125	Total Def	#23	4-2		1-1		126		11/13	at App	alachian St						40	55 32
5- 4-			0-0 1-1		126 126			11/20	BYU at Appalach	nian St						54 40			#107 #10	Score Def Sks By	#29	4-2 6-0		2-1 2-0		128 134		11/20	at Tenr	nessee stal Carolina	a					13 3	37 20 60 23
																		;	#118	Ypg Diff	#57																
																			-1	Total TO's	+2																
	Score			Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp Att	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks				s	ore		Yds	Net FD	TO's F	Offe D RA-R		Com Att		ds ass Sks	FD	Defense RA-RY	YPC		Yds Pass Sks
Gardner-We			30-25	73	5	0	25 6	65-365	5.6	12-20	135	0	20	19-62	3.3	28-46	365	3				Southern Mi		31-7	42	-6	3 1	.3 34-3	1 0.9	17-2	22 20	69 3	19		2.8	13-29	133 4
at Florida Atlan at Arkansas	tic		6-38 10-45	-284 -400	-10 -16	-2 0		38-139 35-152	3.7 4.3	14-24 13-28	118 81	2				19-29 14-25	332 364	0			ភ	at Bowling Gre Alcorn St	en	22-19 28-21		9		1 37-11 2 43-24				57 <u>2</u> 58 0	10	19-9 31-32		23-33	308 3 265 4
Louisiana			20-28	75	5	-1	23 5	57-278	4.9	11-24	175	3	18	32-129	4.0	17-28	249	1	les		ra	Bye															
Arkansas St at Troy			59-33 24-27	-108	-13 -1	-2		50-503 38-82	10.1 2.2	3-13 16-27	37 219	0 5		25-91 28-139	3.6 5.0	36-61 24-36	443 270	4	agl	ES	Јад	Louisiana at Texas St		18-20 31-33		-2 -3		.5 43-14 0 44-14				43 <u>2</u> 89 1		33-225 48-212			58 1 187 3
at South Alaba	ma			- 30	_	-						,	'	55		. 50			Ea	AVERAGES	а	GA Southern	ı	-1 55	. 0	_			. 5.2	-, -							
Bye Georgia St																		_	E	Æ	Alabama	at ULM Arkansas St											-				
Coastal Card	lina																		uthe		pa	at Troy															
at Texas St BYU																			5	Ψ	₽	at Appalachian at Tennessee	St														
at Appalachian	St																		So	=	Ė	Coastal Card	lina														
																			Ga		South																
																					Ϋ́																
Average			25-33		-5.0	-0.2		47-253	5.4	50.7%	128	8.1%					337 8					Average			47.4 17.0			8 40-13				23 5.4%					190 10.3%
Conference Away	e		34-29 13-37	-9.0 -264.0	-3.0 -9.0	0.3 -1.3	20 4 15 3		6.0 3.4	46.9% 54.4%	144 139	12.5%					321 8 322 1					Conference Home	e		84.3			8 44-14 7 40-14				16 5.5% 23 6.7%		41-219 36-127			123 8.2% 152 10.7%
																			P Ga South	osition by Position Edge	es h Alabama																
																		F		Ga Southern QB/WR	2																
																				vs. South Alabama DB's	1.89																
																		F		Ga Southern RB's																	
																			0.93	vs. South Alabama LB's																	
																		F		Ga Southern OL																	
																			0.36	vs. South Alabama DL																	
																		F		Ga Southern DL																	
																			0.41	vs.																	
																		H		South Alabama OL Ga Southern LB'S																	
																			0.85	vs.																	
																		-		South Alabama RB's Ga Southern DB's		1															
																				vs.	1.75																
<u>La</u> st	Five Ye	ars M	eetino	ıs (Las	st 22 v	ears at F	hilStee	le.com	)										S	outh Alabama QB/W Ga Southern ST's	VK	Categor	у		Team &	Margin						Phil's For	recast:				
2020		ank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-At		TO's				Proje	cted I	Box S	core			0.29	vs.							CC	uhum ia a n	owfoot 7 (	0 CU (6 1 A	ATC\ aga			a first F m		aua da	ided by an
Georgia Southerr			-3.5	24	15	48-250	5-9-9	95												South Alabama ST's		Las Vegas Line			S Alaban	a By 3	ave	rage of 32	ppg but	0 SU (6-1 A the previou	us 2 ma	tchups we	re decide	ed by 7 po	oints or le	ess. Last	year
South Alabama			52	17	16	33-64	20-27-	- 200	0				Pro	niected	Rushin	a		F		Ga Southern K						<u> </u>											on next two with 1:01 lef
	-										227	orde		-		-	00	, l	0.65			Las Vegas Tota	ı		F0	into	in 2	24-17 win	for the E	agles (345-	-264 yd:	s). The Ea	gles have	e average	d 334 ru	sh ypg ir	their 7
2019	Ra	#NK	Line	score	FD	Rush	Comp-At		TO's	nts	23/)	ards			South Ala		99 yarı	12	0.65	vs.		-			50.5 P	JINTS				new HC W Miss, then o							ened with an by 3 and
Georgia Southern		-	-10	20	22	66-310	9-17-			ę					Passin			L		South Alabama K		+/- Ratings					Alco	orn St by 3	7. They h	ad a 387-2	283 yd e	dge home	vs Louis	iana in a	20-18 lo	ss. Last	veek Texas
South Alabama		-	49	17	4	24-53	8-15-	194	-1	Con	91 y	ards			South Ala		313 yar	ds	•	Ga Southern Coaches	s			G	a Southe	n By 5.3	hal	f to lead 1	7-7. TxSt	edge but in t trailed 24-	-10 whe	en WR Barl	bee mad	e a toe ta	p catch i	n the EZ	with 7:17
2018	Ra	ank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-At	tt-Yds	TO's	e of			Projec	cted Ya	rdage T	otal				vs.	0.75	Game Grade															s St got the
Georgia Southern		-	11.5	48	18	43-295	5-8-9	99		to Table	328 y	ards	Ga Sou	thern	South Ala	abama	412 yar	ds	S	outh Alabama Coach	ies				S Alabam	By 8.4	FAU	J and Arka	nsas (tw	o good tear	ms) on t	the road bu	ut outgai	ned Louis	siana 453	3-378 in	a close loss.
South Alabama		-	57	13	17	39-129	19-31-	-197	-4	2			Proje	ected F	inal Sco	ore				Ga Southern Pts										HD Lunsford ated the 11							
2017	Ra	ank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-At	tt-Yds	TO's	Return	23 p	oints	Ga Sou	thern	South Ala	abama	24 poir	its	3.49	vs.	4.40	Computer Yard	5	s	Alabama	412-328	FG,	SOD at 3	5 & 36, a	and only led	17-3.7	Troy got a	TD to op	en 3Q 24	-3 but th	en gave	up TD and
Georgia Southern			43.5	52	26	68-356	11-17-	-227		Re			Expe	erience	Rankin	gs				South Alabama Pts							Lac	y but he s	tumbled	3 TD. GSthi down at th	e 9. Tro	y ran it up	the gut	3 times	setting u	p a non-	overing FG
South Alabama		_	-5	0	10	16-29	16-37-		-3		10	07			South Ala		56					Computer Point	s		S Alabam	a 24-23	fror	n 24 yds i	vith 1:46	left and co	ost me a	Best Bet	winner.	South Ala	bama ha	s a shor	week to up so I lean
		nk					Comp-At				10				ile Stre		55		POSI	TIONAL EDGE AWARDE	D TO:									perfect 7-0			ııı Juli E	reit pldy.	iiis one	13 a (USS	ah an 1 isqij
2016				Score	FD	Rush	-		TO's		Ī					-				South Alabama		Phil Steele						_									
Georgia Southerr			13.5	24	23	63-304	7-10-				10	11	Ga Sou	tnern :	South Ala	apama	129								S Alaban	a by 1			Soutl	h Alab	ama	24 G	eora	ia So	uthe	rn 23	3
South Alabama		-	58	9	19	27-73	21-43-	-279	0											By 0.91 Points													9				

						N	lavy I	Midshi	ipmen									OVEI		OVERALL: 3-3						N	Mem	phis	Tiger	rs						
								shipmen L	-											vs. AAC								Tigers Lo								
TM REC FOE REC	CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	- FOE +	/- TM	AP FOE	DATE	FC	DE	SCORE	W/L	TEA OFF	M DEF	PS R ST	ANK OFF	FOE DEF	ST	1	-2 NCAA RANKINGS	0-2	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	TM AF	FOE I	DATE		:OE	scoi	RE W/L		TEAM DEF	PS RA ST	NK OFF	FOE DEF ST
0-0 0-0	0-0		121				9/4	Marshall	l	7-49	L	117	92	120	93	61	39		2021 TEAM RANKIN		0-0	0-0		123 118		9/	/4	Nicholls	5	42-1	17 W	36	66	60		
0-1 1-0 0-2	0-0	0-0	116 113				9/11 9/18	Air Force Bye	e	3-23	L	117 122	88	130 127	74	103	101	Na	<u>avy</u>	Memphis	1-0 1-0 2-0 2-0	0-0	0-0	126 111 125 132			/11 a <sup>-</sup> /18	Arkans Miss St		55-5 31-2			66 57	1 12	77 49	107 83 24 59
0-2 2-1		0-0	113				9/25	Houston		20-28	L	128	94	130	96	45	11	#20	Rush Off	#47	3-0 3-0			127 127		_	/25	UTSA		28-3		_		3	62	52 14
0-3 2-1 1-3 5-0	0-1 1-1		114 117			24	10/2 10/9	UCF SMU		34-30 24-31	W L	128 128	96 92	127 126	7	70 94	107 91	#129 <b>#126</b>	Pass Off Total Off	#11 #11	3-1 2-2 3-2 1-4	0-0 0-1	0-0	125 107 121 117				t Temple t Tulsa		31-3 29-3			76 88	7 8	103 50	78 115 66 130
1-4 3-3			117			24		at Memphis	s	24-31	L	128	93	123		94	18	#120	Score Off	#11		0-2		119 117			0/16	Navy		25	)) L	21	94	18	128	93 123
5-0		1-0		147			10/23	Cincinna	iti						37 47	4 71	44 126	#118 #44	Sks Allowed	#77 #58	3-2		1-1	124			0/23 a								22	83 81
2-4 5-1		1-1 0-0		119 137				at Tulsa at Notre Da	ame						74	9	33	#76	Rush Def Pass Def	#127	6-0		2-0	128			0/30 1/6	Bye SMU							8	96 76
				425			11/13	Bye										#55	Total Def	#115	3-3		1-1	125			1/13	East Ca							61	81 50
3-3 3-3		1-1		125 109			11/20 11/27	East Car at Temple	rolina						61 102		50 113	#105 #120	Score Def Sks By	#107 #116	5-1 1-5		3-0 0-2	129 117				t Houstor Tulane							78 85	27 14 112 82
							12/4	Bye										#111 +1	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#59 <b>-7</b>																
																		+1	Total 10 s	-/																
_				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds	-							Net			Offense		Comp				Defense			Yds
Marshall So	ore	7-49	<b>Yds</b> 9 -66	FD 1	TO's	<b>FD</b> 24	76-337	4.4	5-16	Pass 61	Sks 9			3.4	Att 21-33	Pass 363	Sks 1				Nicholls	ore	42-17	Yds FD 288 15	TO's		3-322	<b>YPC</b> 6.1	19-32	2 26!		FD 17	28-100	3.6		Pass Sks 199 0
Air Force		3-23			-1		34-36		3-10	32	5		59-176		3-10	49	1				at Arkansas St		55-50	0 -11	-2	24 37	7-263	7.1	22-33	3 417	7 4	35	32-98	3.1	42-66	582 2
Bye Houston		20-28	8 -89	-5	-1	15	52-190	3.7	4-8	98	2	20	34-120	3.5	22-31	257	2	١,	_		Miss St UTSA		31-29 28-31		-1		25-87 32-78	3.5 2.4	16-28 15-25			26 25	16-49 62-205			419 2 194 2
UCF		34-30	0 80	9	0	26	76-348	4.6	2-4	58	1	17	29-148	5.1	16-27	178	0		S S	S.	at Temple		31-34	-19 2	-2	25 38	8-155	4.1	24-40	0 30	5 3	23	33-157	4.8	36-50	322 0
SMU at Memphis		24-31	1 -163	-10	2	12	53-177	3.3	4-7	64	3	22	29-80	2.8	30-40	324	1		AGE	<u>iĝ</u>	at Tulsa Navy		29-35	197 14	-3	33 42	2-151	3.6	34-57	7 46	3 3	19	46-235	5.1	11-21	182 0
Cincinnati																		-		<u> </u>	at UCF															
at Tulsa at Notre Dame																		3	MIGSNIPME	Ë	Bye SMU															
Bye																		-	<u>Σ</u>   Σ	Memphis Tigers	East Carolina	9														
East Carolina at Temple			-																TEA	ē	at Houston Tulane															
Bye																		ž	ž	2	raidiic															
Average		18-32							40.0%		44.4%					234		İ			Average			42.0 -0.5	-1.2		8-176	4.7	60.59				36-141			316 2.2%
Conference		26-30					60-238 52-190	4.0 3.7	52.6% 50.0%		31.6% 25.0%		31-116			253 257	3.1% 6.5%				Conferenc Home	e		89.0 8.0 24.7 -2.7	-2.5 0.0		0-153 7-162	3.8 4.4	59.89 58.89				40-196 35-118			
																			Position by Position Ed					•												
																		Na	Navy QB/WR	Memphis																
																			vs.	1.31																
																			Memphis DB's Navy RB's																	
																			vs.	0.32																
																			Memphis LB's Navy OL																	
																			vs.	1.15																
																			Memphis DL Navy DL																	
																			vs.	0.81																
																			Memphis OL Navy LB'S																	
																			vs.	0.67																
																			Memphis RB's Navy DB's																	
																			vs.	1.38																
Last Fir	e Years	Meetin	nas (La	st 22 1	vears at	PhilSte	ele.com	)										<b> </b>	Memphis QB/WR Navy ST's	t	Categor	v		Team & Margin							Phil's For	ecast:				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		Att-Yds	TO's			ı	Proje	cted I	Box S	core				vs.	5.62						Teams ha	ve colit	their pro	vious 6 -				won 2 of t	he last 4	gamos	Navy howeve
Navy		62	7	14	52-233	4-1	13-88												Memphis ST's	3.02	Las Vegas Line	ا		Memphis By 10.5		is 5-1 ATS	agains	t Memphi	is and ha	ve avera	ged 321 r	ush ypg	against th	e Tigers.	Last yea	r's meeting
Memphis		-13.5		12			32-205	1				Dra	ojected	Duchi	20											down stop	s. Mem	phis miss	ed a 52 y	yd FG, th	en Navy v	was picke	ed off on tl	ne Mempi	nis 17. M	l a pair of 4th em fumbled
		-13.5	10							225						120			Navy K		Las Vegas Tota	ا ا		EC D												rly 4Q and ad an uneven
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		Att-Yds	TO's	S	230 y	/ards	Nav	,	Mem		130 ya	ards	3.20	vs.					56 Points		season as	they le	d Ark St	55-36 on	the road	l but gave	up two		t 5:01 an	d did not	cover 55-50
Navy	-	54.5	23	20	66-291	6-1	13-82		ntents			Pr	ojected	1 Passir	ng				Memphis K		+/- Ratings					UTSA and	a 17-0	lead vs T	Temple in	losses. I	ast week	Memphi	is QB Heni	gan hit 34	1-57-463	and Memphis
Memphis	-	-10.5	35	12	25-105	14-1	18-196	1	ပိ	69 y	ards	Nav	vy	Mem	phis	323 ya	ards		Navy Coaches					Memphis By 6.5		Mem was	SOD at	11 and n	nissed 3rd	d FG (bk	K) then	fumble a	and Tulsa 2	20/5 TD a	nd 78/5	led 14-13 H. ΓD led 28-13.
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	e of			Proje	cted Ya	ardage	Total			2.00	vs.		Game Grade					play 1:17.	. Memph	his is allo	wing 316	ypg (669	%) thru th	e air but	t are allow	ing just 1	41 (3.9)	pass int nex on the ground
Navy	-	68	22	20	73-264	4-6	6-52		Table	299 y	/ards	Nav	vy	Mem	phis	453 ya	ards		Memphis Coaches	s	Game Grade			Memphis By 11.4		which is he	ow Navy	y travels.	Frosh Se	eth Henig	an (PS#9	4) has th	hrown for 3 6 (6.4). Na	1938 (619	%) with a	super 14-3
Memphis	-	-7	21	14	24-233	15-2	25-145	-3	2			Proj	jected F	inal Sc	ore				Navy Pts							Marshall b	ut blew	red zone	opportu	nities and	l lost 49-7	7 then Ai	ir Force (o	otion vs o	ption) h	eld them to 68 at home vs
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	ELL	24 p	oints	Nav	vy	Mem	phis	35 poi	ints	5.20	vs.	11.26	Computer Yard	•		Memphis 453-299	_	powerful S	SMU the	v held th	em to jus	st 2-11 or	a 3rd dow	n after N	Navv got a	20 vd FR	TD by L	B Fagot the ie EZ on 1&G
Navy	25	71.5	27	19			8-20		Ret			Exn	erience						Memphis Pts							at 9 and n	nissed a	51 yd F	G on last	play of h	alf and ha	ad 14-7 F	FD edge. T	ied 24-24	SMU 65	/9 TD 8:19
Memphis		-3.5		24			10-279	4		1/	02	Nav		Mem		82					Computer Point	s		Memphis 35-24		an AGG of	f 98.1 aı	nd Navy	is at 90.7	(Mem b	y 11.9). N	lavy has	the edge	on defens	e holdin	is playing to g foes to 122
										10			,			02		PC	SITIONAL EDGE AWARD	DED TO:				empnis 35-24									pg above the last 9		has tak	en on the
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD			Att-Yds	TO's			_		Schedu			_			Memphis		Phil Steele	ı														
Navy	24	58.5	42	27			4-85			1	3	Nav	vy	Mem	phis	81	•							Memphis by 10					М	empl	his 34	4 Na	vy 24			
Memphis	-	-2.5	28	24	31-98	25-4	10-333	0											By 6.06 Points	;										P						

							Cler	mson	Tigers								OVERA 3-2		VERALL: 3-3							Syrac	use (	Orang	geme	n						
								Tigers L	ogs								vs. AC	c v	s. ACC							- (	Oranger	nen Log	gs							
TM REC FOE	REC TM CONF	CON	TM +/	- FOE +	·/- тм	AP FC	DE DATE		FOE	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF DE		RANK OFF	FOE DEF	ST	2-1	NCAA RANKINGS	0-2	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- F	DE +/-	AP TM F	OE DATE		FOE		SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF	DEF	PS RAN		FOE DEF ST
0-0 0- 0-1	0 0-0	0-0	146 143	14	5 3		9/4 9/11	† Georg	ia n Carolina St	3-10 49-3	L W	6 2 10 2	e 67 e 93	3	4	2	2	021 TEAM RANKING	s	0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0				123 132		9/4 9/11	at Ohi			29-9 7-17	W	84 81	68 75			110 54 46 10
1-1 1	1 0-0		142				9/18		gia Tech	14-8	W	16 2	89	53	64	81	Clems	en S	yracuse	1-1	0-0		125	132		9/11	Alb	gers any		62-24	W	95	73	110	.00	40 10
	1 1-0 0 1-1		139 136		l 9		9/25 10/2		ate on College	21-27 19-13	L W	48 4 69 6		35 34	11 75		#82 113	Rush Off Pass Off	#11 #115	2-1 3-0 3-1 0-4				131 120		9/25 10/2	Libe at Flor			24-21 30-33	W L	60 60	78 83			49 109 49 82
3-2	2-1		135				10/9	Bye				55 6	92			#	116	Total Off	#58	3-2 5-0	0-1	3-0	126	134		10/9	Wa	ke Forest		37-40	Ĺ	59	81	52	36	64 21
3-2 3- 4-	3 2-1	0-2 1-0	135	120				at Syrac at Pittsb				58 6	97	52 15	78 43		111 #52	Score Off Sks Allowed	#47 #52	3-3 3-2 3-2	0-2	2-1 1-0	126	135 127		10/16		mson jinia Tech	h			52	78			6 97 23 10
	4 3	2-2 1-2		12			10/30 11/6	Florid Louis						43 45	51 75		#21 #40	Rush Def Pass Def	#30 #31	4-1		0-1		128		10/30 11/6	Bos Bye	ton Colle	ege						19	77 15
0-	7	0-2		94			11/13	Conn	ecticut					129	126	93 #	‡21	Total Def	#20	3-3		1-2		128		11/13	at Lou	isville								75 43
6· 3·	3	4-0 0-3		134			11/20 11/27	Wake at South	Forest Carolina					39 79	73 39		#2 #54	Score Def Sks By	#63	4-1 4-1		1-0 1-0		132 127		11/20	at NC Pitt	State sburgh								17 90 43 61
																	#74	Ypg Diff	#30																	
																	+1	Total TO's	-1																	
	Score		Yds	Net FD		s Fi	Offer D RA-RY		Comp Att	Yds Pass	Sks	Defe FD RA-		Comp Att	Yds Pass	Sks				Si	ore		Yds	Net FD	TO's	Offe FD RA-R			Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		efense RA-RY			Yds Pass Sks
† Georgia		3-:	.0 -76	-1	1	1.	4 23-2	0.1	19-37	178	7	15 31-1	121 3.9	22-30	135	1				at Ohio	LOIC	29-9	37	1	1 :	21 44-28	3 6.4	1	12-18	100	1	20 3	2-134	4.2 2	3-31 2	212 0
South Carol Georgia Teo		49 14							23-39 18-25	262 126	0	9 34-1	103 3.0 106 2.7			4			_	Rutgers Albany		7-17 62-24		-2 13		14 30-6 24 42-28			19-32 17-24	191 337	5 0					145 4 128 8
at NC State		21-2	7 -172	-2:	. 0	10	0 23-10	3 4.5	12-26	111	2	31 51-1	148 2.9	32-45	238	3	w		яe	Liberty		24-21	-64	2	1 :	22 53-22	8 4.3	3 6	6-15	77	1	20 4	1-150	3.7 10	5-21 2	219 6
Boston Colle Bye	ge	19-:	.3 81	-1	3	11	8 40-23:	1 5.8	13-28	207	1	19 34-	46 1.4	23-40	311	4	ē	ES	Orangemen	at Florida St Wake Forest	:	30-33 37-40		-4 12		18 40-23 33 58-35			13-23 15-27	150 160	2		7-247 37-96	2.6 19	1-32 1 1-32 3	
at Syracuse at Pittsburgh																	Tig	RAG	ä	Clemson at Virginia Tech	,															
Florida St																	son	AVERAGES	ō	Boston Colle																
Louisville Connecticut																	ns		se	Bye at Louisville																
Wake Fores at South Carol																	Clem	TEAM	D C	at NC State Pittsburgh																
at South Carol	Па																O		Syracuse	Piusburgn																
																			Ś																	
Average		21-	_				7 33-14		54.8%	177	6.5%	19 38-1				7.4%				Average						22 45-24			9.0%		7.2%		7-114			194 13.39
Conference	e	18-:					6 35-16- 2 23-53		54.4% 49.2%		3.8% 14.3%	24 41-1				9.3% 5.3%				Conferenc Home	e		49.5 143.8			26 49-29 23 46-23			6.0% 8.2%		6.0% 8.2%					231 6.3% 206 19.49
																	Clems	osition by Position Edge on Sv	vracuse																	
																		Clemson QB/WR																		
																0	0.36	vs. Syracuse DB's																		
																		Clemson RB's	0.52																	
																		vs. Syracuse LB's	0.32																	
																	0.66	Clemson OL vs.																		
																		Syracuse DL																		
																c	0.81	Clemson DL vs.																		
																		Syracuse OL Clemson LB'S																		
																c	0.91	vs.																		
																		Syracuse RB's Clemson DB's																		
																1	99	vs.																		
Last	Five Year	s Meet	ings (Li	st 22	years	at Phils	Steele.co	m).				<b></b>		C-				Syracuse QB/WR Clemson ST's		Categor	у		Team & N	argin						Phil	's Foreca	ıst:				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rus	h Co	mp-Att-Yds	TO's				Projecte	ea Box	Score				vs.	0.50	Las Vegas Line																35 & 26 pt
Clemson	1	-47.	47	27	37-1	47 2	29-47-308											Syracuse ST's		Lus Vegus Line			Clemson B	y 13.5		st year was e Tigers led										
Syracuse	-	63.5	21	11	32-1	50 1	10-26-175	-3				Projec	ted Rusi	ning				Clemson K		Las Vegas Tota					27	-21 then C	lemson	scored th	he final 1	19 points	Clem	son is on	a 20-1	0-1 ATS r	un vs A	CC foes and
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rus	h Co	mp-Att-Yds	TO's		118	yards	Clemson	Sy	racuse	166 ya	rds 1	65	vs.		Las vegas rota	•		45 Poi	nts	we	ek, playing	on shor	t week.	Clemson	n already	has tw	o losses,	and the	ir offense	is avera	
Clemson	1	-27.	41	24	29-2	21 2	23-42-391		ntents			Proje	cted Pass	sing				Syracuse K				•				ppg in ACC ense had t										
Syracuse		64.5	6	10	42-	15 1	15-29-172	1	Cont	173	yards	Clemson	Sy	racuse	167 ya	rds		Clemson Coaches		+/- Ratings			Clemson	By 5.5	Sy	racuse is 3	-3 SU th	is year a	and a sol	lid 5-1 A7	S. The	ir defense	which	allowed fo	es 128	ypg above year. Since
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rus	h Co	mp-Att-Yds	TO's	of			Projecte	d Yardag	e Total		1	.65	vs.							the	move to	Garrett S	hrader a	at QB the	ey have	run the	ball well	, but Cle	emson giv	es up ju	ust 2.8 ypc
Clemson	3	-25.	27	28	53-2	93 1	17-29-176		Table	291	yards	Clemson	Sy	racuse	333 ya	rds		Syracuse Coaches		Game Grade			Syracuse	Ву 3.1	Sy		3 TD on	next 4 p	poss to le	ead 21-1	0 with	WF getti	ng a TD	with :48	left 21-	17 (Syr 27
Syracuse		65	23	12	26-	51 2	26-41-250	2	2			Projecto	ed Final	Score				Clemson Pts								4 yd). WF yd FG 7:1										then miss
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rus	h Co	mp-Att-Yds	TO's	Err	21 p	oints	Clemson	Sy	racuse	15 poi	nts 8	3.03	vs.	1.02	Computer Yard	s		Syracuse 3	33-291	94	/11 for TD	:21 left	for OT. S	Syr FG ar	nd WF 2	yd TD	pass to I	Perry in	OT 40-3	7. Shocl	kingly my
Clemson	2	-23.	5 24	16	25-1	13 2	22-32-204		Rei			Experie	nce Ran	kings				Syracuse Pts							Oh	io State tu	rn their	season a	around a	and look t	he tear	m that w	as expe	ted recei	tly and	
Syracuse		58	27	28			20-33-278	-1		1	15	Clemson		racuse	36		Poc	TIONAL EDGE AWARDE	ED TO:	Computer Point	s		Clemson	21-15		s is Clemso mson has										
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rus	h Co	mp-Att-Yds	TO's				Team Sch	nedule Si	trength			PUSI		ED 10:							ranked for						,. 0			/ 0	
2016							27-40-370				i2	Clemson		racuse	80			Clemson		Phil Steele			Clemson	by 10												
Clemson	3	-27.	5 54	28									٠,							i				-				CI		-			-	_		
	3	-27.5 67.5			28-1		24-40-172	-3										By 7.01 Points										CI	iems	on 2	3 5)	/racu	se 1	3		

						Mars	hall 1	Thund	ering	Herd								OVE		/ERALL:						North	Texa	s M	ean (	Greei	n						
								dering He										ys. (		1-4 s. CUSA								Green			•						
TM REC FOE REC CON	4 F	OE T	гм +/-	FOE +/-	тм	AP FOE			FOE	SCORE	w//	OFF	EAM DEF	PS ST	RANK OFF	DEF F	OE ST	1	-1 NCAA RANKINGS	0-2	TM REC FOE	TM CON	FOE F CONF	TM +/- FOE +/	- A	FOE D	\TE	FO		660	DE W//	OFF	TEAM DEF	PS	RANK OFF	FOE DEF	ST
0-0 0-0 0-		1-0		121	IM	FUE	9/4	at Navy	rue	49-7	W	93	61	39	117	92	120		2021 TEAM RANKING	s	0-0	0-0		105	IM	9/4			stern St		RE W/L	68		27	UFF	DEF	31
1-0 0-1			119	425			9/11	NC Cer		44-10	W	93	70	34	0.5			Mar	shall No	rth Texas	1-0 1-0					9/1				12-3				46	28		78
2-0 0-2 0-1 2-1 2-1 0-1			116 116	125			9/18	East Ca at Appala		38-42 30-31	L L	44 32	68 74	66 59	85 40	97 41	67 8	#77	Rush Off	#33	1-1 1-1 1-2 1-2					9/1 9/2		JAB .ouisiana	a Tech	6-4 17-2		50 75		39 49	77 98		117
2-2 1-3 0-1	0 0	1-2	119	116					Tennessee	28-34	L	41	79	48	116	104	116	#6	Pass Off	#89	1-3	0-2	2	102		10/		Вуе				83	113	61			
2-3 1-4 0- 3-3 1-4 1-		I-1 I-2	117	104			10/9	Old Do at North T		20-13	W	37 48	79 68	56 59	117 81	98 113	54 85	<b>#8</b> #31	Total Off Score Off	# <b>55</b> #105	1-3 2-3 1-4 3-3					10/		Missouri Marshall		35-4	18 L	88 81		64 85	28 48		9 59
							10/23	Bye										#31	Sks Allowed	#52	5-1		0-0	136		10/	23 L	iberty							69	31	102
1-5 3-3		-2 -1		103 118			10/30	FIU at Florida	Atlantic						83 76	120 82	42 51	#118 #16	Rush Def Pass Def	#115 #49	2-3 1-5		1-0 0-2			-	30 at F 6 at S		n Miss						108 126		128 36
4-2		!-0		125			11/13	UAB	Addition						80	38	96	#77	Total Def	#94	5-1		2-0			11/		JTEP	111100						71		118
4-2 1-4		!-0  -1		112 119			11/20 11/27	at Charlot WKU	tte						64 50	119 108	74 58	#49	Score Def Sks By	#105 #92	1-5 6-0		0-2 2-0			11/	20 at F	JTSA							83 51		42 22
				117			11/27	mico							30	100	50	#19	Ypg Diff	#86			2.0	120		11/		31371							31	3,	
																		-6	Total TO's	0																	
				Net			Offens	se	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds								Net		0	ffense		Comp	Yds	1		Defense		Comp	Yds	
Score		40.7	Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY		Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks					Score		Yds FD	TO's			YPC	Att	Pas		FD		YPC	Att		Sks
at Navy NC Central		49-7 4-10	66 523	-1 29	-1 -1	23 38	30-101 42-237		21-33 42-58	363 463	0	24 9	76-337 28-71	4.4 2.5	5-16 14-32	61 106	9	1	2	_	Northweste at SMU	rn St	44-14 12-35		2			6.9 3.0	12-26 34-55			21			16-26 21-33		0
East Carolina		8-42	76	-3	-2	24	36-214	5.9	24-40	433	1	27	39-176	4.5	31-50	395	5	1		ee	UAB		6-40	0 -187 1	-1	18 44-	121	2.8	14-34	99	3	17	51-173	3.4	7-14	234	2
at Appalachian St at Middle Tennessee			-169 179	-19 12	-4		28-127 35-172		18-33 31-47	270 321	2	39 16	54-283 49-209		24-39 12-21	283 105	2		<b>a</b>	Green	at Louisiana T Bye	ech	17-24	4 47 3	0	24 49-	241	4.9	15-37	92	2	21	40-79	2.0	19-28	207	3
Old Dominion		0-13	76	5	0	24	32-91	2.8	30-46	299	3	19	50-208		10-23		4	1 4	AVERAGES	Ē	at Missouri		35-48	8 19 0	-3	21 49-	188	3.8	16-26	30	5 3	21	39-314	8.1	21-33	160	1
at North Texas Bye																		1	D &	Mean	Marshall Liberty																
FIU																					at Rice																
at Florida Atlantic UAB																				ä	at Southern M UTEP	iss															_
at Charlotte																		{	TEAM	Texas	at FIU																-
WKU																		1 1		=	UTSA																
																		1		North																	-
			425.2	2.0		2.5	24.457		64.60/	250	2.40/	22	10.244		FD 00/	476	12.20	;	Ĕ	Z			22.22	2 2 2 2 2		22 47	202		F4 40/	241	2 5 600	20	42.240		62.70/	244	F0/
Average Conference			125.2 127.5	3.8 8.5	-1.0 -2.0		34-157 34-132		64.6% 65.6%	358 310	3.1% 5.4%		49-214 50-209				13.3%				Average Conferen	ce	23-32 12-32	2 -8.6 3.0 2 -70.0 2.0	0.0 -0.5	23 47-		4.4 3.9	51.1% 40.8%				42-210 46-126			214 7	.5% 1.9%
Away				-2.7			31-133		61.9%				60-276								Home			7 -39.0 4.5		24 47-		5.0	43.3%		1 5.0%					196 1	
																		Mar	Position by Position Edg	th Texas																	
																			Marshall QB/WR																		
																		1.09	vs. North Texas DB's																		
																			Marshall RB's																		
																		0.08	vs. North Texas LB's																		
																			Marshall OL																		
																		1.31	vs. North Texas DL																		
																			Marshall DL																		
																			vs.	0.72																	
																			North Texas OL Marshall LB'S																		
																			vs.	0.17																	
																			North Texas RB's Marshall DB's																		
																		1.50	vs.																		
Last Five Yea	ars Me	etings	s (Las	t 22 v	ears at	PhilSt	eele.con	n).											North Texas QB/WF Marshall ST's		Catego	ory		Team & Margin							Phil's For	ecast:					
2016 Rar	nk L		Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's				Proj	ected	Box	Score			2.70	vs.																		
Marshall -	-1	1.5	21	16	28-13	20-	42-258											1	North Texas ST's		Las Vegas Line	•		Marshall By 11		NT is 0-3 A powerful SI											
North Texas -			38		54-252		28-206	-1				P	rojected	d Ruch	ina				Marshall K							used North	Texas o	n my Pl	hil Steele	e Plus T	our and N	Missour	i led 31-7	at half.	NT QB A	ustin Aune	
			50		J (-232	. 10"		-1		104	ande.		rshall		-	340	vard-	0.55			Las Vegas Tot	al		66 5 5 2 2 2		threw for 3 trying to ru											
									ts	194	yards				Texas	240	yards	0.65	vs.					66.5 Points		to Ward 48	-35. Ma	rshall of	pened a	strong 2	2-0 with :	700 ya	rds vs FCS	NCCen	tral. The	were ups	et
1									tents				rojecte					<u> </u>	North Texas K		+/- Ratings					by East Car yd edge at											
									So	271	yards	Mai	rshall	North	n Texas	208	yards		Marshall Coaches					Marshall By 9.	3	yd edge du	ring garl	bage tin	ne. Last	week th	ney hoste	d Old D	ominion a	and I us	ed ODU d	n the Phil	
									e of			Proj	jected Y	'ardage	Total				vs.	2.35	Game Grade		_			Steele Plus SOD at 40	and ODL	J 45 yd	FG 2:37	7. Marsh	3&4 & 3	889 FD	's then on	2&17 a	52 yard	TD pass w	ith
									abi	466	yards	Mai	rshall	North	n Texas	447	yards		North Texas Coache	s	came draue			Marshall By 5.	8	:33 left. Of meetings. !											
									Return to Table of			Pro	ojected I	Final S	core				Marshall Pts							vs a Power	5 foe. T	he Herd	d are play	ying the	ir 3rd roa	ad gam	e in 4 wee	ks but h	ave a by	e on deck.	.
									E	30 п	oints	Mai	rshall	North	n Texas	25 c	oints	7.33	vs.	3.24	Computer Yar	ds		Marshall 466-44		Marshall is 10 games a											
									Ret				perience						North Texas Pts							ago. North	Texas h	as a ma	nageable	e sched	ule down	the str	retch and	can still	get to a	bowl but n	eed
											11				-		=0				Computer Poir	nts		Manak-1120		to pull an u season ave											ineir
										4	1		rshall		n Texas		59	PC	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDE	D TO:				Marshall 30-25		#122). I lik										,	
													n Sched						Marshall		Phil Steele																
										1.	22	Mai	rshall	North	n Texas	ġ	95							North Texas by	1		est	Bet:	<b>★ N</b> 4	orth	Teva	15 3	1 (+)	Mar	shall	30	
																			By 4.09 Points								-55		A 140	J. C. I	·CA		- ( ' )	aı	Ja11		

1								D:	· · · · · · ·										OVER/	ALL: 0	VERALL:							-		- 64 6								
Note   1964							5				:s								5-0	)	3-3							Sar			•	ans						
1		. TM	FOE				AP		Aztecs Lo	gs		T	TE	AM	PS R	ANK-	FOE					FO	E TM	FOE			AP		Sp	artans I	Logs			T	TEAM	PS	RANK	FOE
State   Stat		CONF	CONF			IM	FOE											_						F CONF		OE +/-	тм										OFF	DEF ST
1																										134					n Utah						12	19 12
1																			San Die	go St S	an Jose St					134						, 5	, <u>.</u>					15 12
1										n	48-21	W																										
1			0-1		10	5 25				exico	31-7	w				115	80																					
The content of the	5-0 3-3	1-0	1-1	126	113	3 24		10/16	at San Jos	se St						113	67	56	#26	Score Off	#112	3-2 1-	3 1-0	0-0	114	122		10	/9 at					113	67	56	112	114 89
Part																									113						go St			0	0	0		
Part																															ng							
Part								, .		a																			/6 at	t Nevada								
Part										St												3-	2	1-1		116											59	105 37
No.   1																			#32	Ypg Diff	#99	4-	2	1-1		124					St						26	56 84
The content of the																		_	+3	Total TO's	-10																	
Mathematical Content of the Conten					Ne	t		Offen	ıse	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds									Net		-	Offense		Comp	Yds	1		Defense		Comp	Yds
Marchan   Marc																																		_				
The column   1																							Utah															
Transport   Fig.   Fi	Utah		33-3	1 -79	-9	0	12	45-204	4 4.5	10-19	44		21	31-70	2.3	33-58	257	3	w		Ś	Bye																
Transport   Fig.   Fi			48-2	1 222	12	1	24	47-281	1 6.0	17-26	169	1	12	20-15	0.8	19-36	213	2	Ö		Ë																	
Transport   Fig.   Fi	New Mexico		31-	7 143	7	1	19	43-203	3 4.7	12-25	133	0	12	34-66	1.9	13-27	127	4	Ť	E	Ę																	
Transport   Fig.   Fi	at San Jose St																			AG	ğ																	
Marcha   M	at Air Force																		Ñ	<u>E</u>	بن		St															
Part	at Hawaii																		8	.   ≦																		
Part	Nevada																		ë	¥	Sc																	
Accorage																					Š																	
Accorage   1,577   100.2   35   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1																			ğ		ä																	
Conference   31-7   12-8   1																			•,		S																	
Contractive   12-11   12-12	Average		36-1	7 100.2	2 3.6	5 0.6	19	46-241	1 5.2	52.8%	129	5.7%	15	26-50	1.9	54.9%	220 8	.5%				Averag	9	21-24	4 -36.5	-3.8	-1.7	16 28	-107	3.9	51.8%	b 227	7 6.7%	20	37-141	3.8	62.2%	228 5.59
Projected Box Score	Conference										133		12	34-66	1.9	48.1%	127 14					Confere		16-23	3 -130.0		-2.0	14 2						19	45-172	3.8	59.7%	237 4.89
Section   Sect	Away		36-1	4 220.0	) 12.	0 1.0	21	55-2/1	1 4.9	02.5%	163	12.5%	9	19-49	2.0	50.0%	1/9 11	1.1%		Position by Position Ed	lges	nome		41-23	154.5	-0.5	-1.0	20 30	-1/1	5.8	08.8%	0 302	2 0.0%	5   20	28-99	3.0	02.7%	220 2.79
Supplied																			San Die																			
1.																				vs.																		
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisted score)  Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22																																						
As a																			1 16		5																	
1.20   San Diago St D1   San																			1.10																			
San Diago St R   San																			4 20	San Diego St OL																		
2.79   San Diago St LS   San																			1.20	vs. San Jose St DL																		
San   Deep St   St   St   St   St   St   St   St																				San Diego St DL																		
Projected Bushing   Proj																			2.39	VS.																		
San Diego St TPs   San Diego St San Jose St San Diego St San Jose St San Jose St San Diego St San Jose St San Jose St San Diego St San Diego St San Diego St San Diego St San Jose St San Diego S																		-			;																	
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 2 years at Philis Section 1.5																			2.25	vs.																		
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Phil Steele com)  20																		H																				
Las Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com)  Projected Box Score  Projected Box Score    San Diego St. 5'   17   27   45   15   15   25   25   13   15   15   15   15   15   15   1																			1.55	vs.																		
Projected Box Score	Lact F	ve Veare	Moot	nge (1 -	act 22	VA2**	t Dhile	eele co	m)													6.55	norv		Term C	tamir							Dhille Ea-	erast.				
A	2020		Line	Score									Proje	ected	Box S	core			2 10			Cate	gor y		ream & r	raryın		14.5										
19		-	-10	17															2.18			Las Vegas Li	ne		San Diego	St By 9												
199 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds In Diego St7.5 27 22 55-260 13-20-88	_								-					roio-tr	d Durah'			$\vdash$	-						Jun Diego	, ,	m	eeting S	an Jose	St lost s	starting Q	QB Nick	Starkel o	n their	first posse	ession, b	but back	ıp QB Nick
m Diego St7.5 27 22 55-260 13-20-88											40-						40	.				Las Vegas To	tal		44.5-													
In Jose St	2019	Rank							TO's	nts	196 y	yards					40 yard	ıs	1.85						41.5 Pc	unts	te	ams hav	e gone	'Under'	the total	6 of the	past 7 y	ears. I	n the past	3 gam	es they l	ave scored ju
18 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	San Diego St									nte								L				+/- Ratings																
straight time over New Mexico and are 1 of 1 no Hith The Hith San Diego St.	San Jose St	-	45.5	17	15	17-5					100 y	yards		-			217 yar	ds		San Diego St Coach				S	ian Diego S	t By 10.3	w	eek the	Aztecs v	won a ga	me as a	ranked t	team for	the firs	t time sin	ce 2017	7 and wo	n for 8th
In Jose St	2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD.	Rush	Comp	p-Att-Yds	TO's	e 0			Proje	ected Y	ardage	Total						Game Grade					st	raight ti	ne ove	r New Me	exico and	l are 1 o	f 13 rem	aining ı	unbeaten	teams.	SDSt led	17-0 H with
In Jose St	San Diego St	-	-27	16	16	47-20	)7 7·	-11-86		Tab	296 y	yards	San D	iego St	San J	ose St	257 yar	ds		San Jose St Coache	es	Grade			San Diego S	St By 8.5	Th	ne Aztec	next t	wo drive	s were 60	0/5 and	66/6 for	TD's th	ne 2nd wit	th 13:0	5 for a 3	1-7 win. San
In Jose St	San Jose St	-	43	13	18	34-6	2 27-	-37-233	0	\$			Pro	jected I	Final So	core				San Diego St Pts		Computer V	rde				in	se was o	oming	off a MW	title last	t year bu	t opened	10-4 A	TS vs FBS	foes. Q	B Nick S	arkel was
In Jose St	2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	p-Att-Yds	TO's	E	27 p	oints	San D	iego St	San J	ose St	13 poin	ts	12.57	vs.	2.06	computer Ya	. 45	s	an Diego St	296-257	be	elow wha	t opp's	normally	y allow. L	ast wee	k Colo St	K Cayo	den Camp	er had 6	6 FG's as	Colo St led 1
n Diego St 2 - 50.5 7 8 21-33 12-22-167 - 2 45 San Diego St San Jose St 13  Fram Schedule Strength  Team Schedule Strength  To San Diego St 27-13 San Diego St 27-13 San Diego St St idetermined to make up for a disappointing year and San Jose may have caught lightning in a bottle last year and has dropped 4 in a row ATS.  San Diego St is determined to make up for a disappointing year and San Jose may have caught lightning in a bottle last year and has dropped 4 in a row ATS.  San Diego St San Diego St is determined to make up for a disappointing year and San Jose may have caught lightning in a bottle last year and has dropped 4 in a row ATS.  San Diego St San Diego St San Jose St 13  San Diego St San Diego St San Jose St 13	San Diego St		-23.5	52	31	71-55	i4 6	-7-94		Ret			Exp	erienc	e Ranki	ngs				San Jose St Pts							7	H 26-7	fter 30	2 and 32	-7 before	San Jos	se went 6	58/5 for	garbage	TD 1;19	9 left 32	14 with yds
16 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Team Schedule Strength  San Diego St23 42 22 44-293 13-18-167  121 San Diego St San Jose St 88  San Diego St San Diego St San Diego St San Jose St 13	San Jose St		50.5	7	8	21-3	3 12-	-22-167	-2		4	15	San Di	iego St	San J	ose St	13		POS	ITIONAL EDGE AWARD	DED TO:	Computer Po	ints		San Diego S	St 27-13												
n Diego St23	2016	Rank	Line	Score	. FD	Rush	Comp	p-Att-Yds	TO's				Team	Sched	lule Str	ength			-03																		.,.	
San Diego St 23 San Jose St 13	San Diego St		-23	42	22	44-29	3 13-	-18-167			12	21					88			San Diego St		Phil Steele			San Diego	St by 10												
DY AUGUS POINTS	San Jose St		48	3	14	28-6			1											By 10 51 Point	c									Sa	n Die	ego S	it 23	San	Jose	St:	13	
																				Ly 10.31 Folhe	~																	

California Golden Bears	OVERALL: OVERALL: 1-4 4-1	Oregon Ducks
Golden Bears Logs	vs. PAC 12 vs. PAC 12	Ducks Logs TEAMDS DANK FOF
TH REC FOE REC TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	0-2 1-1  NCAA RANKINGS	THE FOE TM FOE TM +/- FOE +/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST
0-0 0-0 0-0 129 126 9/4 Nevada 17-22 L 81 56 107 25 62 14	2021 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 1-0 0-0 0-0 135 125 11 9/4 Fresno St 31-24 W 11 14 78 34 69 125
0-1 1-0 0-0 0-0 126 139 9/11 at TCU 32-34 L 87 43 126 16 9 6	California Oregon	1-0 1-0 0-0 1-0 134 146 12 3 9/11 at Ohio St 35-28 W 18 17 20 2 15 49
0-2 0-0 131 9/18 Sacramento St 42-30 W 75 41 120 1-2 1-2 0-0 0-0 128 130 9/25 at Washington 24-31 L 37 55 118 51 13 103	#75 Rush Off #29	2-0 0-0 139 4 9/18 Stony Brook 48-7 W 23 22 74 3-0 0-3 0-0 0-0 139 109 3 9/25 Arizona 41-19 W 26 26 38 100 99 126
1-2 1-2 0-0 0-0 128 150 9/25 at Washington 2 2-31 L 3/ 55 118 51 15 105 105 11-3 1-3 0-1 0-2 128 121 10/2 Washington St 6-21 L 28 54 119 92 68 64	#75 Rush Off #29	3-0 U-5 U-0 U-0 139 109 3 9/25 ATICOHA 41-19 W 26 26 36 100 99 126 4-0 2-2 1-0 1-1 137 129 3 10/2 at Stanford 24-31 L 26 28 39 45 55 90
	#62 Total Off #53	4-1 1-1 134 8 10/9 Bye 31 26 41
1-4 4-1 0-2 1-1 123 134 9 10/16 at Oregon 41 48 105 32 21 39	#97 Score Off #25	4-1 1-4 1-1 0-2 134 123 9 10/16 California 32 21 39 41 48 105
1-4 0-2 115 10/23 Colorado 121 70 94 4-2 2-1 130 10/30 Oregon St 36 46 48	#62 Sks Allowed #52 #36 Rush Def #55	4-2         2-1         132         10/23 at UCLA         30         30         25           1-4         0-2         115         10/30         Colorado         121         70         94
	#36 Rush Del #35 #115 Pass Def #110	1-4 0-2 115 10/30 Colorado 121 70 94 2-3 1-1 130 11/6 at Washington 62 10 114
	#80 Total Def #86	3-3 2-2 129 11/13 Washington St 86 47 60
	#84 Score Def #37	3-2 <b>2-0</b> 135 11/20 at Utah 35 15 107
4-2 2-1 132 11/27 at UCLA 30 30 25	#111 Sks By #92 #80 Ypg Diff #77	4-2 2-1 130 11/27 Oregon St 36 46 48
	0 Total TO's +11	
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks		Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds
Score         Yds         FD         TO's         FD         RA-RY         YPC         Att         Pass         Sks         FD         RA-RY         YPC         Att         Pass         Sks           Nevada         17-22         -43         -2         0         17         27-153         5.7         25-38         177         2         19         26-61         2.3         22-39         312         2		Score         Yds         FD         TO's         FD         RA-RY         YPC         Att         Pass         Sks         FD         RA-RY         YPC         Att         Pass         Sks           Fresno St         31-24         -15         -3         2         20         49-186         3.8         15-24         172         3         23         30-75         2.5         30-43         298         4
at TCU 32-34 - 56 - 15 1 16 27-133 3.4.9 16-27 309 2 31 50-264 5.3 17-31 234 3	ω	at Ohio St 35-28 -107 -5 1 27 38-269 7.1 17-35 236 0 32 31-128 4.1 35-54 484 2
Sacramento St 42-30 67 5 0 29 33-246 7.5 22-34 288 0 24 22-59 2.7 29-55 408 0	Bears	Stony Brook 48-7 165 12 4 26 39-173 4.4 22-30 263 3 14 39-140 3.6 10-23 131 1
at Washington 24-31 131 3 -2 21 37-138 3.7 30-42 319 3 18 30-92 3.1 19-32 234 1 Washington St 6-21 -59 -7 1 14 24-121 5.0 14-30 152 4 21 34-113 3.3 25-42 219 1	ě	Arizona 41-19 -42 -14 5 17 31-187 6.0 10-24 206 2 31 53-202 3.8 21-35 233 1 at Stanford 24-31 60 -2 -1 21 54-228 4.2 14-26 186 2 23 35-124 3.5 20-36 230 2
Washington St         6-21         -59         -7         1         14         24-121         5.0         14-30         152         4         21         34-113         3.3         25-42         219         1           Bye	FS Ks	at Stanford 24-31 60 -2 -1 21 54-228 4.2 14-26 186 2 23 35-124 3.5 20-36 230 2  Bye
at Oregon	ler ler	California
Colorado Colorado		at UCLA
Oregon St at Arizona	Golden E AVERAGES On Ducks	Colorado at Washington
at Articolor USC	ïg   ₹   g	d. Washington St
at Stanford	ornia Golden B TEAM AVERAGES Oregon Ducks	at Utah
at UCLA	ē   t   o	Oregon St
	California TEAM Orego	
	3	
Average 24-28 8.0 -3.2 0.0 19 30-158 5.3 62.6% 249 6.4% 23 32-118 3.6 56.3% 281 3.5%		Average 36-22 12.2 -2.4 2.2 22 42-209 4.9 56.1% 213 7.2% 25 38-134 3.6 60.7% 275 5.2%
Conference         15-26         36.0         -2.0         -0.5         18         31-130         4.2         61.1%         236         9.7%         20         32-103         3.2         59.5%         227         2.7%           Away         28-33         37.5         -6.0         -0.5         19         32-136         4.2         66.7%         314         7.2%         25         40-178         4.5         57.1%         234         6.3%		Conference 33-25 9.0 -8.0 2.0 19 43-208 4.9 48.0% 196 8.0% 27 44-163 3.7 57.7% 232 4.2% Home 40-17 36.0 -1.7 3.7 21 40-182 4.6 60.3% 214 10.3% 23 41-139 3.4 60.4% 221 5.9%
AWAY 20-33 37.3 -0.0 -0.3 13 32-130 4.2 00.770 314 7.270 23 40-170 4.3 37.170 234 0.370	Position by Position Edges	Titulite 40°17 30:0 °1.7 3.7 21 40°182 4.0 00.376 214 10.376 23 41°139 3.4 00.476 221 3.976
	California Oregon	
	California QB/WR vs. 1.22	
	vs. 1.22 Oregon DB's	
i i	California RB's	1
	vs. 0.86	
	Oregon LB's  California OL	
	0.18 vs.	
	Oregon DL	
	California DL	
	vs. 1.66 Oregon OL	
	California LB'S	1
	1.10 vs.	
_	Oregon RB's  California DB's	
	0.65 vs.	
	Oregon QB/WR	
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) Projected Box Score	California ST's	Category Team & Margin Phil's Forecast:
2020         Rank         Line         Score         FD         Rush         Comp-Att-Yds         TO's         F10JECTEU BDX 3C0TE           California         -         60         21         18         47-88         20-32-183         60         70	0.14 vs. Oregon ST's	Las Vegas Line  Oregon By 13.5  The Rearc have dropped 10 of the last 12 to the Ducks but won 21.17 last year in Berkeley
Oregon 21 -9 17 19 34-137 14-26-231 -2 Projected Rushing	California K	Oregon was 75 (10 but exhibited for EC from E and Col west 75 (12 for TD then 90 (10)), 51 for TD
2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 113 yards California Oregon 188 yards	vs. 0.40	Las Vegas Total 54.5 Points 14-3. Oregon went 75/7 & 75/3 for TD's (:23 left) to lead 17-14 H. Cal went 64/5 for 3Q TD and
California - 46.5 7 12 30-66 17-34-190 5 Projected Passing	Oregon K	the Ducks last 4 poss ended on SOD 18, FUM Cal 42, punt and FUM Cal 38 (:52). Last time here
Oregon         13         -20         17         25         40-190         20-33-214         -1         228 yards         California         Oregon         254 yards           2018         Rank         Line         Score         FD         Rush         Comp-Att-Yds         TO's         Projected Yardage Total	California Coaches vs. 0.70	Oregon by 13.3 (19), Call led #13 Oregon 7-0 mile 3Q and lorded 3 TOS but it wasn't elrough in 17-7 loss (#20).
2018         Rank         Line         Score         FD         Rush         Comp-Att-Vide         TO's         O         Projected Yardage Total           California         24         58         24         25         41-241         16-31-86         341         341 yards         California         Oregon         443 yards	Oregon Coaches	Game Grade  Oregon By 14.9  Deneficiary of +12 in TO's, QB Brown does have a 7-1 ratio and had rushed for 198 (3.7). Cal is
Oregon 19 -2 42 21 42-260 16-22-225 4 Projected Final Score	California Pts	just 1-4 SU but 2-3 ATS and is +8 ypg including being 0-2 in the Pac 12 but +36 ypg. Chase
17 points Camornia Gregori 33 points	2.07 vs. 4.84	Garbers is hitting 63% with a 7-5 ratio. Oregon is playing to an AGG of 104.9 and Cal is at 94.8 so that says Oregon by 14.3 (with home field edge). Cristobal is 6-10-1 ATS as a HF and Cal is on a 12-
California - 67.5 24 17 29-8 23-43-255	Oregon Pts	Computer Points Oregon 35-17 1 ATS run as an AD.
Oregon         -         -14         45         24         56-328         17-22-131         1         5         California         Oregon         101           2016         Rank         Line         Score         FD         Rush         Comp-Att-Yds         TO's         Team Schedule Strength	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Oregon as 17
California1.5 52 40 57-311 42-61-325 67 California Oregon 53	Oregon	Phil Steele Oregon by 14 Oregon 34 California 20
Oregon - 89 49 27 45-192 22-40-258 -1	By 2.77 Points	Oregon 34 Camorna 20

							Bov	vling	Greer	ı Falco	ns								ERALL: 2-4		ERALL: 4-2						ΝI	llino	is H	uskie	s						
								Fa	alcons Lo	gs		1							s. MAC		. MAC							Hus	kies Lo	gs							
TM REC FOE	REC CO	I F	OE 1	M +/-	FOE +/-	TM	AP FOE	DATE		-OE	SCORE	W/L	TEA OFF	M DEF	PS RAN		FOE DEF S		0-2 NC	CAA RANKINGS	2-0	TM REC FOE REC	TM C	FOE .	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP TM	FOE DA	TE	FO	E	SCORE	W/L	OFF	AM DEF	PS RA ST	OFF	FOE DEF ST
	0 0-			84	120				at Tennes		6-38	L	126				65 3		2021	TEAM RANKINGS		0-0 0-0		0-0			9/4		Georgia T		22-21		114	119	88	62	43 96
0-1 1 0-2	0 0-			85 87	111			9/11 9/18	Murray	Alabama St	19-22 27-10		125 123		55 28	121	96 7	2 Bowl	ling Green	. <u>N.</u>	Illinois	1-0 1-0 1-1 2-0		0-0			9/11 25 9/18		Vyoming Iichigan	l	43-50 10-63		118 117	120 122	110 104	95 26	59 38 30 5
	1 0-	0 0	-1		135				at Minnes	ota	14-10	W	123	101	19		60 7			Rush Off	#17	1-2	0-0		111		9/25	5 1	1aine		41-14	W	108	125	107		
	3 0- 4 0-		-0 -1		121 94			10/2	at Kent St Akron		20-27		127 127				107 12 127 12			Pass Off Total Off	#119 <b>#78</b>	2-2 3-1 3-2 3-2		1-0			10/2		astern I oledo	4ichigan	27-20 22-20		81 91	122 117	109	97 94	110 103 40 65
2-4 4	2 0-	2 2	-0		120			10/16	at Norther				127		11	90	116 10	6 #119	9	Score Off	#77	4-2 2-4	2-0	0-2	120 96		10/1	16 E	Bowling (				90	116	106	127	84 11
	4		-1 -2		119 114			10/23	Eastern at Buffalo	n Michigan							101 80 115 10			Sks Allowed Rush Def	#4 #102	3-3		1-1	114		10/2		Central M Bye	lichigan						46	109 65
								11/6	Bye									#9		Pass Def	#57	3-3		2-0	118		11/3	3 at F	ent St							57	103 124
	4		-1 -1		120 117			11/13	Toledo at Miami,	OH							41 6 102 6			Total Def Score Def	<b>#87</b> #101	3-3 2-4		1-1 0-2	125 114		11/1	10 E 17 at E	Ball St							124 88	95 21 115 101
	5		-1		108			11/27	Ohio	OII							121 8			Sks By	#92	4-2		1-1	118		11/2			Michigan							87 127
																		#104 -2		Ypg Diff Total TO's	#97 <b>-4</b>																
																		-2		TOTAL TO S																	
					Net			Offens		Comp	Yds			Defense			Yds								Net			fense		Comp	Yds			Defense			Yds
at Tennessee	Score		5-38	-256	<b>FD</b> -20	<b>TO's</b>	FD 11	23-32	1.4	Att 25-38	Pass 187	Sks 2		<b>RA-RY</b> 54-331			Pass Sk 144 3					at Georgia Tech		22-21	-128 -10	TO's	FD RA-		<b>YPC</b> 3.9	Att 11-17	Pass 136	Sks 0	<b>FD</b> 25	<b>RA-RY</b> 52-273	<b>YPC</b> 5.3		Pass Sks 156 4
South Alaba	ma	19	9-22	-54	-11	-1	10	19-9	0.5	23-33	308	3	21	37-114	3.1 2	9-46	257 2		v			Wyoming	4	43-50	82 -2	-2	22 38-2	244	6.4	19-36	233	2	24	45-191	4.2	13-23	204 1
Murray St at Minnesota			7-10 4-10	138 -49	0	1	22 12	43-118 25-22		22-28 19-36	221 170	2					132 3 59 4		<u> </u>		10	at Michigan Maine			-398 -17 284 16		11 36-1 29 45-1		4.5 6.5	9-17 17-24	46 282	0		48-373 30-125			233 0 165 0
at Kent St		20	)-27	-78	-7	-2	18	26-55	2.1	29-46	263	5	25	6-262	4.7 1	5-28	134 0		Falcon	ဟ	<u>ë</u> .	Eastern Michi	gan 2	27-20	-50 4	1	24 55-2	242	4.4	9-21	84	0	20	24-38	1.6	27-40	338 5
Akron at Northern III	nois	20	0-35	-21	0	-3	18	34-107	3.1	21-35	257	4	18	39-117	3.0 2	0-25	268 4		ш	8	<u>8</u>	at Toledo Bowling Gree		22-20	25 8	-1	23 55-2	242	4.4	14-24	154	1	15	22-135	6.1	16-31	236 0
Eastern Mic																			e	<u>8</u>	Ī	at Central Michig															
at Buffalo																			Gre	AVERAGES	<u>.s</u>	Bye at Kent St															
Bye Toledo																			9	Σ	Illinois Huskies	Ball St															
at Miami, OH																			. <u>≅</u>	TEAM	≣	at Buffalo															
Ohio																			owlin		z	Western Mich	igan														
																			8																		
Average		18	3-24	-53.3	-6.0	-0.3	15	28-57	2.0	64.4%	234	9.3%	21 -	16-179	3.9 5	7.3%	166 9.8	%				Average	- 2	28-31	-30.8 -0.2	-0.7	21 45-2	225	5.0	56.8%	156	2.2%	21	37-189	5.1	59.5%	222 6.1%
Conferen	e			-49.5	-3.5	-2.5		30-81	2.7	61.7%	260	11.1%		18-190								Conference		25-20			24 55-2		4.4	51.1%	119	2.2%	18			60.6%	
Away		1:	3-25 -	127.7	-9.0	0.3	14	25-36	1.5	60.8%	207	9.2%	23	55-258	4./ 4	9.2%	112 10.	3%	Positio	on by Position Edge:	s	Home		37-28	105.3 6.0	-0.7	25 46-2	259	5.6	55.6%	200	2.5%	19	33-118	3.6	60.7%	236 6.79
																		Bowl	ing Greer		Illinois																
																		0.56		ing Green QB/WR vs.	•																
																				N Illinois DB's																	
																			Bow	vling Green RB's vs.	2.24																
																				N Illinois LB's																	
																			Bo	wling Green OL vs.	0.71																
																				N Illinois DL																	
																			Во	wling Green DL vs.	1.27																
																				N Illinois OL																	
																		0.09		wling Green LB'S																	
																		0.09		vs. N Illinois RB's																	
																		1.63		vling Green DB's																	
																		1.63		vs. Illinois QB/WR																	
	Five Yea	ars Me	eting	s (Last	22 y	ears at							Proje	cted B	ox So	ore				wling Green ST's		Category			Team & Margin						P	hil's Fored	cast:				
2017	Rai	nk L	ine	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	Att-Yds	TO's					L.Cu D	J. J.	J. 3		3.16		vs.		Las Vegas Line	-														es 12-10 but
Bowling Green	-	54	4.5	17	18	44-192	13-2	23-175											P	N Illinois ST's					N Illinois By 9												es have won NIU was a
Northern Illinois	-	-	14	48	29	55-338	21-	84-239	4				Pro	jected F	Rushing	ı			Во	owling Green K						1.	1 pt AF bu	t only le	ed 14-7	into 2Q b	efore pu	lling awa	ay (41-7	' 3Q) to v	win 48-1	7. BG is	on their 3rd
2016	Rai	nk L	ine	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	Att-Yds	TO's		72	ards	Bowling	Green	N Illino	ois	252 yards	.		vs.	0.35	Las Vegas Total			45 Points												hey are 41- 3-2 with a
Bowling Green		7:	1.5	20	20	35-90	21-4	13-224		ntents			Pro	jected I	Passing	ı				N Illinois K						lo	ss to Wyo	ming by	7 and	psets of	Georgia	Tech and	d Easter	n Michiga	an. Last	week Jol	nn Richardso
Northern Illinois		_	17	45	29	49-286	21-	31-193	1		200	yards	Bowling		N Illino	ois	130 yards		Bowlin	ng Green Coache	s	+/- Ratings		N	I Illinois By 27.5	fe	atured 6 I	ead cha	nges. N	IU led 16	-14 at h	alf and T	oledo wa	as SOD a	t 38, mi	ssed a 4	a game that 8 yd FG and
						200			-	č		,		ted Yar	dage T	ntal .	, 0			vs.					, _ , . , . ,	w	as SOD at 3 was pick										96-371 yd).
										Return to Table of												Game Grade				M	innesota.	Last we	ek they	were in t	the favor	ite's role	and Ak	ron was	without	QB Irons	s. BG had 13
										Ta	272	yards	_	Green			382 yards	·		Illinois Coaches					N Illinois By 9.9												(B) had 3 3C at 416 after
										5			Proj	ected Fi	nal Sco	re			Box	wling Green Pts		Computer Yards	_			th	at. The bi	ggest d	fference	s with Bo	3 this ye	ar is a va	astly imp	proved de	efense th	at is allo	wing just
										¥u r	17	ooints	Bowling	Green	N Illino	ois	31 points	5.44		vs.	4.57			N	Illinois 382-272		15 ypg and B Rocky Lo										5-6 ratio. NII
										Re			Expe	rience I	Ranking	js			1	N Illinois Pts						rı	shed for 5	574 (5.7	)! NIU	s at hom	e and ru	ns for 22	25 ypg (	501) wit	h BG at j	just 57 (	(2.0). NIU ha
											1	26	Bowling	Green	N Illino	ois	104		DOCITION	IAL EDGE AWARDED		Computer Points			N Illinois 31-17												1.3 and BG 8 and BG is
														Schedul					POSTITON	AL EDGE AWARDED	, 10:						as an AD							,			
																			Во	owling Green		Phil Steele															
											1	02	Bowling	Green	N Illino	nis	72																				
											1	02	Bowling	Green	N Illino	ois	72			0.87 Points					N Illinois by 10			No	rthe	rn Ill	inois	27	Bow	ling	Gree	n 17	

						_	4ia mi	; cı	Hurri	cano	_								OVE	RALL:	01	VERALL:							Nort	a Ca	rolin	a Tar	. Ца	al c						
						"		•	anes Log		5								2 ve	-3 ACC		3-3 vs. ACC							NOIL		ar Heels		пес	213						
TM REC FOE REC	тм	FOE	TM + /-	- EOE 4	. /-	AP		nurrica	anes Log	<b>S</b>			TE	AM	PS	RANK	FO	E	vs. 0	-1		2-3	TM REC FOE	TM	FOE	TM +/- F6	DE + /-	AP		16	ar neels	Logs				TEAP		PS RA	ANK	FOE
	COMP	CONF	TM +/-		1191				FOE		SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST			RANKINGS M RANKING	-	THE REC				_		FOE	DATE		FOE		ORE V			DEF	ST	OFF	DEF
0-0 0-0 0-1 1-0			133 131				9/4 9/11		labama Appalachia	n St	13-44 25-23	L W	5 8	17 27	31 27	8 26	22	1 29					0-0 0-0 0-1 0-1	0-0 0-1	0-0			10 24		/4 a	at Virgini Georg				L W	9	35 38	43 98	76 78	23 105
	0-0		131		3 24		9/18		Michigan S		17-38	L	13	31	49		84	113	Miar	ni, Fl		th Carolina	1-1 2-0	0-1	0-0	136	136	21		/18	Virgini		5	9-39	W	8	38	88	71	77
1-2 2-2 2-2	0-0	0.2	126 130	128	,		9/25 10/2		Central Co /irginia	nn St	69-0 28-30	W L	57 24	50 36	43 46	49	100	96	#70 #31		ush Off ass Off	#36	2-1 1-2 2-2 3-1	1-1	0-1		123 117	21		/25 a 0/2	at Georgi Duke	ia Tech			L W	6 17	47 63	89 99	68 15	83 97
2-3	0-0	0-2	128	120	,		10/2		Bye		20-30	L	32	30	50	49	100	90	#43		tal Off	#16		2-2	1-2		122			0/9	Florida	St			L	12	55	99	44	54
2-3 3-3	0-1		128	131					lorth Caro	lina			31	28	55	18	65	100	#57		ore Off	#28	3-3 2-3	2-3	0-1	131	128			0/16	Miami,	FI				18	65	100	31	28
4-1 4-1		1-0		132			10/2		NC State Pittsburgh							34 15	17 43	90 61	#99 #56		Allowed ish Def	#125 #80	5-1		0-0		137			0/23	Bye at Notre	Dame							74	9
3-3		2-2		124	1		11/6		Georgia Te	ch						63	79	104	#93		iss Def	#48	6-0		4-0		134			1/6	Wake								39	73
2-4 3-2		2-2 1-0		127			11/1		lorida St	ch						43 104	51 23	68 10	<b>#74</b> #76		tal Def ore Def	<b>#62</b> #75	4-1		1-0		127			1/13 a	at Pittsbu								15	43
3-3		0-2		115				7 at C	/irginia Te Ouke	LII						20	89	49	#79		ks By	#108	4-1		1-0		132				Woffor at NC Sta								34	17
																			#53		og Diff	#26																		
																			-3	Tot	al TO's	-2																		
				Net				fense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds										Net			Offense	•	Com		Yds			efense		Comp	Yds
† Alabama	re	13-44	Yds -235	-10					<b>YPC</b> 2.8	Att 23-31	Pass 179	Sks 4	<b>FD</b> 28	<b>RA-RY</b> 38-147	3.9	Att 28-39	Pass 354	Sks 1					at Virginia Tech	ore	10-17	Yds 58	FD 1	TO's		RA-RY 80-146	<b>YPC</b> 4.9	17-3			Sks 6		3-127	3.0	Att 12-19	Pass 169
Appalachian St		25-23		6						20-33	200	2		39-127		21-34		1				v	Georgia St		59-17	336	12	0		0-201	5.0	23-3			2		5-181		14-30	90
Michigan St		17-38		6						38-60	388	3		41-193		18-31		3	1	χ I		ē	Virginia		59-39	125	8	1		7-392	8.3	14-2			1				40-57	553
Central Conn St Virginia		69-0 28-30				_	45-3 40-1			21-25 15-29	417 203	3	11			19-37 25-44		3		ž I		Heels	at Georgia Tech Duke		22-45 38-7	-25 142	-1 6	-3		35-63 8-135	1.8 3.6	25-3 18-3			6	19 4 15 3			13-19 17-24	133 184
Bye		20 50					, 101	.05		15 25	200		-23	33 101	5.2	23 11	200			<u> </u>	AVERAGES	Tar	Florida St		25-35			-1	27		6.4	17-3			1			5.8		
at North Carolina																			]		×		Miami, Fl																	
NC State at Pittsburgh			-																É	₽		<u>n</u>	Bye at Notre Dame																	
Georgia Tech																			ī		<u> </u>	ᇹ	Wake Forest																	
at Florida St Virginia Tech																				<u>-</u>	¥.	Carolina	at Pittsburgh Wofford																	
at Duke																					F	٦	at NC State																	
																				маш,		North																		
																			•	- 1		ž																		
Average		30-27							4.4	65.7%	277	9.0%		36-135		60.0%	250	5.9%	İ				Average		36-27			-0.3		8-194	5.2	60.6	%		2.8%				66.0%	212 4
Conference Away		28-30	-77.0			18				51.7% 74.2%	203	13.8%		35-181		56.8%	268 354	6.8%					Conference	!	31-29 45-25			-0.4	24 3	7-193	5.2 5.9	58.0°		269 14 309 8	4.0%		8-155		70.5%	237 5 243 4
Away		13 4	255.0	, 10.	0 2.1	, 1	, ,,,,,	07	2.0	74.270	1//	12.570	20	30 147	3.5	71.070	334	2.070		Position by	Position Edg	jes	Home		73 23	103.0	7.0	0.5	20	0 233	3.3	02.1	70 .	303 0	.0 70	20 .	7 173	3.5	00.170	243 4
																			Miar			th Carolina																		
																			0.56	Miami	FIQB/WR																			
																					arolina DB's	;																		
																				Mian	ni, FI RB's vs.	0.20																		
																				North C	arolina LB's																			
																			0.00	Mia	mi, FI OL																			
																			0.09	North	vs. Carolina DL																			
																				Mia	mi, FI DL																			
																			0.16	North	vs. Carolina OL																			
																					ni, FI LB'S																			
																			0.72		vs.																			
																					arolina RB's ni, Fl DB's	;																		
																					vs.	0.08																		
Last Five	- V	Masti	(1 -	-+ 22		4 DE:16	Staala a														rolina QB/W ni, Fl ST's	VR .	Category			Team & M								DLIII	s Foreca:	- <b>b</b> .				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	•		np-Att-Yd		TO's				Proje	ected	Box S	Score				Mian	vs.		Category			ream & M	iargin							Phils	roreca	st:				
Miami, Fl	0	-3	26	15			8-32-239		.03											North (	arolina ST's	0.04	Las Vegas Line			l Carolina	Dv 7 E		1iami is	out for	revenge	after bei	ina eml	harrasse	d last v	vear in t	heir ho	me finale	e 62-26	where No
	30						5-20-224		,					oio-t-	d Doole	20					mi, Fl K					. Ju. Jilild	_,		Carolina'	s 2 RB's	combin	ed for NC	CAA Re	cord 544	4 rush	yds. Mia	mi does	get adv	vantage	of coming
North Carolina	20	70	62	31					1		400				d Rushi		107			Mia			Las Vegas Total			62.5	-1-													ith 8 outri ot mess th
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD			np-Att-Yd:		TO's	nts	129 \	ards				Carolina	187 y	aras			vs.	1.25				63 Poi	iić5	y	ear get	ing blov	wn out b	y Alabam	na, edg	ing pass	App S	t at hon	e by 2	and the	n suffer	ing upset
Miami, Fl	-	-5	25	27			0-39-309			n te					d Passi						Carolina K		+/- Ratings																	S vs FBS and Cam
North Carolina	-	46.5	28	22	36-9	7 1	7-25-292		0	f Col	230 y	/ards				Carolina	287 y	ards		Miami,	FI Coaches				N	l Carolina	By 6.8		larris ha	s rushe	ed for 38	3 (6.9). \	WR Cha	arleston	Rambo	has 31	rec's ir	just 5	games.	North Car
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rus	h Cor	np-Att-Yd:	is '	TO's	e 0			Proje	ected Y	ardage	Total					vs.	1.15	Game Grade	_				i	s 4-1 St	at hon	ne this y	ear putti	ing up !	549 ypg	at hor	ne and j	ust 362	on the	road. N	IC beat the eek FSU C
Miami, Fl	16	-18	47	14	34-2	29	3-12-125			Tab	359 )	ards	Miar	ni, Fl	North	Carolina	474 y	ards		North Car	olina Coach	ies			N	Carolina I	Ву 13.3	J J	ordan T	avis ha	d 14-12	1 rush ar	nd hit :	11-13-1	45 and	NC had	12 per	s for 1	10 yds.	NC was a
North Carolina		56.5	10	24	40-2	15 2	0-35-114		-4	\$			Pro	jected	Final S	core				Mia	ni, FI Pts		Computer Vand					f	avorite	and jum	nped out	to a 10-0	0 lead	after 2 p	oss. F	SU got 3	TD's or	n next 3	drives	and led 21
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rus	n Cor	np-Att-Yd:	ls '	TO's	Return to Table	26 p	oints	Miar	ni, Fl	North	Carolina	33 pc	ints	1.53		vs.	2.72	Computer Yards		N	Carolina 4	74-359													i's 35-17 a n Carolina
Miami, Fl	8	-20.5	24	16	32-5	9 1	7-41-356			Ret			Exp	erienc	e Rank	ings				North (	Carolina Pts							1	.09.7 ar	d Miam	ni at 100	.9 (NC by	y 11.7)	. Miami	is 3-1	ATS as a	ın AD u	nder Dia	az.This o	one could l
North Carolina		54.5	19	27	46-1	76 2	0-46-252		-2		8	3	Miar	ni, Fl	North	Carolina	70	)	P.C	SITIONAL	DGE AWARDI	FD TO:	Computer Point	· [	P	N Carolina	33-26					ent Bow 5-6 SU.		ne tean	ns favo	red in a	combin	ed 10 o	or 11 gar	mes but
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rus	h Cor	np-Att-Yd:	is '	TO's				Team	Sched	lule Str	ength			PU			10.							,											
																Carolina	3:			North	Carolina		Phil Steele			N Carolina	a hv 7													
Miami, Fl	16	-6.5	13	17	36-1	39 1	6-32-224				5	4	Miar	ш, гі																					-		-			
	16	-6.5 63.5	13 20		36-1 43-1		6-32-224		1		5	4	Miar	III, FI	Norui	Cai VIIIIa	٠.			p., 4	19 Points					re Carolina	, ,				N	orth (	Card	olina	34	Mia	mi, I	FL 27	7	

						D:	44 - l	la Da		_								OVE	RALL:	OVERALL:								\	.:. T	la 11.	- 1.:-							
						PI		rgh Pa		5									-1 ACC	3-2 vs. ACC								virgir		ech Ho	окте	S						
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	/- ·	AP.							AM		RANK-		FOE		-0	1-0	TM REC	FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE	+/-	AP							TEA		PS RAI		FOE
0-0 0-0	O-0	CONF 0-0		95	TM	FOE	<b>DATE</b> 9/4	UMass UMass	E	SCORE 51-7	W/L W	OFF 43	DEF 21	ST 35	0FF 128	DEF 129			NCAA RANKI 2021 TEAM RAN			0-0	O-0	O-0		110		9/4		FOE rth Carolina		17-10	W/L W	<b>OFF</b> 76	DEF 23	<b>ST</b> 77	OFF 9	<b>DEF ST</b> 35 43
1-0 1-0	0-0	0-0	127	119				at Tenness	ee	41-34	W	38	14	21		65		Pitts		Virginia Tech	1-0	1-0	1-0	0-0		21 19		9/11		Idle Tennes			W	79	21			109 51
2-0 1-1		0-0	127	114			9/18		Michigan		F 7	39 39	12	86 53		104	130				2-0	1-1	1-0	0-0		29 1	5	9/18		st Virginia			L	90 95	13		66	33 6
2-1 3-1 2-2	0-0	1-1	123 127	128			9/25	New Han		77-7 52-21	W	21	30 33	56		66	112	#66 #4	Rush Off Pass Off				1-0 1-0		130 127			9/25 10/2	By	hmond e		21-10	W	99	17 21	41 32		
4-1	1-0		127	407			10/9	Bye				14	43	55		22		#3	Total Of			4-1		0-0			14	10/9		tre Dame		29-32	L	104	22		73	7 30
4-1 3-2 3-2	1-0	2-1	127	127 135			10/16	at Virginia Clemson				15	43	61	104 58	23 6		#1	Score Of Sks Allow			4-1 3-3	1-0	1-0 0-2		27 26		10/16		sburgh racuse				104	23	10	15 52	43 61 78 66
2-3		0-1		128			10/30	Miami, F	ı						31	28		#19	Rush De	#65		3-3		2-2		24				orgia Tech							63	79 104
3-3 3-3		0-2 2-3		115 131			11/6	at Duke North Ca	rolina						20 18	89 65			Pass Det Total De			4-1 3-3		0-1		15		11/6		ston College ke	9						49 20	77 15 89 49
4-2		2-2		131			11/20	Virginia							53	98			Score De			2-3		0-1		28			at Mia								31	28 55
3-3		0-2		126			11/27	at Syracuse	9						52	78	66	#14	Sks By Ypg Diff	#34 #90		4-2		2-2	1	31		11/27	at Vir	ginia							53	98 103
																		+5	Total TO																			
				Net			Offense	e	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	) Yds									N	et		Offe	nse	Cor	mp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds
Sco	ne e		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	s Sks						ore		Yds F	р то		RA-RY	Y Y	C A	tt	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Sks
UMass at Tennessee		51-7 41-34		24	-1 3	35 24	41-223 45-96	5.4 2.1	34-45 25-37	375 301	2	11 20	27-42 33-136									Carolin Tenne		17-10 35-14			24				-19 -28	169 159	1		30-146 36-66		17-33 26-40	208 6 283 3
Western Michiga	n	41-44	4 -27	-3	-1	25	24-75	3.1	25-34	415	2	28	48-160	3.3	26-38				o l	v	at West \			21-27	-40		. 19	41-10	6 2.		-31	223	6	18	33-176	5.3	15-26	193 2
New Hampshire		77-7 52-21	_	27 6	2		49-252 41-181	5.1 4.4	29-33 24-37	455 399	2	8 21	29-100		10-24 24-33				9	Hokies	Richm Bve	ond		21-10	81	1 -1	l 19	33-10	6 3.	2 17-	-29	212	2	15	36-160	4.4	15-23	77 2
at Georgia Tech Bye		52-2.	1 146	0		27	41-161	4.4	24-37	399	1	21	31-/3	2.4	24-33	339		:	Fanu	₽	Notre	Dame		29-32	-80	) (	2:	. 37-13	4 3.	6 17-	-34	187	1	21 4	13-180	4.2	15-26	221 2
at Virginia Tech																		1.	gn Panur AVERAGES		Pittsbu	_																
Clemson Miami, Fl																				<u> </u>	Syraci at Georgi		1															
at Duke																				Ė	at Bostor																	
North Carolina Virginia																		┨.	Spur	Ë	Duke at Miami,	. Fl																
at Syracuse																			FILLS BUILGIN	Virginia Tech	at Virgini																	
																		1	<b>5</b>	₹																		
																		1																				
Average Conference		52-23	3 216.0 1 148.0				40-165 41-181	4.1	73.7% 64.9%	389 399	4.3% 2.7%				60.4% 72.7%		11.3%	)				rage ference	•	25-19	-12.6 1 -58.0 -1						2%		7.8% 5.3%		36-146	4.1 5		196 10.19 208 18.29
Away		47-28					43-139		66.2%								10.6%	)			Hom				-5.8 1			38-14				182 4						197 10.79
																		Pitts	Position by Position	n Edges Virginia Tech																		
																		FILLS	Pittsburgh QE																			
																		0.39	vs. Virginia Tech	DRIA																		
																			Pittsburgh F		-																	
																			vs.	1.51																		
																			Virginia Tech Pittsburgh																			
																			vs.	0.06																		
																			Virginia Tecl Pittsburgh																			
																		1.36	vs.																			
																			Virginia Tecl Pittsburgh L																			
																		1.84	vs.	B'S																		
																			Virginia Tech																			
																		0.27	Pittsburgh I vs.	·B's																		
																			Virginia Tech Q																			
Last Fiv	Rank	Meetii	ngs (La Score	st 22 y	ears at		ele.com Att-Yds	1 <u>).</u> TO's				Proje	ected	Box	Score	•			Pittsburgh S			Category	у		Team & Mai	gin						Phil'	's Foreca	ast:				
	Kalik	54	47	30		-	52-404	103											Virginia Tech	5.53	Las Vega	s Line			Pittsburgh E	w E												
Pittsburgh	-		14	30 17	32-152 37-156		26-278						roio-t-	d Det	ina			-	Pittsburgh		-				. iccoduryn i	, ,				lacksburg. Pitt is 12-								hose losses
Virginia Tech	-	-3.5	14					-1 TO!-					rojecte				F	0.30	-		Las Vega	s Total			E7 - 0-1		ente	rs fresh o	off a bye	. The Hokie	es had	their bye	e 2 wee	eks ago l	out had	a physica	al game	vs ND last
2019	Kank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	-	Att-Yds	TO's	ints	141 \	/ards		burgh		inia Tech	12	5 yards	0.20	VS.	<b>.</b>					57.5 Point	.5				1 in Lane S starting to								year. RB 1731 (72%
Pittsburgh	-	44	0	8	27-60		28-117		onte	205			rojecte		-		2 !		Virginia Tec		+/- Ratir	ngs			dinalala Tool	Du 4.2	with	a remark	cable 19	-1 ratio! D	espite l	losing th	eir top	pass rus	hers Pit	t is allow	ing just	338 ypg
Virginia Tech	25	-3.5	28	16	48-110		14-153	0	of Cor	289 )	/ards		burgh		nia Tech	232	2 yards	0.25	Pittsburgh Co	iciles	-			V	/irginia Tech	oy 4.3				vays uncov d a pumpe								
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		Att-Yds	TO's	ole o				ected Y			_		0.20	vs.		Game Gra	ade			n'i i i i	10.5	Card	lina here	in Black	sburg and	last we	eek Jack	Coan s	started fo	or ND go	t benche	d then	ed a 4Q
Pittsburgh	-	-3	52	17	36-492				to Table	429 )	/ards				nia Tech	35	7 yards	-	Virginia Tech C		-				Pittsburgh By	10.3												ed a 29/4 TD nt 56/9 for
Virginia Tech	-	54	22	28	48-192		88-240	1	n to				jected						Pittsburgh		Compute	r Yards	s =				TD t	o lead 29	-21 3:5	5 and ND 7	75/7 fo	r TD and	2 pt t	o tie the	n 45/7	and 48 y	d FG:17	left 32-29. 3-3 ATS as a
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	-	Att-Yds	TO's	Return	36 p	oints				nia Tech	26	points	4.26	vs.	7.10				-	Pittsburgh 42	9-357	AF a	nd 7-1 A	rs off a	bye but VT	has a	great cro	owd ed	lge at ho	me and	upset No	orth Car	olina and
Pittsburgh	-	48.5	14	16	30-55		33-311		Re				erienc		-				Virginia Tech	Pts	Compute	r Points	s m				took	ND to th		VT QB Burr								
Virginia Tech	-	-15.5		18	40-157		37-218	1		6	5		burgh	-	nia Tech		98	PC	SITIONAL EDGE AV	VARDED TO:					Pittsburgh 3	5-26	stat	15.										
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	-	Att-Yds	TO's							trength				Virginia Te	ch	Phil Steel	le	_															
Pittsburgh	-	57	36	17	32-180		23-278			1:	13	Pittst	burgh	Virgi	nia Tech		70								Pittsburgh I	y 4				Pittsb	ura	h 31	Vir	ainia	Tec	h 27		
Virginia Tech	25	-3.5	39	29	39-150	24-4	13-406	2											By 2.84 Po	ints											y	51		9.1116		27		

Mark For Ref.   For For For For For For For For For For							Tar	(26 T	och Da	d Daid	lorc							ı	OVER	ALL: OV	ERALL:						Var	2626	lavba	wks							
Mathematical Control							rex				iers																										
The column   Column	TM REC FOE REC	TM	FOE	TM +/	- FOE +	+/-	AP													-2		TM REC PEC	TM	FOE	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP				•							OE
The column   Column						IM	FOE														S			CONF		TM F				a						DEF	ST
1			0.0		101					stin						06	90	10	Texas	Tech K	(ansas									lina							97 60
## 1																			#78	Rush Off	#81																17
The content of the			0-1			2				/irginia	23-20	W				87	32	13						0-1							7-59	L				25	118
Fig.   1.5	4-1 2-2			123	132			10/16	TCU		31-52	L			72				#31	Score Off	#121	1-4 4-2			103 123		10/16	6 T	exas Tech						38		72
1																														t							46 89
The content of the	6-0		3-0		14	4		11/6	at Oklaho	ma						5	19	46	#84	Pass Def	#87	2-3		0-2	130		11/6	K	(ansas St						75	54	6
The column   Column																																					27 47
The column   Column																			#79	Sks By	#127									3					94	44	16
Part   Part																																					
Part   Part					N			044		C	V-1-					C	V-1-								Non		0#		•		V4-		D-f		C	V-1-	_
Projected Book Score   Projected Book Score	Scor	re		Yds			FD					Sks						Sks				Se	ore			TO's I						Sks				Pass	Sks
The content of the																																					1
Column   C																							IIIId														0
Microson   Conference   Confe																			Š	2	ks																0
Microson   Conference   Confe			23-2	0 -/8	-2	U	20	30-60	2.7	23-35	200	3	22	27-94	3.3	20-33	330	2	6	ES ES	<u> </u>			7-59	-262 -7	-2 .	16 44-1.	/5 4	4.0 1.	1-22	127	U	25 33-2	90 6.6	19-27	2/4	
Microson   Conference   Confe			31-5	2 60	8	-1	26	37-214	4 5.8	23-41	344	1	18 4	7-394	8.4	8-10	104	0	7	B &	ř																
March   Marc																			à		Ja)																
Contention   Con																			9	_																	
Accessed 19																			-	- <u>j</u>	n Si																
Accorpg:   1	at Baylor																			n   -	ξa	West Virginia	1														
Continence   20-07   42.7																			Š	5	_																
Configuration   20-27    44.7   42.7   43.1   47.7   45.8   45.7   45.8   45.	Average		35-3	4 52.5	1.3	3 -0.5	21	32-156	5 4.8	66.3%	302	4.7%	19 3	7-165	4.5	67.0%	241	5.7%		-		Average		17-44	-163.4 -9.4	0.0	15 39-14	49 3	3.8 54	4.7%	182	6.3%	24 42-2	51 6.0	70.7%	243	2.4%
Foreignee   Fore	Conference		30-4	7 -45.7	-0.	3 -0.7	22	33-141	1 4.2	65.5%	334	5.5%	22 4	2-275	6.5	76.5%	246	4.4%				Conferenc		7-52	-336.0 -14.0	-0.5	13 39-14	42 3	3.7 47	7.5%	92	0.0%	27 39-2	99 7.7	74.5%	272	2.0%
Tool Tool   Column   Company   Tool	Away		32-3	7 -24.0	-3.	3 0.7	18	30-118	3 3.9	71.0%	296	8.6%	22 3	8-169	4.4	74.0%	269	7.3%		Position by Position Edge	es	Home		12-30	-214.0 -11.5	0.5	10 37-9	96 2	2.6 56	5.8%	110	2.3%	22 43-2	36 5.5	63.0%	184	2.2%
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteries.com)   1.00   No. Company   N																		I	Texas																		
1.5																			2.24	Texas Tech QB/WR vs.																	
1.10   W.   1.20																		ļ																			
Projected Box Score																			1.10																		
Last Five Years Heatings (Last 22 years at Philisted com)																		ļ																			
Projected Box Score																			2.43																		
Case   Companies   Case   Ca																		ļ																			
Texas Tech Discrimination   Texas Tech Discrimination																			0.83																		
1.56   Names BTS   1.50   Name   N																		ŀ																			
Texas Feck DB/S   Projected Box Score   Family																			1.56																		
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at Philisteele.com)  2020 Rank Line Score PR Ruth Comp-Att-Yes  Texas Tech																		ŀ																			
Last Five Years Heelings: Line   22 years at Philisteele.com																			0.44	vs.																	
Projected Box Score   Projected Box Score   Projected Box Score   Projected Box Score   Projected Box Score   Projected Box Score   Projected Box Score   Projected Box Score   Projected Box Score   Projected Rushing   Projected Passing   Projec	Last Five	e Years	Meeti	ngs (La	ast 22	vears a	t PhilSi	teele.cor	m)					_	_							Categor	1		Team & Margin					_	Ph	il's Fore	cast:				
Texas Tech			Line	Score									Projec	ted E	Box S	core					0.05																
Figure   F	Texas Tech		-26	16	17	43-29	3 15	-26-117													0.03	Las Vegas Line		т	exas Tech By 16.5												
2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yels TO's Texas Tech5 34 28 45-212 24-34-271 Texas Tech5 34 28 45-212 24-34-271 Texas Tech5 34 28 45-212 24-34-271 Texas Tech17.5 48 30 35-112 39-49-411 Texas Tech17.5 48 30 35-112 39-49-411 Texas Tech17.5 5 65 30 52-313 22-27-290 Texas Tech15.5 65 30 52-313 22-27-290 Texas Tech15.5 65 30 52-313 22-27-290 Texas Tech15.5 65 30 52-313 22-37-3562 Texas Tech28 55 34 24-73 42-55-588 Texas Tech28 55 54									4				Pro	iected !	Rushin	a		ŀ				<b>-</b>				TTE	ech had a	410-21	14 yd edge	with HO	C Wells a	at hom	e and DC Patt	erson fil	ling in du	to covi	d
Projected Passing   Projected Passing   Sale   Projected Passing   Sale   Projected Passing   Sale   Projected Passing   Sale			03.3	13							204	uande				-	102	rde				Las Vegas Tota			67 F.D-1-1-												
Second Projected Yardage Total   1.50   1.		KANK	Line	score					10°s	ıts	204 \	yarus					TOO Ag	iius			0.10				07.5 POINTS	a p	air of TTeo	ch fumb	bles. Kansa	ıs was a	also SOD	at the	TTech 32, 40	, 33 and	d own 34	yd lines.	TTech
Second Projected Yardage Total   1.50   1.	rexas recn	-	-5		28					ıten					rassin	y		.				+/- Ratings				sea											
From   From		-	64.5	37	22				0	ပိ	310 γ	yards			Kans	as	185 ya	rds		Texas Tech Coaches				Т	exas Tech By 17.5	off	a bye. Kai	nsas is	just 2-7 AT	TS datin	ng back t	to '19 a	s a home und	derdog v	hile TTec	is 1-2	ATS
From Schedule Strength  Rans	2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD.	Rush	Com	p-Att-Yds	TO's				Projec	ted Yaı	rdage T	otal			1.50	vs.		Game Grade	_			the	fans chai	rged the	e field. The	y only t	trailed C	oastal (	Carolina 28-1	5 at half	, Baylor 1	4-7 at h	alf and
From Schedule Strength  Rans	Texas Tech	-	-17.5	48	30	35-11	.2 39	-49-441		Тар	514 \	yards	Texas	Tech	Kans	as	367 ya	rds		Kansas Coaches				Т	exas Tech By 16.1												
Experience Rankings  Fixas Tech  Fixas Tec	Kansas	-	59	16	16	29-9	8 18	3-41-221	1	ę			Proje	cted Fi	nal Sco	ore				Texas Tech Pts		Computer Yard	. –			Ho	uston 21-	7 at ha	alf but won	38-21	and the	n got pa	ast SFAustin 2	28-22. T	hey blew	out FIU	then
lexas lech - 15.5 65 30 52-513 22-27-200 Experience kankings	2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Com	p-Att-Yds	TO's	tur.	46 p	oints	Texas	Tech	Kans	as	25 poi	nts	10.09	vs.	0.15	Computer raru		Te	exas Tech 514-367	Zac	ch Evans r	ran for	143 yds. To	CU ran	for 394	yds in	their first road	d game.	TCU had	306-151	yd
Kansas - 79 19 17 33-112 23-43-256 -2  71 Texas Tech Kansas 97  POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:  Texas Tech  y 25  Texas Tech 49 Kansas 24	Texas Tech	-	-15.5	65	30	52-31	.3 22	2-27-290		Re			Expe	rience	Rankin	ıgs				Kansas Pts																	
2016 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's  Texas Tech28 55 34 24-73 42-55-548  58 Texas Tech Kansas 26  Texas Tech Kansas 26  Texas Tech 49 Kansas 24	Kansas	-	79	19	17	33-11	.2 23	-43-256	-2		7	71	Texas	Tech	Kans	as	97	Ì		SITIONAL EDGE AWASSE	D TO:	Computer Point	s		Texas Tech 46-25	aft	er a couple	e of clos	se calls vs l	Kansas							
Texas Tech28 55 34 24-73 42-55-548 58 Texas Tech Kansas 26  Texas Tech Phil Steele  Texas Tech by 25  Texas Tech 49 Kansas 24	2016	Rank	Line	Score	. FD	) Rusi	Com	p-Att-Yds	TO's				Team 5	Schedu	le Stre	ngth			РО		₩ 10:					the	Kansas D	) is allo	wing 494 y	/pg!							
Texas Tech 49 Kansas 24		-	- 28								5	58					26			Texas Tech		Phil Steele			Texas Tech by 25												
By 9.94 Points		_							0								20												Te	exas	Tec	h 49	Kansa	s 24			
		_	/9	19	1/	20-4	. Z5	10-230	U											By 9.94 Points																	

						Mie	chiga	n St S	partar	15							OVEF		ERALL:						Indi	ana H	loosie	rs					
								artans Lo	-								vs. B		2-3 BIG 10							Hoosiers							
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	- тм	AP FOE	DATE	F	DE	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF	DEF S	PS RANK T OFF		DE ST	3-	0 NCAA RANKINGS	0-2	TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	. тм	FOE DATE		FOE	SCOR	E W/L	TEAM OFF DEF	PS F ST	RANK OFF	FOE DEF ST
0-0 0-0	0-0		124	132			9/4	at Northwe		38-21	W	78	90 1	16 89		74		2021 TEAM RANKINGS	5	0-0 0-0	0-0		141 143		18 9/4	at Iowa		6-34	Ĺ	30 8	58	64	22 4
1-0 2-0 1-1	1-0 1-0	0-0	129 128	131			9/11 9/18	Youngst at Miami, F		42-14 38-17				19 13 13	31	49	Michie	an St I	ndiana	0-1 1-1 2-0	0-1 0-1	0-0	137 137 139		9/11 8 9/18	Idaho Cincin		56-14 24-38		42 7 68 11	62 13	32	6 107
3-0 2-2	1-0	0-1	133	131	20		9/25	Nebrask		23-20	W	16	58 12	23 33		130	#21	Rush Off	#100	1-2 1-1	0-1	0-0	133 117		9/25	at WKU		33-3:		83 24	33	71	96 50
	2-0		133 133	119	17 11		10/2	WKU at Rutgers		48-31 31-13				7 65 8 98	95 38	41 7	#37 <b>#15</b>	Pass Off Total Off	#77 <b>#107</b>	2-2 4-0 2-3	0-1 0-2	1-0	131 143 130		4 10/2 10/9	at Penn : Bye	St	0-24	L	82 37 93 41		29	9 20
6-0 2-3	3-0	0-2	136	130	10		10/16	at Indiana Bye				17	49 7	7 92	36	38	#23 #31	Score Off Sks Allowed	#98 #41	2-3 6-0 5-1	0-2	3-0 3-0	130 136 149		10 10/16 10/23					92 36	38	17	49 77 11 29
6-0		3-0		143			10/30	Michiga	n					11	13	2	#35	Rush Def	#47	4-2		1-2	119		10/30	at Maryla	and					29	35 64
3-2 4-2		1-1		126 119			11/6	at Purdue Marylan	d					68 29	34 35	78 64	#122 <b>#93</b>	Pass Def Total Def	#60 <b>#50</b>	6-0 3-3		3-0 0-3	143 126		11/6 11/13	at Michig Rutge						11 97	13 2 50 3
5-1 5-1		3-0 2-1		149 145			11/20 11/27	at Ohio St Penn St						1 33	11 3	29 19	#26 #4	Score Def Sks By	#89 #116	3-2 3-2		1-1 1-1	132 126		11/20		sota					82 68	20 62 34 78
J-1		2-1		143			11/2/	reilli 30						33	3	19	#45	Ypg Diff	#85	3-2		1-1	120		11/2/	at ruiuu	е					00	34 /6
																	+4	Total TO's	-3														
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds	-		fense	Com		-							Net		Offer		Comp			Defen			Yds
at Northwestern	re	38-21	Yds 111	<b>FD</b>	TO's	<b>FD</b> 23	<b>RA-RY</b> 37-326	8.8	15-26	Pass 185			A-RY YE 3-117 3.			Sks 3				at Iowa	ore	6-34	<b>Yds FD</b> -70 -7	TO's	FD RA-RY 11 31-77		14-3	Pass 3 156		FD RA-R	8 4.4	Att 13-28	Pass Sks 145 2
Youngstown St at Miami, Fl		42-14 38-17		-6	0		38-272 41-193	7.2 4.7	20-28 18-31	323 261			5-167 3. 4-52 2.			2	١,			Idaho Cincinnati		56-14 24-38		-2	20 50-17 20 37-15		13-2 17-4			13 22-6 20 36-11			196 2 210 1
Nebraska		23-20	-186	-14	1	12	30-71	2.4	14-23	183	3	26 48	3-188 3.	.9 25-3	6 252	7			ý	at WKU		33-31	49 11	0	35 39-13	4 3.4	35-5	3 373	3	24 20-9	3 4.7	31-44	365 1
WKU at Rutgers		48-31 31-13		-5 2	-1		43-192 38-251	4.5 6.6	20-30 16-27	327 340				.8 46-6 .1 21-4		3	1	ES	<u>ē</u>	at Penn St Bve		0-24	-144 -8	-1	12 24-69	2.9	16-3	4 195	2	20 42-20	9 5.0	18-34	199 0
at Indiana		51 15					50 251	0.0	10 17	510	Ů	10 50	, 110 5.		0 207		į	RAG	SO	Michigan St													
Bye Michigan																	ż	AVERAGES	ĭ	Ohio St at Maryland													
at Purdue																		Έ	п	at Michigan													
Maryland at Ohio St																		TEAM	Indiana Hoosiers	Rutgers Minnesota													
Penn St																	40.14		Ë	at Purdue													
																	2																
Average		37-19	67.2	-2.5	0.7	22	38-218	5.7	62.4%	270	4.8%	24 36	5-118 3.	.3 63.8	% 303	8.0%	_			Average		24-28	-8.0 0.6	-0.6	20 36-12	2 3.4	52.89	% 221	5.0%	19 31-12		58.0%	
Conference Away		31-18	46.3	-3.0 -0.3			35-216 39-257	6.2	59.2% 58.3%	236 262				.4 63.9						Conferenc Home	9		-107.0 -7.5 62.5 3.5	-1.0 -0.5	12 28-73 20 44-16		44.89 50.09			19 39-18 17 29-9			172 3.2% 203 4.0%
,																		Position by Position Edge							1		-						
																	Michig	an St In Michigan St QB/WR	ndiana														
																		vs. Indiana DB's	1.15														
																		Michigan St RB's															
																		vs. Indiana LB's	0.07														
																		Michigan St OL															
																	1.86	vs. Indiana DL															
																	0.80	Michigan St DL vs.															
																	0.00	Indiana OL															
																	1.33	Michigan St LB'S															
																		Indiana RB's															
																	0.49	Michigan St DB's vs.															
Last Fiv	e Years	Meetin	ngs (La	st 22 v	ears at	PhilSter	ele.com	)										Indiana QB/WR Michigan St ST's		Categor	y		Team & Margin						Phil's Fore	cast:			
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		≠ TO's			P	Projec	ted Bo	x Scor	е		1.47	vs.							Last year Indi	ana retur	ned the O			Bloomington	for the fire	st time cir	nce '16 with
Indiana	10	-7.5	24	21	39-113	25-3	8-320											Indiana ST's		Las Vegas Line		N	Michigan St By 4	.5	24-0 shutout	win in Eas	st Lansing	(1st win	there S/'(	01), marking I	ndiana's f	irst 4-0 st	tart S/'87 an
Michigan St		52.5	0	9	24-60	13-2	7-131	-2				Proj	ected Ru	shing				Michigan St K							huge win vs N	lichigan at	home, in	the top 1	0 for the	ad a huge sche first time sinc	e 1969 aı	nd with O	hio St on
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's		172	yards	Michigan		Indiana	107	yards	1.20	vs.		Las Vegas Tota	ı		48.5 Points		deck. Mich St edge and won					985. Indy led			
Indiana		43.5	31	26	28-70	33-4	2-286		ntents				ected Pa					Indiana K				1			when Mich St	had a 28-	7 4Q lead	and held	off a late	Indiana rally (	IU opene	d the 4Q	with 3 scores
Michigan St	25	-14	40	23	34-142	18-3	6-300	1	•	215	yards	Michigan	St	Indiana	308	yards		Michigan St Coaches		+/- Ratings		N	Michigan St By 2	.5	was expected	to conten	d in the Bi	g Ten Eas	st and Mic		d in the b	asement	but both
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-/		TO's	of Co			-		age Total		-		vs.	2.00						have gone the was shutout a								
Indiana	-	49.5	21	18	32-29	32-4				387		Michigan		Indiana	415	yards		Indiana Coaches		Game Grade			Michigan St By 3	.1	were better the	an the so	ores indica	ate in all t	hree SU I	osses. Mich St	upset No	rthwester	rn and Miami
Michigan St	24	-5	35	19	40-131		6-219	-2	to Table	507	,		cted Fina			,		Michigan St Pts							spur an OT wi	n. Last we	ek MST go	ot big play	s with 63	, 63 and 65 y	d TD pass	es to Naile	or (5-221)
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		Att-Yds	TO's	urn	27 n	oints	Michigan		Indiana	74 r	oints	7.15	vs.	3.22	Computer Yard	•		Indiana 415-38	7	and RB Walke top WR Meltor	n. Rutgers	led 7-0 ar	nd 10-7 b	ut trail 2:	1-13 at half. R	utgers pu	nt on 1st	4 poss 2H
Indiana	капк	Line 47	ocore	14	35-94	22-3-		10 8	Rett	2/ μ	- onica		ience Ra		24 [	-CIIICS	7.13	vs. Indiana Pts	3.22				uiaiia 413-38	<u> </u>	then SOD at 8 the #16 sche	3, fumble a	at Mich St	24 & SOE	31 last	3 poss in 31-1	3 Mich St	win. Indy	y has taken o
Michigan St	18	-6.5	17	19	35-94 44-89	16-3		-1		,	90	Michigan		Indiana		10				Computer Point	s		Michigan St 27-2		the summer I	ndiana wa	s -16 in V	egas and	they are	a desperate te	am off a l	ye at hor	me and all 3
	Rank	-0.5						-1 TO's						Strength			PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDE	D TO:					-	losses were to week.	ιορ 10 te	ams and I	viich St is	on ∠nd s	u aignt road tr	p and pla	yıng a /th	ıı straight
2016	капк	Line	Score	FD	Rush		Att-Yds	10°S				Michigan		Indiana		16		Michigan St		Phil Steele			Indiana by 4										
Indiana										- 4		riiciliqali	ع د	riluidild		·U				1			тишала ву 4										
Indiana Michigan St	- 17	52 -6.5	24	19	39-156 41-175			0										By 3.93 Points									India	ana 2	4 Mic	chigan S	t 20		

								_							OVE	ERALL:	01	VERALL:															
				Ar	•	Black I	_	ts								4-1		2-3						W		isin Bac	igers	5					
TM DEC EDE DEC TM	FOE		A	NP.	Blaci	k Knights	Logs			TE	AM	PS R	ANK	FOE		. IND 1-0	vs	i. BIG 10 1-2	TM DEC FOE T	M FOE			AF		Ва	idgers Logs			Т	TEAM	PS	RANK	FOE
TH REC FOE REC CONF	CONF IM +	+/- FOE +/	TM	FOE	DATE	F	FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST		DEF ST			CAA RANKINGS		THI REC CO	NF CONF		- FOE +/-	тм		DATE	FOE		SCORE W/L	OFI	F DEF	ST	OFF	DEF ST
0-0 0-0 0-0						at Georgia	a St	43-10		109	82	55		101 114		2021	TEAM RANKING	is	0-0 0-0 0		142		12	19 9/		Penn St		10-16 L	23		83		12 9
1-0 1-0 0-0 2-0 0-3 0-0					9/11 9/18	Connec	cticut	38-35 52-21		104	79 79	14 22		68 32 129 125		ırmy	W	Visconsin		-1 0-0 -1	139 140	120	18		/11	Eastern Mich Bye	ilgan	34-7 W	29		106 91	105	114 89
3-0 1-2 1-0	0-0 12	3 116			9/25	Miami,	ОН	23-10		42	71	44	106	110 36	#2		Rush Off	#31	1-1 3-0 0	-1 0-0	140		18	12 9/	/25 †	Notre Dame		13-41 L	22		79	34	12 29
4-0 1-3 1-0						at Ball St		16-28	L	40	53	29	122	109 45			Pass Off	#114		-1 1-0				14 10		Michigan		17-38 L	46		91	8	19 3
4-1 1-0 4-1 2-3 1-0					10/9	Bye at Wiscon	sin			74 73	48	51 57	65	5 95	# <b>73</b> #33		Total Off Score Off	#81 #114	1-3 2-4 0 2-3 4-1 1	-2 1-2 -2 1-0	132 135					Illinois Army West I		24-0 W	68		96 95	93 73	76 63 40 57
6-0	4-0	134			10/23	Wake F				- , ,		3,	39	73 9			Sks Allowed	#52	3-2	1-1		126			0/23 at		Onic		- 03			68	34 78
					10/30	Bye									#3		Rush Def	#1	6-0	3-0		148			.,	Iowa						105	2 5
5-1	2-1	126			11/6 11/13	† Air Foro Buckne							70	63 125	#57		Pass Def Total Def	#17 <b>#2</b>	3-3 2-3	0-3 0-2		126 119				Rutgers Northwester	n					97 56	50 3 104 92
1-5	1-0	95			11/20	UMass							123	130 122			Score Def	#30	3-4	1-3		135				Nebraska						19	24 129
5-1	0-0	136				at Liberty							69	31 102			Sks By	#79	3-2	1-1		132		11	1/27 at	Minnesota						82	20 62
1-4	1-2	117			12/4 12/11	+ Navy							128	93 123	#27 3 <b>+2</b>		Ypg Diff Total TO's	#9 -11															
1-4	1-2	117			12/11	INdvy							120	93 123	, 72		Total 10 S	-11															
		Net			Offens		Comp				Defense			Yds								Net			Offense		omp	Yds		Defense		Comp	Yds
Score	43-10 17		TO's	FD	RA-RY		Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC		Pass Sks					Score	10.1	Yds	FD 10	TO's		RA-RY		Att	Pass Sks	FD			Att	Pass Sks 247 2
at Georgia St WKU	43-10 179 38-35 -6		0		67-258 67-339		3-4 5-6	98 77	0	14 22	28-48 19-42	1.7 2.2		129 3 435 3					Penn St Eastern Michigan	10-1 34-		18 23	-3 0	29 58	8-174 5-352		2-37 6-20	185 2 166 1	11			18-33 10-20	247 2 76 2
Connecticut	52-21 27		1		67-397		3-3	107	0		19-116			109 2		16			Bye	J.	, 120		ŭ	20 5.	J JJL	0.1 1	0 20	100 1		10 10	0.5	10 20	, o
Miami, OH	23-10 15		1		63-384		0-5	0	0					204 2		뀰		S	† Notre Dame	13-4		-1	-4		28-74		8-41	240 1	17				
at Ball St Bye	16-28 10	) 6	-2	18	61-213	3.5	5-15	66	0	12	26-36	1.4	17-28	233 2	⊢ :	<u>.</u>	ES	ğ	Michigan at Illinois	17-3	-0 398		-2 -2	12 3 30 6:	1-391		1-23 0-19	167 6 100 0	15	5 44-112 13-26			253 0 67 1
at Wisconsin															1 :	Knigh	AVERAGES	Badgers	Army West Point		J 350	21	-2	JU 0.		0.7 1	U 13	100 0	1 3	13-20	2.0	11-34	o, 1
Wake Forest																<u> </u>	<u> </u>		at Purdue														
Bye																a a	≩	Ë	Iowa														
† Air Force Bucknell																Black	Σ	Ē	at Rutgers Northwestern														
UMass																>	TEAM	ខ	Nebraska														
at Liberty																Army	_	Wisconsin	at Minnesota														
Bye † Navy															-	₹		>															
i ivavy																																	
Average	34-21 111		0.4	20	65-318		48.5%		3.0%	14	22-54			222 8.59					Average		20 160.6		-2.2		7-207		5.0%	172 7.1%				50.6%	176 7.1%
Conference Away	0-0 0.0 30-19 94.		0.0	10	0-0 64-236	0.0 3.7	0.0% 42.1%	0 82	0.0%	13	0-0		0.0%	0 0.09					Conference Home		18 101.7 20 111.0		-2.3	24 50	0-203		4.4% 1.3%	151 10.19 173 11.39	6 12	2 25-63	2.5	48.5%	189 3.1% 192 4.8%
Away	30 15 54.	.5 0.0	0.0	13	04 230	3.7	72.170	02	0.070	13	27 42	1.0	00.470	101 10.4	70	Positio	on by Position Edg	jes	Tionie	20 2	111.0	12.7	1.7	22 70	0 1 3 0	3.5 0.	1.570	1/3 11.37	0 10	27 33	2.2	33.470	192 4.070
															A	rmy	w	isconsin'															
																A	Army QB/WR	2.75															
																w	vs. Visconsin DB's	2.73															
																	Army RB's																
																	vs.	1.89															
																W	Visconsin LB's Army OL																
															0.21		vs.																
																١	Wisconsin DL																
																	Army DL vs.	0.20															
																v	Wisconsin OL	0.20															
																	Army LB'S																
															0.04		vs.																
																	Visconsin RB's Army DB's		1														
															1.21		vs.		1														
Lact Phys M	a Maatin	l = = + ? ?		DL:/Ct	ala	-1									-		sconsin QB/WR					0 N- :						p					
Last Five Years	s meetings (I	Last 22 y	ears at	PhilSte	ere.com	1)				Proje	cted	Box S	core				Army ST's		Category		Team	& Margin						Phil's For	ecast:				
route															0.68		vs.		Las Vegas Line					First Meeti	ing. The	Black Knights	are 42-	-53-3 all-time	against	t current B	ig Ten te	ams. Tha	t includes iust
																W	Visconsin ST's				Wiscon	sin By 14		1-7 SU sin	nce 2011	going 4-4 AT	S in tho:	se games. Thi	s is jus	st Badger's	4th mee	eting vs a	Service
										Pr	ojected	l Rushir	ng				Army K		Las Vegas Total									Just the 2nd c Wisconsin figu					
							ţ	171	yards	Arı	my	Wisco	onsin	171 yards	0.15		vs.				39.5	Points		games this	s year a	nd they have	been far	vored in each	of their	ir first 5 but	t shockir	ngly open	ed up 1-3 SU.
							ten			Pı	rojected	l Passir	ng				Wisconsin K							Chicago. T	They trai	led Michigan	13-10 30	3 games but lo Q when they lo	st QB (	Graham Me	ertz and	got blow	out 38-17.
							Conte	-41	yards	Arı		Wisco		197 yards		А	Army Coaches		+/- Ratings		Wiscons	sin By 21.3		Last week	Mertz re	eturned and v	as just	10-19-100-1-1	but it	looked like	e Wiscon	sin of old	with two 100-
							of		,		ected Ya			,	0.25		vs.							door cove	rs to WK	(U and UConn	and lost	t outright in th	eir last	t game at B	Ball St. T	hey are a	
							ole	40-						200 :	0.23				Game Grade		Wie	in Book		276 ypg to	otal offer	nse and are h	olding fo	oes to 107 ypg	below	their seas	on avera	age and ti	ey are fresh S as a HF with
							e	130	yards	Arı		Wisco		368 yards		Wis	sconsin Coaches		-		wiscons	sin By 15.6		Wisconsin.	. Despite	their records	you sh	ould note that	Wiscon	nsin has tal	ken on t	he #6 tou	ghest schedule
							Return to Table			Pro	jected F	Final Sc	ore				Army Pts		Computer Yards					and is +16	61 ypg a Wisconsi	nd Army has	taken or	n the #99 sch daers vs Michi	edule a	nd is +112	2 ypg. I	had Penn	St and Notre edge at the line
							E L	16 p	ooints	Arı	my	Wisco	onsin	25 points	2.54		vs.	4.84			Wiscons	in 368-13		and a defe	ense tha	t is holding fo	es to 18	8 ypg below t	heir sea	ason avera	age which	h is #2 in	the country.
							Ret			Exp	erience	Ranki	ngs			v	Wisconsin Pts							army lost Wisconsin	at Cincy is just 7	riast year by 7-13 ATS as a	HF. My	only lost to WV computer is ca	in the allina fo	powl by 3 or Wiscons	and to M in to hav	nichigan b re a 370-1	y 3 in 2019. 71 yard edge
									54	Arı	my	Wisco	onsin	60	-	OSITION	IAL EDGE AWARDI	ED TO:	Computer Points		Wiscon	sin 25-16		The under	is proba	ably the best	play her	e with two off	enses t	hat like to	grind clo	ock and ru	n the ball and
										Team	Schedu	ule Stre	ength		P			23 10:						.wo deten	ses that	rank in the to	ıp 10.						
1									98	Arı		Wisco		6		1	Wisconsin		Phil Steele		Wiscon	nsin by 10											
1								•	,,,	AII	y	*VISCO	J. 13111	U								Jy 10				1	Nisc	onsin 2	3 A	rmy 1	13		
																Ву	2.30 Points																

							Oh	io Po	bcats									OVER	ALL: O	VERALL:								D	ffalo	Rulle							
								Bobcats										1- vs. M		2-4 /s. MAC								ьu	Bulls L								
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE	TM +/-			AP	Т	DODCALS	Logs			TEA	\M	PS R	ANK	FC	E	VS. P		0-2	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF		- FOE +/-	Α	P		Dulis L	bys			т	EAM	PS R	NK	FOE
						FOE			FOE	SCORE		OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKING	_	REC				- FUE +/-	тм	FOE	DATE		FOE	sco		OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF ST
0-0 0-0 0-1	0-0	0-0	123 118	121			9/4	Syra	icuse Jesne	9-29 26-28		88 91	110 113	54 102	84	68	18				0-0 1-0 1-1	0-0		115 118	127			9/4 9/11	Wagne at Nebras		69- 3-2		116 111	104 101	112 4	38	42 122
0-2 1-1	0-0	0-0	113	125			9/18	at Louis	siana	14-49	) L	102	120	79	74	49	2	Qhi		<u>Buffalo</u>	1-1 2-0	0-0	0-0	116	129		16	9/18	Coasta	I Carolina	25-2	28 L	108	103	36	9	70 61
0-3 1-2 0-4 1-3	0-0	0-1	109 107	121 95			9/25		nwestern	6-35 34-17		105 106	123 125	72 84	79 123	82 129	84 129	#38 #118	Rush Off Pass Off	#27 #94	1-2 1-2 2-2 3-1				102 123			9/25 10/2	at Old Do	minion rn Michigar	35-3 n 17-2		90 94	112	78 100	120 86	105 68 80 122
1-4 2-3	1-0		108	114			10/2		ral Michigan			100	123	86	48	108	72	#102	Total Off	#59	2-3 2-3			115					at Kent S		38-4		101		105	75	103 123
1-5 2-4	1-1	0-2	108	114				at Buffa				95	121	83	88	115	101	#115	Score Off	#52	2-4 1-5	0-2	1-1		108			10/16	Ohio				88	115	101	95	121 83
3-3 2-4		2-0 1-1		118 117			10/23		: St ni, OH						57 100	103 102	124 69	#52 #126	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#4 #94	2-4 2-4		1-1 0-2		99 96			10/23	at Akron Bowlin	g Green						122 127	124 130 84 11
4-2		1-1		119			11/9	at Easte	ern Michigan	1					111	101	86	#22	Pass Def	#98	2-4		1-1		117			11/9	at Miami,								102 69
3-3		1-1		120			11/16		do						89	41	67	<b>#95</b> #101	Total Def Score Def	#100 #88	4-2		2-0		120			11/13 11/17	Bye	rn Illinois						90	116 106
2-4		0-2		96					ing Green						127	84	11	#79	Sks By	#24	3-3		1-1		125				at Ball St							124	95 21
																		#112 -2	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#91																	
																		-2	lotal IOS	-1																	
_				Net			Offe		Comp				Defense		Comp	Yds									Net			Offens		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds
Syracuse Syracuse		9-29	Yds -37	FD -1	TO's	<b>FD</b> 20				Pass 1 212			<b>RA-RY</b> 44-283	<b>YPC</b> 6.4	Att 12-18	Pass 100	Sks 1				Wagner	core	69-	7 472	<b>FD</b> 23	TO's	<b>FD</b> 30	<b>RA-RY</b> 56-312	<b>YPC</b> 5.6	Att 16-22	Pas 25		<b>FD</b> 7	35-18		7-15	Pass         Sks           79         5
Duquesne		26-28	-55	-11	0	15	24-13	9 5.8	14-22	2 168	1	26	45-168	3.7	23-34	194	1				at Nebraska		3-28	-157	0	0	19	33-135	4.1	27-50	224	4 0	19	41-220	5.4	14-20	296 0
at Louisiana		14-49	-312	-17		16							49-310		25-34	252	1				Coastal Car		25-28			1	20	47-262		13-21			21	42-212			232 3
at Northwestern at Akron		6-35 34-17	-113 131	-5 6	-2 0	17 25					4		51-373 38-112		12-20 18-25	88 215	7	u			at Old Dominio Western Mic		35-34 17-24			-1	17 15	38-106 29-131		17-26 12-22			27 29	45-209 49-178		24-41 21-33	224 4 279 3
Central Michigan		27-30	-92	-1	1	22		9 3.9	13-19		2		41-204		16-30		1	Ť	8	<b>≅</b>	at Kent St	-	38-48		3	-2		54-312		24-45			33		5.0		407 1
at Buffalo Kent St						-												Ą	Į Ž	Bulls	Ohio at Akron												-				
Miami, OH																		Robcate	AVERAGES		Bowling Gre	en															
at Eastern Michigan						-														Ęa	at Miami, OH																
Toledo Bye						1												Ohio	TEAM	Buffalo	Bye Northern Illi	nois											1				
at Bowling Green																		C	·   -	-	at Ball St																
Average Conference		19-31 31-24	-79.7 19.5	-4.8 2.5	-0.3 0.5								45-242 40-158		65.8% 61.8%		6.8%				Average Conference		31-28 28-36			-0.2 -1.5		43-210 42-222	4.9 5.3	58.6% 53.7%					4.1		253 9.8% 343 5.8%
Away		18-34		-5.3								25									Home	.e		87.0		-0.3		44-235		63.1%		5 1.5%					197 16.49
																		Ohi	Position by Position Edg	jes Buffalo																	
																			Ohio QB/WR	Dullaio																	
																		0.69	vs. Buffalo DB's																		
																			Ohio RB's																		
																		0.39	vs.																		
																			Buffalo LB's Ohio OL																		
																		0.72	vs.																		
																			Buffalo DL																		
																			Ohio DL vs.	2.45																	
																			Buffalo OL																		
																			Ohio LB'S vs.	1.58																	
																			Buffalo RB's	-100																	
																		0.54	Ohio DB's																		
																		0.34	vs. Buffalo QB/WR																		
Last Five												Proie	cted	Box S	core				Ohio ST's		Catego	ry		Team	& Margin							Phil's For	ecast:				
<b>2019</b> Ohio	Rank -	-3.5	Score 21	FD 23	Rush 47-18		p-Att-Yds - 19- 155	TO's											vs. Buffalo ST's	0.17	Las Vegas Line			Buff	alo By 9												vid issues. Th
Buffalo	-	51.5	20	19	43-18	1 16	-23-197	1	ents				ojected						Ohio K		Las Vegas Tota	ıl					are 10-	6 SU but	just 8-8 /	ATS vs the	Bulls in	cluding 2	straight v	vins (1-1	ATS). Las	t time h	. The Bobcats ere (`19) gam
<b>2018</b> Ohio	Rank	Line -2.5	Score 52	FD 32	Rush 56-43		p-Att-Yds -27-209	TO's	Conten	186	yards	Oh <b>Pr</b>	io <b>ojected</b>	Bufi I <b>Passi</b> r		286 y	ards	0.55	vs. Buffalo K					56.5	5 Points		was tie	d at 7 & :	14, in OT	UB missed	XP & OI	nio took o	nly lead	with GW	KP 21-20.	Buffalo	lost at ined by ODU
Buffalo	-	-2.5 66	17	13	22-15		-27-209	-4	of C	164	yards	Oh		Buf		185 y	ards		Ohio Coaches		+/- Ratings			Buffa	lo By 9.8		433-29	7, but Of	U missed	an xp at t	he end a	and they v	von 35-3	4. They v	ere outg	ined by	WMich 457-
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Com	p-Att-Yds	TO's	ole				cted Ya					2.95	VS.		Game Grade						week K	ent St do	minated	eading 21-	-0 and 3	1-10 H wi	th 352-14	46 yd edg	e. Buffalo	scored	ose by 7. Last 4 TD's on 4 30
Ohio Buffalo	-	-6 56.5	24 31	20 16	43-15 26-56		-30-265 -30-294	2	to Table	350	) yards	Oh <b>Proj</b>	io j <b>ected F</b>	Bufi inal Sc		471 y	ards		Buffalo Coaches Ohio Pts					Butfal	o By 16.4		poss th	en two F	G with Ke	nt punting	and 2 FC	s's. Trailir	q 38-34	Kent 75/	5 and 80/	4 TD's fo	r 48-38 loss. 0 with a 34-17
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Com	p-Att-Yds	TO's	n to	20	points	Oh	io	Buff	falo	35 p	oints	5.84	vs.	4.20	Computer Yard	ls		Buffal	0 471-350	)	win ove	r Akron.	Last wee	k Ohio had	a 14-10	FD edge	at half b	ut missed	l a 47 yd	FG and (	CM got a 35 yo
Ohio Buffalo	-	-19.5 51.5	34 10	18 19	39-15 38-10		-22-216 -40-308	-2	fur		42	Exp Oh	erience	Ranki Bufi		11	4		Buffalo Pts	TD TO	Computer Poin	ts		Buff-	ilo 36-20		with 10	:06 left a	nd then 8	3/7 for 28	yd TD p	ass with 3	:55 left	for 30-27	road loss	. Ohio h	M getting a FG nas been a
50,1010		31.3	10	19	20-10	J 24	. 10 300	-2	æ		12		Sched			11	. •	POS	Ohio	ED 10:	Phil Steele						differer	nt team s	ince Roge	rs took ov	er at QB	and they	keep th	is closer t	han expe	cted.	
1																			Onio		rnii Steele			D	alo by 6												
											111	Oh	io	Buf	talo	10	10		By 1.64 Points					вип	аю ву в					D	luffa	lo 33	Ohi	0 27			

The content will be content																																											
1							٧	and				dore	es										c							:	Sout					ock	s						
The content of the							AD	П	Com	modor	es Logs				TEA		nc	DANK-		EOE											n	G	amecoc	ks Log	js				TEAM	DC	DANK		)E
State   Stat	TM REC FOE REC	CONF	CONF	TM +	/- FOE	+/-		FOE	DATE		FOE	s	SCORE	W/L					DEF				ICAA RANKINGS		TM		CONF CON	F TN	M +/- FOE +/-		-	DATE		FOE		SCORE	W/L						
1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1			0-0			7												11/	86	70		2021	1 TEAM RANKIN	GS																	60	100	36
13 C. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.																						inderbilt	So	uth Carolina							2												
30   10   10   10   10   10   10   10																																		ucky									
1																																		essee									
This is a continue of the co		0-2										1			117	117	110																					79	39	24			
1									-, -																	4-2	1-2	4	139					SAOM							42		1/
4 1 13																																	Florid								,		
Part																																											
No.   1																		13			#127	7	Sks By	#24								11/27											
Second Column   Col																																											
Part																																											
The content	Score			Yds			'O's	FD						Sks			YPC									Scor	<b>a</b>			TO's	FD						Sks	FD		YPC			Sks
Company   Comp			3-2																					10																			
Second   S	at Colorado St																				_	S		용																			
Second   S				_																		5		Š				-															
Second   S	Connecticut		30-2	8 -84	-2	2	0	23 3	33-106	3.2	27-	-40		1	25	37-192	5.2	24-43	331	1 2		B	S.	В		Troy	23-	14	54 -2		19	27-102	3.8	2	0-34	255		21	32-155	4.8	21-37	148	2
Second   S			0-4	2 -192	2 0	1	0	18	34-88	2.6	24-	-45	199	3	18	34-181	5.3	18-28	3 298	3 0		Ē	₽₽	Ē			20-	45 -	-102 -2	-2	22	41-153	3.7	2	1-34	217	4	24	49-247	5.0	17-23	225	6
Manage	Miss St																					<u> </u>	E	6	at 1	Texas A&M																	
Property   13-26   1																						ŭ	≩	<u> </u>																			
Property   13-26   1																						Ĕ		ᅙ																			
Property   13-26   1	at Mississippi																					E E	Ŧ	Ca																			
Processor   1.3   1.5	at remiessee																					Ď		£	-	Clemson																	
Continue   Continue																						a A		ğ																			
Part   Part	Average		13-3	6 -141	.8 -2	.0 -	1.0	19 3	35-114	3.3	54.	6%	197	4.8%	21 3	36-201	5.6	61.29	6 252	2 1.60	_			Ň	_	Average	22-	22	8.2 -1.7	0.5	17	36-129	3.6	59	9.2%	207	9.2%	19	36-160	4.4	62.2%	169	9.8%
Projected Box Score																																											
August   Continue	Away		12-3	2 -147	.5 0.	5 1	0.0	20	36-96	2.7	58.	6%	219	6.9%	19 .	34-194	5.8	57.69	6 Z68	3 0.0	76	Positi	ion by Position Ed	lges		ноте	26-	10 5	90.7 0.7	1./	18	33-139	4.2	6.	3.2%	199	6.9%	1/	33-139	4.2	60.5%	109	4.9%
South Cardina ON   1.6   South Cardina ON																					Va				a																		
Vandering RP   1-5   1																						va		1.86																			
South Carolina R.   1.05   South Carolina R.																																											
VanderBill Cl.   Vand																						•	vs.																				
Supplication   Supp																								's																			
South Caroline Discretion   Part   South Caroline Discretion   Part   South Caroline Discretion   Part   South Caroline Discretion   Part   South Caroline Discretion   Part																							Vanderbilt OL	0.73																			
South Carolina OL   Sout																						Sc	outh Carolina DI																				
South Carolina OL   Vanderbit LSS   Vanderbit LSS   Vanderbit South Carolina OL   Vanderbit LSS   Vanderbit LSS   Vanderbit South Carolina OL   Vanderbit LSS   Vanderbit LS																							Vanderbilt DL	1 22																			
Supplication   Supp																						So	outh Carolina Ol																				
South Carolina 8Ps   South Car																						•	Vanderbilt LB'S	0.24																			
Second Carolina & Part   Second Carolina & Second Carolina																						Sou	vs. uth Carolina RB																				
Last Five Years   Meetings (Last 2 years at Philisteels.com)																						٧	Vanderbilt DB's																				
Projected Box Score   Projected Passing   Projected Pass																						Sout	vs. th Carolina QB/1																				
anderbilt - 40.5 7 15 33-76 18-27-173 outh Carolina - 13.5 41 18 39-289 16-24-196 1  13 4 5 7 15 33-76 18-27-173 outh Carolina - 13.5 41 18 39-289 16-24-196 1  13 4 5 7 15 33-76 18-27-173 outh Carolina - 13.5 41 18 39-289 16-24-196 1  14 5 7 15 33-76 18-27-173 outh Carolina - 15 15 7 12 29-113 11-22-76 outh Carolina - 15 24 25 49-205 24-31-235 1  15 6 7 12 29-113 11-22-76 outh Carolina - 15 24 25 49-205 24-31-235 1  16 8 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds To's anderbilt - 23 33-92 19-40-192 outh Carolina - 2-5 37 28 49-205 24-31-235 1  17 6 8 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds To's anderbilt - 44 5 27 27 29-113 17-28-261 1  18 5 9-28 9 16-24-196 1  19 9 yards Vanderbilt South Carolina South Carolina - 2-5 37 28 49-273  19 9 yards Vanderbilt South Carolina S				-		•				•					Proje	cted	Boy '	Score	,							Category		Т	Team & Margin							ı	hil's Fore	ecast:					
Projected Rushing   Projected Passing   Proj	2020	Rank								TO's						Ju									Las	s Vegas Line				_	SC is 2	6-4 all. #i	ne ve V-	andy ss	ıd hac ::	won 12	etraight	meeting	ne The Co	mecocl	c lact ?	wine hav	a come
114 yards   125 yards   126 yards   126 yards   126 yards   127 yards   127 yards   128 yards   127 yards   128 yards   127 yards   128															Dro	viacto d	l Duch	ina			-			5	+			S C	arolina By 18.		by 24.7	ppg. La:	st year S	C had a	a 289-7	76 rush	yd edge	and wo	on 41-7 (-:	13') as a	a Best Be	et on the	ie
1	2019	Rank								TO's		3	114 1/1	ards					a 10	18 varde				0.80	Las	s Vegas Total			50.5 Points		last 3 y	ears. Va	ndy is 2-	4 but v	s Georg	gia and	Florida t	they we	re outgair	ned by 4	155 ypg a	and outso	ored 62-
139 yards   139 yards   149	Vanderbilt	-										2	ye							. , 3. 43		s																					
148 ypg and -16.5 ppg but did cover vs a powerful deorgia. Last week Ten net d \$5-0, 38-7 et al. 460 yards anderbilt - 5.5.5 to 4 s. 5.5 t	South Carolina	-								1			139 ya	ards					a 26	2 yards					+/-	- Ratings		S Ca	arolina By 17.	2	after a	1&G at 1	they we	re SOD	). UF ra	ın a fakı	e punt in	3Q and	d took mo	mentum	and wo	n 42-0 a	nd while
anderbilt - 53.5 14 22 33-92 19-40-192 50 yards Vanderbilt South Carolina 2.5 3 7 28 48-273 19-28-261 - 1 5	2018	Rank	Line	Scor	e FI	D F	ush	Comp-At	tt-Yds	TO's		b			Projec	cted Ya	ardage	Total					vs.	0.25	C-	ma Grada					148 yp	g and -16	5.5 ppg b	out did o	cover v	s a pow	erful Ge	orgia. L	Last week	Tenn le	d 35-0, 3	38-7 at h	alf with
anderbilt - 44.5 27 27 25 107 27 49 33 Experience kankings South Carolina Pts  South Carolina Pts  Computer Points  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  South Carolina Pts  South Carolina 36-11  South Carolina 36-11  South Carolina 38 Vanderbilt 10	Vanderbilt	-	53.5	14	2	2 3	3-92	19-40-	-192		.0		253 ya	ards					a 46	0 yards		South	h Carolina Coac	hes	Gar	me Grade		S Ca	arolina By 22.		domina	ting Teni	1 45-20 h	nome w	in. This	s is a m	ust win i	f Scar is	s going to	make a	bowl. L	ike Doty	
anderbilt - 44.5 27 27 25 107 27 49 33 Experience kankings South Carolina Pts  South Carolina Pts  Computer Points  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing  South Carolina Pts  South Carolina 36-11  South Carolina 36-11  South Carolina 38 Vanderbilt 10	South Carolina	-										3													Cor	mputer Yards					returne ratio as	d 3 week a frosh	s and is but is ius	not 100 st 55%	0% but with a	is hittin 5-7 rati	ig 60% v o this ve	with a 3 ar. Whi	-1 ratio. K ile Vandv i	en Seal	ls hit 659 ing foes	6 with a 108 ypg :	12-10 above
anderbilt - 44.5 27 27 25 107 27-93.3 bg Experience Rankings   South Carolina Pts   South Carolina Pts   South Carolina Pts   South Carolina Pts   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   The matchup 1 like best is a South Carolina RB corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina Pts   South Carolina 36-11   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina Pts   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a Vandy defense allowing   South Carolina B Corps ready to break out vs a	2017	Rank								TO's			11 po	ints					a 36	5 points	0.00				3	· · ·		S Ca	arolina 460-25	i3	their se	ason av	South (	Carolina	a holdin	ng foes t	to 56 yp	g below	. Vandy is	0-10 S	U and 3-	7 ATS or	the
note that the score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Team Schedule Strength  anderbilt4 10 14 42-169 8-23-73 37 Vanderbilt South Carolina 34  South Carolina  South Carolina  South Carolina  South Carolina 38 Vanderbilt 10		-										ī i						-		117					Cor	mputer Points			Canaline 25		The ma	tchup I I	ike best	is a Sou	uth Car	rolina Ri	3 corps i	ready to	o break ou	it vs a \	/andy de	fense all	owing
anderbilt4 10 14 42-169 8-23-73 37 Vanderbilt South Carolina 34 South Carolina by 28 South Carolina by 28 South Carolina 38 Vanderbilt 10	South Carolina 2016	- Rank											/3							11/	1	POSITIO	NAL EDGE AWARD	DED TO:	H			80	carolină 36-11		5.6 ypc	rush.											
South Carolina 38 Vanderbilt 10	Vanderbilt	- Adlik								101			37							34		S	outh Carolina		Phi	il Steele		S	Carolina by 28														
	South Carolina	-								-2			3,		230					-		Bv	/ 10.78 Point	s									So	outh	Ca	rolii	1a 38	8 Va	ınder	bilt	10		

																		OVER	ALL: 0	VERALL:																
						lul			Hurri									2-	4	1-4								Bul								
	TM	FOE				AP	Golde	n Hurric	ane Logs			Т	EAM	PS	RANK	FO	E	vs. A 1-		vs. AAC 0-1	FOE	TM FOE			AP		Bul	lls Logs	3			1 1	TEAM	PS	RANK	FOE
TM REC FOE REC		FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE+	TM	FOE			FOE		RE W/L			ST	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKINGS		TM REC FOE REC	TM FOE			TM FC			FOE	•		W/L	OFF		ST	OFF	DEF ST
0-0 0-1 1-0	0-0	0-0	129 124	128			9/4	UC D at Oklah		23-2		51 44	39 51	129 74	53	41	68		2021 TEAM RANKING		0-0 0-0 0-1 1-0	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0		129 135	1	9/4 3 9/11		C State lorida		0-45 20-42		108 109		85 128	38 33	13 26 24 61
0-2 1-1	0-0	1-0	124	141		9	9/18	at Ohio	St	20-4	41 L	57	52	124	1	25	54	Tul		USF	0-2	0-0	111			9/18	Fl	lorida A8	šМ	38-17	7 W	116	113	116		
0-3 1-2 1-3 3-1	0-0		124 122				9/25	Arka	nsas St	41-3			72 59	117 124		113 56	83 18	#57 #32	Rush Off Pass Off	#83 #105		0-0 0-0		134 127	1	9/25	at B\			27-35 17-41		116 112		116 117		92 105
1-4 3-2	0-1	0-1	117	121			10/2	Mem		35-2		50	66	130	20	88	8	#34	Total Off	#112	1-4	0-1	112	127		10/2		ye		17-41		113	121	120		JZ 103
2-4 1-4	1-1	0-1	119	112			10/16	at USF Bye				47	71	126	112	123	115	#94 #80	Score Off Sks Allowed	#113 #12	1-4 2-4 3-3	0-1 1-1		119 109		10/1		ulsa emple				112	123	115	47 102	71 126 91 113
1-4		1-2		117			10/23	Navy							128	93	123	#67	Rush Def	#12	3-3	1-1 1-1		125				emple ast Caro	lina						61	81 50
5-0 1-5		1-0 0-2		147 117				at Cinci							37 85	4	44	#116	Pass Def	#114 #127	5-1 5-0	3-0 1-0		129 147		11/6		ouston							78 37	27 14 4 44
3-3		1-1		109			11/13	at Tulan Temp	-						102	112 91	82 113	<b>#101</b> #107	Total Def Score Def	#127	1-5	0-2		117		11/1	at Tu	incinnat ulane							85	4 44 112 82
6-0		2-0		128				at SMU							8	96	76	#42	Sks By	#127	3-2	1-1		124			5 at UC								22	83 81
																		#78 -1	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#124 -1																
Score			Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offer RA-RY		Com			FD	Defens RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks				e,	ore	Yds	Net FD	TO's F	Off D RA-F		/PC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defense RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass Sks
UC Davis		17-19	49	-6	-3	19	34-247	7.3	15-2	8 20:	1 3	25	46-88	3 1.9	28-36	311	1				at NC State		45 -254	-12	-2 1	5 32-1	04 3	3.3	14-33	167	0	27	40-293	3 7.3	17-26	232 1
at Oklahoma St		23-28	34	-1	1	_	39-123		19-2					0 3.3	15-26		2	٥	2		Florida		42 -383	-6	1 2			2.3	17-30	188				8.3	17-25	
at Ohio St Arkansas St		20-41 41-34	-7 304	6	-1 1	25 26	28-73 49-308		31-5 17-2			20	26-38	3 7.9 3 1.5	15-25 23-37	185 321	6	į			Florida A&M at BYU	38- 27-		-2 2	0 1	8 46-2 3 48-1		5.2 3.8	12-26 17-24	163 186	2		29-132 25-138			
Houston		10-45	-116	-8	-2		28-31		20-3			22		4 4.4	19-29	261	0	1.	y		at SMU	17	41 -127	-11	0 1	9 37-1	13 3	3.1	14-22	223	2	30				
Memphis at USF		35-29	-197	-14	3	19	46-235	5.1	11-2	1 18	2 0	33	42-15	1 3.6	34-57	463	3	Hirriga		<u>8</u>	Bye Tulsa															
Bye																		_ I	AVERA	Bulls	Temple															
Navy																		4	\$	ш	at East Carolina															
at Cincinnati at Tulane																		Golden	Σ	USF	Houston Cincinnati															
Temple																		Č	TEAM	_	at Tulane															
at SMU																		5			at UCF															
																		L																		
Average		24-33	11.2	-3.7	-0.2	20	37-170	4.5	58.29	% 27!	5 7.2%	24	39-14	7 3.8	63.8%	286	6.7%	•			Average	20-	36 -163.2	-5.8	-0.2 1	9 41-1	47 3	3.6	54.8%	185	4.4%	25	36-218	3 6.1	64.7%	278 1.89
Conference		23-37	-156.5	-11.0	0.5	17	37-133	3.6	51.79	% 220	0 6.7%	28	38-14	8 3.9	61.6%	362	3.5%				Conference	17-	41 -127.0	-11.0	0.0 1	9 37-1	13 3	3.1	63.6%	223	9.1%	30	40-162	2 4.1	69.0%	301 0.09
Away		22-35	13.5	0.0	0.0	22	34-98	2.9	61.7	% 320	6 4.9%	22	42-23	2 5.5	58.8%	179	7.8%		Position by Position Ed	ges	Home	29-	30 -179.5	-4.0	0.5 1	9 44-1	68 3	3.9	51.8%	176	5.4%	23	37-248	6.8	56.8%	276 2.79
																		Tul	sa	USF																
																		0.66	Tulsa QB/WR																	
																		0.00	USF DB's																	
																		1.18	Tulsa RB's																	
																		1.10	vs. USF LB's																	
																			Tulsa OL																	
																		2.70	vs. USF DL																	
																			Tulsa DL		Ī															
																		0.43	vs. USF OL																	
																			Tulsa LB'S		1															
																		1.23	vs. USF RB's																	
																			Tulsa DB's		1															
																		0.63	vs. USF QB/WR																	
Last Five	Years I	1eetin	as (La	st 22 '	ears a	PhilSt	eele.co	n)							_				Tulsa ST's		Categor	,	Team &	Margin							Phil's Fore	ecast:				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's				Proj	jectec	Box :	Score				vs.	2.66	Las Vegas Line															
Tulsa	-	-11	42	21	37-22		25-235					_				_	_		USF ST's				Tulsa E	By 8.5	two	games d	ue to CC	OVID-19	postpone	ements.	Tulsa ex	ploded	for 3 TDs	in 3Q a	nd would	d only played win big 42-13
	-	50.5	13	17 <b>FD</b>	34-12		36-183 -Att-Yds	-2 TO's	nts		08 yards		Projecte Tulsa	ed Rush	i <b>ng</b> JSF	160 y	arde	0.90	Tulsa K		Las Vegas Tota		57 Pc	into	11).	USF had	won all	three p	revious n	natchup:	s vs the	Golden	Hurricane	. Tulsa v	was 2-16	SU on the roa
JSF	Dani.	Line	Score 24	FD 16	Rush 49-22		21-79	10's	nte	20	o yards			ed Passi		100 y	arus	0.90	vs. USF K				5/ P0	mics	wee	k and ha	e a bye	e on dec	k. USF is	fresh o	ff a bye.	Tulsa w	vas down	6 starte	rs (susp)	and lost the
2019	Rank	61.5		26	47-25		40-237	-1	တ္	25	54 yards		ulsa		JSF	197 y	ards		Tulsa Coaches		+/- Ratings		Tulsa E	By 3.5	bea	t Ark St v	ith a 66	53-359 v	d edge b	ut then	plaved th	heir wor	st game	of the ve	ear in a 4	jo. After the i-10 home los
	Rank - 23	61.5 -7.5	25				-Att-Yds	TO's	e of					Yardage		. ,		1.25	vs.		Game Grade				to H	ouston. L	ast weel	k Memp	his QB He	enigan h	nit 34-57	-463 an	d Memphi	is had a	614-417	rd edge. Mem DD at 11 and
<b>2019</b> Tulsa USF	-		25 Score	FD	Rush	Comp				_		-	ulsa	L	JSF	357 y	ards		USF Coaches		Game Grade		Tulsa E	By 9.0	mis	sed 3rd F	G then f	fumble a	nd Tulsas	s 20/5 T	D and 78	Paga 16		cmpi		0. 11 0110
2019 Tulsa USF 2018 Tulsa	- 23 Rank -	-7.5 <b>Line</b> 66	Score 20	<b>FD</b> 22	55-16	5 14-	28-191		e e	46	52 yards							I I	Tulsa Pts		Computer Yards	. <u></u>			ruls	a win. US			- FDC 4			3/5 TD le	ed 28-13.	Memphi	is TD 3:1:	left in 35-29
2019 Tulsa USF 2018	- 23	-7.5 Line	Score	FD		5 14-	28-191 28-142	-2	to Tab			Pr	ojected	l Final S		2.5					Computer farus						-101 yp	og vs no	s FBS tea n P5 FBS	ams losii teams i	ng by 24 and only	.8 ppg b lost at l	out did ba BYU by 8.	Memphi ck door . Timmy	is TD 3:1: cover vs McClain h	Florida and as taken over
2019 Tulsa USF 2018 Tulsa	- 23 Rank -	-7.5 <b>Line</b> 66	Score 20	<b>FD</b> 22	55-16	5 14-		-2	urn to Table		4 points	Pr T	<b>ojected</b> ulsa	L	JSF	26 pc	ints	8.98	VS.	2.66	Computer varus		Tulsa 40	52-357	at C	B and hit a is +69	-101 yp 59% wi ypg vs c	og vs no ith a 1-2 opp avg	s FBS tea n P5 FBS ratio and Tulsa is	ams losii teams i d rushei playing	ng by 24 and only d for 158 to an AG	.8 ppg b lost at I ypg. U: GG of 97	out did ba BYU by 8. SF is -107 7.6 the las	Memphi ck door Timmy 7 ypg vs st 4 weel	is TD 3:1: cover vs McClain I opp avg ks and US	Florida and as taken over overall and F is at just 83
2019 Tulsa USF 2018 Tulsa	- 23 Rank -	-7.5 <b>Line</b> 66	Score 20	<b>FD</b> 22	55-16	5 14-		-2	Return to Tab			Pr T Ex	<b>ojected</b> ulsa	ce Rank	JSF	26 pa			USF Pts		Computer Point	s	Tulsa 40		at C Tuls AGC	B and hit a is +69 (Tulsa b	-101 yp 59% wi ypg vs o y 9.9).	og vs no ith a 1-2 opp avg Tulsa di	s FBS tea n P5 FBS ratio and Tulsa is d win her	ams losii teams i d rushei playing	ng by 24 and only d for 158 to an AG	.8 ppg b lost at I ypg. U: GG of 97	out did ba BYU by 8. SF is -107 7.6 the las	Memphi ck door Timmy 7 ypg vs st 4 weel	is TD 3:1: cover vs McClain I opp avg ks and US	Florida and as taken over
Z019 Tulsa USF Z018 Tulsa	- 23 Rank -	-7.5 <b>Line</b> 66	Score 20	<b>FD</b> 22	55-16	5 14-		-2			4 points	Pr T Ex	ojected Tulsa operiend	ce Rank	ISF <b>ings</b> ISF				USF Pts		Computer Point	s			at C Tuls AGC	B and hit a is +69	-101 yp 59% wi ypg vs o y 9.9).	og vs no ith a 1-2 opp avg Tulsa di	s FBS tea n P5 FBS ratio and Tulsa is d win her	ams losii teams i d rushei playing	ng by 24 and only d for 158 to an AG	.8 ppg b lost at I ypg. U: GG of 97	out did ba BYU by 8. SF is -107 7.6 the las	Memphi ck door Timmy 7 ypg vs st 4 weel	is TD 3:1: cover vs McClain I opp avg ks and US	Florida and as taken over overall and F is at just 83
Z019 Tulsa USF Z018 Tulsa	- 23 Rank -	-7.5 <b>Line</b> 66	Score 20	<b>FD</b> 22	55-16	5 14-		-2			4 points	Pr T Ex T	ojected Tulsa operiend	נ <b>ce Rank</b> נ dule Sti	ISF <b>ings</b> ISF		3		USF Pts		-	s		34-26	at C Tuls AGC	B and hit a is +69 (Tulsa b	-101 yp 59% wi ypg vs o y 9.9).	og vs no ith a 1-2 opp avg Tulsa di	s FBS tea n P5 FBS ratio and Tulsa is d win her ).	ams losi teams d rushed playing re 42-13	ng by 24 and only d for 158 to an AG	.8 ppg b lost at I lypg. U: GG of 97 r and is	out did ba BYU by 8. SF is -107 7.6 the las	Memphi ck door Timmy 7 ypg vs st 4 weel	is TD 3:1: cover vs McClain I opp avg ks and US	Florida and as taken over overall and F is at just 83

				N		te Wol										OVER	1	VERALL:							Bos			ge Eag	gles							
TM REC FOE REC CONF CON	E TM +/-	FOE +/-	. A	P		olfpack Log			I	TEA			RANK		DE	vs. /	0	vs. ACC 0-1	TM REC FOE	TM	FOE F CONF	TM +/- F	OE +/-	AP	Т		agles L					EAM	PS R		FOE	
0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0	129	116	TM	FOE	<b>DATE</b> 9/4	USF	E	SCORE 45-0	W/L W	OFF 38	DEF 13	26	0FF 108	DEF 108	<b>ST</b> 85		NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKING	GS	0-0	0-0	)	129		TM I	FOE   9/	DATE /4	Colgate	OE	51-0		OFF 24	DEF 64	<b>ST</b> 45	OFF	DEF	ST
1-0 1-0 0-0 0-0 1-1 0-0	133	128			9/11 a 9/18	at Miss St Furman		10-24 45-7	L W	30 41	12 9	40 105		30	96	NC S	tate Bos	ston College	1-0 0-1 2-0 1-1	0-0		128 125	93 110				t UMass it Temple		45-21 28-3		24 37	73 86		127 112		121 129
2-1 2-1 0-0 1-0	131	139		9	9/25	Clemson		27-21	W	35	11	82	48 101	4	94 108	#53	Rush Off	#39	3-0 2-1	0-0	0-1	127	124		9/	/25	Missour	ri	41-3	1 W	36	75 75	13	20	80	16
4-1 1-0	134		23 23		10/2 10/9	Louisiana Bye		34-27	W	44 35	10 17	83		98		#43 <b>#47</b>	Pass Off Total Off	#82 <b>#63</b>	4-1	0-1		128	136		10	0/9	t Clemso Bye		13-1	) L	34 46	73	27 19			93
4-1 4-1 1-0 0-: 2-3 0-:	123	128 128	22			at Boston Co at Miami, Fl				34	17	90	49 31	77 28	15 55	#44 #41	Score Off Sks Allowed	#26 #8	4-1 4-1 3-3		1-0	128	132 128		22 10		NC Stat				49	77	15	34 45		90 43
3-3 1-1 2-4 2-1		128			10/30	Louisville at Florida St							45 43	75 51	43	#13	Rush Def Pass Def	#43 #30	3-3 3-2		0-2 1-0		126 127		10	)/30 a	t Syracus	se						52 104	78	66
6-0 4-0	)	134			11/13 a	at Wake For	est						39	73	9	#12	Total Def	#29	3-3		2-2		124		11	1/13 a	Virginia it Georgia	Tech						63	79	104
3-3 0-3 3-3 2-3		126 131			11/20 11/27	Syracuse North Car							52 18	78 65	66 100	#10 #111	Score Def Sks By	#16 #111	2-4 6-0		2-2 4-0		127 134			1/20 1/27	Florida Wake F							43 39		68 9
																#15 +1	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#38 <b>0</b>																		
							_										101411103	Ť																		_
Score	Yds	Net FD	TO's		RA-RY	YPC	Comp Att	Yds Pass	Sks	FD		YPC		Yds Pass	Sks					Score						Offense IA-RY	YPC	Comp Att	Yds Pass			Defense RA-RY		Att		Sks
USF 45 at Miss St 10-	5-0 254 24 19	12	-3	27 16	40-293 25-32	7.3	17-26 30-51	232 303	1 4	15 15	32-104 15-22		14-33 33-49		0				Colgate at UMass		51-0 45-28	336 136	13		25 3! 24 4:	5-178 3-250	5.1	21-30 14-18	347 221	0	12 20	35-105 30-121		10-19 14-29		0
Furman 45	5-7 309 21 172	16 21	0		31-218	7.0	28-37 32-45	287 238	0		32-92	2.9	10-21		1 2	١,	,	es	at Temple Missouri		28-3 41-34		0		13 3! 23 49	5-187	5.3 5.6	5-13 18-29	34 175	0	13	36-78	2.2	17-24 30-42		4
Louisiana Tech 34-		-3	2		51-148 31-167	5.4	22-36	251	1	26	35-139	4.0	12-26 26-44		3	7	Β   <sub>ω</sub>	Eagl	at Clemson		13-19	-81	1			9=273 34-46	1.4	23-40	311		18			13-28		1
Bye at Boston College																Seaflow	AGE		Bye NC State																	
at Miami, Fl Louisville																Š	AVERAGE	College	at Louisville																	_
at Florida St																4	3   {	5	at Syracuse Virginia Tee																	_
at Wake Forest Syracuse																State	IEAM	Ē	at Georgia Te Florida St	ch																
North Carolina																۷	-	Boston	Wake Fores	st																
																•		B																		
Average 32-	16 138.4	9.4	0.2		36-172	4.8	66.2%		4.6%						4.0%	†			Average		36-17				21 39		4.8	62.3%		3.8%					194 4	
	21 172.0	21.0	0.0 -3.0		51-148 25-32	2.9	71.1%		6.7% 7.8%	10 15	23-103	1.5	46.2%	111	7.7%				Conferen	ce	13-19 46-17		7.0		19 3 24 4	34-46 2-227	1.4 5.4	57.5% 66.1%	311 261	10.0%	18	40-231 29-97	5.8	46.4% 65.6%	207 3 194 3	.6%
																NC S	Position by Position Edg	ges ton College																		
																NCS	NC State QB/WR																			
																	vs. Boston College DB	0.35																		
																0.54	NC State RB's																			
																	Boston College LB's	s																		
																0.97	NC State OL vs.																			
																	Boston College DL NC State DL	-																		
																	vs. Boston College OL	0.33																		
																	NC State LB'S																			
																0.79	vs. Boston College RB'	's																		
																1.91	NC State DB's																			
Last Five Years Mee	tings (1	ct 22 :	ones -1 '	DEIICE:	do a												Boston College QB/V	WR	Catego			Team & F	Mauri'							Phil's Fore	es et.					
2019 Rank Lin	e Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's				Proje	ected	Box s	Score				vs.	2.45				ream & r	Margin							Phil's Fore	cast:					$\neg$
NC State3.	5 24	15	27-56	20-44	-310											1	Boston College ST's		Las Vegas Line	•		N Car St	: Ву 3	Th	e home	team i	is 10-5 S	GU/11-4 AT e 2004 wh	TS in AC	C play in	this ser	ies. Thes	e two di	idn't me	et last yea	r but
Boston College - 53	45	23	60-429	6-15-	103	1				Pre	ojecte	d Rushi	ing				NC State K							m	aking hi	is first o	career sta	art and BC	won 45	-24. NCS	St trailed	131-330	2 and al	lowed 4	29 rush yd	IS.
2018 Rank Lin	e Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's	10	161 y	ards	NC S	State	Boston	College	120	yards	2.25	vs.		Las Vegas Tot	aí		52 Poi	ints	vs	a soft s	schedul	e and in	their last h	home ga	me conti	rolled th	e game v	vs Misso	uri with	ened a soli 275 rush	and
NC State 23 -6.	5 28	34	53-225	25-34	-308		tents			Pr	rojecte	d Passi	ing			L	Boston College K		+/- Ratings					ru	n by Pa	ce, Cler	mson 94,	/10 settle	18 yd F	G led 13-	6 H. BC	open 3Q	75/4 T	D 13-13	7-3 after 5 8. 5 punts t	then
Boston College - 61	23	12	25-120	14-25	-198	3	Cont	227 y	ards	NC S	State	Boston	College	224	yards		NC State Coaches	•	. , - Racings			N Car St	Ву 0.5	int	Clem 1	15/6 FG	G. Down	19-13 BC	SOD 4&	9 23 2:1	4 then	12/7 2&1	10 CU 1	1 Grosel	bobble sna in a game t	ар
2017 Rank Lin		FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's	le of			-		'ardage				1.50	vs.		Game Grade					tra	ailed 24	-3 until	l a late Ti	D. They did	d beat t	nat same	Clemso	n team a	at home	in OT.	In their last Tour (+20)	t
NC State3.		17	40-217	14-33			to Table	389 y	ards	NC S			College	344	yards		Boston College Coach	hes				N Car St	By 7.2	NO	St wen	t 57/7	in last :3	36 of half f	for 19 ye	FG to le	ad 13-1	0. NCSt	punted	then 40	/2 & 71/7	TD's
Boston College - 52		20	54-236	10-22		0	n to					Final S					NC State Pts		Computer Yar	ds				41	8 yd ed	dge. NC:	St has ta	ken on the	ie #88 s	chedule a	and BC	#126. NO	CSt has	two soli		
2016 Rank Lin		FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's	Retur	24 pi	oints				College	21 p	oints	7.96	vs.	3.12				N Car St 3	89-344	als	so rates	the ed	ge at QB	with Devi	in Leary	(69%, 1	3-2) vs	Dennis G	irosel (6	1%, 4-4	0, 6.5). NO 1). NCSt is	
NC State1		14	23-31	23-41			2	l .				e Rank	-		-		Boston College Pts	s	Computer Poir	nts	_							nd BC at 9 S as a HD a							outright and the ya	ırds
Boston College - 43.	5 21	21	44-168	19-34	-218	1		4	+			Boston	-		i2	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWARD	DED TO:			Вс	oston Colle	ege 22-2					Special tea								
								8	7	NC S			r <b>engtn</b> i College		27		NC State		Phil Steele		ь	oston Coll	lege by 7													
								8	,	NC S	orate	Boston	college	. 1	۷,						В	oston Coll	ege by 3				Вс	ston	Coll	ege 2	23 N	C Sta	te 2	0		
																	By 4.84 Points																			

						NI - I		- 6-	. la !									OVE	RALL:	OVERALL:									.   .								
						Neb		a Corn		ers								3- vs. B	-4	3-2 vs. BIG 10						Mir			olden G	•	ers						
TM REC FOE REG	TM	FOE	TM +/-	FOE +/	. A	P						TEA			RANK	FC		1-	-3	1-1	TM REC FOI	TM CONF	FOE	TM +/- F0	DE +/-	AP							TEAM		RANK	FOE	
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0		124		FOE	8/28	† Illinois	DE	22-30	W/L L	OFF 65	DEF 54	ST 99	OFF 66	DEF 94	<b>ST</b> 49		NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKIN		0-0 0-0				145	M F0			FOE io St	31-	ORE W/L	. OFF		5T 61	OFF 4	DEF 15	<b>ST</b>
0-1	0-1		127				9/4	Fordham	1	52-7	W	61	48	86				Nebr	aska	Minnesota	0-1 0-1	0-1	0-0	133	117		9/11	Mia	ımi, OH	31-	-26 W	47	97	104	115	108	108
1-1 1-0 2-1 2-0	0-1 0-1		127 129	118 147		3	9/11 9/18	Buffalo at Oklahom	na	28-3 16-23	W L	38 31	42 42	122 124		101 4	4 25	#18	Rush Off	#37		0-1			122 92		9/18	at Col	orado wling Green	30 10-	-0 W			72 75	78 123	39 101	92 19
2-2 3-0 2-3 2-2	0-1 0-2	1-0	131 131	133 123		20	9/25 10/2	at Michigan Northwe		20-23 56-7	L W	33 17	26 25	130	16 53	58 86	123 76	#34 <b>#14</b>	Pass Off Total Off	#123 <b>#110</b>	2-2 3-1 3-2	0-1 1-1		130 132	128		10/2 10/9	at Pur By		20-	-13 W	79 79		78 62	61	30	67
3-3 5-0	1-2		135	143		9	10/2	Michigar		29-32	L	19	25		10	8	2	#46	Score Off	#110		1-1			135		10/9		braska			82			19	24	129
3-4 3-2	1-3	1-1	135	132			10/16 10/23	at Minneso				0	0	0	82	20	62	#114 #54	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#31 #5	4-2 2-3		1-2 0-2		119 119		10/23		ryland thwestern						29 56	35 104	64 92
3-2		1-1		126			10/23	Purdue							68	34	78	#43	Pass Def	#71	2-5		1-3		118		11/6	Illin							93	76	63
5-1		3-0		149			11/6	Ohio St Bye							1	11	29	# <b>41</b> #21	Total Def Score Def	#19 #27	6-0 2-3		3-0 0-2		148			at Iov							105 92	2 36	5 38
2-3		1-2		135			11/20	at Wiscons	in						65	5	95	#63	Sks By	#63	2-3		1-2		135		11/27		sconsin						65	5	95
6-0		3-0		148			11/26	Iowa							105	2	5	#10 -1	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#65 +4																	
																																					_
So	ore		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	e YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks					Score			Net FD T	D's FI	Offer RA-RY		C Att			FD	Defens RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks
† Illinois Fordham			66	1	0		39-160		16-32	232		18	48-167	3.5	15-19		3			ίν	Ohio St		31-45	-87	7	1 2								1 7.7	13-22		0
Buffalo		28-3	341	22	0		65-329 41-220	5.1 5.4	21-30 14-20	304 296	0		33-135		18-30 27-50		0	5	<u> </u>	Gopher	Miami, OH at Colorado		31-26 30-0			2 19	46-17						30-10- 2119		16-31 12-24	82	0
at Oklahoma		16-23	-24	-3	-1	18	38-95	2.5	19-25	289	5	21	35-194	5.5	24-34	214	0	4110	2	ㅁ	Bowling Gr	een	10-14	49			45-18	2 4.						0.9	19-36		4
at Michigan St Northwestern			186	14 11	-1 1		48-188 53-427		25-36 15-21	252 230	7				25-40	183 256	3	1	ES ES	Ğ	at Purdue Bye		20-13	-154	-16	2 12	35-12	5 3.	6 9-18	3 16	59 0	28	34-77	2.3	34-52	3/1	4
Michigan		29-32	-28	-7	-1	19	32-140	4.4	18-28	291	1	26	42-204	4.9	22-39	255	1	1	AVERAGE	Golden	Nebraska																
at Minnesota Bye																			₹   ₩	묫	Maryland at Northwest	ern															
Purdue																				Ğ	Illinois																
Ohio St Bye																		1 3	ds kd	ţ	at Iowa at Indiana																-
at Wisconsin																		100		Se	Wisconsin																
Iowa																		2	<u> </u>	Minnesota																	_
Average		22-10	152.0	5.4	-0.1	23	45-223	49	66.7%	271	9.9%	18	35-134	2.0	61.7%	208	E 104	"	_	Σ	Average		24-20	26.4	1.2 0	.8 18	46-19	2 4.	2 52.2	% 14	12 8.99	6 17	27-77	2.8	57.0%	231	7 70/-
Conference		32-23	147.5	4.8	-0.3	23	43-229	5.3	63.2%	251	11.1%	18	37-119	3.3	62.8%	213					Confere	nce	26-29	-120.5	-4.5 C	.5 18	43-16	4 3.	9 53.5	% 18	37 4.79	6 23	30-13	9 4.6	63.5%	333	
Away		19-25	76.0	4.0	-0.7	21	42-148	3.5	64.5%	258	18.3%	17	38-144	3.8	69.7%	185	7.9%		Position by Position E	dges	Home		24-28	-30.7	2.0 0	.0 18	47-18	7 4.	0 49.1	% 12	25 12.7	% 16	27-10	9 4.0	53.9%	234	.5%
																		Nebr	aska	Minnesota																	
																			Nebraska QB/W	0.09																	
																			Minnesota DB's Nebraska RB's																		
																			Nebraska KB's	0.65																	
																			Minnesota LB's Nebraska OL																		
																			vs.	0.26																	
																			Minnesota DL Nebraska DL																		
																		0.05	vs.																		
																			Minnesota OL Nebraska LB'S																		
																		1.06	vs.																		
																			Minnesota RB's Nebraska DB's		1																
																		1.94	vs.																		
Last Fi	<u>re Y</u> ears	Meetin	ngs (La	st 22 v	ears at	PhilSte	ele.com	ı)											Minnesota QB/W Nebraska ST's	R	Categ	ory		Team & M	largin						Phil's Fo	recast:					
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		TO's				Proje	cted	Box 9	Score				vs.	2.22						Minr	esota lead	is the se	ries 34-25-2	and has			ast year I	Minny wo	n for just	the 2nd t	me in
Nebraska	-	-9.5	17	17	36-197	16-2	9-111											1	Minnesota ST's		Las Vegas Lin	e		Nebraska	By 4				sitting idle fo								nny
Minnesota	-	60.5	24	19	43-206	17-3	0-181	2				Pro	ojected	l Rushi	ng				Nebraska K				•			driv	alive and	a TD 2	plays later p TD on 4&1 w	out UM up	p 17-14 H	Neb we	nt 72/9 b	ut miss 3	2 yd FG.	Neb fumb	led
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	ts	167	yards	Nebra	aska	Minn	esota	173 y	yards		vs.	0.45	Las Vegas To	iai .		48 Poir	nts	out	lock with	Ibrahim	sliding dowr	at 6 ins	tead scor	e 1:11 le	ft. These	two have	split the	last 10	
Nebraska	-	49.5	7	16	43-151	15-2	9-148		ten			Pr	ojecteo	d Passi	ng				Minnesota K		+/- Ratings					3Q's	in the 2nd	d coldes	t October ga	me at TO	F Bank S	tadium ii	a 34-7 I	oss (+7')	. Scott F	ost is 11-	ATS
Minnesota	-	-7.5	34	20	49-322	8-13	3-128	0	Con	206	yards	Nebra	aska	Minn	esota	160 y	yards		Nebraska Coache	es	. , natings			Minnesota	By 1.0	Minn	esota look	ced solid	5-15 as a HF I vs Ohio St i	in the op	ener in a	14-point	loss but	lost RB It	orahim. 1	rey Potts	ook
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	le of			Proje	cted Y	ardage	Total				vs.	0.25	Game Grade					over on t	at RB and ne road 30	d rushed 0-0 allow	l for 518 yds ring just 63 y	in 4 gan ards! Th	nes. Minny ey lost at	only be home to	at Miami Bowling	Ohio by Green as	5 then sl a 31 pt	ut out Col favorite a	orado nd
Nebraska	-	-5	53	28	43-383	25-3	10-276		Table	373	yards	Nebra	aska	Minn	esota	332 y	yards		Minnesota Coache	es	mc ordue			Nebraska	By 5.1	in a	row only le	osing at	-12 by Purdu Oklahoma b	y 7 and	outgaining	Mich St	440-254	in a road	loss. Th	ey blasted	NW
Minnesota	-	54.5	28	22	36-125		6-349	0	. ot c			-		Final S					Nebraska Pts		Computer Ya	ds				56-7 19-7	and last v	week aft got 41 y	er a scoreles d TD pass 1:	ss 1Q (No 24 then	eb SOD at an int and	3) Mich	igan led 1 pass to	13-0 H wit lead 22-1	th 14-6 F 19 after :	D edge. U Q. Each te	1 led am
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		TO's	E E	25 p	oints	Nebra			esota	18 p	oints	3.05	vs.	3.93				Nebraska 3	73-332	oper	4Q with 1	TD, 29-2	6 UM 69/9 Fi	G then N	eb fumble	and Mic	higan -3	yd drive	for 39 yo	FG 1:24 t	o win
Nebraska	-	47.5	21	20	33-69		6-311		Rei					e Ranki					Minnesota Pts		Computer Poi	nts				Neb	aska is pla	aying to	an AGG of 1	111.1 as	they are ·	+152 yp	while M	Inny has	an AGG	of just 102	.4
Minnesota	-	-2.5	54	24	45-409		6-105	0		1	75	Nebra			esota	1	1	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:				Nebraska	25-18	Neb	aska play	ing Okla	While Minne homa, Mich	St and M	n a bye a lichigan al	i tough a	ind they	were +44	.6 ypg ir	those 3 le	sses.
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		TO's						ule Str					Minnesota		Phil Steele					Neb	aska fans	should	travel well h	ere.							
Nebraska	21	-6.5	24	19	32-157		8-217			4	14	Nebra	aska	Minn	esota	2	:3							Nebraska	by 11			Best	t Bet: 7	k Ne	brask	(a 28	Min	neso	ta 1	7	
Minnesota	-	48	17	15	34-85	18-2	8-180	-1											By 0.88 Point	s																	

Akron Zips	OVERALL: OVERALL: 2-4 2-4			Miami, OH Redhawks
Zips Logs  TM FOF AP TEAMPS RANK FOE	vs. MAC vs. MAC 1-1 1-1	FOF TM FOF	AP	Redhawks Logs  TEAMPS RANK FOE
TM REC FOEREC TM FOE TM +/- FOE+/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	NCAA RANKINGS			FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST
0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 99 132 9/4 at Auburn 10-60 L 124 125 123 22 18 25 0-1 0-1 0-0 0-0 96 108 9/11 Temple 24-45 L 128 125 64 110 111 122	2021 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 : 0-1 0-1 0-0 0-1 :	120 137 117 133	8         9/4         at Cincinnati         14-49         L         113         103         91         46         5         48           9/11         at Minnesota         26-31         L         115         108         108         47         97         104
0-2 0-0 94 9/18 Bryant 35-14 W 128 128 62	Akron Miami, OH	0-2 0-0	119	9/18 Long Island 42-7 W 119 112 77
1-2 2-1 0-0 1-0 95 141 10 9/25 at Ohio St 7-59 L 121 128 114 1 28 35 1-3 0-4 0-0 0-0 95 107 10/2 Ohio 17-34 L 123 129 129 106 125 84	#96 Rush Off #88 #81 Pass Off #58		116 123 116 115	9/25 at Army West Point 10-23 L 106 110 36 42 71 44 10/2 Central Michigan 28-17 W 110 116 66 54 105 71
1-4 2-3 0-1 0-1 94 101 10/9 at Bowling Green 35-20 W 125 127 129 127 78 18	#106 Total Off #80	2-3 3-2 1-0 0-1	117 119	10/9 at Eastern Michigan 12-13 L 106 109 63 103 105 98
2-4 2-4 1-1 1-1 99 117 10/16 at Miami, OH 122 124 130 100 102 69 2-4 0-2 114 10/23 Buffalo 88 115 101	#110 Score Off #10 #129 Sks Allowed #41		117 99 125	10/16 Akron 100 102 69 122 124 130 10/23 at Ball St 124 95 21
3-3 1-1 125 11/2 Ball St 124 95 21	#116 Rush Def #79	1-5 1-1	108	10/30 at Ohio 95 121 83
4-2 1-1 118 11/9 at Western Michigan 87 87 127	#65 Pass Def #33 #104 Total Def #51		114 96	11/6 Buffalo 88 115 101 11/13 Bowling Green 127 84 11
3-3 2-0 118 11/20 Kent St 57 103 124	#125 Score Def #56			11/20 Bye
3-3 1-1 120 11/27 at Toledo 89 41 67	#79 Sks By #24 #115 Ypg Diff #66		118	11/27 at Kent St 57 103 124
	+1 Total TO's -2			
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds			Net	Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks			Yds FD TO's	FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks
at Auburn 10-60 -425 -16 0 12 363 -0.1 22-27 191 6 28 32-316 9.9 22-27 297 0  Temple 24-45 -60 7 -2 26 43-171 4.0 19-34 191 3 19 34-177 5.2 19-23 245 0				17 45-169 3.8 9-28 109 2 27 31-247 8.0 20-26 295 2 20 30-104 3.5 16-31 237 0 19 46-175 3.8 8-17 112 1
Bryant 35-14 432 10 0 24 37-282 7.6 19-23 296 2 14 31-29 0.9 17-25 117 3	၂	Long Island 42-7	307 14 -1	27 36-229 6.4 18-25 294 1 13 38-38 1.0 15-21 178 4
at Ohio St 7-59 393 -9 -1 17 40-76 1.9 18-34 153 9 26 35-237 6.8 18-26 385 2  Ohio 17-34 -131 -6 1 19 38-112 2.9 18-25 215 7 25 47-398 8.5 8-15 60 2	n Zips rerages Redhawk			13 20-28 1.4 12-27 204 2 16 63-384 6.1 0-5 0 0 26 38-164 4.3 25-38 350 1 25 27-52 1.9 29-49 378 3
Ohio 17-34 -131 -6 1 19 38-112 2.9 18-25 215 7 25 47-398 8.5 8-15 60 2 at Bowling Green 35-20 21 0 3 18 39-117 3.0 20-25 268 4 18 34-107 3.1 21-35 257 4	s ies			26 38-164 4.3 25-38 350 1 25 27-52 1.9 29-49 378 3 19 29-126 4.3 16-39 259 3 16 33-51 1.5 21-31 206 6
at Miami, OH	on Zips AVERAGES	Akron		
Buffalo Ball St	n Z Neir	at Ball St at Ohio		
at Western Michigan	Akron EAM AVE i, OH F	Buffalo		
Bye Kent St	Akr ream ni, O	Bowling Green Bye		
at Toledo	Akror TEAM AV Miami, OH	at Kent St		
	Σ Ξ			
Average 21-39 -92.7 -2.3 0.2 19 39-126 3.2 69.0% 219 18.5% 22 36-211 5.9 69.5% 227 7.3% Conference 26-27 -55.0 -3.0 2.0 19 39-115 3.0 76.0% 242 22.0% 22 41-253 6.2 58.0% 159 12.0%		Average 22-23 2 Conference 20-15 1		20 33-137 4.1 51.1% 242 4.8% 19 40-158 4.0 62.4% 195 10.7% 23 34-145 4.3 53.2% 305 5.2% 21 30-52 1.7 62.5% 292 11.3%
Away 17-46 -265.7 -8.3 0.7 16 38-63 1.7 69.8% 204 22.1% 24 34-220 6.5 69.3% 313 6.8%		Home 35-12 1	195.5 7.5 -1.0	27 37-197 5.3 68.3% 322 3.2% 19 33-45 1.4 62.9% 278 10.0%
l l	Position by Position Edges Akron Miami, OH	-		
	Akron QB/WR			
	0.83 vs. Miami, OH DB's			
	Akron RB's			
	vs. 2.11 Miami, OH LB's			
	Akron OL			
	vs. 1.47 Miami, OH DL			
	Akron DL			
	vs. 1.58 Miami, OH OL			
	Akron LB'S			
	0.32 vs. Miami, OH RB's			
	Akron DB's	1		
	vs. 1.05 Miami, OH OB/WR			
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com).	Akron ST's	Category T	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
2020 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Box Score	vs. 3.27	Las Vegas Line	To	ams have split the last 8 but Akron has lost the last 4 (1-3 ATS). Last time here ('19) the Zips
Akron - 55.5 7 14 28-4 16-27-141	Miami, OH ST's	A	Akron By 19.5	ever led but only trailed 20-17 early 4Q. With 2 TO's left UA punted w/1:40 left but on 4th& 31
Miami, Oh14 38 17 27-108 18-27-308 1 <b>Projected Rushing</b>	Akron K	Las Vegas Total		owed a FD & MU ran out clock. With a win Miami would tie their series best 5 game win streak 001-'05). Last year on the first drive Brett Gabbert caught Akron in a blitz, completing a short
2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 67 yards Akron Miami, OH 202 yards	vs. 1.45	regus rotus	50.5 Points	reen pass to Sorenson. Sorenson went untouched for a 61-yard touchdown. Gabbert threw for
Akron - 43 17 11 29-5 15-25-197 Projected Passing	Miami, OH K	+/- Ratings		reer high 308 yds (Sorenson 177 yds rec , 4 td) in front of 0 fans. Miami led 24-0 at half with k1-69 yd edge and led 38-0 with Akron going 74/8 for TD with 8:08 left. Miami is 16-6 ATS ys
Mlami, Oh31 20 19 52-238 10-22-117 -2 211 yards Akron Mlami, OH 267 yards	Akron Coaches	Mia	ami, Oh By 21.3 th	e MAC the last 4 years and is 27-18-1 ATS off a SU loss. Miami mails it in in non conf play and
2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Yardage Total	vs. 1.55	Game Grade	38	as 1-3 SU but opened at home with a 28-17 win over Central Michigan. Last week Miami had a 15-257 yd edge but EM got the Ione TD on a 16 yd pass with 6 FG's between the teams in a 13-
Akron5 17 16 28-56 19-35-203 278 yards Akron Miami, OH 469 yards	Miami, OH Coaches	Mia	ami, Oh By 19.8	EMich win at home. EM led 10-6 at half and 13-6 with Miami getting two FG's but their last live ended on 485 DL pbu at EM 42 with 1:50 left. Akron opened 0-4 SU and ATS vs FBS teams
Mlami, Oh - 48 41 19 38-208 24-33-214 3 Projected Final Score	Akron Pts	Computer Yards	los	sing ATS by 10 ppg. DJ Irons who hit 69% with a 8-3 ratio and was the team's leading rusher
2017 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 14 points Akron Miami, OH 36 points	1.15 vs. 12.44	Miai		as injured vs Ohio. Last week Akron was without QB Irons. BG had 13-7 lead at half with 12-5 FD ige but after Kato Nelson was injured Zach Gibson (#3 QB) had 3 3Q TD passes (14-15-177) and
Akron - 53 14 18 30-55 19-38-218 Experience Rankings	Miami, OH Pts	Computer Points	He	ester ran 20-120. Akron had -14 yds on first 4 drives but 416 after that. I like what I saw out of
Miami, Oh6.5 24 26 36-185 17-30-235 -2 57 Akron Miami, OH 32	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Mi		cron last week and while Miami wins this one the Zips have a shot at keeping it closer than pected.
2016 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Team Schedule Strength	Miami, OH	Phil Steele	ex	pocces.
Akron10 35 16 35-125 15-29-174 105 Akron Miami, OH 63	Miami, Un	Prili Steele Mi	iami, Oh by 18	Minmi Oh 24 Alman 16
Miami, Oh - 54.5 13 15 34-107 14-28-153 -3	By 11.33 Points			Miami, Oh 34 Akron 16

								F Knig										3-2		5-0							(			Bear	cats							
	тм	EOF				AP	K	nights Lo	gs		-	TEAN	м	PS RAM	(K	FOE		vs. AA 1-1		vs. AAC 1-0	FO		TM EOE				AP	T	Bearcat	s Logs				TEAM		PS RAM	NK	FOE
TM REC FOE REC	CONF		F TM +			м	FOE DATE		OE	SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF D	EF S			NCAA RANKINGS		TM REC FO				- FOE +/		FOE	DATE		FOE		ORE W		OFF		ST	OFF	DEF ST
0-0 0-0 1-0	0-0 0-0	0-0	130		26		9/4 9/11	Boise S Bethun	e-Cookmar	36-31 63-14	W	10 9		76 120	44 !	52 1	U	UCE	021 TEAM RANKING	Cincinnati	1-0	0	0-0 0-0	137 139	120	7		9/4	Miam Murra	y St				46 37	6	48 59	113	103 91
2-0 1-1 2-1	0-0 0-0	0-0	128		28		9/18 9/25	at Louisvil Bye	lle	35-42	L	7		100 106	79	32 4		23	Rush Off	#51	2-0 1-: 3-0		0-0 0-1 0-0	139 142		8		9/18 9/25	at India	na	3	8-24		32 45		107 81	68	11 13
2-1 0-3	0-0		128	3 1:			10/2	at Navy		30-34	L	7	70	107		96 1	27 #	50	Pass Off	#42	3-0 4-0	0 1	0-0 0-0	142	140		9	10/2	at Notre		2		W	52	7	80	68	5 23
2-2 3-2 3-2 5-0	0-1 1-1		124	1 14	47		10/9 3 10/16	East Ca at Cincinn	ati	20-16	W			81	37	4 4		21	Total Off Score Off	#42	5-0 3-2	2 1		145 147				10/9	Temp		5	i2-3		52 37			22	75 112 83 81
3-3 3-3		0-2 1-1			19 09		10/23	Memph at Temple								94 1		31 62	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#21 #33	1-4		1-2 0-2		117 117				at Navy at Tulan								128 85	93 123 112 82
1-5 6-0		0-2		1:	17		11/6	Tulane							85 1	.12 8	2 #	56	Pass Def	#19	2-4	4	1-1		119			11/6	Tulsa								47	71 126
0-7		2-0 0-2		9	14			Connec	ticut						129 1	96 7 .26 9	3 #		Total Def Score Def	<b>#11</b> #2	1-4	0	0-1 2-0		112 128			11/20	at USF SMU								112 8	123 115 96 76
1-4		0-1		1:	12		11/26	USF							112 1	.23 1:		116 29	Sks By Ypa Diff	#63 #11	3-3	3	1-1		125			11/27	at East (	Carolina							61	81 50
																	+	-2	Total TO's	+7																		
				N			Offens		Comp	Yds			Defense			'ds									Net			Offen	se	Con		Yds			efense			Yds
Sco Boise St	re	36-	Yds 31 290				FD RA-RY 29 48-255	<b>YPC</b> 5.3	Att 25-37	Pass 318	Sks 3					ass Si	cs )				Miami, OH	Score	49-14	4 264	FD 10	TO's	<b>FD</b> 27	RA-RY 31-247		20-:						3.8	<b>Att</b> 9-28	Pass Sks 109 2
Bethune-Cookm at Louisville	nan	63- 35	14 392	2 1	8	1	37 42-290 22 34-212	6.9	28-44	381 208	1 2	19	14-24 37-191	1.7 2	9-51 2		)				Murray St at Indiana		42-7 38-24	7 149	2	4	17	32-130	4.1	15-	25 :	261		15 3	37-93 7-152	2.5	17-29	
Bye											_									ıţ	Bye																	
at Navy East Carolina		30- 20-					17 29-148 16 31-165		16-27 23-35	178 194	2		76-348 39-141			19 4	1	ß	S	Bearca	at Notre Dam Temple	ne	24-13 52-3		-3 9	3	16 23	30-93 33-279		19-1		297 263			28-84 29-84			
at Cincinnati																		g	8AG	ea	UCF																	
Memphis at Temple																		Knights	AVERA	Ë	at Navy at Tulane																	
Tulane at SMU			-															兵	Σ	Ē	Tulsa at USF						-											
Connecticut																		UCF	I E	흥	SMU																	
USF																				Cincinnati	at East Carol	lina																
Average Conference		37-: 25-:	27 104. 25 -40.				24 37-214 17 30-157		66.5% 62.9%	256 186	4.6% 3.2%		38-145 58-245								Average Confere			2 144.4	3.6 9.0	1.4 3.0		32-173 33-279		64.7 74.2		265 4. 263 3.			5-116 29-84			178 6.9% 150 18.89
Away					5.5 0	.0	20 32-180	5.7	68.4%	193	3.5%	25 5	57-270	4.8 6	0.5% 1	.84 4.7	1%				Home	ince	48-8	8 240.3	3 7.0	1.3	22	32-219	6.8	70.7	7%	273 6.	1%	15 3	7-115	3.1 4	9.4%	136 10.19
																		UCF		iges Cincinnati																		
																			UCF QB/WR vs.	2.75																		
																		-	Cincinnati DB's UCF RB's																			
																			vs.	0.25																		
																			Cincinnati LB's UCF OL																			
																	0.	.45	vs. Cincinnati DL																			
																			UCF DL	0.82																		
																			vs. Cincinnati OL	0.82																		
																	0.	.47	UCF LB'S																			
																			Cincinnati RB's																			
																			UCF DB's vs.	0.71																		
Last Fiv	e Years	Meet	ings (I	.ast 2	2 vears	at Pi	nilSteele.com	1)										-	Cincinnati QB/WR	2	Categ	gory		Team	& Margin							Phil's	Forecast	:				
2020	Rank	Line					Comp-Att-Yds	TO's			- 1	Proje	cted B	ox Sc	ore				vs.	3.26																		
UCF	-	65.5	33	2	35	116	26-49-243												Cincinnati ST's		Las Vegas Lin			Cincin	nati By 2	ι	outrigh	t upset 2	7-24 two	years ag	o in Nip	pert Stad	ium. La	ist year	UCF was	s a home	e dog (+	oulling the 4.5) but
Cincinnati	7	-4.5	36	2	8 48	144	21-32-338	0				Pro	jected F	Rushing	ı				UCF K		Las Vegas To	at al					jumpe FG with	d out to a n :01 left	14-3 lea 1H to tra	id 1Q befo il at the h	ore Cinc alf 19-1	y would on the second of the s	come ba ould re-	ack to le -gain th	ead 19-1 e lead la	4 late 20 ite 3Q (2	2 & UCF !5-22) b	would get a ut Cincy
2019	Rank	Line	Scor	e F	D R	ısh	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	10	162 y	ards	UCI	F	Cincinn	ati	186 yard	s		vs.	0.55	Las vegas 10	na:		57.	5 Points		scored fumble	straight d near ti	2 TD's in ne goal lir	the 4Q to ne trying t	lead 36 to run th	5-25. UCF ne clock o	would out and	answer survive	with a T d with Ri	D (traile idder thr	d 36-33 owing fi	and Cincy or 338 yds.
UCF	18	-4	24	2	9 42	126	25-46-297		tents			Pro	ojected F	Passing	ı				Cincinnati K		+/- Ratings						New U Playofi	CF HC Ma hopes b	Izahn is j ut neede	ust 8-11 and to beat	ATS in h Indiana	is career and Notr	as a ro e Dame	oad under	erdog. C road an	incy can d they a	ne into t ccompli	he year with shed that. Las
Cincinnati	-	60.5	27	1	.8 41	192	17-31-149	3	Cont	182 y	/ards	UCI	F	Cincinn	ati	303 yard	s		UCF Coaches		/- Katings			Cincinn	ati By 27	.3	week (	lincy was	off their	bia win a	ver ND.	They on	ly led 3-	-0 when	Temple	muffed	a punt	and UC went -3 with 11-7 3 TD next 3
2018	Rank	Line	Scor	e F	D R	ısh	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	of			Projec	cted Yar	dage To	otal				vs.	1.90	Game Grade	_					poss.	Temple w	as SOD a	t 3 in 52-	3 rout.	QB Desm	ond Rid	lder is h	nitting 66	% with a	12-2 r	tio and the
UCF	11	-7.5	38	1	.8 36	134	13-25-268		Table	344 y	/ards	UCI	F	Cincinn	ati	489 yard	s		Cincinnati Coaches	s	Jame Grade			Cincinn	ati By 17	.9	defens St with	e is hold a 573-2	ng foes t 83 yd edd	o 60 ypg ge. They l	below th ost to L	neir seaso ouisville o	on avg. on the r	UCF op oad and	ened wit d lost QB	h a solid Gabriel	I home i	vin over Bois ast play. With
Cincinnati	19	61	13	2	10 55	252	11-26-127	-2	n to l			Proje	ected Fir	nal Sco	re			I	UCF Pts		Computer Ya	rde					at UCF	10 early	, and it w	as 3-3 at	half wit	h EC 11-8	B FD edg	ge. Dow	n 6-3 UC	CF went	75/7 for	0. EC fumble TD mid 3Q
2017	Rank	Line	Scor	e F	D R	ısh	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	eturi	19 p	oints	UCI	F	Cincinn	ati	43 points	0.	.92	vs.	10.25	Joinputer 18			Cincinn	ati 489-3	14	and E0	53/5 TE 20-16. I	13-10 2: JCF is pla	43 3Q. E0	C 66/16 94.6 AC	34 yd FG 36 level t	i 16-10. he L4W	UCF 53 and Cir	3/6 FG th ncy is at	en 64/1 110.5. 9	1 for a 7 Since '18	D with :20 le Cincy is 13-
UCF	25	-17	51	2	3 21	141	16-19-374		ě			Expe	erience F	Ranking	gs				Cincinnati Pts		Computer Po	oints					first tir	ne since	'16 that L	JCF will be	a conf	erence ro	ad und	erdog a	ind UCF i	is just 2-	8 ATS t	e marks the ne L2Y in AAC
Cincinnati	-	54	23	2	1 26	113	23-40-278	-1		8	0	UCI	F	Cincinn	ati	95		POSI	TIONAL EDGE AWARD	DED TO:				Cincin	nati 43-1	•	games hamm	. Cincy i er down.	needs imp	oressive v	vins and	get one	vs a ba	inged-u	p UCF sq	juad and	Fickell	outs the
2016	Rank	Line	Scor	e F	D R	ısh	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's				Team 5	Schedul	e Stren	gth				Cincinnati		Phil Steele																	
UCF	-	-11	24	1	.9 43	155	22-34-150			10	09	UCI	F	Cincinn	ati	82								Cincin	nati by 2	3				_	inci	nnati	i 45	LICE	- 17			
Cincinnati	-	51.5	3	1	.8 36	107	19-42-220	-3											By 9.33 Points												ancı	ıııatı	. 73	301	1/			

																		OVER	RALL:	OVERALL:																
						L		Blue [ e Devils L										3- vs.	-3 ACC	4-2 vs. ACC								nia Cav		S						
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	. <sub>TM</sub>	AP FOE	DATE	FC	DE	SCORE	W/L	TE/	AM DEF	PS I	RANK	DEF	OE ST	0-	-2 NCAA RANKINGS	2-2	TM REC FO	E TM	FOE F CONF	TM +/- FOE	+/- TM	AP FOE	DATE	FOE		SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF	4 DEF	PS RAN		FOE DEF ST
0-0 0-0 0-1	0-0	0-0	114 112	108			9/4	at Charlott	e arolina A&	28-31		105 80	102 104		121	113	103		2021 TEAM RANKIN	IGS	0-0 1-0 1-	0-0 1 0-0		129 133 12-	,		9/4	William &	Mary	43-0 42-14	W	96 84	79 80	119 22		96 64
1-1 1-1	0-0		114				9/18	Northwe		30-23	W	55	100	21		72	48	Du		<u>Virginia</u>	2-0 1-	1 0-0	0-1	136 13	6	21	9/18	at North Car		39-59	L	71	77	85	8	38 88
2-1 1-2 3-1 2-2	0-0		117 117	105			9/25 10/2	Kansas at North Ca	rolina	52-33 7-38	W L	25 15	86 97	17 22	127 17	122 63	85 99	#19	Rush Off Pass Off	#107 #2	2-2 2-	0 0-1	0-0	133 13 128 13			9/25	Wake For at Miami, Fl		17-37 30-25	L W	47 49	95 100		24	62 15 36 46
	0-1	1-2	115 115				10/9	Georgia at Virginia		27-31	L	18 20	90 89	35	62 53	71 98	109 103	#12 #47	Total Off Score Off	#6 #34	3-2 3-	2 1-2 3 2-2	1-1	130 12 131 11	9		10/9	at Louisville		34-33	W	45	91 98	94 103		83 48 89 49
	0-2		113				10/23	Bye				20	09	73				#62	Sks Allowed	#114	3-	3	2-2	12-	4		10/23	Georgia T	ech			33	30		63	79 104
6-0 4-1		4-0 1-0		134 127			10/30	at Wake Fo							39 15	73 43	9 61	#86 #105	Rush Def Pass Def	#111 #64	5-	1	0-0	12	6		10/30	at BYU Bye							54	72 41
3-2 3-3		1-0 1-2		127 128			11/13	at Virginia Louisvill							104 45	23 75	10 43	<b>#98</b> #91	Total Def Score Def	<b>#96</b> #90	5- 4-	1	0-0 1-0	13	7		11/13	Notre Dan at Pittsburgh	ne 1						74 15	9 33 43 61
2-3		0-1		128				Miami, F							31	28	55	#92 #44	Sks By Ypg Diff	#102 #33	3-		1-0					Virginia T							104	
																		-1	Total TO's	+33 -5																
				Net			Offense	e	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds								Ne	t		Offen	se	Comp	Yds			efense		omp	Yds
at Charlotte	re	28-31	Yds 102	FD 3	TO's	FD 23	<b>RA-RY</b> 44-352		Att 20-29	Pass 228	Sks 3			YPC 3.9	Att 19-30			-			William &	Score	43-	Vds FD 0 362 11			RA-RY 32-206		Att 21-32	Pass 339	Sks 1	FD 1	<b>RA-RY</b> 37-94		Att 1	Pass Sks 89 1
North Carolina	A&T	45-17	169	5	0	24	38-150 53-208	3.9	22-33	283	1	19	48-208		12-21	56	0				Illinois	- 1	42-1	4 219 11	1 0	31	33-133	4.0	28-40 40-57	423	0		7-116	4.3 2	4-45	221 3
Northwestern Kansas		52-33		6	0	27	52-279	5.4	32-45 22-29	350 328	1 0	21	40-207	5.2	19-32	323	1		,	Ś	at North Car Wake For		39-5 17-3	7 33 7	-2	31	22-21 27-99	3.7	33-59	553 407	3 6	24 4	5-203	4.5 1		270 0
at North Carolina Georgia Tech			-142 1 49	-6 10	-2 1		39-130 62-197	3.3	17-24 22-29	184 292	5		38-135	3.6	18-32		5	1 3	AGES	<u>=</u>	at Miami, Fl at Louisville		30-2 34-3				35-181 25-35		25-44 40-61	268 487	6	18 4 20 3		4.2 1 6.9 1	7-28	
at Virginia																		2		Cavalie	Duke															
Bye at Wake Forest																			AVEI G		Georgia 1 at BYU	ecn														
Pittsburgh at Virginia Tech																				n i	Bye Notre Dar	ne										$\vdash$				
Louisville Miami, Fl																		1	TEAM	Virginia	at Pittsburgh Virginia T															
Pilatti, 11																		-	,	5	Virgilia	ecii														
																		1														$\vdash$				
Average Conference			67.7	3.7 2.0	-0.2 -0.5		48-219 51-164		71.4% 73.6%	278	5.8% 11.3%										Averag Confere		34-2 30-3				29-113 27-84		63.8% 62.4%			21 3				227 5.4% 263 4.7%
Away			-20.0			19			69.8%	206	15.1%	21	39-145	3.7	59.7%	323	9.7%		Position by Position E	4	Home			7 204.7 9.7			31-146		62.6%							193 4.4%
																		Du	ke	Virginia																
																		0.90	Duke QB/WR vs.																	
																			Virginia DB's Duke RB's		-															
																		1.00	vs.																	
																			Virginia LB's Duke OL																	
																		1.84	vs. Virginia DL																	
																		0.36	Duke DL																	
																		0.36	vs. Virginia OL																	
																		1.75	Duke LB'S vs.																	
																			Virginia RB's Duke DB's																	
																			vs. Virginia QB/WR	1.78																
Last Fiv	e Years	Meetir	ngs (La	st 22 y	ears at	PhilSte	ele.com	ı).				Drei	ot o d	Devi	200				Duke ST's		Cate	gory		Team & Marg	gin					PI	nil's Fore	ast:				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's				rroje	cted	Box S	core			1.82	vs.		Las Vegas Li	ne				Duke	has lost	the last 4 at \	Viminia i	ncluding	ast ves	r when D	ike don	inated th	e 10 an	ıd 3O's hu±
Duke	-	45.5	20	17	38-56	18-40													Virginia ST's					Virginia By 1	0.5	UVA h	ad the e	dge in 2Q and ng a 7th straid	d 4Q. Du	ke finishe	ed -4 TO	s and wa	s outga	ined 450-	342 in	a 38-20 loss.
Virginia	-	-4.5	38	25	37-188			4					-	d Rushi	-				Duke K		Las Vegas Te	otal				ST's e	dge (#4	vs #102). D	Duke lost	to Charle	otte in t	he opener	r despit	a 580-4	78 yd e	dge then
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's	ıts	195 )	/ards	Du			ginia	143	yards	0.50	vs. Virginia K					69.5 Points	s	week	Duke ha	vs weak tea d a 27-17 FD	edge bu	t down 2	7-24 G1	T got ball 1	1:42 an	d no time	outs at	their own
Duke		45.5 -3.5	14 48	14 17	41-132 41-154			2	on ter	220	/ards	<b>Pr</b> Du		d Passi	<b>ng</b> qinia	434	vards		Virginia K  Duke Coaches		+/- Ratings			Virginia By 2	0.0	12 an	d got a 3	6 yd TD pass ions. GT led 1	:51 left	to pull or	it a 31-	27 road w	in. HC	Collins sai	d GT wa	s decimated
Virginia 2018	Rank	-3.5 Line	48 Score	FD FD	41-154 Rush	Comp-#		TO's	of Cor	230 \	yai US			ardage		434	yarus	0.50	vs.					• II yiilia By Zi	U.J	14 H.	Duke to	ok its first lea CC in North (	d with 5	:06 left s	ettling f	or a 22 yd	FG. Vi	ginia lost	to two	of the top
Duke	-	-7	14	19	27-58	23-42			Table	425 )	/ards	Du			inia	577	yards	1.23	Virginia Coaches		Game Grade			Virginia By 1	5.8	Hurric	anes mis	sed a FG on t	the last p	play. Last	week L	ouisville g	ot a 91	yd TD pa	ss on its	first play
Virginia	-	44.5	28	21	44-178			2	to Ta	.25				Final S			, =:==		Duke Pts					,,		on 4&	6 and 48	k8 on final dri	ive with	a 1 yd TD	pass w	ith :22 lef	ft. For t	he second	straigh	t week
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	Att-Yds	TO's	E	25 p	oints	Du			ginia	40	points	8.66	vs.	1.78	Computer Ya	ırds		Virginia 577-4	425	when	trailing b	sed a GW FG a by 17 pts in 4	Q the las	st 15 sea:	sons un	til this. QE	B Brenn	an Armst	rong ha	s thrown for
Duke	-	52.5	21	17	32-131	14-42	2-124		Retu			Exp	erienc	e Rank	ings				Virginia Pts							ypg al	ove whi	7-6). Virginia le both offens	ses are a	bove aver	ages so	it should	be high	scoring.	Duke is	on a 1-10
Virginia	-	-2.5	28	21	38-128	24-43	3-182	0		1:	16	Du	ke	Vir	ginia		84	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:	Computer Po	oints		Virginia 40-2	25	SU/3-	8 ATS ru	n on the road ce is at just 9	d. UVA is	on a 7-2	ATS ru	n as a HF.	. Virgini	a is playin	g to an	AGG of
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's				Team	Sched	lule Str	ength				Duke		Phil Steele							5 ac jasc 5		, 2, 13.3						
Duke	-	-3.5	20	25	31-106	33-54	4-324			10	08	Du	ke	Vir	ginia		33		Dune		steele			Virginia by 1	16				Vi.	raini	44	Duke	28			
Virginia	-	61.5	34	16	36-80	23-41	1-336	5											By 6.88 Point	s									VII	gillia	. 44	Juke	20			

B. Constantini Marintan		OVERALL: OVE	RALL:	1		Northwest www. Wildows
Rutgers Scarlet Knights Scarlet Knights Logs			2-3 BIG 10			Northwestern Wildcats Wildcats Logs
THOSE FOR DISC TM FOR THE COLUMN AP TEAM -	-PS RANK FOE	0-3 0	0-2	TM REC FOE TM	FOE TM +/- FOE +/-	AP TEAMPS RANK FOE
0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 128 112 9/4 Temple 61-14 W 99 49 1-0 1-0 0-0 0-0 132 126 9/11 at Syracuse 17-7 W 106 46 1	5 106 111 118 10 81 75 12	NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKINGS Rutgers Norths	western	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-1 0-1 1-1 1-1 0-1	0-0 132 124 127 0-0 124 114	TM         FOE         DATE         FOE         SCORE         W/L         OFF         DEF         ST         OFF         DEF         ST           9/4         Michigan St         21-38         L         89         53         74         78         90         11           9/11         Indiana St         24-6         W         89         58         118           9/18         at Duke         23-30         L         92         72         48         55         100         2
3-0 3-0 0-0 0-0 134 140 19 9/25 at Michigan 13-20 L 102 25	9 5 16 6 6 1 20 37	#84 Rush Off #86 Pass Off	#46	1-2 0-3 0-1	0-0 124 114 0-0 121 109 0-2 123 131	9/25 Ohio 35-6 W 79 82 84 105 123 7
3-2 5-0 0-2 2-0 130 133 11 10/9 Michigan St 13-31 L 98 38	7 24 53 68 3 56 104 92	#98 Total Off #80 Score Off	#92 #107	2-3 0-2	119 0-3 119 126	10/9 Bye 57 101 90 10/16 Rutqers 56 104 92 97 50
10/23 Bye 2-5 1-3 118 10/30 at Illinois	93 76 63	#62 Sks Allowed #73 Rush Def	#62 #125	6-0 3-2	3-0 143 1-1 132	10/23 at Michigan 11 13 2 1 10/30 Minnesota 82 20 6
2-3 1-2 135 11/6 Wisconsin 2-3 0-2 130 11/13 at Indiana	65 5 95 92 36 38	#47 Pass Def #58 Total Def	#51 #110	6-0 2-3	3-0 148 1-2 135	11/6 Iowa 105 2 5 11/13 at Wisconsin 65 5 9
5-1 2-1 145 11/20 at Penn St 4-2 1-2 119 11/27 Maryland	33 3 19 29 35 64	#49 Score Def #42 Sks By	#78 #92	3-2 2-5	1-1 126 1-3 118	11/20 Purdue 68 34 7 11/27 at Illinois 93 76 6
4-2 1-2 119 11/27 Maryianu	29 33 04	#87 Ypg Diff +5 Total TO's	#113 -1	2-5	1-5	11/27 de fillinois 93 70 0
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense	Comp Yds	+3 Iotal 10's			Net	Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds
Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY Y				Score Michigan St	Yds FD 21-38 -111 -3	TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass St 1 20 38-117 3.1 30-43 283 3 23 37-326 8.8 15-26 185 2
at Syracuse 17-7 -63 2 3 16 43-50 1.2 22-28 145 4 14 30-67 2 Delaware 45-13 233 10 0 24 38-159 4.2 23-29 334 0 14 34-162 4	2.2 19-32 191 5	hts	S	Indiana St at Duke	24-6 106 6 23-30 -151 -4	-1 18 46-209 4.5 9-16 66 2 12 22-31 1.4 22-35 138 : -2 22 32-147 4.6 19-39 260 2 26 53-208 3.9 32-45 350 :
at Michigan 13-20 77 6 -1 21 42-196 4.7 18-31 156 1 15 38-112 2 Ohio St 13-52 -194 -7 -3 18 31-112 3.6 28-40 235 2 25 37-208 5	2.9 9-16 163 0	<u>.</u>	dca	Ohio at Nebraska	35-6 113 5	2 22 51-373 7.3 12-20 88 0 17 31-179 5.8 21-32 169 4 -1 17 26-37 1.4 25-40 256 4 28 53-427 8.1 15-21 230 (
	i.6 16-27 340 0	carlet Knigl	Wildcats	Bye Rutgers	7-56 -364 -11	-1 1/ 20-3/ 1.4 23-40 230 4 20 33-42/ 8.1 13-21 230 U
Bye				at Michigan		
at Illinois Wisconsin		Scal 1 AV	ste	Minnesota Iowa		
at Indiana at Penn St		ers So	We	at Wisconsin Purdue		
Maryland		utge	Northwestern	at Illinois		
		R	Š			
Average 27-23 -9.5 3.0 0.8 20 40-141 3.5 65.0% 214 5.6% 17 36-152 4 Conference 13-34 -110.3 -1.0 -1.0 19 36-139 3.8 60.4% 219 6.3% 20 38-190 5				Average Conference	22-27 -81.4 -1.4 14-47 -237.5 -7.0	-0.2         20         39-177         4.6         60.1%         191         7.0%         21         39-234         6.0         66.0%         214         6.2           0.0         19         32-77         2.4         66.3%         270         8.4%         26         45-377         8.4         63.8%         208         4.3
Away 15-14 7.0 4.0 1.0 19 43-123 2.9 67.8% 151 8.5% 15 34-90 2	2.6 58.3% 177 10.4%	Position by Position Edges		Home	27-17 36.0 2.7	0.7 20 45-233 5.2 64.6% 146 6.3% 17 30-179 6.0 62.4% 164 9.7
		Rutgers Northy Rutgers OB/WR				
		vs. Northwestern DB's	0.04			
	=	Rutgers RB's	0.65			
	=	Northwestern LB's Rutgers OL	0.05	=		
		0.73 vs.				
	F	Northwestern DL Rutgers DL				
		vs. Northwestern OL	1.19			
		Rutgers LB'S 0.66 vs.				
	F	Northwestern RB's Rutgers DB's		1		
		1.17 vs. Northwestern QB/WR				
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)  2018 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Bo	ox Score	Rutgers ST's		Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Rutgers - 48.5 15 10 26-107 15-31-81		3.56 Northwestern ST's		Las Vegas Line	Rutgers By 2	Northwestern is only Big Ten location that Rutgers has not yet traveled to until this year.  Northwestern trails the series 3-1 but won the last meeting in the lone game as Big 10 opponen
Northwestern20.5 18 20 47-128 17-34-150 -1 <b>Projected Ru</b>	=	Rutgers K		Las Vegas Total		(18). NW trailed 15-7 mid-3Q, NW converted two 4th downs on its GW 4Q drive to grind out an 15 win (-20'). RU led 12-7 at half but could only muster a FG in the 2H in a 3-pts loss, their clos
<b>2</b>	orthwestern 154 yards	vs. Northwestern K	2.05		44.5 Points	defeat in a 1-11 season. Greg Schiano is 27-16-1 ATS as an AD and Fitzgerald is 23-35-1 ATS as HF. Northwestern opened 2-3 with a 38-21 home loss to Mich St, they trailed Duke 30-7 at half
Projected Pi	assing orthwestern 182 yards	Northwestern K Rutgers Coaches		+/- Ratings	Rutgers By 3.5	and then Nebraska got their largest ever win in a Big Ten game hitting a 70 yd pass on first play and led 35-7 at half and rolled 56-7 with 657-293 yd edge. Rutgers opened up 4-0 ATS including the state of the sta
Projected Yard	·	vs.	1.15	Gamo Grado		going into the Big House and outgaining Michigan 352-275. After a blowout loss to Ohio St, Mich got big plays with 63, 63 and 65 yd TD passes to Nailor (5-221) and RB Walker had a 94 yd TD r
394 yards Rutgers No	orthwestern 336 yards	Northwestern Coaches		Game Grade	Rutgers By 12.9	led 7-0 and 10-7 but trail 21-13 at half. Rutgers punt on 1st 4 poss 2H then SOD at 8 fumble at
209 yards Rutgers No Projected Yard  394 yards Rutgers No Projected Fin:  2 26 points Rutgers No Experience R	al Score orthwestern 20 points	Rutgers Pts 6.12 vs.	5.08	Computer Yards	Rutgers 394-336	Mich St 24 & SOD 31 last 3 poss in 31-13 Mich St win. Rutgers is playing to an AGG of 102.1 an NW is at 85.3. (Rutgers by 13.3). The Wildcats really miss DC Hankwitz as they are allowing 584
20 points ratigers in 22 Experience Ri		Northwestern Pts	3.00		narge13 334-330	Hull has rushed for 509 (7.3). Rutgers D is holding foes to 76 ypg below their season avg. Rutge
	orthwestern 130	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED	то:	Computer Points	Rutgers 26-20	has taken on the #18 schedule and NW is at just #93. The numbers scream Rutgers but Northwestern is a home dog fresh off a bye and their backs are to the wall in a circle the wagons
Team Schedule		Rutgers		Phil Steele		game for the defending Big Ten West champ.
19 Rutgers No	orthwestern 94	Pu 4 0 4 Pulus			Rutgers by 1	Rutgers 17 Northwestern 16
		By 1.04 Points				

						l		t Caro									OVEI	-3	OVERALL: 4-2							E Mic	higan		s						
	TM	FOF				AP	Cai	rdinals Lo	ogs			TEAM	P:	S RANK	F	OE	vs.	MAC -1	vs. MAC 1-1	FOF	TM	FOF			AP	T	Eagles Lo	ogs		Т	TEAM		PS RANK-	F	OE
TM REC FOE REC	CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	<sup>/-</sup> тм	FOE	DATE	Western		SCORE 31-21	W/L	0FF E	EF ST		DEF	ST		NCAA RANKING 2021 TEAM RANKI	SS	TM REC FOE REC	CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FO	!+/- тм	FOE	DATE	St Fran	OE	SCORE 35-15	W/L W			ST OF	DEF	ST
1-0 1-0	0-0	1-0	126	144		11	9/4 9/11	at Penn St		13-44	L	90	14 52	22		25	Ba		E Michigan		0-0		120 1	39	18		at Wiscons		7-34	L	105	114	89 29		106
1-1 2-0 1-2 1-2	0-0		124 120	122			9/18	at Wyomin	g	12-45 12-22			18 43 04 55	93 103		52 46	#113	Rush Off	#97	1-1 0-2 2-1 1-2		0-0 0-0		08		9/18	at UMass Texas S	St	42-28 59-21	W			.01 12		128 62
1-3 4-0	0-1	1-0	116				10/2		est Point	28-16	W	122 1	09 45	40	53	29	#83	Pass Off	#66	3-1 2-2	0-0	0-0	122 1	14			at Norther	n Illinois	20-27	L	97 :	110 1	.03 81	122	109
2-3 4-1 3-3 4-2	0-1 1-1	1-0	120 125	123 119				at Western at Eastern		45-20	W		07 29 15 21	82 111		122 86	<b>#117</b> #102	Total Off Score Off	<b>#97</b> #64	3-2 2-3 4-2 3-3	0-1 1-1	1-0 1-1		17 25		10/9	Miami, Ball St	ОН	13-12	W			98 10 86 12		63 21
2-4 2-4		1-1		117 99			10/23							100		69 130	#80	Sks Allowed Rush Def		2-4 3-3		0-2 1-1		96 20		10/23	at Bowling at Toledo	Green					12	7 84	11 67
							11/6	Bye								130	#54	Pass Def	#36	1-5		1-1	1	08		11/2	Ohio						95	121	83
4-2 3-3		2-0 1-1		120 114			11/10	at Northern Central						90 46		106 65	# <b>83</b> #85	Total Def Score Def	# <b>76</b> #49	4-2		1-1	1	18		11/16 11/20		n Michigan					87	87	127
2-4		0-2		114			11/23	Buffalo						88		101	#54	Sks By Ypg Diff	#42 #98	3-3		1-1	1	14			at Central	Michigan					46	109	65
																	#114 +1	Total TO's	#98 +1																
				Net			Offense	•	Comp	Yds		Dec	ense	Comi	p Yds									let		Offens	•	Comp	Yds		De	fense	Con	p Yds	
Sco	re		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD RA	-RY YPC	Att	Pass					5	core		Yds	то то		RA-RY		Att	Pass	Sks	FD R	A-RY Y	PC At	Pass	Sks
Western Illinois at Penn St		31-21	-33 -198	-12	-2	18 20	40-216 26-69	5.4 2.7	17-28 30-45	188 226	0		-70 2.9 240 5.0		3 367 1 253	2	-			St Francis P at Wisconsin	a	35-15 7-34		15 0 23 0		58-221 18-16	3.8 0.9	15-22 10-20	189 76	2	12 34 26 55	-105 ∃ 5-352 €		0 185 0 166	4
at Wyoming			-118	0	-3				21-34	142	6	19 39	177 4.5	14-2	3 201	1				at UMass		42-28	-12	4 1	26	42-184	4.4	16-24	323	1	30 34	l-206 €	5.1 23-	9 313	1
Toledo Army West Poir	ıt	28-16	-132 -10	-2 -6	2	17	35-122 26-36	3.5 1.4	21-36 17-28	190 233	4	19 44 18 61	2/2 6.2			3	1 4		Eagles	Texas St at Northern Illi	nois	59-21 20-27		15 1 4 -1		44-242 24-38	5.5 1.6	22-35 27-40	257 338	2 5	18 37 24 55	-112 : -242 4		15 187 1 84	4
at Western Michiga		45-20		-5	4	18	28-65	2.3	15-26	310	1	23 49	119 2.4	19-3	5 257	4		AVERAGES	ag	Miami, OH Ball St		13-12	-128	3 0		33-51	1.5	21-31	206	6	19 29	-126 4	1.3 16-	9 259	3
at Eastern Michiga Miami, OH	n																1 3			at Bowling Gre	en														
at Akron Bye																		. 4	ga	at Toledo Ohio															
at Northern Illinois																	į	EAM	Michigan	Western Mid	higan														
Central Michigan Buffalo	n																-	₹   #	Ξ̈́	Bye at Central Mich	igan														
																	-	•	ш																
																	1																		
Average Conference			-82.0 -66.5	-4.0 -3.5		17 18	33-104 32-94	3.2	61.4% 58.1%	215 250	7.1% 8.1%	21 44			% 219 % 215	7.8%	,			Average Conferen	·p	29-23 17-20	-32.7 - -39.0 -	0.7 0.3 3.5 -0.		37-125 29-45	3.4 1.6	64.5% 67.6%		10.5% 15.5%		-191 4 -184 4		% 199 % 172	8.0% 6.7%
Away		23-36	-105.7	-5.7	-0.3	19		2.7	62.9%	226	7.6%	25 45	179 3.9	61.89	% 237			B141	Edoor	Home			64.0	.0 0.:	3 25	45-171	3.8	65.9%	217	11.4%	16 33	3-114	3.4 48.9		
																	Ba		E Michigan																
																		Ball St QB/WR	Q.57																
																		E Michigan DB	's																
																		Ball St RB's vs.	1.65																
																		E Michigan LB's	's																
																		vs.	0.29																
																		E Michigan DL Ball St DL	-																
																		vs.	0.34																
																		E Michigan OL Ball St LB'S	L																
																	1.60	vs.																	
																		E Michigan RB's Ball St DB's	S																
																	0.03	vs. E Michigan QB/V	WR																
	e Years	Meetir	ngs (La:	st 22 y	years at	PhilSte	eele.com					Project	ad Boy	Score				Ball St ST's		Catego	ry		Team & Ma	rgin					Pł	nil's Foreca	st:				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's				rrojeci	eu bux	SCORE			2.31	vs.		Las Vegas Line	ı				_										
Ball St	-	-9	38	30	52-314		32-232										-	E Michigan ST's	's				Ball St By	1.5			ar since 1								
Eastern Michigan 2019	Rank	61.5 Line	31	25 ED	41-258 Rush		29-255	TO's				Proje Ball St	cted Rus	-					1.05	Las Vegas Tota	ıl j		55.5 Poir	t.	at BS	J9 w/:01	left). Desp	ite losing	2 straight	the Eag	les are 3-2	2 SU & 4	-1 ATS in	series. La	st year
Ball St	Kank	57.5	Score 29	<b>FD</b> 25	47-196		-Att-Yds 32-255	10'5	ents	163	yards		cted Pas	Michigan	116	yards		vs. E Michigan K	1.05				33.3 POI		Caleb first le	nuntley rad with 1	ushed for 0:00 left	∠u4 yds fo 28-24 and	r Ball. EM tied 31-3	ied 21-7 1 Ball w	/ mid 2Q a ent 79/12	and 24-1 eating ι	3Q witl p 4:06 fc	ı Ball takir r a Huntle	ng its ry 1 yd
Eastern Michigan	-	-1.5	23	21	33-89		36-283	-3	Conte	218	vards	Ball St		Michigan	250	vards		Ball St Coaches	s	+/- Ratings			Ball St By	3.0	TD ru	n with :0	6 left to wi	n. EMich w	vent 3-1 i	n non co	onf but the	en lost at	Northern	Illinois 27	7-20.
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's	o		,		d Yardag		230	,	0.45	vs.							betwe	en the te	ams in a 1	3-12 EMic	h win at I	nome. Ef	M led 10-6	at half	and 13-6	with Miam	ni
Ball St	-	44.5	20	21	32-227	21-3	39-157		Table	382	yards	Ball St		Michigan	367	yards		E Michigan Coach	hes	Game Grade			Ball St By	0.0	hopes	into the	's but their year but o	pened up (	0-4 ATS a	nd 1-3 S	U includin	ig a hom	e loss to	oledo. The	ey upset
Eastern Michigan	-	-3	42	23	49-163		28-257	1	ţ,			Projec	ted Final	Score				Ball St Pts							Army 14-7	at home	but last we 17-17 at	eek Ball go half, WM	t a 75 yd settle 21	TD pass	on its first	t play bu 30 but l	t WM TD's	first two	poss led 2/5 &
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	-Att-Yds	TO's	E n	26 p	points	Ball St	ΕM	Michigan	27	points	4.39	vs.	3.89	Computer Yard	is		Ball St 382	367	31/8	TD's both	after int's cit. Ball ha	. WM SOD	then Ball	18 yd FF	R TD 45-2	0 with W	/M 23-18	FD 376-3	75 yds
Ball St	-	47	14	19	41-157		21-169		Retu				ence Ran					E Michigan Pts	s	Computer Poin	ts				all 5 F	BS game	s while EM	ich has be	en a dog o	of more t	than 1 poi	nt just o	nce. East	ern Michig	an is 28-
Eastern Michigan	-	-24	56	24	40-225		22-263	1			14	Ball St		Michigan		5	PC	SITIONAL EDGE AWA	RDED TO:			E	E Michigan 2	7-26	7-1 A	TS as a do	og with 13	outright u	ipsets so l	lean wit	th the hor	ne dog h	ere.		
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's					hedule S					Ball St		Phil Steele	ı														
Ball St	-	-1	41	26	34-149		51-393				49	Ball St	ΕM	Michigan	1	23							E Michigan	by 1			Ea	stern	Mich	igan	27 B	all St	26		
Eastern Michigan	-	59.5	48	35	37-154	37-7	72-468	2		_								By 0.50 Point	ts											J 1					

																	OVE	ALL.	OVERALL:	_																
						(		ado St		S							2- vs. 1	-3	2-4 vs. MTW	L						N		lexico		s						
TM REC FOE REC	TM	FOE CONF	TM +/-	EOE :		AP		Rams Log	S			TEAM		PS RAN	K	FOE	vs. r	-0	0-2	ļ,	TM REC FOE	TM FOI	E TM +/-	EOE . /	AP			obos Log	S			TEAM		PS RANI	-	FOE
_	O-O	CONF	170	- FUE +	/- тм	FOE	DATE 9/3		OE Dakota St	23-42	W/L	0FF 118		ST (	OFF DEF	ST		NCAA RANKI 2021 TEAM RAN				O-O	116	FUE +/-	TM	FOE	DATE 9/4	Houston F		27-17	W/L W		DEF 120	ST C	FF D	EF ST
0-1 0-1	0-0		117				9/11	Vanderl		21-24	L	114	86	70			Color		New Mexico		1-0 0-2	0-0 0-0	112				9/11	New Mexi	co St	34-25	W	97	121	100 1		29 68
	0-0		113 118			5		at Toledo at Iowa		22-6 14-24	W L			109 86			#56	Rush Off	#112	2			110	140 101			9/18 a 9/25 a	t Texas A& t UTEP	М	0-34 13-20	L L	76 99		96 101		5 42 72 88
1-3 1-3 3-2	0-0	4.0	122 122	114			10/2	Bye San Jos	. 61	22.44	W	111 112		89 89	113 67	56	#85 <b>#74</b>	Pass Off Total Off			2-2 3-1 2-3 4-0		1 107	124 126			10/2	Air Force		10-38 7-31	L	107 115		86 81		32 128 18 11
2-3 2-4	1-0	0-2	123	105				at New Me	xico	32-14	vv			70	119 80	91	#106	Score Off	f #127	7	2-4 2-3	0-2 1-0	105	123			10/16	Colorado	St	/-31	L	119		91 1	07 1	07 70
3-2 3-3		1-1		116				at Utah St Boise S							59 105 106 58		#21 #24	Sks Allowe Rush Def			4-1	0-:	1	120			10/23 a 10/30	t Wyoming							98 6	54 79
4-1		0-1		120			11/6	at Wyomir	ng						98 64	79	#57	Pass Def	#32	1	0-5	0-:		109			11/6	UNLV								22 109
5-1 3-3		2-1 1-1		126			11/13	Air Ford at Hawaii	e						70 63 77 59		#35 #39	Total Det Score De			4-2 3-3	1-1		124 130				t Fresno St t Boise St							26 5 06 5	66 84 68 7
4-1		1-0		128			11/27	Nevada							67 74		#34 #51	Sks By Ypg Diff	#79 #108		3-2	1-:		116			11/27	Utah St							9 1	05 37
																	+1	Total TO's																		
				Net		_	Offens		Comp	Yds			efense		Comp Yds					+				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds		D	efense	C	mp Y	ds
Score			Yds	FD			RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY Y	/PC	Att Pas	s Sks					Score		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD I	RA-RY	YPC	tt P	ass Sks
South Dakota St Vanderbilt		23-42		-1		28	40-124 33-207		33-49 20-38		3 0		14-242 7 17-104 2		8-28 224 7-42 238					H	New Mexico St	27-		10	2		40-129 46-178	3.2	21-27 26-38	179 381	2			-0.4 27 4.7 18		96 5 36 0
at Toledo		22-€	28	7	0	18	49-209	4.3	11-28	110	0	11	28-21 (	0.8 2	25-4 270	) 6					it Texas A&M		34 -307	-13	0	9	36-89	2.5	10-23	33	4	22 3	4-154	4.5 19	-33 2	75 3
at Iowa Bye		14-24	-28	0	0	12	48-95	2.0	16-30	155	3	12	32-54 1	1./ 1	5-23 224	3	-	2   6	õ	a	t UTEP Air Force	13-		-10	-1 -3		35-123 20-47	3.5 2.4	17-39 14-22	165 179	4	12 2			-29 2 <sup>-</sup>	93 2 33 1
San Jose St at New Mexico		32-14	182	8	3	21	53-217	4.1	19-23	232	1	13 3	1-113 3	3.6 1	1-22 154	3	6	AVERAGES	Гор	a	t San Diego St	7-			-1	12	34-66	1.9	13-27	127	4	19 4	3-203	4.7 12		33 0
at Utah St																	t	.   꽃	8	a	Colorado St it Wyoming															
Boise St at Wyoming																	- 3		×		Bye UNLV															
Air Force																		EAM G	Mexico		it Fresno St															
at Hawaii Nevada																	1 3	음   별	New	a	t Boise St Utah St															
																	(	5	ž																	
																				H																
Average Conference			56.2				45-170		58.9% 82.6%						0.7% 222		,			F	Average Conference	15-		-2.3	-0.2		35-105	3.0	57.4%		9.7%				.8% 1°	94 6.1% 33 3.7%
Away		18-15	182.0 0.0	8.0 3.5		21 15	53-217 49-152	3.1	46.6%		4.3% 5.2%	13 3	30-38 1	1.3 14	0.0% 154 8.1% 247	7 33.3%	5			L	Home	24-			-2.0 0.3		27-57 35-118	2.1 3.3	55.1% 70.1%	153 246	16.3% 9.2%	21 5 19 4	8-306 0-169	4.3 48		55 6.4%
																	Colora	Position by Position ado St	n Edges New Mexico	-																
																		Colorado St QI	B/WR	1																
																		vs. New Mexico I	1.15 DB's																	
																		Colorado St I	RB's	1																
																		New Mexico	LB's																	
																	0.87	Colorado St	OL																	
																		New Mexico																		
																	2.00	Colorado St	DL																	
																		New Mexico																		
																	1.43	Colorado St I vs.	LB'S																	
																		New Mexico I Colorado St I		4																
																		vs.	2.17																	
Last Five	Years	Meeti	ngs (La	st 22	vears a	t PhilSte	eele.com	1)										New Mexico Qi Colorado St		٠	Category		Team	& Margin						PI	nil's Forec	ast:				
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's				Proje	cted Bo	ox Sc	ore		0.27	vs.		Ţ																
Colorado St	-	-3.5	35	23	38-13		34-420											New Mexico		ľ	as Vegas Line		Colorado	St By 10												
New Mexico	-	66.5	21	22	49-25	5 8-	17-89	-1				Pro	jected R	ushing				Colorado Si	t K		as Vegas Total							ting in '19 nd won 35								red 21 s since '17.
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's	nts	167	yards		lo St N			B yards	0.75	vs.		Ľ			45.5	Points	(	Colo St	got off to	a poor sta	rt being ι	upset by	South D	akota St	and Van	derbilt at	home in	B2B
Colorado St	-	64.5	20	24			42-311		nter				jected P					New Mexico			-/- Ratings					a 10 pt	loss. Last	week Cold	St K Cay	yden Can	nper had	6 FG's as	Colo S	t led 16-7	H 26-7	
New Mexico	-	E	18	15	35-13		20-165	-1	of Co	194	yards		lo St N			1 yards		Colorado St Co	aches	ŀ			Colorado	St By 15				San Jose w as without								
	Rank	Line	Score	FD			-Att-Yds	TO's	Table o	26-	unad-		ted Yard			O mariti	0.25	vs. New Mexico Co	anches.	G	Same Grade		Colcani	C+ P 17		led 17-0	H with N	NM int at the	he SDSt :	1 with 1:	18 left F	I, New Me	exico's o	nly score	was a 15	5 yd FR TD 13:05 for a
Colorado St New Mexico	-	-7.5 59	27 24	23 21	35-18 48-31		33-231 19-132	-1	to Tat	360	yards	Colorad	o St N	New Mex		9 yards		New Mexico Co Colorado St		+			Colorado	эт ву 13		31-7 wi	n. Colo Si	tate did be	at Toledo	on the r	oad by 1	6 this year	ar. Colo	St is hold	ng foes	to 53 ypg
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD.	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's	ra te	29	points		lo St N			5 points	5.56	vs.	4.65	c	Computer Yards		Colorado	St 360-2				on avg and games ove								Rams have year
Colorado St	-	-6	49	23			10-164		Retu	- 9			rience R					New Mexico		H					_	(covid).	New Mex	ico is playi bos are 0-6	ng to an .	AGG of 8						
New Mexico	-	62.5	31	19			16-81	0			24		lo St N			53	P.O	SITIONAL EDGE AV	VARDED TO:	ď	Computer Points		Colorad	o St 29-1		-, 10.1	., 20			_ /cui.						
												Team 5	Schedule	Stren	gth						Nell Charle															
											65	Colorad	lo St N	New Mex	cico	92		Colorado	Σť	P	hil Steele		Colorad	o St by 1	7			Cel	- u- d	o Ct	14 OC	P4	avi -	- 12		
																		By 0.92 Po	ints									COI	orado	U ST	ou N	ew M	exic	0 13		

																		RALL:	OVERALL:																
						-		na Wild										-5	1-4								rado B		os						
	TM	EOF				AP	W	ildcats Log	IS .		1	TEAM	p	S RANK		FOE		AC 12	vs. PAC 12 0-2	EOE	TM	EOE			AP	E	Buffalos L	.ogs			TEAP	1	PS RANI	:	FOE
TM REC FOE REC					TM	FOE	DATE	FOI	E	SCORE	W/L	OFF D	EF ST	OFI	F DEI	F ST		NCAA RANKING	is	TM REC FOE REC			TM +/- FOE	+/- тм	FOE	DATE		OE	SCORE		OFF	DEF	ST C		EF ST
0-0 0-0 0-1 1-0	0-0		116 118	132 118			9/4 9/11	† BYU San Dieg	o St	16-24 14-38	L L		06 10	2 57 4 85			_	2021 TEAM RANKI		0-0 1-0 1-0	0-0	0-0	122 118 14	4	5	9/4 9/11	Norther † Texas A	n Colorado &M	o 35-7 7-10	W L	55 58	47 45	95 66	7	5 33
0-2	0-0		113				9/18	Northern		19-21	L	87 1	08 11	В			Ar	zona	Colorado	1-1 1-1	0-0	0-1	122 13	1		9/18	Minneso	ota	0-30	L	78	39	92		94 72
0-3 3-0 0-4	0-0	0-0	109	139		3	9/25	at Oregon Bve		19-41	L		99 12		26	38	#108 #52	Rush Off Pass Off	#87 #126	1-2 2-1 1-3 2-2		0-0 1-2	118 13 117 12			9/25	at Arizona	St	13-35 14-37		113 117	43 60	113		29 10 11 33
0-4 3-2	0-1	1-1	111	132			10/9	UCLA		16-34	L		95 12	4 30			#93	Total Off	#128	1-4	0-2		115	.9		10/9	Bye		14-37	-	120	72	97		
0-5 1-4 2-3	0-2	0-2 1-1	111	115 130			10/16 10/23	at Colorado Washingt				103 9	92 12	0 12:				Score Off Sks Allowed	#128 #91	1-4 0-5 1-4		0-2	115 11			10/16	Arizona at Californ				121	70	94 1		92 120 48 105
3-3		2-3		127			10/23		.011					27				Rush Def	#78	4-1		1-1	13				at Oregon								21 39
1-4 3-2		0-2 2-0		123 135			11/6	California Utah	1					41 35				Pass Def Total Def	#66 #72	4-2 4-2		2-1	13 13	10		11/6 11/13	Oregon	St							16 48 30 25
3-2		2-0		129			11/13 11/19	at Washingt	on St					86				Score Def	#60	2-3		1-1	13			11/13	Washin	gton							0 114
5-1		3-0		138			11/27	at Arizona S	it					23	3 26	28	#111	Sks By	#120 #122	3-2		2-0	13	15		11/27	at Utah							35 1	107
																	#88 -7	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#122 <b>-2</b>																
Scor			Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offens	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD RA	ense -RY YP		np Yd: t Pas					Sc	ore		Yds Fi		FD	Offense RA-RY	e YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		RA-RY		mp Y Att Pa	ds ass Sks
† BYU		16-24	58	9	-1	27	35-81	2.3	36-48	345	4	18 34-	161 4.7	7 19-2	29 20	7 1				Northern Col	orado	35-7	127 €	1	22	49-281	5.7	10-15	102	2	16	18-20	1.1 24	-42 2	36 3
San Diego St Northern Arizon		14-38		-12 4	-1 0	9 19	19-49 27-106	2.6 3.9	18-36 25-41	179 257	4 2		271 4.9 148 3.3				_	y,		† Texas A&M Minnesota		7-10 0-30	-28 C		14	38-171 2119	4.5 -0.9	13-25 12-24		4		29-97 3-277		-40 1 -17 1	91 1 64 1
at Oregon	1		42	14			53-202		21-35	233	1	17 31						dcats AVERAGES	v	at Arizona St			-378 -1 -189 -7			44-183		7-17	67	3			5.2 20		
Bye																		at   景	so <u>l</u> e	USC		14-37	-252 -9	9 -1	11	39-80	2.1	10-17	162	5			6.2 19		
UCLA at Colorado		16-34	-49	5	0	27	42-122	2.9	26-38	240	3	22 47	329 7.0	8-1	.9 82	1	-	Wildca AM AVEI	Buffal	Bye Arizona															
Washington																		EAM.	Bu	at California															
at USC California																		ш	0	at Oregon															
Utah																		8	ä	Oregon St at UCLA															
at Washington St																		Arizona DERS & TI	Colorado	Washington															
at Arizona St																		Arizo	ပိ	at Utah															
																		9																	
Average		17-32	-10.4	4.0	-1.4	23	35-112	3.2	63.6%	251	7.1%	19 42-	219 5.2	2 54.6	% 15	4 6.59	6			Average	1	14-24	144.0 -5	.2 -0.4	14	38-139	3.6	53.1%	100	15.3%	19 3	3-156	4.7 60	.0% 2	28 3.2%
Conference		18-38	-3.5	9.5	-2.5	29	48-162	3.4	64.4%	237	5.5%	20 39-	258 6.6	41.9	9% 14	4 7.09	6			Conference	. 1	14-36	220.5 -8	.0 -0.5	15	42-132	3.2	50.0%	115	23.5%	23 3	84-193	5.7 69	.6% 2	74 0.0%
Away		18-33	50.0	11.5	-3.0	29	44-142	3.2	68.7%	289	6.0%	18 33-	174 5.4	54.7	<sup>7</sup> % 20	7 5.79	6	Position by Position E	Fdges	Home		16-25	-167.7 -6	.3 -0.7	13	36-114	3.1	57.1%	115	19.6%	19 3	35-172	4.9 61	.4% 2	25 4.5%
																	Ar	zona	Colorado																
																		Arizona QB/WI	0.56																
																		Colorado DB's																	
																		Arizona RB's	1.99																
																		vs. Colorado LB's	1.99																
																		Arizona OL																	
																	0.36	vs. Colorado DL																	
																		Arizona DL																	
																		vs. Colorado OL	0.98																
																		Arizona LB'S																	
																	0.33	vs. Colorado RB's																	
																		Arizona DB's																	
																	2.46	vs. Colorado OB/W																	
Last Five	Years	Meetir	ngs (La	st 22 v	ears at	PhilSte	ele.com	1)										Arizona ST's	rk .	Category	,		Team & Man	gin					Р	hil's Forec	ast:				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		TO's				Project	ed Box	Scor	·e			vs.	3.22	Las Vegas Line							- 7 -641	l + O					1 4700		DD Jamel
Arizona	-	58	13	23	43-268	19-3	32-154										L	Colorado ST's		Las Vegas Line			Colorado By	/ 6	Brouss	ard ran fo	n 7 of the or 301 yds	s as Arizon	na lost its	11th str	aight gan	ne. AZ C	QB Gunnel	was ou	t and frosh
Colorado	-	-7.5	24	18	46-407	12-2	20-92	-2				Proje	cted Rus	hing				Arizona K		Las Vegas Total	_				Plumm	er hit 19	-32-154 b	out was int	t'd at 1 o	n drive t	hat could	have tie	ed it 3Q. A	Z led 13	-0 early
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		Att-Yds	TO's	ents	120 y	ards	Arizona	-	olorado	21	l1 yards	1.25	vs.		regus rotal			46.5 Point	s			nad 75 & 5 d AZ 84/8								
Arizona	-	62.5	35	22	26-83		11-404		ont				ected Pas				<u> </u>	Colorado K		+/- Ratings	_				loss (+	7'). Last	time here	('19), the	ere were 9	9 lead ch	anges wit	h Arizo	na winnin	, 35-30	(+4).
Colorado		-4	30	26	37-159		14-337	1	of C	207 y	/ards	Arizona		olorado		6 yards		Arizona Coache				C	colorado By	7.8			0-4 but a								s Oregon! d 219-168
2018 Arizona	Rank	Line -3	Score 42	<b>FD</b> 27	Rush 47-216		-Att-Yds 22-350	TO's		326 y	arde	Projecte	d Yarda	ge Tota olorado		06 yards		vs. Colorado Coache	1.50	Game Grade			Colorado By	0.1	yd edg	e trailing	UCLA 14-	13. DTR w	was held t	o 82 yds	passing t	for the g	game and	Arizona I	lost QB
Colorado		-3 56.5	42 34	27	32-40		13-343	0	to Table	320 )	, ui us		ted Final		31	, yarus		Arizona Pts						o.1			ry in the 4 -16 then								
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's	÷	14 p	oints	Arizona		olorado	2	3 points	4.41	vs.	8.24	Computer Yards		Δ	rizona 326-	306	fumble	added a	FG with 1	:43 left fo	or the cov	er (as Az	Z burned :	3 TO's).	Colorado	beat an	FCS team
Arizona	-	58.5	45	25	42-413		14-154		etur	- 7 P			ence Rar		-	. ,	1	Colorado Pts						_	to an A	GG of 91	L.9 and Co	lorado is a	at just 88	.2. Color	ado lost t	heir QB	prior to th	e year a	is playing and Brendon
Colorado		-6.5	42	29	58-300	19-3	32-251	1	Re	9	1	Arizona	С	olorado		94		OSITIONAL EDGE AWAR	RDED TO:	Computer Point	•	(	Colorado 23	-14	Lewis I	nas throw	vn for just	95 ypg (5	53%, 2-2	). Arizon	a used 3				ook over vs
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's				Team So	hedule S	Strengtl	h					Drill Chr					Oregor	and did	well but w	as injured	u iast wee	ek and he	e iš UFY.				
Arizona	-	58	24	23	52-257	12-2	26-155			2	4	Arizona	C	olorado		30		Colorado		Phil Steele			Colorado by	9				Colo	orado	22 4	\ rizc:	nn 1	1		
Colorado	16	-16	49	25	46-175	19-2	27-213	0										By 3.84 Point	ts									COIO	n au0	23 F	41 IZO	ııa 1	-		

The Rec   Four	at UCF 31-36 L 44 52 10 10 69 76 L UTEP 54-13 W 51 56 5 81 105 94 3 Oklahoma St 20-21 L 62 66 3 67 48 31
THE   FOR	TEAL         FOE         SCORE         W/L         OFE         DEF         OFF         OFF         ST         TOL           at UCF         31-36         L         44         52         10         10         69         76           UTEP         54-13         W         51         56         5         81         105         94           3         Oklahoma St         20-21         L         62         66         3         67         48         31
Column   C	at UCF 31-36 L 44 52 10 10 69 76 L UTEP 54-13 W 51 56 5 81 105 94 3 Oklahoma St 20-21 L 62 66 3 67 48 31
1-0 0-1 0-0 0-0 121 116 9/11 at Navy 23-3 W 74 103 101 117 88 130 Air Force Baise S 0-1 2-0 0-0 0-0 126 104 9/11 2-0 2-0 0-0 0-0 121 120 9/15 Florida Atlantic 31-7 W 85 91 129 74 65 37 #1 Rush Off #125 1-2 3-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 127 120 9/25 Florida Atlantic 31-7 W 85 91 129 74 65 37 #1 Rush Off #125 1-2 3-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 127 120 9/25 3-1 2-2 0-1 0-0 124 107 10/2 at New Mexico 38-10 W 56 82 128 107 57 86 #127 Pass Off #29 2-2 2-1 1-0 0-0 131 125 10/9 10/9 Nyoming 24-14 W 51 67 128 89 63 78 #52 Total Off #91 2-3 3-5 0-1 1-0 0-0 127 130 10/9 10/9 10/9 10/9 10/9 10/9 10/9 10/	UTEP 54-13 W 51 56 5 81 105 94 3 Oklahoma St 20-21 L 62 66 3 67 48 31
2-1 2-1 0-1 0-0 121 120 9/25 Florida Atlantic 31-7 W 85 91 129 74 65 37 #1 Rush Off #125 1-2 3-0 0-0 1-0 127 120 9/25 3-1 2-2 0-1 0-0 124 107 10/2 at New Mexico 38-10 W 56 82 128 107 57 86 #127 Pass Off #99 2-2 2-1 1-0 0-0 131 125 10/2 4-1 4-0 1-1 0-0 126 120 10/9 Wyoming 24-14 W 51 67 128 89 63 78 #52 Total Off #91 2-3 5-0 1-1 0-0 127 130 10/9 5-1 3-3 3-2-1 1-1 126 130 10/16 at Boise St 70 63 125 106 58 7 #41 Score Off #47 3-3 5-1 1-1 2-1 130 126 10/16	
4-1 4-0 1-1 0-0 126 120 10/9 Wyoming 24-14 W 51 67 128 89 63 78 #52 Total Off #91 2-3 5-0 1-1 0-0 127 130 10 10/9 5-1 3-3 2-1 1-1 126 130 10/16 at Boise St 70 63 125 106 58 7 #41 Score Off #47 3-3 5-1 1-1 2-1 130 126 10/16	5 at Utah St 27-3 W 94 76 2 41 103 31
5-1 3-3 2-1 1-1 126 130 10/16 at Boise St 70 63 125 106 58 7 #41 Score Off #47 3-3 5-1 1-1 2-1 130 126 10/16	
5-0 1-0 126 10/23 San Diego St 72 14 12 #8 Sks Allowed #80 10/23	16 Air Force 106 58 7 70 63 125
10/30 Bye #15 Rush Def #97 2-3 1-0 123 10/30	30 at Colorado St 107 107 70
2-3 1-0 123 11/13 at Colorado St 107 107 70 #9 Total Def #91 4-1 0-1 120 11/13	
	20         New Mexico         119         80         91           27         at San Diego St         72         14         12
#33 Ypg Diff #101 +6 Total 10's +8	
Net         Offense         Comp         Yds         Defense         Comp         Yds         Net         Offense           Score         Yds         FD         TO's         FD         RA-RY         YPC         Att         Pass         Sks         Score         Yds         FD         TO's         TO's         TO's         FD         TO's         TO's <td>fense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds  RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks</td>	fense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds  RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks
Lafayette 35-14 133 12 1 24 67-370 5.5 5.5 50 1 12 24-43 1.8 17-28 244 3 tt tavy 23-3 157 8 1 14 59-176 3.0 3-10 49 1 6 34-36 1.1 3-10 32 5 tt tay 25 157 8 1 14 59-176 3.0 3-10 32 157 8 1 14 59-176 3.0 3-10 49 1 6 34-36 1.1 3-10 32 5 tt TEP 31-36 290 -12 1 17 26-27 1 37-12 1 37	
Utah St 45-49 9 -3 -1 23 63-437 6.9 6-12 182 1 26 39-180 4.6 31-50 448 2 Oklahoma St 20-21 -25 4 -1 19 35-61	61 1.7 22-35 242 4 15 57-246 4.3 6-13 82 2
At New Marries 20 10 215 10 2 22 72 400 55 12 22 1 12 20 47 24 14 22 170 4 5	22 0.6 34-48 388 6 18 30-130 4.3 25-38 263 4
at New Mexico 38-10 215 10 3 23 73-408 5.6 1-2 33 1 13 20-47 2.4 14-22 179 4   Wyoming 24-14 64 5 1 20 64-211 3.3 7-10 110 1 15 27-114 4.2 11-28 143 3   Each Boise St St St St St St St St St St St St St	140 3.1 18-29 172 1 24 27-111 4.1 22-37 302 1
San Diego St Bye Bye	
By Bt.Colorado St Bt.My West Point U Bt.Colorado St St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St.	
TATINY WEST POINT.  at Colorado St  at Colorado St  at Nevada  Wyoming  Wyoming  New Mexico	
At Colorado St	
Average 33-16 142.8 7.7 1.0 21 67-341 5.1 50.0% 82 11.4% 14 27-94 3.4 50.9% 187 10.5% Average 32-22 47.0 1.0 1.3 22 37-85	
Conference 36-24 90.0 4.0 1.0 22 67-352 5.3 58.3% 108 12.5% 18 29-114 4.0 56.0% 257 9.0% Conference 29-22 45 6.5 -0.5 25 38-85 18 29-114 4.0 56.0% 257 9.0% Home 35-25 30.3 7.0 3.2 1.3 56.6 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	
Position by Position Edges Air Force Boise St.	
Air Force QB/WR	
vs. 1.54 Bolse St DB's	
Air Force RB's	
Boise St LB's Air Force OL	
0.77 vs.	
Bolse St DL Air Force DL	
1.55 ws. Boise St OL	
Air Force LB'S	
Boise St RB's  Air Force DB's	
vs. 0.48	
Boise St QB/WR  Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)  Air Force ST's Category Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
2020 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Ath-Yds TO's Projected Box Score vs. 2.32 as Magas Line Boise is the or	only current MW school AF has a losing record. Boise has won the last 4 games all by
Air Force - 49 30 28 66-415 4-7-69  Boise St ST's Boise St ST's Boise St ST's - games. Last y	d have covered the past 4 meetings after failing to cover against AF in their first 5 year Air Force jumped out to a 14-7 lead in the 1Q but then Boise scored 5 TD's on
Boise St 25 -14 49 23 29-179 17-20-280 0 Projected Rushing Air Force K Las Vegas Total Install Air Force K	drives & also got a KR TD and led 49-24 late 4Q before AF scored with 2:04 left 4Q and QB Bachmeier did not play LY (covid protocols) and QB Sears was 17-20 for 280 yds
2019 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's 328 yards Air Force Boise St 69 yards 0.35 vs. 51 Points and 31 rdfs, Bo	Boise is 8-4 ATS the last 5 seasons as home chalk vs MW foes, but AF is 11-4-1 ATS as an away underdog. After rushing for "only" 208 ypg from '17-'19 against Boise last
Air Force - 55 19 19 51-242 8-13-113 F Projected Passing Boise St K +/- Ratings - year the Falce	as an away underloog. After toshing to finy 200 yyg ffolir 17-19 against bolse last cons rushed for 415 yds. AF did beat Boise on the blue turf back in '15. Boise opened a g 2-3 blowing leads vs UCF. Okia St and Nevada and last week BYU led 10-0. BYU had a
413-312 yd e	edge but 4 TO's. Boise scored after B2B BYU fumbles (24 & 23 yd TD drives) and led 20
	$_2$ 2H BYU fumbled at the Boise 13 and was SOD at the 5. Down 23-10 BYU got a 59 yd Boise got a 22 yd FG 3:27 left to clinch the upset of a then undefeated BYU. Air Force
24) Last was	alid 4-1 both SU and ATS and their lone loss was also via a blown lead (led Utah St 35- eek then matched up against a Wyoming team that always plays them tough (11-1
Computer Yards AIS) and was	as off a bye. Air Force led 14-0 but Wyoming 97/6 and 73/5 TD's last 2 drives and 14- en 3Q with 85/8 TD 67/15/&:11 FG 24-14. Wyoming punt, fumble at AF 25 on last
two drives. Bo	Boise is only avg 85 (2.3) rushing and AF is holding foes to 109 ypg below their season hile AF is +143 ypg and Boise is -47 ypg, the Broncos have taken on the #22 toughest
Roles St. 17.5 44 10 20.00 18.25 22 2 105 Air Force Boire St. 39	d AF just #117 and Boise has a huge special team's edge (#7 vs #125) Air Force lost
	potent pass offense they faced this year and Boise has a potent pass offense. Boise is at ejuvenated after a big upset win.
Air Force - 64 27 25 77-314 3-6-45 118 Air Force Boise St 21 Boise St by 7	
Boise St 20 -9 20 12 25-84 9-26-316 0 By 3.78 Points	Boise St 27 Air Force 20
By 3.7.6 PURILS	

																					1															
						Ar			ın Dev	rils								RALL: 5-1		3-2							U	tah l								
	***					ΔP	Su	ın Devils	Logs			TFAN		PS RAN	IV	FOF		PAC 12 3-0	v	rs. PAC 12 2-0						ΔP		Utes Lo	gs			TE	ΔM	PS RAI	NK	FOF
TM REC FOE REC		CONF	TM +/	- FOE +	/- тм	FOE	DATE		FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF S	ST (	OFF DE			NCA/	A RANKINGS	3	TM REC FOE REC		CONF	TM +/- FOE	+/- TM	FOE	DATE		FOE	sco		OFF	DEF	ST		DEF ST
0-0 1-0 0-1	0-0	0-0	134 131	106	25 23		9/4	South	ern Utah	41-14 37-10		13 15	24 Z 26 1	23 13	120 12	22 57	_		EAM RANKIN		0-0 1-0 1-0	0-0	0-0	135 137 1	24 30 21		9/4 9/11 a	Weber at BYU	St	40- 17-		21 20	9	38 107	45	74 30
2-0 2-0	0-0	0-0	132	133	19	23	9/18	at BYU		17-27	L	17	27	9	54 7	5 40		tona St		Utah	1-1 2-0	0-0	0-0	134 1	23		9/18 a	at San Die	ego St	31-	33 L	24	47	106	88	34 32
2-1 1-2 3-1 3-1	0-0 1-0	0-0 1-0				20	9/25	Colora at UCLA	ido	35-13 42-23		24 23			113 4 34 3				Rush Off Pass Off	#59 #75	1-2 1-2 2-2	0-0 1-0	0-1	131 1	21		9/25 10/2 a	Washin at Bye	igton St	24-	13 W	53 51	33 22	102 110	77	66 52
4-1 3-2		2-1	137	132	22	20	10/9	Stanfo	ord	28-10		19		20	43 4	9 82	#40		Total Off	#71			2-2		31		10/9			42-	26 W	47	19	116	27	45 32
5-1 3-2	3-0	2-0	138	135	18		10/16	at Utah Bye				23	26 2	28	35 1	5 107	#38 #21		Score Off ks Allowed	#55 #41	3-2 5-1 4-2		3-0 2-1		38	18	10/16	Arizona at Oregon				35	15	107	23 36	26 28 46 48
3-3		2-2		129			10/23	Washi	ngton St						86 4		#32	F	Rush Def	#46	4-2		2-1	1	32		10/30	UCLA							30	30 25
3-3 2-3		2-3 1-1		127 130			11/6	USC at Washi							27 6 62 1				Pass Def Total Def	#46 # <b>40</b>	3-3 0-5		2-2 0-2		31 11			at Stanfor at Arizona								45 80 92 120
4-2		2-1		130				at Orego							36 4				Score Def	#52	4-1		1-1		34		11/20	Oregor								21 39
0-5		0-2		111			11/27	Arizon	na						103 9	2 120			Sks By	#42	1-4		0-2	1	15		11/27	Colora	do						121	70 94
																	#12 +1		Ypg Diff otal TO's	#55 -1																
				Net																															-	
Score			Yds		TO's	FD	Offen: RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	_	Pefense RA-RY Y		Comp Yo						s	core		Yds F		FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp			FD	Defense RA-RY			Yds Pass Sks
Southern Utah			197		2		40-222		14-18		2		26-78 3		9-31 14						Weber St		40-17				31-188		21-29				30-57		21-33	
UNLV at BYU		37-10 17-27		18 -4		29 19	47-287 33-161		20-29 21-29		2				7-19 6 .6-28 21		_	S			at BYU at San Diego S		17-26 31-33		6 -2 9 0	15 21	25-193 31-70	7.7	15-26 33-58				46-219 45-204			149 0 44 1
Colorado		35-13	189		0	25	32-167	5.2	20-27	272	0	18 4	4-183 4	1.2 7	7-17 6		_ '	Devils			Washington		24-13		3 0		33-212	6.4	13-23					1.9		248 8
at UCLA Stanford		42-23 28-10	40	-5 1	2		33-178 44-255		13-19 14-23		2		20-13 0				-	De	ES	10	at Bye at USC		42-26	-7 -	4 1	23	35-180	5.1	22-28	3 30	16 2	27	25-92	3.7	33-53	401 2
at Utah		20 10	01	•			11 255	3.0	1425	1,3		20	10 15 0	,,, <u>L</u>	., 15 5.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			AVERAGES	Utes	Arizona St		12 20			2.5	33 100	5.1	LL LU	, ,,			23 32	J., .	33 33	101 2
Bye Washington St																	-	Sun	H H		at Oregon St UCLA															
USC																		St	<u> </u>	듄	at Stanford															
at Washington																			EAM	Utah	at Arizona															
at Oregon St Arizona																		Arizona	F		Oregon Colorado															
																		<u> </u>																		
																	- '	`																		
Average Conference			143.2 96.7				38-212 36-200		70.3% 68.1%				6-116 3 9-129 3								Average Conference		31-23 33-20	51.0 2 12.0 -3			31-169 34-196	5.4	63.4%		2 5.5%					211 8.1% 325 11.0%
Away			52.5						70.8%				6-167 3								Home		32-15		.5 0.0		32-200	6.3	65.4%				34-64	1.9 6		231 15.5%
																	Ariz	Position ona St	by Position Ec	iges Utah																
																			na St QB/W	R																
																		U	vs. Jtah DB's	0.63																
																		Ariz	ona St RB's																	
																		u	vs. Jtah LB's	0.52																
																			izona St OL																	
																			vs. Utah DL	0.10																
																			izona St DL																	
																			vs. Utah OL	1.33																
																			zona St LB'S																	
																	0.57		vs. Jtah RB's																	
																			ona St DB's																	
																	1.79	He	vs. ah QB/WR																	
Last Five	Years	Meetir	ngs (La	ast 22	years a	t PhilSt	eele.con	n)				Dunia	ata d Da	6-					zona St ST's		Catego	у		Team & Mar	gin						Phil's Fore	ecast:				
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's				Projec	cted Bo	,x 50	ore		2.02		vs.		Las Vegas Line					A	Charles !			10 .			-6 bb - '		Maria I	((10) +-
Arizona St Utah	17 13	46 -13.5	3 21	8 20	33-11 43-15		18-25 24-191	-2				D	iected Ru	rabin -			-		Jtah ST's rizona St K					Even		Sun De	vils had t	their mod	dern-era r	ecord st	treak of 12	25 games	s with m	ore than :	10 pts e	re ('19), the nd in a 21-3
2018	Rank	-13.5	Score	20 FD	43-15 Rush		24-191 -Att-Yds	-2 TO's	ts	166	yards	Arizoni		Utah		.75 yards		Ar	vs.	0.45	Las Vegas Tota	· =		50.5 Point	's	loss wit	h Utah h	naving a 3	342-136 y	yd edge.	. Utah was	upset o	n the roa	d by both	BYU ar	nd San Diego win 24-13.
Arizona St	-	55.5	38	25	50-25		24-285		nten	100	, 5. 45		jected Pa			, u. u.s			Utah K	5.45				30.5 . 0111		Last we	ek USC I	had 12 &	11 play d	drives er	nd in FG's	and miss	sed one a	nd trailed	17-3 bu	t got a 2Q TD
Utah	16	-8.5	20	17	32-17		26-147	-2	. Cor	170	yards	Arizoni	•	Utah		03 yards		Arizor	na St Coache	es	+/- Ratings			Utah By 1	.8											75/5 TD and 486 yd edge.
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's	e of				ted Yard	age To	otal				vs.	0.50	Game Grade					Arizona	St open	ed 0-3 A1	ΓS includir	ng an u	pset loss t	o BYU bu	it went 2	-0 SU an	d ATS to	open their
Arizona St	-	54.5	30	21	46-20	5 19-	29-140		to Table	336	yards	Arizon	a St	Utah	3	77 yards		Uta	h Coaches		oame Grade			Utah By 0	.3											1 drives get J got an IR
Utah	-	-10.5	10	18	25-11		35-155	-3				-	cted Fina					Aria	zona St Pts		Computer Yard	s =				TD earl	y 3Q and	Stanford	was SOD	) twice a	and int at	26 with	5:05 left	and ASU	ran out	clock. Utah
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD			-Att-Yds	TO's	E	25	points	Arizon		Utah		24 points	4.38		vs.	3.53				Utah 377-	336											also has e last 4 years
Arizona St Utah	13	55.5 -6	26 49	18 22			32-355 37-296	0	Ret		12	Expe Arizoni	rience Ra	anking Utah		72		-	Utah Pts		Computer Poin	is		Utah 25-2	4											ally a pick 'em
Guali	13	-0	43	22	22-20	. 21-	3,-290	U					schedule			12	P		L EDGE AWARI	DED TO:				Jian 25-2		game!										
											77	Arizon		Utah		48		Ar	rizona St		Phil Steele			Utah by	5											
																		By 0	0.85 Points	5								Bes	t Bet	: * L	Jtah 2	26 Ar	izon	a St 2	20	

						F	resn	o St B	ulldog	ıs								OVER 4-		OVERALL: 4-1							٧	/yom	ing C	owbo	ys							
							Е	Bulldogs L	.ogs									vs. I	WTW	vs. MTW								Co	owboys	Logs								
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	TM	FOE	DATE		FOE	SCORI	E W/L	OFF TEA	M DEF	PS RAI	NK OFF	POI	E ST	1-	-1 NCAA RANKINGS	0-1 S	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	AP TM	FOE	DATE		FOE	sco	RE W/	, l	TEAM OFF DE		PS RANK F OFF	DEF	FOE F ST
0-0 0-0		0-0		101			8/28	Conne		45-0		33		126			109		2021 TEAM RANKIN	IGS	0-0	0-0		125				9/4	Montan		19-			83 4				
1-0 0-0 1-1	0-0	0-0	125 126	135		11	9/4	at Orego Cal Po		24-3: 63-10		34 25	69 55	125 108	11	14	78	Fres	no St	Wyoming	1-0 1-0 2-0 1-1	0-0	0-0 0-0	121 122	116 124			9/11 a 9/18	t Northei Ball St	rn Illinois	50- 45-			95 59 93 59				
	0-0	0-0	127	139		- 13	9/18		ıy	40-37		21	56		23	9	24	#98	Rush Off	#61	3-0 0-4	0-0	0-1	124	92				it Connec		24-			92 6		5 129	128	
3-1 0-3		0-0	131	104			9/25	UNLV		38-30		22	64	95		126	98	#3	Pass Off	#110	4-0	0-0		120				10/2	Bye					93 6				
4-1 2-3 4-2	1-0	0-1	127	114	18		10/2	at Hawai Bye		24-27	7 L	25 26	56 56	88	81	61	67	# <b>7</b> #13	Total Off Score Off	#103 #57	4-0 4-1 4-1 4-2	0-0		120 120	126 124				t Air Fore		14-	24 L		98 6		51 9 26		
4-2 4-1		0-1		120			10/16	at Wyom				0	0		98	64	79	#71	Sks Allowed	#21	2-4		0-2		105			10/23	New Me	exico						119	80	91
4-1 5-0		1-0		128 126			10/23	Nevac at San D							67 72	74 14	31 12	#48 #25	Rush Def Pass Def	#83 #12	3-3 2-3		1-1 1-0		113 123			10/30 a	t San Jos Colora							113		
3-3		1-1		130			11/6	Boise							106	58	7	#30	Total Def	#37	3-3		1-1		130			11/13 a								106		
2-4		0-2		105			11/13		lexico						119	80	91	#46	Score Def	#57	3-2		1-1		116			11/20 a								59		
3-3		1-1		113			11/20	Bye at San Jo	se St						113	67	56	#34	Sks By Ypq Diff	#42 #76	3-3		1-1		117			11/27	Hawaii							77	59	71
							,											-7	Total TO's	+3																		
				Net			Offen		Comm	Yds			Defense		Comm	Yds									Net			Offense		Comm	y Yd	40		Defe		Com	p Yd:	
Score			Yds	FD	TO's	FD			Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		RA-RY			Yds Pass	Sks				Se	ore		Yds	FD FD	TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Pa:		ks	FD RA-				
Connecticut		45-0	431	16	0	25	38-15€		27-39				31-35		14-30	72	4				Montana St		19-16		0			43-151	3.5	15-26				17 30-1			9 20	
at Oregon Cal Poly		24-31 63-10	15 397	3 12	-2 1	23 25	30-75 38-196		30-43 22-29						15-24 19-37	172 221	3				at Northern Illin Ball St	iois	50-43 45-12		0	2	24 19	45-191 39-177	4.2	13-23 14-23					44 6. 18 2.			
at UCLA		40-37	174	15	-1	32	37-114	3.1	39-54	455	3	17	29-117	4.0	14-24	278	0	9	S	λs	at Connecticut		24-22		3			45-203	4.5	15-26				19 28-1			9 17	
UNLV		38-30	28	5	0	23			30-42				36-152 44-232			281	4	3	တ် မျှ	Cowboys	Bye		14-24		-5	-1	45	27.444	4.5	44.30		13 3	,	20 64				0 4
at Hawaii Bye		24-27	157	10	-5	- 51	31-117	7 3.8	28-51	388		21	94-232	5.3	11-2/	116	1	÷	AVERAGES	₹	at Air Force Fresno St		14-24	-64	-5	-1	15	27-114	4.2	11-28	B 14	3 3	5	20 64-2	11 3.	3 /-10	0 110	0 1
at Wyoming																		ä		ပိ	New Mexico																	
Nevada at San Diego St																		t	გ   ≩		at San Jose St Colorado St																	
Boise St																		9	EAM	Ė	at Boise St																	
New Mexico																		,		ē	at Utah St																	
Bye at San Jose St																		ocopii a 43 ocos		Wyoming	Hawaii																	
																		_		_																		
Average		39-23	200.3	10.2	-1.2	27	33-124	1 3.8	68.2%	396	4.7%	16	37-129	3.5 5	51.8%	190	8.8%				Average		30-23	13.8	0.0	0.6	19	40-167	4.2	54.09	6 17	9 5.6	5%	19 40-1	61 4.	0 57.4	% 17:	1 9.5%
Conference		31-29		7.5			27-100		62.4%		4.3%		40-192								Conferenc	ė		-64.0	-5.0			27-114	4.2	39.39				20 64-2				
Away		29-32	115.3	9.3	-2.7	29	33-102	2 3.1	65.5%	380	6.8%	19	41-178	4.4	53.3%	189	5.3%		Position by Position Ec	dges	Home		32-14	72.0	0.0	1.5	18	41-164	4.0	59.29	6 19	9 6.1	L%	18 36-1	20 3.	4 63.5	% 17:	1 12.7%
																		Fres	no St	Wyoming																		
																		1.13	Fresno St QB/WF	R																		
																			Wyoming DB's																			
																			Fresno St RB's																			
																			vs. Wyoming LB's	0.50																		
																			Fresno St OL																			
																			vs. Wyoming DL	0.17																		
																			Fresno St DL																			
																			vs.	0.09																		
																			Wyoming OL Fresno St LB'S																			
																		0.73	vs.																			
																			Wyoming RB's Fresno St DB's																			
																		1.44	vs.																			
			,			DI 1101		,											Wyoming QB/WF	R																		
Last Five			IS (Las Score	<u>t 22 y€</u> FD	Rush		eele.co	m). TO's				Proje	cted B	Box So	core				Fresno St ST's	0.05	Categor	y		Team &	Margin							Phil's F	Forecast					
Fresno St	-	-18	27	17	33-104		32-287		53					_					Wyoming ST's		Las Vegas Line			Fresno S	t By 3.5		As MW f	oes Free	io has wr	on and co	vered 4	of their	5 mee	tings & jus	t 1 of th	e 5 meeti	ngs as t	MW foes
Wyoming 2017	- Rank	43 Line	3 Score	14 FD	30-104 Rush		32-117 -Att-Yds	-1 TO's	ten	77	yards	Pro Fresn	ojected I	Rushing Wyom		181 ya	ards		Fresno St K vs.	2.75	Las Vegas Tota	ļ		54 Pc	oints		nas bee	n decided	by less	than 24 p	ts. The I	lone win	for Wy	yoming ov but are on	r Fresn	o as MW I	foes can	ne in '14
Fresno St		-2.5	13		45-147		23-186		e S	/2	yarus		ojected			101 %	u. us		Wyoming K	//	+/- Ratings					1	nas a bu	ilt-in hon	ne field e	dge with t	the altitu	ude and	are 7-3	3 ATS the	.3Y at h	ome. Fre	sno has	won of 7
Wyoming	-	39.5	7	12	28-77	20-	33-231	-1	of 6	348	3 yards	Fresn		Wyom		150 ya	ards		Fresno St Coache	2.00	. /- nacings			Fresno S	t By 0.3		ATS. La:	st week th	ney were	off a bye	vs the	Air Force	e optio	ppened a p	orce led	14-0 but	Wyomi	ng 97/6
									urn to Table	420	) yards	Fresn	<b>cted Yar</b> o St	rdage I Wyom		331 ya	ards		vs. Wyoming Coache		Game Grade			Fresno St	By 12.5		and 73/	5 TD's las	t 2 drive:	s and 14-	14 H. AF	open 30	Q with	85/8 TD 6	7/15/7:1	1 FG 24-	14. Wyo	ming punt,
									O T		,		ected Fi						Fresno St Pts		Computer Yard					i	unbeate	n UCLA o	n the roa	d. They to	railed lo	wly UNL	V in the	e 4Q then	ost at H	awaii in t	heir last	two
1									Ē	32	points	Fresn	o St erience	Wyom Rankin		28 po	ints	3.29	vs. Wyoming Pts	5.56			F	resno St	420-33	_	defendir	ng MAC ch						yoming is Vyoming h				
									etu		26	Fresn	o St	Wyom	ing	3		PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:	Computer Point	s		Wyomin	g 28-27		s FBS	oes!										
	_		-	_		_	_				104		Schedu	le Strei Wyom			,		Wyoming		Phil Steele			Wyomir	ag by ?													
											104	Fresn	u St	wyom	ıııg	111	4		By 2.27 Points	s				wyomir	ny by 3					Wyo	min	g 27	' Fre	esno S	St 24	ļ.		

				U	CLA B	ruins								OVER 4-		OVERALL: 2-3						Wash	ington	Husk	ies					
				T	Bruins L	.ogs								vs. PA		vs. PAC 12							Huskies	Logs						
TM REC FOE REC TM FOE CONF	TM +/- FO	E +/-	TM AP	FOE DATE		FOE	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF	DEF ST	PS RANK- T OI		FOE ST	2-	NCAA RANKING	1-1 is	TM REC FOE	TM FOI	E TM +/-	- FOE +/-	AP TM F	OE DATE		FOE	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF D		S RANK OFF	FOE DEF ST
		122 144		8/28 16 9/4	Hawa LSU	iii	44-10 38-27				2 9 5 1		86 16		2021 TEAM RANKII	NGS	0-0 0-1 1-0	0-0 0-0 0-0	133 0 128	134	20	9/4 9/11	Monta at Michiga		7-13 10-31	L	19 2 27 2			37 2
1-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 2-0 0-0	135		16	9/11	Bye		38-27	VV		29 15		.6 10	16	uci	LA	Washington	0-1 1-0 0-2 1-1	0-0 0-0				9/11	Arkan:		52-3	W		8 111 9 125		111 90
2-0 2-1 0-0 0-0		127		9/18	Fresr		37-40		23	9 24	4 2		104		Rush Off	#103	1-2 1-2	0-0 0-0	130	128		9/25	Califor		31-24	W	51 1	3 103	37	55 118
2-1 2-1 0-0 1-0 3-1 3-1 1-0 1-0		131 132		9/25 10/2	at Stanf Arizo		35-24 23-42				6 4 1 2		95 12	#91 <b>#51</b>	Pass Off Total Off	#47 #84	2-2 3-1 2-3	1-0 1-0	0 130 130	133		10/2 10/9	at Orego	n St	24-27	L		5 98 9 114		45 60
3-2 0-4 1-1 0-1	132	111			at Arizo		34-16	W	30	30 25	5 10	05 95	124	#29	Score Off	#91	2-3 4-2		1 130			10/16					62 1	0 114		30 25
4-2 2-3 2-1 1-1 4-1 1-1		130 134		10/16					0	0 0	3		114 39	#91 #14	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#71 #96	0-5 3-3	0-: 2-:		111 131			at Arizon at Stanfo						103 55	92 120 45 80
3-2 2-0		135			at Utah							15	107	#125	Pass Def	#3	4-1	1-1		134		11/6							32	21 39
1-4 0-2		115		11/6 11/13		ado					12	21 70	94	#82 #74	Total Def Score Def	#33 #27	5-1 1-4	3-0 0-2		138 115		11/13 11/19	Arizon at Colora						23 121	26 28 70 94
3-3 2-3		127			at USC						2		35	#63	Sks By	#102	3-3	2-:	2	129		11/26	Washi	ngton St					86	47 60
1-4 0-2		123		11/27	Califo	rnia					4	11 48	105	#67 +3	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#56 <b>-2</b>														
Score		Net FD	TO's	FD RA-R		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		efense tA-RY YP		mp Yds	Sks				Sc	ore	Yds	Net FD	TO's	Offe FD RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	Def FD RA		Comp	Yds Pass Sks
Hawaii 44-10	123	4	2	20 43-24	4 5.7	12-22	148	2	16 2	1-26 1	.2 28-	-53 243	2				Montana	7-	13 59	11	-3	21 27-6	5 2.4	27-46	226	3	10 34-	127 3.7	12-23	105 1
LSU 38-27 Bye	91	-5	0	19 47-21	0 4.5	9-16	260	4	24 2	25-49 2.0	.0 26-	-46 330	2	1			at Michigan Arkansas St	10-	-31 -44 2-3 330	-1 15		19 32-50 30 39-20		20-37 25-44		4	20 56- 15 23	343 6.1 -48 2.1	7-15 22-49	44 0 220 3
Fresno St 37-40		-15	1	17 29-11		14-24		0				-54 455				<u>ë</u>	California	31-	-24 -131	-3	2	18 30-9	2 3.1	19-32	234	1	21 37-	138 3.7	30-42	319 3
at Stanford 35-24 Arizona St 23-42		13		24 51-20 22 52-19		18-29 21-32		2				-32 293 -19 287		Ų		¥	at Oregon St Bye	24-	27 28	-3	0	15 35-17	6 5.0	17-26	142	3	18 50-	242 4.8	7-15	48 2
at Arizona 34-16		-5	0	22 47-32		8-19		3				-38 240		5	AG	로	UCLA													
at Washington Oregon														ICI A Brilins	AVERAGES	=	at Arizona at Stanford													
at Utah														4	₹   ₹	ag d	Oregon													
Bye Colorado														- 7	EAM	َڇَ.	Arizona St at Colorado													
at USC														1 =	P   #	-F	Washington :	St												
California																Washington Huskies														
																_														
				21 45-21		57.7% 58.8%		10.6% 11.3%		30-93 3.			5.0%				Average		20 48.4		-0.4			58.4% 62.1%		6.5%				
				23 50-24 23 49-26		54.2%		10.4%				.2% 273 .3% 267					Conference Home		26 -51.5 13 86.0		-0.3	17 33-13 23 32-11	9 3.7	58.2%						184 8.8% 215 6.1%
														UCI	Position by Position E	Edges Washington														
														30.	UCLA QB/WR															
															vs. Washington DB	2.81														
															UCLA RB's															
															vs.	0.88														
															Washington LB'	'S														
														1.11	vs.															
															Washington DL UCLA DL	L														
														0.22	vs.															
															Washington OL UCLA LB'S	_														
														1.93	vs.															
															Washington RB'	's														
														0.39	vs.															
Last Five Years Meetin	gs (Last 2	22 vea	rs at Pi	hilSteele.co	m)				Donali.	4 - d D	6-				Washington QB/I	wĸ	Categor	,	Team	& Margin					Ph	nil's Foreca	ast:			
2018 Rank Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's				Projec	ted Bo	x Sco	re		3.11	vs.		Las Vegas Line				uc	LA has won	10 of the la	ast 14 (9-5	ATS) but h	as lost th	he last 2. In	the last n	eeting ('18	8), the Bruins
UCLA - 53 Washington 10 -21			27-150 55-197	27-38-272 18-26-265	0	nts			Proi	ected Rus	shina				Washington ST'	's			Washin	ngton By 2	ov	er 25 vears	Last time I	here ('17).	UCLA traile	d 37-9 3	30 in a 44-2	3 (+17') k	ss with UV	ne Rose Bowl in V out-rush UCLA
2017 Rank Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att-Yds	TO's	nten	205 y	yards	UCLA	W.	ashingto	on 87	yards	1.20	vs.		Las Vegas Tota		55.5	Points	33	3-62. Wash	ngton is fre	sh off a by	e while UCI	LA is on t	their 3rd roa	id game ii	4 weeks a	and playing a only outgained
UCLA - 58 Washington 12 -17.5			28-62 58-333	19-33-170 9-12-109	-1	ဒိ	122 y	vards	Pro: UCLA	jected Pa	i <b>ssing</b> Tashingto	nn 791	yards	$\vdash$	Washington K UCLA Coaches		+/- Ratings		Washing	gton By 3.0	by	Michigan 3	37-343. Afte	er a couple	of wins the 27-24 with U	y went f	or it on 4th	down at ti	eir own 37	7 late and
-17.3		_, ,	333		-	le of			Projec	ted Yarda	ige Tota	al		0.35	vs.		Game Grade				blo	wout of Har	waii and a h	ome upset	t of LSU but	were up	set by Fres	no. After a	solid road	d win at a
						Table	327 y	yards	UCL/	Cted Fina	ashingto		yards	$\vdash$	Washington Coacl	hes			Ucla	By 2.1	bu	t did have a	17-11 FD e	edge in 1H	and 219-16	8 yd edg	ge trailing 1	-13. DTR	was held to	th straight game o 82 yds
						n to	25 p	oints	UCLA	W.	ashingto	on 29	points	8.31	vs.	3.69	Computer Yards		Washingt	ton 368-32	. <b>7</b>	ross midfiel	d. UCLA got	a FG and 1		the 3Q 2	4-16 then E	rown got	48 yd TD	run with 7:48
						ţm	,	13	Exper UCL/	rience Ra	nkings ashingto		33		Washington Pts		Computer Point	s	Washing	gton 29-25	lef	t 31-16 and	UCLA after	an AZ fum	nble added	a FG with	h 1:43 left f	or the cov	er (as AZ b	ourned 3 TO's). o 97 ypg below
						8				chedule	Streng	th		POS	SITIONAL EDGE AWAF	RDED TO:	Phil Steele				the				2H of their					o or ypg below
							1	.4	UCLA	W.	ashingto	on	59		By 4.63 Point	he.	. am sceele		Washin	gton by 10				Was	shingt	on 3	4 UCL	A 24		
															2y 4.03 Polit															

					Libe	erty Fla	ımes								OVER		OVERALL: 2-3						ULI	M Warl	hawks						
					F	Flames Log	gs								vs. I	ND	vs. SUN						V	Varhawks	s Logs						
TM REC FOE REC CON	1 FOE NF CONF	TM +/- FO	E +/-	AP TM FOE	DATE	P	OE	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF D		S RANK	FO DFF	E ST	0-0	NCAA RANKING	1-2	TM REC FOE	TM I	FOE TE	M +/- FOE +/-	TM FC	DE DATE		FOF	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF DEF	PS RANI	FF DEF	FOE ST
0-0		133			9/4	Campbe		48-7	w		55 75					2021 TEAM RANKI	NGS	0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	96 125		9/4	at Kentuc	ky	10-45	L	130 126	130		
1-0 1-0 0-0			124			at Troy		21-13			52 79		82	76	Libe	rty.	ULM	0-1	0-0		96 96		9/11	Bye				130 126	109		
2-0 1-1 0-0 3-0 2-1 0-0			102		9/18	Old Don at Syracus		45-17 21-24			51 84 49 109		121 78	71 45	#35	Rush Off	#124	0-1 1-1 2-1	0-0		94 124		9/18	Jackso Troy	n St	12-7 29-16		130 126 130 124	114 115 1	04 59	105
3-1 3-1 0-0			28			at UAB		36-12			14 114		31	85	#55	Pass Off	#122				99 130	1	6 10/2	at Coasta		6-59		130 117		1 89	
4-1 2-3 0-0 5-1 2-3 0-0			92		10/9	Middle 7 at ULM	Tennessee	41-13			34 110 31 102	116	100 127	106 121	# <b>35</b> #29	Total Off Score Off	#129 #126				96 112 92 136		10/9 10/16	Georgi Liberty		21-55		130 125 130 127		09 119 59 31	
1-4	0-2		103			at North Te	exas			09 .	31 102	81	113	85	#114	Sks Allowed	#99	3-2		0-2	107		10/10		Alabama			130 127		9 69	
1-5	1-0		95		10/30	UMass						123	130	122	#18	Rush Def	#87	4-2		1-1	126			at Appala						10 55	
4-1	1-1	,	142		11/6	at Mississip Bye	ррі					2	66	53	#9 <b>#5</b>	Pass Def Total Def	#124 <b>#119</b>	2-3 1-5		1-0 0-2	105 105		11/6	at Texas :						14 110 09 129	
5-1	3-0	1	129		11/20	Louisian	na					60	86	8	#6	Score Def	#117	3-3		1-2	130			at LSU						6 42	30
4-1	1-0	1	19		11/27	Army W	lest Point					73	40	57	#10 #7	Sks By Ypg Diff	#92 #129	5-1		3-0	129		11/27	at Louisia	na					0 86	8
															#/ -1	Total TO's	#129 +4														
Score			Net FD 1	O's FD	Offen RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		ense L-RY YPC		Yds Pass	Sks					-ore		Net Yds FD	TO's F	Offen D RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	Defense FD RA-RY		mp Yds ltt Pass	Sks
Campbell		268	10	0 27	41-218	5.3	19-29	319	0	17 29	-86 3.0	15-32	183	0				at Kentucky	1		467 -12	3 1			11-20	70		24 34-135			
at Troy	21-13			1 22			13-18	154			-21 0.9			5				Bye													
Old Dominion at Syracuse	21-24			0 23 -1 20	41-182 41-150		21-29 16-21	242 219			-67 1.9 -228 4.3			5	1			Jackson St Troy			-35 1 -88 -3	3 1 0 1	7 41-94 5 41-122		17-35 11-19	156 168		16 17-26 18 23-67	2.9 3		
at UAB					39-213		15-21	331			-173 4.8			5	Ş	v	8	at Coastal Card			-354 -16	0 1	0 31-72	2.3	13-24	131	2	26 42-275			
Middle Tennessee at ULM	41-13	184	9	-1 21	42-227	5.4	17-30	222	3	12 21	-35 1.7	22-42	230	3	Ě	9	≥	Georgia St Liberty	2	21-55 -	-213 -18	-2 2	1 37-122	9.3	20-32	237	3	39 58-326	5.6 20	-29 246	0
at North Texas															Flames	AVERAGES	Warhawks	South Alaba	ma												
UMass																	ā	at Appalachian	St												
at Mississippi Bve															ert		3	at Texas St Arkansas St													
Louisiana															Libe	TEAM	ULM	at LSU													
Army West Point															=	_	5	at Louisiana													
															1																
															1																
Average Conference					42-196 41-150		68.2% 76.2%	248			-102 3.1 -228 4.3				-			Average Conference			231.4 -9.6 218.3 -12.3	0.8 1 -0.7 1	5 39-85 5 36-105		55.4% 58.7%	152 1		25 35-166 28 41-223			
Away				0.0 22			73.3%	235			-141 3.7		147					Home			112.0 -6.7	0.3 1			55.8%				4.3 69		
															Libe	Position by Position E	Edges ULM														
															Libe	Liberty QB/WF															
															3.61	vs.															
															$\vdash$	ULM DB's Liberty RB's															
															1 1	vs.	0.32														
															$\vdash$	ULM LB's															
															0.53	Liberty OL vs.															
																ULM DL															
															2.69	Liberty DL															
															2.09	ULM OL															
															3.15	Liberty LB'S															
															3.15	vs. ULM RB's															
																Liberty DB's															
															2.70	vs. ULM QB/WR															
Last Five Yea	ars Meeti	ngs (Last 2	2 year			m).				Project	ad Per	See.				Liberty ST's		Categor	у	т	Team & Margin					Phil	's Forecast	1			
2020 Ran					p-Att-Yds	TO's				-i oject	ed Box	Score			3.62	vs.		Las Vegas Line		-		Firs	t meeting w	as last yea	r, and Liber	rty returned	a blk'd p	ount for a TD,	returned a	unt for a T	D & also
Liberty - ULM -	-17 63.5		20 39 10 2		3-31-220 3-35-120	-1	tents			Proie	cted Rusi	hina			$\vdash$	ULM ST's Liberty K		-		ш	berty By 33.5	Libe	rty QB Willi	is was just	11-29 for 1	77 yds but o	did run fo	d down and w r 87 yds and	Liberty won	40-7 (-17).	This is
		-					nte	219 ya	ırds	Liberty		ULM	78 ya	ards	0.50	vs.		Las Vegas Tota	' <u> </u>		56.5 Points	the	2nd of 3 str	aight home	games for	ULM while	Liberty is	the middle o home since 2	a stretch w	here they a	ire
							Return to Table of Con	305 va	arde.	Proje Liberty	ected Pas	sing ULM	100 v	ardo	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	ULM K Liberty Coache		+/- Ratings	_	, .	berty By 41.3	HC	Freeze as a	road favor	ite. Liberty	has impres	sive road	wins at Troy	(21-13) and	UAB (36-1	2) and
							e o	ouo ya			ed Yardag		100 y	arus	1.40	vs.		C C d				13 \	vin with QB	Willis unch	aracteristic	ally having	3 int's. Ul	26-13 but Li LM opened 2-	2 with a sho	king upset	of Troy
							Tab	524 ya		Liberty		ULM	178 y	ards	$\sqcup$	ULM Coaches		Game Grade		Li	berty By 38.1	(+2	3') but last	week ULM 1	went 97/6 f	or a TD on t	their 2nd	poss to lead th ULM going	7-0 but Geo	gia St led 2	8-7 at
							ţ.	45 poi	ints	Project Liberty	ted Final	Score ULM	6 po	ints	18.19	Liberty Pts vs.	0.32	Computer Yard	s	Lit	berty 524-178	Libe	rty QB Mali	k Willis is a	magician i	n escaping p	pressure	and has throu ffense and Lib	vn for 1105	(71%, 11-0	) while
							r.			Experi	ence Ran	kings				ULM Pts		Computer Poin	s			443	ypg. The A	GG has Lib	erty at 109	.9 and ULM	at 68.9 (I	Liberty by 38.	<ol><li>New ULN</li></ol>	QB Chand	ler
							Ret	10		Liberty	hedule S	ULM	86	5	POS	ITIONAL EDGE AWAR	RDED TO:		_		Liberty 45-6	Rog big.	ers (PS#13	7 orig SMis	s then JUC	O) hit 15-23	-208 and	rushed for 1	I-72 vs Geo	iga St. Libe	erty wins
								103		Liberty		ULM	96	5		Liberty		Phil Steele		L	Liberty by 39	g.				bost	40 !!	II M 10			
																By 17.87 Poin	nts								L	perty	49 U	LM 10			

		OVERALL: OVERALL:		
BYU Coug		5-1 5-1		Baylor Bears
Cougars Log	S TEAMPS RANK FOE	vs. IND vs. BIG 12 0-0 3-1	FOF TM FOF	Bears Logs  AP TEAMPS RANK FOE
TM REC FOERC	E SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST 24-16 W 57 78 8 103 106 102	NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKINGS	TM REC   FOE   TM   FOE   TM +/- FOE +/-   0-0   0-0   0-0   0-0   129   112	TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST 9/4 at Texas St 29-20 W 94 38 70 100 114 79
1-0 1-0 0-0 0-0 130 137 21 9/11 Utah	26-17 W 45 74 30 20 10 107	BYU Baylor	1-0 0-0 128	9/11 Texas Southern 66-7 W 96 32 81
2-0 2-0 0-0 0-0 133 132 23 19 9/18 Arizona 3 3-0 1-2 0-0 0-0 134 109 15 9/25 USF	t 27-17 W 54 75 40 17 27 9 35-27 W 76 88 25 116 120 116	#65 Rush Off #16	2-0 1-1 0-0 0-0 128 108 5 3-0 2-1 1-0 0-0 132 143	
4-0 3-1 0-0 1-1 130 116 13 10/2 at Utah St 5-0 2-3 0-0 1-1 130 127 10 10/9 Boise St	34-20 W 63 101 31 38 103 34 17-26 L 49 82 34 95 60 4	#60 Pass Off #64 #65 Total Off #24	4 4-0 4-0 2-0 1-0 135 132	21 19 10/2 at Oklahoma St 14-24 L 12 35 30 80 34 83
5-1 5-1 0-0 3-1 126 135 19 10/16 at Baylor	54 72 41 14 33 26	#78 Score Off #17	7 5-1 5-1 3-1 0-0 135 126	19 10/16 BYU 14 33 26 54 72 41
3-3 2-2 129 10/23 at Washingt 4-2 2-2 131 10/30 Virginia	on St 86 47 60 53 98 103	#12 Sks Allowed #12 #51 Rush Def #64		10/23 Bye 10/30 Texas 4 85 27
11/6 Idaho St	33 30 103	#81 Pass Def #14	3-2 1-1 135	11/6 at TCU 9 61 47
2-4 1-2 126 11/20 at GA South	ern 101 111 54	#60 Total Def #31 #31 Score Def #20		
3-3 2-3 127 11/27 USC	27 62 35	#54 Sks By #63 #61 Ypg Diff #14		11/27 Texas Tech 38 88 72
		+3 Total TO's +6		
Net Offense	Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds		Net	Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds
Score         Yds         FD         TO's         FD         RA-RY         YPC           † Arizona         24-16         -58         -9         1         18         34-161         4.7	Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks 19-29 207 1 27 35-81 2.3 36-48 345 4		Score Yds FD	TO'S FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks
† Arizona 24-16 -58 -9 1 18 34-161 4.7 Utah 26-17 28 6 2 21 46-219 4.8	19-29 207 1 27 35-81 2.3 36-48 345 4 18-30 149 0 15 25-193 7.7 15-26 147 2		at Texas St 29-20 151 2 Texas Southern 66-7 433 17	2     22     45-238     5.3     15-24     148     0     20     27-79     2.9     20-40     156     1       1     33     43-419     9.7     19-26     295     1     16     40-161     4.0     12-22     120     2
Arizona St 27-17 -65 4 2 23 39-144 3.7 USF 35-27 76 -2 0 21 25-138 5.5	16-28 217 2 19 33-161 4.9 21-29 265 2 20-25 305 0 23 8-181 22.6 17-24 186 1		at Kansas 45-7 410 21 Iowa St 31-29 -192 -12	-1 29 45-307 6.8 19-24 269 0 8 33-109 3.3 8-18 57 0 0 15 33-123 3.7 14-19 164 2 27 40-216 5.4 22-33 263 2
at Utah St 34-20 130 -1 2 22 36-221 6.1	22-30 245 2 23 35-22 0.6 26-48 314 3	y v v	at Oklahoma St 14-24 -120 -14	3 10 29-107 3.7 13-27 173 3 24 59-218 3.7 13-23 182 1
Boise St 17-26 101 0 -4 24 27-111 4.1 at Baylor	22-37 302 1 24 45-140 3.1 18-29 172 1	ugars ERAGES Bears	West Virginia 45-20 163 -3 BYU	1 21 32-171 5.3 20-31 354 0 24 38-90 2.4 24-37 272 6
at Washington St			Bye	
Virginia Idaho St		0   A   P	Texas at TCU	
Bye at GA Southern		BYU Co	Oklahoma at Kansas St	
USC			Texas Tech	
Average 27-21 35.3 -0.3 0.5 22 35-166 4.8	65.4% 238 3.4% 22 30-130 4.3 65.2% 238 6.4%		Average 38-18 140.8 1.8	1.0 22 38-228 6.0 66.2% 234 4.0% 20 40-146 3.7 57.2% 175 6.9%
Conference 26-17 -31.7 0.3 1.7 21 40-175 4.4	60.9% 191 3.4% 20 31-145 4.7 69.9% 252 7.8%		Conference 34-20 65.3 -2.0	0.8 19 35-177 5.1 65.3% 240 5.0% 21 43-158 3.7 60.4% 194 8.1%
Away 29-18 36.0 -5.0 1.5 20 35-191 5.5	69.5% 226 5.1% 25 35-52 1.5 64.6% 330 7.3%	Position by Position Edges	Home 47-19 134.7 0.7	0.7 23 36-238 6.6 69.7% 271 3.9% 22 39-156 4.0 63.0% 218 10.9%
		BYU Baylor BYU OB/WR	=	
		vs. 1.10		
		Baylor DB's BYU RB's	=	
		vs. 1.26 Baylor LB's	5	
		BYU OL	7	
		0.13 vs. Baylor DL		
		BYU DL vs. 1.70		
		Baylor OL	<u>'</u>	
		BYU LB'S vs. 1.11		
		Baylor RB's BYU DB's	4	
		vs. 0.09	,	
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com).		Baylor QB/WR BYU ST's	Category Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
None .	Projected Box Score	vs. 2.15		
	Park to the to	Baylor ST's	Baylor By 6	3rd meeting. Teams met in a home & home series back in 1983 & '84 with the home team winning both games. Since 2012 the Bears are 8-2 SU and 7-3 ATS in home non-conf games vs FBS foes. Baylor
	Projected Rushing  147 yards BYU Baylor 179 yards	1.95 vs.	Las Vegas Total 50.5 Points	opened defeating 3 weak teams then was outgained 479-282 by Iowa St but won 31-29. They trailed
	Durate stand Description	Baylor K	,	Okla St 14-0 H (OSU 15-2 FD) in a 24-14 loss. Last week they played their best game of the year as QB Bohanon threw for 336 yds incl a 75 yd TD pass on the 2nd play of game. Baylor scored on 4 of first 5
	192 yards BYU Baylor 241 yards	BYU Coaches	+/- Ratings Baylor By 12.8	poss. WV QB Doege was sacked 6 times. Baylor led 21-7 after 1Q, 28-10 at half and got TD's first 2 poss 2H and led 45-13 with WV going 85/12 for TD 4:18 left. BYU opened with 3 wins over Pac 12 South
	Projected Passing   192 yards   BYU   Baylor   241 yards	1.65 vs.	Game Grade	teams including B2B upsets of Utah and Arizona St! They failed to cover the next 2 but at 5-0 hosted Boise St and Jaren Hall returned at QB for BUU and threw for a career high 302 yds and BYU led 10-0.
	339 yards BYU Baylor 420 yards Projected Final Score	Baylor Coaches BYU Pts	Baylor By 5.2	and led 20-10 H. In the 2H BYU fumbled at the Boise 13 and was SOD at the 5. Down 23-10 BYU got a
	21 points BYU Baylor 29 points	3.73 vs. 7.42	Computer Yards Baylor 420-339	59 yd TD pass 4Q. Boise got a 22 yd FG 3:27 left to clinch it. BYU is playing to an AGG of 104.0 and Baylor is at 103.6. BYU has faced the #35 schedule and Baylor is at #96. Jeff Grimes who is the new
	21 points BYU Baylor 29 points  Experience Rankings	Baylor Pts	Computer Points	OC at Baylor was the OC at BYU last year and brings great knowledge of the offense. Baylor is just 4-9 ATS vs non-conf foes. BYU HC Sitake thrives as an away underdog, going 11-2 ATS including 7-2 ATS
	119 BYU Baylor 62	POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Baylor 29-21	vs Power 5 teams and an upset win would be no surprise.
	Team Schedule Strength  35 BYU Baylor 97	Baylor	Phil Steele Baylor by 3	
		By 3.69 Points	22,31373	Baylor 27 BYU 24

							Т	exas	5 A&N	л Agg	ies									OVER		OVERALL: 3-3	T								Miss	ouri 7	Figer:	s						
									Aggies	Logs										vs. S	EC	vs. SEC										Tigers Lo	gs							
TM REC FO	DE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	'- ТМ	AP FOE	DATE		FOE		CORE	W/L	TEAM OFF I	DEF	PS RAI	NK OFF	FO DEF	E ST	1-	NCAA RANKIN	0-2	тм	REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE	TM +/-	FOE +/-	AF TM	FOE	DATE	FC	ne	SCORE	W/L	OFF	AM DEF	PS R ST	ANK OFF	FOE DEF ST
1-0 2-0 3-0 3-1	2-0		0-0 0-0 0-1		121 118 110 135 130	6 5 7 7 15		9/4 9/11 9/18 9/25 10/2	† Color New † Arka Miss	t St rado Mexico nsas	4 1 3 1 2	11-10 10-7 34-0 10-20 22-26	W W L L W	14 7 21	6 5 5 2 3	36 33 42 27	54 58 76 19 47	123 45 109 22 14	127 66 96 47 111	#79 #76 #83	2021 TEAM RANK		1 2	0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0 1-1 2-1 3-0 2-2 2-2 2-3 1-3	0-0 0-0 0-1 0-1 0-1 0-2	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-1 0-2	124 124	116 125 127 121 102			9/4 9/11 9/18		Michigan y ouri St College		W L W L	75 59 47 20 20 28	74 63 63 80 81 102	30 16 29 16 15	77 41 36 39 88	89 42 39 23 75 13 48 10 112 64
	3-3 3-3 4-2 4-1	1-2	0-2 0-3 1-1 1-1	139	118 116 135 142			10/23 10/30 11/6 11/13 11/20	Bye Aub at Miss	th Carolina urn issippi rie View A				42	7		25 79 12 2	106 39 32 66	4 24 13 53	#82 #71 #61 #44 <b>#53</b> #17 #10	Score Off Sks Allowed Rush Def Pass Def Total Def Score Def Sks By	#18 # #8 #129 #45 <b>#128</b> #121 #20		2-4 6-0 3-3 4-2 4-2	0-2	0-2 4-0 0-3 2-2 1-2	118	139 103 158 116 136 137			11/6 11/13 11/20	Texas A Bye at Vanderb at Georgia South C Florida at Arkansa	ilt arolina			25	106	4	117 10 79 7 16	7 17 117 110 1 45 39 24 8 52 25 73
Kent St	Score		41-10	<b>Yds</b> 259	Net FD	TO's		Offer RA-RY 42-303	YP0	21	tt 1	Yds Pass 292	Sks 2	FD R	-226	<b>YPC</b> 5.0	Comp Att	Yds Pass 110	Sks 5	#69 -4	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#96		Sco Central Michig		34-24		Net FD -1	TO's 2	26	Offense RA-RY 36-211	YPC 5.9	Comp Att 21-32	Pass 257	Sks 2	<b>FD</b> 27	Defense RA-RY 39-174		Comp Att 24-47	Yds Pass Sks 301 9
† Colorado New Mexid † Arkansas Miss St Alabama at Missouri South Car Bye Auburn at Mississipp	rolina		10-7 34-0 10-20 22-26 41-38	28 307 -171 -141 -143		0 0 -1 -1 1	22 15 15	29-97 34-15- 23-12: 32-16: 27-94	4 4.5 1 5.3 2 5.1	19 20 12	-33 -36 -20	191 275 151 135 285	3 3 0	9 3 20 49	6-89 1-197 7-30	2.5 : 4.0 1.8 4		89 33 246 408 369	1 2 3 4	A A Angles	M AVER	Missouri Tigers	at at	Kentucky SE Missouri St Boston College Tennessee North Texas Texas A&M Bye Vanderbilt Georgia South Carolina		28-35 59-28 34-41 24-62 48-35	302 -59 -287	-1 7 -1 -14 0	1 1 -1 -2 3	26 22 21	24-104 34-235 23-88 30-74 39-314	4.3 6.9 3.8 2.5 8.1	34-52 28-38 30-42 27-44 21-33	303 322	1 0 0 1 1 1	35	52-332 36-294 49-275 59-458 49-188	8.2 5.6 7.8		
Average Confere Away	e		26-17 24-28 10-14	-151.7			18	31-15: 27-12( 26-10)	6 4.6	60.	9%	190 (	5.9%	19 37 24 33 17 44		3.8	55.9%	341	9.3% 7.1% 6.8%	Yor	Position by Position			Average Conference Home			-30.5 -200.0 -2.8	-1.7 -7.5 -2.0	0.7 -0.5 1.0	23	31-171 27-89 35-209	5.5 3.3 6.0	66.8% 63.5% 66.0%	308	2.1% 2.1% 2.7%	31		7.1		211 11.0% 202 8.1% 228 13.1%
																				Texas		Missouri /WR 0.10																		
																				2.30	Missouri LB' Texas A&M C vs. Missouri DL Texas A&M C vs.	DL																		
																				0.76	Missouri OL Texas A&M LE vs. Missouri RB' Texas A&M Di vs.	3'S s																		
La	st Five	Vears I	Meetin	ns (I a	st 22 v	ears at	PhilSt	eele.co	m)												Missouri QB/\ Texas A&M S			Category			Team 8	Margin							hil's Fore	cast:				
None					•						H		-	Project		Rushing					vs. Missouri ST'	0.21 s	Las	s Vegas Line		1		kM By 8.5		5 of the	e last 6 m	Big 12 foe neetings b	ut they h	1996-201 haven't m	1 before et since	they joi 2014. T	he Aggie	s have a	a huge e	
										1		268 ya	rds	Texas A	8.M	Misson Passing	uri	129 y	ards	2.90	vs. Missouri K			s Vegas Total			59.5 1	Points		neutral on the I Austin A only wo	sites. Mi Phil Stee Aune thr on 48-35	ssouri had le Plus Tou ew for 305 . Texas A8	l lost an a ur and Ty 5 yds and M opene	amazing 9 yler Badie d NT had ed a disap	in a row rushed for a 493-47 pointing	w ATS ar for 217 y 74 yd ed 3-2 with	nd last w yds and N Ige. Mizzo n upset lo	eek I us dissouri ou got a osses to	ed North led 31-7 40 yd If Arkansa	Texas +19' at half. NT QB TD in 3Q but and Miss St.
												214 ya 482 ya		Project Texas A	ed Yar	Misson dage To Misson	otal	256 y 385 y		2.05	Texas A&M Coa vs. Missouri Coacl			me Grade				M By 17.		Alabamand TD TD 3:00	a got a b on next D left force	4 drives to ced a punt	nt TD 3Q lead 38 and wer	but Acha 3-31 with nt 54/8 fc	ne got a 5:00 left r 28 yd f	KR TD 3 to seen FG and h	31-17. Ba ningly es nuge ups	ama wo cape bu et in fro	uld get a t A&M w nt of rau	t half. TD, FG, FG, ent 65/6 for cous home and Missouri
												37 poi	nts	Texas A	8.M	Misson	uri	23 pc	oints	10.59	Texas A&M P	0.30	Coi	mputer Yards		Т	exas A&	M 482-38	15	has the schedul are -15 Aggies a	worst rule and is 3 ypg in are a bet	ish defens +23 ypg v those. Ter ter team t	e in the while Miss nessee than Ten	country a souri is at came in h nessee. V	llowing 2 just #80 ere and /hile nori	287 (6.1 6 and is had a 4! mally th	). Texas -31 ypg! 58-74 ru is would	A&M ha Missou sh edge be a let	s taken ri is 0-3 in a 62- down sp	on the #9 vs P5 teams 24 rout and ot, A&M's ust one Bama
												111		Texas A	8.M	Misso Misso le Strer	uri	92	2	PO	Missouri Pts	ARDED TO:	H	mputer Points			Texas A8	&М 37-23		loss to h	have a sh		title gar	ne if they	win out	and the	y could b	e favore		6 games. Put
												9		Texas A	8.M	Misso	uri	85	5		By 10.29 Po						Texas A	&M by 18			В	est B	et: 🛨	Texa	s A8	&М 4	1 Mis	ssou	ri 23	

						Okl	ahom	a St C	owbo	vs								OVER								T	Yas	Long	horn	S						
						OKI		wboys Lo		y 3								5-( vs. BI		-2 IG 12								horns								
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/		NP						TEA		PS RA		FOE		2-	0 2		TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	Α	,							AM	PS RAM		FOE
0-0	0-0	CONT	132		TM	FOE	<b>DATE</b> 9/4	Missouri	i St	23-16		OFF 45	DEF 36	5T 71	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKINGS		0-0 0-0			139 128	TM 21	FOE DA	ATE	FC Louisian		38-1		OFF 15	DEF 34			33 7
1-0 0-1	0-0		128	124			9/11	Tulsa		28-23		53	41	68	44		74	Oklaho	ma St Te	xas	1-0 1-0		0-0	140 128	15	9/1	.1 at	Arkansa		21-4		19	33			25 84
2-0 1-1 3-0 2-1			128 130	129 132		25		at Boise St Kansas		21-20 31-20		67 86	48	31 63	62 58	66 48	3 28	#74	Rush Off	#9	1-1 0-2 2-1 3-0			135 111 139 126		9/1		Rice Texas To	ech	58-0 70-3			45 70			106 123 32 60
4-0 4-0	1-0		132	135	19	21	10/2	Baylor		24-14		80	34	83	12		30	#80	Pass Off	#62	3-1 2-1	1-0		142 132		10/	/2 at	TCU		32-2		5	77	59		71 43
5-0 5-0 4-2	2-0 2-0	2-1	134 134	140	12		10/9 10/16 a	Bye at Texas				83 84	21 18	84 89	4	85		#82	Total Off Score Off	<b>#17</b> #5	4-1 4-1 5-0	2-0	2-0	142 140 142	21	6 10/		Bye Oklahom	a	48-5	5 L	6 4	76 85	44 27	11	16 27
3-2		1-1		142			10/23 a	at Iowa St							24	22		#21	Sks Allowed	#71	5-0		2-0	134	25	12 10/	/23	Oklahon							84	18 89
1-4 2-4		0-2		103 125			10/30 11/6 a	Kansas at West Vir							120 94			#11 #50	Rush Def Pass Def	#109 #84	5-1 3-2		3-1 1-1	135 142		10/	/30 at /6 at	Iowa St							14 24	33 26 22 119
3-2 4-2		1-1		135 123			11/13	TCU at Texas T							9 38			<b>#17</b> #23	Total Def	<b>#106</b> #93	1-4 2-4		0-2 0-3	103 125		11/		Kansas								128 87 44 16
6-0		1-2 3-0		144			11/20 2	Oklahon							5			#24	Score Def Sks By	#63	2-4		0-3	130				West Vir Kansas !								54 6
																		#41 -2	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#60 +4																
																		-2	Total 10's	т•																
Score	·e		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp Att	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks				So	ore		Net Yds FD	TO's		offense N-RY	YPC	Comp Att	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defense RA-RY			Yds Pass Sks
Missouri St		23-16		-7	-1	16	28-54	1.9	22-40	315	1					234	5				Louisiana	<u> </u>	38-18		1			4.0	18-26			22	29-76			282 4
Tulsa at Boise St		28-23 21-20		-4	-1 1		43-140 57-246	3.3 4.3	15-26 6-13	173 82	2				19-27 22-35	224	4	v.	,		at Arkansas Rice		21-40 58-0		-1 0		-138 -427	3.4 10.4	13-23 17-21			21 14				138 0 128 3
Kansas St		31-20		12	2		43-137	3.2	22-34	344	1			2.5		198	2	6	<b>.</b>   .	v	Texas Tech		70-35		1			6.5	18-23						26-34	
Baylor Bve		24-14	126	14	-3	24	59-218	3.7	13-23	182	1	10	29-101	3.5	13-27	173	3	3	ES	Ę	at TCU Bye		32-27	63 1	2	25 53-	-272	5.1	12-22	142	2	24	41-169	4.1 2	20-28	182 1
at Texas																		Cowb	AVERAGES	Longnorns	† Oklahoma		48-55	-146 -7	1	19 27	-128	4.7	20-34	388	3	26	41-339	8.3 2	24-40	323 2
at Iowa St Kansas																		ţ	( E	5	Oklahoma St															
at West Virginia																					at Baylor at Iowa St															
TCU																		emo	MA .	exas	Kansas															
at Texas Tech Oklahoma																		klaho		ĕ	at West Virginia Kansas St															
																		Š	'	•																
																		C	'																	
Average Conference		25-19	74.2		-0.4 -0.5		46-159 51-178	3.5	57.4% 61.4%	219 263	5.1% 3.5%		33-90 27-82			214 9	9.6% 8.6%				Average Conference			39.0 1.0 12.0 0.3	1.3		-245 -245	5.7	65.8%				37-200 38-212		70.8%	
Away		21-20		-4.0			57-246	4.3	46.2%		15.4%					242 1					Home			177.3 6.0	0.7		-311	6.9	75.7%				31-120			
1																		Oklaho	Position by Position Edges ma St Te	xas																
1																		0.22	Oklahoma St QB/WR																	
1																		0.22	vs. Texas DB's																	
1																			Oklahoma St RB's	4.74																
1																			vs. Texas LB's	1.24																
1																		0.10	Oklahoma St OL																	
1																		0.10	vs. Texas DL																	
1																			Oklahoma St DL	0.82																
1																			vs. Texas OL	0.82																
1																			Oklahoma St LB'S	0.95																
1																			vs. Texas RB's	0.93																
																		0.71	Oklahoma St DB's vs.																	
																			Texas QB/WR																	
Last Five	Pont	Meetin	ngs (La	st 22 y	ears at	PhilStee Comp-A		). TO's				Proje	cted E	Box S	core				Oklahoma St ST's vs.		Category			Team & Margin		Tevas lead	s series	26-0 h/+	from 20		Phil's Fore		meetings	Teyas ha	as won +	he previous
	r.dnk	Line	ocore			-		108												1.89	Las Vegas Line			Taya : D. F		two meetin	gs and 5	of the p	oast 6 me	etings h	eve been	decided	by a TD o	r less. La:	st seaso	n OSU came I trailed just
Oklahoma St	6	-3.5	34	32	51-130												-	_	Texas ST's					Texas By 5		24-20 desp	ite getti	ng outga	ined 316	-160. Tex	as got a	KR TD ri	ght after a	n OSU TI	D then fo	orced a
Texas	-	58.5	41	17	40-118			4					ojected						Oklahoma St K		Las Vegas Total					OT where T	exas sc	ored a T	D then st	opped O	SU on 4th	n down to	win desp	ite OSU h	nolding th	nd it went to ne 527-287
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's	Ņ.	166	yards	Oklaho		Tex		210 ya	rds		vs.					60 Points		edge (-4 TC	D's). Wh	ile 7 of t	he last 8	games a	t OSU ha	ve gone	'Over' the	total, the	e past 8	
Oklahoma St	-	72.5	30	26	56-226	19-33	3-268		ntents			Pr	ojected	Passin	g				Texas K		+/- Ratings	_				while OSU i #90. Oklah	is 5-2 A	ΓS since	'18 as a	Big 12 ur	nderdog.	Texas do	es have r	ny #26 S	T unit an	nd OSU is
Texas	12	-6.5	36	26	43-217	20-28	3-281	-1	Con	202	yards	Oklaho	ma St	Tex	as	258 yaı	rds		Oklahoma St Coaches		,mgs			Texas By 10.5		Boise but e	scaped	with wins	s. The ne	xt two w	eks they	domina	ted ranke	foes of I	Kansas S	St (bkp QB f k. Texas ha
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's	e of			Proje	cted Ya	rdage 1	otal			1.95	vs.		Gamo Gaada					4 impressiv	e wins a	and did le	ose to Ar	kansas 4	0-21. Las	st week T	exas got	a 75 yd Ti	D pass o	n first play
Oklahoma St	-	62	38	27	51-181	23-34	-321		Table	367	yards	Oklaho	ma St	Tex	as	468 yaı	ds		Texas Coaches		Game Grade			Texas By 13.9		17 but Ratt	ler fumb	led, and	Texas g	ot a 55/3	TD to lea	ad 38-20	at half. W	illiams ca	ame in at	OU got to 2 t QB 2H and
Texas	6	-3	35	24	30-119	22-42	2-283	0	ţ,			Proj	jected Fi	inal Sc	ore				Oklahoma St Pts							led 48-41.	Texas w	as SOD 4	4:39 then	went 60	/6 for TD	1:20 lef	t to tie. O	J 75/6 an	nd 33 yd	B/1 for TD at TD run by
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's	E .	25 n	oints	Oklaho	ma St	Tex	as	38 poir	nts	2.98	vs.	4.89	Computer Yards			Texas 468-367		Brooks (21 match up w	ith Bijar	Robins	on (652,	6.2) and	their D o	verall is	holding te	ams to 13	36 ypg b	elow their
Oklahoma St	10	-7	13	26	51-146				Ret				erience						Texas Pts							season avg	. Utah S	t transfe	er Jaylen	Warren I	nad just 2	23 ypg ru	sh the firs	t two but	218 vs 8	Boise, 123 v
		65	10	13	33-42	22-36		0		_	56		ma St	Tex		109	-			_	Computer Points	5		Texas 38-25		52 ppg. Tex	kas has	taken or	the muc	h toughe	r schedu	le (#10 v	rs #54). T	exas is of	ff of their	r rivalry gan ve passed ti
Tevas		00	10	13	J3*4Z	22-30				•						109		POS	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDED	то:				16.405 30-25			ery wee	k except	t for Arka							of 111.5 ar
Texas	n		· ·			Co	**-Vd-					Torri															U3.5 (   6									
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-A		TO's		_		Team							Texas		Phil Steele							.xus by .	12.3).							
	Rank - 22	Line -2.5 70.5	<b>Score</b> 49 31	<b>FD</b> 22 26	Rush 43-163 49-329	19-28	3-392	TO's		5	56		ma St	Tex		10			Texas		Phil Steele			Texas by 14						s 41	Okla	hom	a St	27		

							TCU	Hor	rned	Frogs	<u> </u>								OVEF		VERALL:							C	Oklah	oma	Soone	ers						
									Frogs L											IG 12 vs	. BIG 12									ooners				_				
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/	- FOE	+/- 1	AP M	FOE DAT	TE	FOE	E	SCORE	W/L	OFF	AM DEF	PS F	RANK OFF	DEF FC	E ST	1-	1 NCAA RANKINGS	3-0	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	AP TM	FOE	DATE		FOE	SCOR	E W/L		EAM DEF	PS RA	NK OFF	FOE DEF ST
0-0	0-0		139				9/4		Duquesne		45-3	W	17	11	15					2021 TEAM RANKING	s	0-0 0-0			149	127	2		9/4	Tulane		40-3	5 W	1	3	22	69	72 73
1-0 0-1 2-0	0-0 0-0	0-0	139 137		:6		9/11 9/18		California Bye	1	34-32	W	16 15	9 16	50	87	43	126	.10	in o	klahoma	1-0 2-0 2-1	0-0		145 147	129	3		9/11 9/18	Nebras	rn Carolini ska	a 76-0 23-1			4	63 25	31	42 124
2-0 3-0 2-1 3-1			137 132				9/25 10/2		SMU Texas		34-42 27-32	L L	14 16	46 71	61 43		85 77	99 59	#15 #90	Rush Off Pass Off	#40 #26	3-0 2-1 4-0 2-2			145 142	129 130	4 6		9/25 10/2	West \		16-1 37-3			6 8	22 14	73 77	38 12 51 17
2-2 4-1	0-1	1-1	132	12			10/9	at T	Texas Te			W	16	69	57	38	88	72	#33	Total Off	#19	5-0 4-1	2-0	2-0	142	140	6			† Texas	5 31	55-4			16	27	4	85 27
3-2 6-0 2-4	1-1	3-0 0-3	135	14			4 10/1 10/2		Oklahoma West Virg				9	61	47	5 94	19 44	46 16	#16 #12	Score Off Sks Allowed	#8	6-0 3-2 1-4		1-1 0-2	144	135 103	4		10/16 10/23	TCU at Kansas	<u> </u>			5	19	46	9 120	61 47 128 87
2-3		0-2		13	0		10/3	0 at K	Kansas S							75	54	6	#114	Rush Def	#8	4-2		1-2		123			10/30	Texas							38	88 72
5-1 5-0		3-1 2-0		13	14		11/6 11/1		Baylor Oklahoma	a St						14 84	33 18	26 89	#61 <b>#99</b>	Pass Def Total Def	#107 <b>#52</b>	5-1		3-1		135			11/6 11/13	Bye at Baylor							14	33 26
1-4 3-2		0-2 1-1		10 14			11/2		Kansas Iowa St							120 24	128 22	87 119	#85 #116	Score Def Sks By	#61 #14	3-2 5-0		1-1 2-0		142 134			11/20 11/27	Iowa S							24 84	22 119 18 89
32							11/2	, 001	iona bi									117	#73	Ypg Diff	#23	3.0		2.0		101			11/2/	ac Oktano	ina se						01	10 03
																			+1	Total TO's	+4																	
Scor			Yds	Ne FI		D's	Off FD RA-I	fense	YPC	Comp Att	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp Att	Yds Pass	Sks				c	core		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass		FD	Defense RA-RY	YPC		Yds Pass Sks
Duquesne	e	45-3	3 294	20	)	1	26 38-1	.78	4.7	18-24	253	0	6	29-61	2.1	3-12	76	1				Tulane		40-35	34	0	1	24	35-116	3.3	31-40	314		24	32-100	3.1		296 4
California Bye		34-32	2 56	15	5	1	31 50-2	264	5.3	17-31	234	3	16	27-133	4.9	16-27	309	2	-			Western Car Nebraska	olina	76-0 23-16		20	3		38-277 35-194	7.3 5.5	27-38 24-34							123 4 289 5
SMU		34-42					20 30-1		5.7	16-28	276	2		52-350				0	,	2	ร	West Virginia	3	16-13	87	1	0	19	28-57	2.0	26-36	5 256	4	18	29-47	1.6	23-34	179 1
Texas at Texas Tech		27-32 52-31					24 41-1 18 47-3		4.1 8.4	20-28 8-10	182 104	0		53-272 37-214				2	3	g   8	Sooners	at Kansas St † Texas		37-31 55-48		7	-1		32-131 41-339	4.1 8.3	23-28				7-100 27-128	14.3 4.7		
at Oklahoma																				.   88	õ	TCU																
West Virginia at Kansas St																			3	AVERAGES		at Kansas Texas Tech																
Baylor at Oklahoma St																			1		E	Bye at Baylor																
Kansas																			1 :	TEAM	ah	Iowa St																
at Iowa St																			Ş	2   '	Oklahoma	at Oklahoma S	t															
																					•																	
Average		38-28					24 41-2		5.7	65.3%	210	5.0%					223		†			Average			118.2	5.2	0.7		35-186	5.3	71.89							266 8.4%
Conference Away		40-32 52-31	2 -61.5 1 -60.0				21 44-2 18 47-3		6.4 8.4	73.7% 80.0%	143 104	2.6%					243 344					Conferenc Home	e		68.3 147.8	2.7 6.0	-0.3 1.3		34-176 34-161	5.2 4.7	70.29							296 4.6%
																			т	Position by Position Edg	es klahoma																	
																				TCU QB/WR	Kiailoilla																	
																			0.30	vs. Oklahoma DB's																		
																			0.70	TCU RB's																		
																			0.30	vs. Oklahoma LB's																		
																			0.30	TCU OL vs.																		
																				Oklahoma DL																		
																				TCU DL vs.	2.26																	
																			-	Oklahoma OL TCU LB'S																		
																				vs.	0.58																	
																				Oklahoma RB's TCU DB's																		
																			0.17	vs.																		
Last Five	e Years	Meeti	ngs (L	ast 22	years	at Ph	ilSteele.c	om)					<b>.</b>		D	0				Oklahoma QB/WR TCU ST's		Categor	у		Team &	Margin							Phil's For	ecast:				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	e FC	D R	ısh	Comp-Att-Yd	ls 1	TO's				Proje	ected	ROX S	score			2.93	vs.		Las Vegas Line	_						_	_				_				
TCU	-	58.5	14	18	3 25	-75	25-35-276					_	_	_		_	_	_		Oklahoma ST's		guo ane		•	Oklahoma	a By 13.5									(37-33 ir			
Oklahoma	-	-7	33	23	3 45	166	13-22-332		0				Pr	ojected	l Rushi	ing				тси к		Las Vegas Tota					9	gone 'U	nder' the	total in	5 of the I	ast 7 me	etings. I	n last ye	games. S ear's meet	ing OU s	cored a	D and a FG
2019	Rank	Line	Score	e FC	D R	ısh	Comp-Att-Yd	ls 1	TO's	60	128	yards	TC	CU	Okla	homa	230 y	ards	0.10	vs.		_as cegas rola	-		65 Pc	oints		on their	first 2 d	lrives and	d held TCl	J to just	43 yds o	n their f	irst 3 driv	es. At th	e half Ol	l led 17-7 d TCU to just
TCU	-	65	24	11	1 24	139	7-21-65			ntents			Pr	rojected	d Passi	ng				Oklahoma K		+/- Ratings	_				4	45 yds o	on their	first 4 dr	ives of the	e 2H. OU	won 33	-14 & QI	B Rattler h	nad a nic	e bounce	back game,
Oklahoma	8	-18	28	30	64	366	11-21-145		-2	Cont	207	yards	TC	CU	Okla	homa	284 y	ards		TCU Coaches		. /- Katings			Oklahoma	a By 14.5	5 t	teams v	vere disa	ppointin	g early. O	klahoma	opened !	5-0 but	were just	1-4 ATS	Last we	ek they
2018	Rank	Line	Score	e FC	D R	ısh	Comp-Att-Yd	ls 1	TO's	of o			Proje	ected Ya	ardage	Total			0.10	vs.		Gamo Canda					١	Williams	s leading	the com	eback. TO	CU opene	d just 2-	2 barely	l out a 55 getting p	ast Cal, t	eing up	et at home
TCU	-	62	27	12	2 30	112	10-25-163			to Table	336	yards	TC	CU	Okla	homa	514 y	ards		Oklahoma Coaches	:	Game Grade		•	Oklahoma	a By 14.4	4	by SMU	and losi	ng at Tex	kas. Last v	week I us	sed them	as a Be	st Bet on	these pa	ges at T	exas Tech ould have
Oklahoma	9	-7.5	52	26	5 47	323	19-25-213		1	to to			Proj	jected F	Final S	core				TCU Pts		Computer Yard						returne	d). TCU i	an for 3	94 yds in	their firs	t road ga	me. TCl	J had 306	-151 yd	edge at	nalf and got
2017	Rank	Line	Score	e FC	D R	ısh	Comp-Att-Yd	ls 1	TO's	aturn	28 p	oints	TC	CU	Okla	homa	40 p	oints	4.20	vs.	2.84	Computer Yard			Oklahoma	514-33	6	Zach Ev	ans (PS	#1) had	rushed fo	r 579, 7.	8) and M	1ax Dugg	gan is hitt	ing 65%	with a 9	t 52-31. RB -2 ratio. The
тси	8	61.5	20	19	9 32	155	14-29-269			Ret			Exp	erience	e Ranki	ings				Oklahoma Pts		Camput 2													t this yea ATS in th			s 46 ypg exas since
Oklahoma	5	-6.5	38	21	1 41	200	18-27-333		0		4	17	TC	CU	Okla	homa	7	4	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDE	ED TO:	Computer Point	.5		Oklahom	na 40-28		1999!								J		
2016	Rank	Line	Score	e FC	D R	ısh	Comp-Att-Yd	ls 1	TO's				Team	Sched	lule Str	ength						DEII CAC - 1-																
тси	10	64	17	20	0 24	-83	27-37-234				7	73	TC	CU	Okla	homa	6	8		TCU		Phil Steele			Oklahom	na by 14					۵.	lel = !-		42 T	CI 1 2 2			
Oklahoma	2	-7	41	22	2 43	218	15-23-243		2											By 1.36 Points											OI	kiano	ma 4	42 I (	CU 28	•		
																				,																		

						Т	oled	lo Roc	kets								OVER		VERALL:						(	Mich	nigan	Chipr	oewa	s					
								ckets Log									3-3 vs. M		3-3 vs. MAC									as Logs							
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	'- тм	FOE	DATE	FC	)F	SCORE	W/L	TEAM DFF DE		S RANK	FOI DEF	ST	1-1	NCAA RANKINGS	1-1	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOI	E+/- ,	AP TM FO			FOE	SI	ORE W/L		TEAM DEF	PS RAN		FOE DEF ST
0-0	0-0		119			9,	)/4	Norfolk :	St	49-10	W	72 5	1 113		<b>DE</b> .			2021 TEAM RANKING	SS	0-0 0-0	0-0		116 1	24		9/4	at Miss	ouri	2-	4-34 L	77	89	42		74 30
1-0 1-0 1-1 0-2	0-0		119 122	137 113		8 9, 9,	9/11 a 9/18	t Notre Da Colorado		29-32 6-22		71 5 80 6			93	18 109	Tole	do C	Michigan	0-1 1-1 1-1	0-0	0-0	116 117 1	38		9/11 9/18		ert Morris		5-0 W 1-49 L		92 110	65	46	14 34
1-2 1-2	0-0	0-0	117	120		9,	)/25 a	at Ball St		22-12	W	103 6	1 46	118	104	55	#67	Rush Off	#63	1-2 1-2	0-0	0-0	117 1	06		9/25	FIU		3	1-27 W	70	106	54	81	93 18
2-2 0-4 3-2 3-2	1-0 1-0		121 123	93 117			.0/2 a	at UMass Northerr	n Illinois	45-7 20-22		95 5 94 4			130 117		#70 <b>#70</b>	Pass Off Total Off	#22 <b>#25</b>	2-2 1-3 2-3 1-4	0-0 0-1			16		10/2	at Mian			7-28 L 0-27 W		105 108			116 66 123 86
3-3 3-3 4-2	1-1		120	114				at Central I				89 4	1 67	46 87	109		#68	Score Off	#72	3-3 3-3 4-2	1-1			20		10/16					46	109			41 67 116 106
4-2		1-1		118 119			1/2	Eastern	Michigan Michigan					111	87 101		#110 #66	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#123 #50	4-2		2-0	1	20		10/23		hern Illino	DIS					90 1	116 106
2-4		0-2		96			1/6	Bye at Bowling	Croon					127	84		#10 <b>#26</b>	Pass Def Total Def	#108 <b>#79</b>	4-2 3-3		1-1 2-0		18		11/3 11/10		tern Michig	gan						87 127 103 124
1-5		1-1		108		1	1/16 a	at Ohio	Green					95	121	83	#18	Score Def	#81	3-3		1-1	1	.25		11/17	at Ball	St					1	.24	95 21
2-4		1-1		99		1	1/27	Akron						122	124	130	#54 #39	Sks By Ypg Diff	#79 #49	4-2		1-1	1	19		11/26	East	ern Michig	jan					.11 1	101 86
																	+9	Total TO's	-2																
				Net			Offense		Comp	Yds		Defe	nse	Comp	Yds									let		Offer	nse	Cor	mp '	Yds		Defense	С	omp \	/ds
Norfolk St	re	49-10	Yds 169	<b>FD</b> 7	<b>TO's</b>		RA-RY 39-205	<b>YPC</b> 5.3	Att 13-17	Pass 236		FD RA- 14 42-			Pass 125	Sks 1				at Missouri	ore	24-34			O's F0					ass Sks 301 9					257 2
at Notre Dame		29-32	-96	-11	2	16 3	31-132	4.3	19-32	221	5	27 39-	132 3.4	24-36	317	6			v	Robert Morr	s	45-0	406	25	1 28	3 56-31	0 5.5	17-	-25	205 2	3	30-96	3.2	5-8	13 0
Colorado St at Ball St		6-22 22-12		3	0		28-21 14-272	6.2	25-43 12-20	270 172			209 4.3 122 3.5			4				at LSU FIU		21-49 31-27			0 29					228 5 397 2	_				100 1 395 5
at UMass Northern Illinois		45-7 20-22		14 -8	4	22 4 15 2		5.2 6.1	20-30 16-31	232 236			23 0.9 242 4.4	11-25		1	Rockets	E S	Chippewa	at Miami, OH at Ohio		17-28 30-27			1 25	27-52 3 41-20				378 3 257 1		38-164 46-179			350 1 190 2
at Central Michigan		20-22	-25	-8	1	15 2	22-135	0.1	10-31	230	U	23 33	242 4.4	14-24	154	1	3	AVERAGES	. <u>ब</u>	Toledo		30-27	92	1 .	-1 23	41-20	4 5.0	10-	-30 .	257 1	22	40-179	3.9 1.	5-19 1	190 2
Western Michiga Eastern Michigan																	Š	麗		Northern Illi Bye	nois														
Bye																			an	at Western Mic	nigan														
at Bowling Green at Ohio																	oled	EAM	į	Kent St at Ball St															
Akron																	٩	P	Michigan	Eastern Mich	igan														
																			2 U																
Average		29-18	78.8	1.2	1.5	17 3	35-165	4.8	60.7%	228	10.4%	16 41-	146 3.6	53.3%	168	7.8%				Average		28-28	63.8 5	i.2 -	0.3 25	39-16	6 4.3	59.0	0%	294 9.29	% 20	34-129	3.8 63	.8% 2	268 6.3%
Conference Away		21-17	53.5 119.0	-3.0 1.7	0.5 2.0		33-204 39-209	6.2 5.3	54.9% 62.2%						172					Conference Home	e	24-28			0.0 24					318 5.19 301 5.49		42-172 31-69			270 5.3% 204 10.9%
																	Tole	Position by Position Edg	ges Michigan																
																-	Ī	Toledo QB/WR	Michigan																
																	1.42	vs. C Michigan DB's																	
																		Toledo RB's																	
																		vs. C Michigan LB's	0.18																
																		Toledo OL vs.	0.20																
																		C Michigan DL																	
																		Toledo DL vs.	0.15																
																		C Michigan OL Toledo LB'S																	
																	0.48	vs.																	
																		C Michigan RB's Toledo DB's																	
																	0.91	vs. C Michigan QB/WR	2																
	e Years	Meetir	ngs (La			PhilSteel					D	roject	ed Box	Score				Toledo ST's		Categor	у		Team & Ma	rgin						Phil's Fo	recast:				
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att		TO's				. 0,500	JUX	30016				vs.	0.25	Las Vegas Line					Rock	cets lead t	:he all-tir	ne series :	27-19-3	. Toledo ha	as won 1	0 of the las	st 11 but l	ast time	here lost
Toledo	-	-11	24	25	43-126											-	_	C Michigan ST's					Toledo By	5.5											ned because ach time the
Central Michigan		54	23	15	42-197			3					ted Rus					Toledo K		Las Vegas Tota	ı				hom	ie team so	cores poi	nts. Home	e team h	as won 3 i	in a row	SU. Last ye	ear CMich	on the r	
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att		TO's	ts	139 )	ards	Toledo		lichigan	145 ya	irds		vs.	0.25				52 Point	s	3Q v	with Nicho	olls 68 yd	run TD a	nd 53 &	47 yd FG'	s on first	3 poss to	ead 23-17	7. Toledo	went
Toledo	-	65	7	15	29-83	19-30-1	173		ntents			Proje	cted Pas	sing				C Michigan K		+/- Ratings												23 lead 1: SU by 28. T			ntral 7-10 in the
Central Michigan		-10.5	49	30	47-289	16-22-2	263	0	of Cor	247 y		Toledo	C N	ichigan	249 ya			Toledo Coaches					Toledo By	3.0	Rich	ardson th	rew for 3	26 but th	ney lost	at Miami O	hio. Last		had a 14	-10 FD	edge at half
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att		TO's					d Yardag				0.25	vs.		Game Grade					but	missed a	47 yd FG	and CM g	ot a 35	yd FG to le	ead 10-7		en 3Q wit	h TD an	d FG to lead
Toledo	-	-19	51	28	49-293				to Table	385 y	ards	Toledo		4ichigan	395 ya	irds		C Michigan Coaches	5				Toledo By	4.9	with	3:55 left	for 30-2	7 road wi	n. Toled	lo took Not	tre Dame	to the wir	e but the	lost at	home to
Central Michigan	-	56.5	13	16	32-48	18-43-1		3	rn to				ed Final					Toledo Pts		Computer Yard	5				Rich	ardson kid	cked 5 F0	s's for NIII	l includir	ng a 29 yd	FG with		a 22-20 v	vin in a	game that
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att	t-Yds	TO's	Return	27 p	oints	Toledo		4ichigan	20 po	ints	3.06	vs.	1.03			С	C Michigan 39	5-385								was SOD a			yd FG and
Toledo	-	-7.5	30	17	56-310								nce Ran					C Michigan Pts		Computer Point	s				Tole	do is playi	ing to a 9	8.6 AGG	and CMi	ch is at 90	.0. CMich	's defense	is allowing	g foes 6	4 ypg above
Central Michigan	-	56	10	12	21-62	17-38-1		-2		4	1	Toledo		lichigan	18		POS	SITIONAL EDGE AWARD	DED TO:				Toledo 27	-20						ng foes to ?-1 SU on t			avg. Toled	o is 10-	1 SU and 8-
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-Att	t-Yds	TO's			1	ream Scl	nedule S	trength				Toledo		Phil Steele															
			31	23	37-140	23-32-2	264			11	15	Toledo	C N	1ichigan	11	, [							Toledo by	7				F = 1 = d							
Toledo	-	-10	31	23	3, 110																							noien	0 27	Centi	ral M	ichiga	ın 20		

							UA	B Blaz	zers									OVER		VERALL:						Sou	therr	Mis	s Go	lden	Eagle	25					
								lazers Lo										4-: vs. Cl		1-5 s. CUSA									Eagles								
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE	TM +/	FOE +	/- <sub>TM</sub>	AP FOE	DATE		FOE	SCORE	W/L	TE.	AM DEF	PS R	ANK	FOE DEF	ST	2-	0 NCAA RANKINGS	0-2	TM REC REC	E TM	FOE F CONF	TM +/- F	OE +/-	AP TM F	OE DA		FOE		ccopr	W/L	TE. OFF	AM DEF	PS R/	ANK OFF	FOE DEF ST
0-0	0-0		122		114	FUE		† Jacksor		33-0		74	29	47	OFF	DEF	31		2021 TEAM RANKING	s	0-0 0-0				106		9/4	-	outh Ala	bama	7-31	L	102	80	46	122	107 105
1-0 1-0 1-1 1-1	0-0	0-0				2		at Georgi		7-56 40-6		67 77	31 37	17 117	3 50	3 117	42 39	UA	B Sou	thern Miss	0-1 1-1 1-1	0-0 L 0-0		111 112	124		9/11 9/18		roy	St	37-0 9-21	W L	113 114	81 76	88 17	84	81 102
2-1 1-2	1-0	0-0						at Tulane		28-21		82	27	96	67	98	32	#76	Rush Off	#118	1-2 3-0				153		1 9/25		labama		14-63		119	64	23	15	7 1
3-1 3-1 3-2 3-2	1-0 1-0	0-0	128 123				10/2	Liberty	/ Atlantic	12-36 31-14		70 80	31 58	85 85	74 56	44 86	114 42	#93 <b>#94</b>	Pass Off Total Off	#104 <b>#123</b>	1-3 1-3 1-4 4-1				103		10/2		lice JTEP		19-24 13-26		125 123	88 93	65 58	109 71	119 123 52 118
4-2 1-5	2-0		125					at Southe		31-14	vv	80	38	96	126	99		#89	Score Off	#123	1-5 4-2			103			10/1		JAB		13-20	L	126		36	80	38 96
2-3		1-0		106			10/23	Rice							108	114	128	#91 #34	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#128 #39	2-4						10/2	23 B	Bye							118	100 108
2-3		1-0		115			11/6	Bye Louisia	ana Tech						96	97	111	#83	Pass Def	#63	1-4		1-2 0-2		116		11/6		liddle Te North Tex							81	113 85
3-3 6-0		1-1 2-0		116				at Marsha at UTSA	all						48 51	68 57		<b>#54</b> #43	Total Def Score Def	# <b>45</b> #81	6-0 2-3		2-0 1-0		128 115			.3 at U	JTSA .ouisiana	Toch						51 96	57 22 97 111
5-1		2-0		109			11/27								71			#63	Sks By	#63	1-5		0-2		103			7 F		recii						83	120 42
																		#82 +2	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#107 -8																	
																		TZ	Total 10 s	-8																	
Score			Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offens RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks					Score		Yds	Net FD	TO's	Of FD RA-	fense	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass Sks
† Jacksonville St		31-0	363	11	-2	19			19-23	371	1	8	16-64	4.0	18-35	91	0			S S	at South Alab		7-31	-42	6	-3	19 45-1		2.8	13-29	133	4	13	34-31			269 3
at Georgia at North Texas		7-56 40-6		-12 -1		11 17			8-17 7-14	47 234	2	23 18	38-163 44-121	4.3 2.8	14-22 14-34	376 99	3			Eagles	Grambling Troy	St	37-0 9-21		13 -3		22 49-2 14 39-		5.9 0.0	14-24 16-27	149 157	3 9	9 17	27-51 32-49	1.9	16-32 27-40	90 2 255 4
at Tulane		28-21		1	2				19-27	240	3	24	28-90		28-50	305	0				at Alabama		14-63		-11		11 33-		2.5	11-24	131	2		33-211		23-26	395 2
Liberty Florida Atlantic		12-36 31-14		-10 -3		14 16			12-26 13-24	152 173	5	24 19	39-213 38-52		15-21 21-36	331 239	8	y.	S S	Golden	at Rice UTEP		19-24 13-26		6		21 27- 17 32-		2.1 0.5	25-40 20-31	321 239	5		33-118 39-265		17-23 7-17	207 1 127 0
at Southern Miss		31-14	13	-5	,	10	34 133	5.5	13 24	1/3		13	30 32	1.4	21 30	233	0	ā	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	묫	UAB		13-20	130		1	17 32	15	0.5	20 31	233	,	10	33 203	0.0	7-17	127 0
Rice Bye																		Blaze	AVERAGE		Bye at Middle Ten	neccee															
Louisiana Tech																				Miss	North Texa																
at Marshall at UTSA																		JAB	TEAM	Ξ	at UTSA at Louisiana	Footh															
UTEP																		_	, E	Ε	FIU	recii															
																				Ę.																	
																				Southern																	
Average Conference		25-22	3.2	-2.3			40-158 43-153		59.5% 52.6%	203	11.5% 7.9%		34-117 41-87			240 169	6.1%			Ň	Average Confere					-	17 38- 19 30-		1.2	56.6% 63.4%		16.0% 14.1%		33-121			224 7.5% 167 2.5%
Away							43-160		65.4%							218					Home					-0.3			2.5	61.0%		20.7%					157 6.7%
																	- 1	UA	Position by Position Edg  B Sou	jes thern Miss																	
																		1.13	UAB QB/WR																		
																		1.15	vs. Southern Miss DB's																		
																			UAB RB's	0.25																	
																			Southern Miss LB's																		
																			UAB OL vs.	0.38																	
																			Southern Miss DL																		
																		1.88	UAB DL vs.																		
																			Southern Miss OL																		
																		2.49	UAB LB'S vs.																		
																	L		Southern Miss RB's	:																	
																		1.38	UAB DB's vs.																		
Last Five	Vones	Mootin	nac (1 -	ct 22	voare -	+ Dhile+	oolo com	2)											Southern Miss QB/W UAB ST's	/R	Cohon	ION/		Team & M	tarrin							hil's Forec	net:				
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	years a		p-Att-Yds	11). TO's				Proje	ected I	Box S	Score				VS.	1.25	Categ	por y		ream or P	.urgiri							s rorec	ar St.				
Southern Miss		-7	37		34-16		-22-164												Southern Miss ST's	1.25	Las Vegas Lin	ie		Uab By	16												e Blazers were
UAB		49.5	2	10			-25-103	-1				p.	rojected	Ruchi	na		ŀ		UAB K							Bla	zers were	e outgai	ned 325	-173 in a	a 37-2 lo	ss. The	Blazers	are just	10-9 SU	away fr	om home the
	n :	79.3								10.	uned-					E0	rde	,			Las Vegas To	tal		40.55	into		Y and the										he last 9 SU and ATS
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		p-Att-Yds	TO's	ıts	164	yards				rn Miss	58 ya	ius	1.20	vs.		-			43.5 Po	IIITS	wit	h their o	nly loss	to that a	wesome	Georgia	team. T	hey we	re tied 3	-3 with I	Liberty in	n the first
Southern Miss	-	47	23	15			-39-160		tents				rojected				. ⊦		Southern Miss K		+/- Ratings																nd they lost ent 15/2 for a
UAB	-	-12.5	26	16			-27-207	-2	Cor	197	yards				ern Miss	199 ya	irds		UAB Coaches					Uab By	3.0	TD	after a fu	ımble bi	ut the k	ey play h	appened	with FA	U down	17-14 t	hey had	a 2&G a	at the 9 ready I's only TD
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Com	p-Att-Yds	TO's	e of			Proje	ected Ya	ardage	Total			2.70	vs.		Game Grade					wit	h 2:50 le	ft in 3Q	and UA	B won 3:	1-14 wit	h yds 30	6-291.	Souther	n Miss o	pened a	very
Southern Miss	-	-12.5	12	14	26-8	1 19-	-38-266		Tab	360	yards	U	AB	Southe	rn Miss	257 ya	irds		Southern Miss Coach	es				Uab By	17.0	dis Th	appointin ev lost th	g 1-4 SI eir start	U and A'	rs losing eplaced i	winnabl him with	e games VHT Tv	vs Troy Keves	and Rice	e (had 3 was int	78-325 ured and	yd edge). d walk on Jak
UAB	-	52.5	30	21	49-20	9 16	-29-208	1	Return to Table			Pro	jected F	inal S	core				UAB Pts		Computer	rde	_		_	La	nge has h	it 63%	with a 3	-4 ratio.	Last we	ek UTEP	led 14-	7 at half	and the	n QB Lai	nge was
									fur	30 p	points	U	AB	Southe	ern Miss	10 poi	ints	10.79	vs.	1.89	Computer Ya	us		Uab 360	-257	wit	h 5 sacks	allowe	d. SM wa	as SOD a	t the 1 6	5:44 3Q	and afte	er a safet	ty mid 4	Q and U	just 15 yds TEP FG SM
									Re			Exp	erience	Ranki	ings				Southern Miss Pts																		egit D as whe lule and SMiss
											55	U	AB	Southe	rn Miss	50	ı		SITIONAL EDGE AWARD	FD TO	Computer Po	ints		Uab 30	-10	jus	t #116 a	ind UAB	is playir	ig to a 10	01.3 AG	G and SN	1iss just	80.1. SI	Miss is 0	-5 ATS v	vs FBS teams
													ı Schedı					POS	STITONAL EDGE AWARD	ED 10:						thi	s year. So	outhern	Miss is a	allowing 2	28 sacks	(16%)	while UA	AB just g	ot 8 sac	ks vs FA	U last week.
											28				rn Miss	116	, [		UAB		Phil Steele			Uab by	25												
												3,	-			-110					<b>——</b>									UAB	31 S	outh	ern	Miss	6		
																			By 8.90 Points														· · · · ·		•		

						Pui	rdue	Boiler	makei	rs								OVE		VERALL:								lowa	a Haw	keye	ς							
								rmakers										vs. B		6-0 s. BIG 10									awkeyes									
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/	/- TM	AP FOE	DATE	FC	DE	SCORE	W/L	TEA OFF	M DEF	PS R ST	ANK OFF	DEF F	OE ST	1	-1 NCAA RANKINGS	3-0	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	AI TM	FOE	DATE		FOE	sco	RE W/L	OFF	TEAM DEF	PS ST	RANK OFF	DEF FC	DE ST
0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	127				9/4	Oregon	St	30-21	W	48	71	101	71	83	69		2021 TEAM RANKING	s	0-0 0-0				141	18	17	9/4	Indiana	a	34-	6 W	64	22	4	30	8	58
1-0 0-2 2-0 2-0	0-0	0-0						at Connect at Notre Da		49-0 13-27	W L	55 42	61 53	75 58	129 19	127 10	119 44	Pur	due	Iowa	1-0 1-0 2-0 1-1				142 124	10 5		9/11 9/18	at Iowa S Kent S		27- 30-		72 103		3 10	4 64	23 123	69 111
2-1 1-3	0-0	1-1	129	120			9/25	Illinois		13-9	W	49	39	74	96	73	57	#120	Rush Off	#99	3-0 1-2	1-0	0-0	148	118	5		9/25	Colora	do St	24-	14 W	101	. 3	5	93	117	86
3-1 2-2 3-2	1-0 1-1	0-1	126				10/2 10/9	Minneso Bye	ta	13-20	L	61 63	30 36	67 77	79	39	78	#10 <b>#56</b>	Pass Off Total Off	#99 <b>#118</b>	4-0 4-0 5-0 5-0	2-0	2-0	144 148	127 145	3		10/2 10/9	at Maryla Penn S		51- 23-		104 102		5 3	13 26	16 3	35 16
3-2 6-0 2-3	1-1	3-0 1-2	126	148 135		2	10/16	at Iowa Wiscons	in			68	34	78	105 65	2 5	5 95	#101 #80	Score Off Sks Allowed	#47 #80	6-0 3-2	3-0	1-1	148	126	2		10/16 10/23	Purdue Bye	2			105	2	5	68	34	78
3-4		1-3		135			10/30	at Nebrask	a						19	24	129	#42	Rush Def	#12	2-3		1-2		135			10/30	at Wiscon							65	5	95
6-0 5-1		3-0 3-0		136 149			11/6	Michigar at Ohio St							17 1	49 11	77 29		Pass Def Total Def	#21 <b>#7</b>	2-3 3-2		0-2 1-1		119 132			11/6	at Northw Minnes							56 82	104 20	92 62
2-3 2-3		0-2 0-2		119 130			11/20 11/27	† Northwes Indiana							56 92	104 36	92 38	#7 #111	Score Def Sks By	#4 #42	2-5 3-4		1-3 1-3		118 135			11/20	Illinois at Nebras							93 19	76 24	63 129
		0.2		150			11/2/	maiana							72	50	50	#25	Ypg Diff	#58	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		13		155			11/20	at Nebras	, Ku						- 17		123
																		-5	Total TO's	+15																		
Score			Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	e YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks					core		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yd Pas		FD	Defens RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks
Oregon St	-	30-2	1 38	9	0	24	33-88	2.7	29-42	313	1				21-38		1				Indiana	core	34-6		7	1		36-158	4.4	13-28			11			14-33		1
at Connecticut at Notre Dame		49-0 13-27		18	-2	29 19	42-187 25-57	4.5 2.3	30-36 36-54	375 291	3		34-124 34-120	3.6	14-25 15-32	99 223	0	┨.			at Iowa St Kent St		27-17 30-7		-10 -4	4 0	11 21	39-67 38-206	1.7 5.4	11-21 26-37			21 17			24-43 16-23		7
Illinois		13-9	9 40	0	-2	17	26-38	1.5	24-40	277	3	17	42-175	4.2	14-27	100	2		<u> </u>	w	Colorado St		24-14	28	0	0	12	32-54	1.7	15-23	3 22	4 3	12	48-95	2.0	16-30	155	3
Minnesota Bye		13-20	0 154	16	-2	28	34-77	2.3	34-52	371	4	12	35-125	3.6	9-18	169	0	1 3	A AVERAGES	Hawkeyes	at Maryland Penn St		51-14 23-20		10	7		42-145 45-110	3.5 2.4	23-38 17-31			14			21-37		0
at Iowa																			AVERAGES	ķ	Purdue																	
Wisconsin at Nebraska																		1 5		ě	Bye at Wisconsin																	
Michigan St																		6	A   A	Ĭ	at Northwester	n																
at Ohio St † Northwestern																		1 :	EAM	Iowa	Minnesota Illinois																	
Indiana																		1	TEAN	ũ	at Nebraska																	
																		Ġ																				
Average		24-15	5 115.2	9.2	-1.0	23	32-89	2.8	68.3%	325	6.3%	14	34-124	3.7	52.1%	175	5.0%	+			Average		32-13	43.5	1.8	2.5	17	39-123	3.2	59.0%	6 19	4 7.9%	16	33-90	2.8	53.3%	184	6.6%
Conference Away		13-15	5 97.0 4 172.0				30-58 34-122	1.9 3.6	63.0% 73.3%		7.6% 6.7%		39-150								Conference Home	:e		81.7 67.5	5.7 2.8	3.7 1.0		41-138 38-132	3.4 3.5	54.6% 59.7%		8 7.2% 4 6.7%		27-94			170 169	
7.1107		<u> </u>	1 172.0	10.5	0.5		J. 122	3.0	73.370	333	0.7 70		J. ILL	5.0	30.370	101	7.070		Position by Position Edg		Home		20 12	07.5	2.0	1.0		50 152	5.5	33.7 //	0 13	. 0.770	13	30 30		31.37	103	3.170
																		Pur	Purdue QB/WR	Iowa																		
																			vs. Iowa DB's	2.47																		
																			Purdue RB's																			
																			vs. Iowa LB's	3.18																		
																			Purdue OL																			
																			vs. Iowa DL	2.36																		
																		0.68	Purdue DL																			
																		0.00	vs. Iowa OL																			
																		1.20	Purdue LB'S vs.																			
																			Iowa RB's																			
																		1.88	Purdue DB's vs.																			
Last Five	Years	Meeti	ngs (La	st 22 v	ears at	PhilSte	ele,com	1)											Iowa QB/WR Purdue ST's		Categor	ry		Team &	Margin							Phil's For	ecast:					
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		Att-Yds	TO's			ı	Proje	cted I	Box S	Score				vs.	1.66		-			<b></b>		Iowa ha	as dropp	ed 3 of th	ie last 4. I	l ast ven			hout HC	Brohm	and WP	Moore P	urdue
Purdue	-	52	24	24	27-104	31-5	0-282												Iowa ST's		Las Vegas Line			Iowa B	y 11.5		led 7-0	after 1Q	trailed 1	4-7 then	tied it a	t 14. Iow	a 27 yd	FG:00	17-14 at	t half (2	32-175 y	d).
Iowa	-	-3.5	20	19	36-195	22-3	9-265	0				Pro	ojected	Rushi	ng				Purdue K								pass wit	th 2:15	to pull ou	n 13:12 le it upset d	espite Io	wa 460-3	386 yd	edge. La:	st time h	nere (°19	), Purdue	e was
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's		-4 ya	ards	Purc			wa	118	yards		vs.	0.30	Las Vegas Tota	ıl		43 P	oints		trying t	o hand I	owa its 3	rd straigh a 26-20	t loss of	the seas	on, but	2 TO's d	eep in Io	owa terr	tory hurt	:
Purdue	-	48	20	17	18-33	30-5	0-327		tents	,			ojected	Passii	ng				Iowa K								the ope	ner (lool	ks better	each wee	k) and b	lew out l	JConn o	on the ro	ad. They	only tr	ailed Notr	e
Iowa	13	-18	26	19			3-260	1	=	230 y	ards	Purc			wa	200	yards		Purdue Coaches		+/- Ratings			Iowa B	y 26.8		but lost	at home	e. Jack Pli	13 loss. A ummer ar	nd Aidar	O'Conne	ll have	combine	d to thro	ow for 3	25 ypg (6	8%,
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		TO's	of Co	,			cted Ya						vs.	0.55										nave a sol								
Purdue	-	-3	38	21	31-101	-	2-333			226 y	ards	Purc			wa	317	yards		Iowa Coaches	0.55	Game Grade			Iowa P	y 11.8		ranked	Indiana	and Iowa	St and b	lew out	Maryland	on a Fi	riday clim	bing to	#3 in th	e polls ar	nd took
Iowa	19	51	36					1	Return to Table	220 y			jected F			31/	, 0. 03		Purdue Pts					20110	, 12.0		Mich in	1985. P	enn St le	XIt was t d 17-7 wi	hen QB	Clifford w	ent out	with inju	iry and l	bkp QB I	Roberson	in his
2017	Rank	Line		FD.	Rush	Comp-		TO's	r.	8 po	ints	Purc			wa	79 -	oints	3.76	vs.	10.52	Computer Yard	s		Iowa 2	17-226					his 7 serie and Iowa o								
	Kank	Line	Score 24			-		iO'S	Retu	6 po	1110					20 F	JUINES	3.76		10.52				10Wa 3	17-226		left for f	first lead	and won	23-20. T	his is a	GREAT sit	tuation	for Purdu	ie as the	ey are fr	esh off a	bye
Purdue	-	42.5		20	29-65		7-229	_		_			erience				10		Iowa Pts		Computer Point	ts			20.5		#48. Br	ohm is	7-2 ATS a	as an AD v	with Pur	due and h	nas a so	lid D allo	wing jus	st 300 y	og while I	lowa is
Iowa	-	-7.5	15	17			3-176	-2		5	1	Purc			wa	1	12	PC	SITIONAL EDGE AWARD	ED TO:				Iowa	28-8					w what the						St by 10	and cov	ered by
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		Att-Yds	TO's			_		Schedu				_		Iowa		Phil Steele						-											
Purdue	-	52	35	24			9-458			4	/	Purc	due	Io	wa		7							Iowa	by 7					I	owa	20 P	urdı	ue 13	3			
Iowa	-	-11.5	49	24	53-365	12-2	0-155	1											By 6.76 Points																			

						Alal	oama	Crim	son Ti	ide							OVERA		VERALL:							Mis	s St B	ulldo	qs						
							Crim	son Tide	Logs								5-1 vs. SE		3-2 vs. SEC								Bulldogs		<b>J</b> -						
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	TM A	P FOE	DATE	F	OE.	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF D	-F EF ST	S RANK OFF	FOE DEF	ST	2-1	NCAA RANKINGS	1-1	TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- F0	E+/-	AP TM FO	DATE		FOE	sco	RE W/L	OFF	M DEF	PS RANK		FOE EF ST
0-0 0-0 1-0 2-0 2-0 3-0 1-2 4-0 3-0 5-0 3-2	0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0	0-0 0-0 0-0	159 155 153 154	133 136 112 145	1 1 1 1	11	9/4 9/11 9/18 9/25 10/2	† Miami, F Mercer at Florida Southe Mississ	rn Miss	44-13 48-14 31-29 63-14 42-21 38-41	W W W W	8 5 4 15 9	1 1 1 8 3 1 7 1	5 20 119 2	17	31 82 23 68	#69 #17	021 TEAM RANKING  na  Rush Off  Pass Off  Total Off		0-0 0-0 1-0 1-0 2-0 2-0 2-1 2-1 2-2 3-1	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-1	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-1	128 132 130 130	110 133 125 138 137	15	9/4 9/11 9/18 9/25 10/2	NC St at Memp LSU at Texas	ana Tech ate his		34 W 10 W 31 L 28 L	50 46 49 56 47 40	28 30 24 15	51 1 96 3 59 1	11 8 0 1 8 5 2 1	98 .2 40 .67 12 .8 26
5-1 3-2 5-1 3-2 4-2 3-3 1-6 4-2 4-2	2-0	1-1 2-1 1-2 0-0 1-2 1-1	155 150	134 133 128 130 99 137 135	5		10/16 i 10/23 10/30 11/6 11/13	at Texas A at Miss St Tennes LSU New Me Arkans at Auburn	see exico St	30-41			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		12 37 42 125 25	116 20 30 88 73	#6 #80 #25 #28 #15 #39	Score Off Sks Allowed Rush Def Pass Def Total Def Score Def	#76 #52 #10 #69 <b>#28</b>	3-2 3-2 5-1 2-4 6-0 4-2 4-2				150 103 134 137 135	5	10/23 10/30 11/6	Kentu at Arkan at Aubur	rbilt cky sas			44	13	116 1 2	17 1: 8 2 6 2	.6 1 17 110 29 75 25 73 32 13
																	#63 #8 +4	Sks By Ypg Diff Total TO's	#79 #28 +1	4-1		1-1		142		11/27	Missis	sippi							66 53
† Miami, Fl Mercer at Florida Southern Miss Mississippi at Texas A&M at Miss St Tennessee LSU	re	44-13 48-14 31-29 63-14 42-21 38-41	208 -115 393 160	Net FD 10 11 -7 11 9	TO's  2  2  1  0  0  -1	24 19 22 27	0ffense RA-RY 38-147 34-158 27-91 33-211 50-210 34-153	YPC 3.9 4.6 3.4 6.4 4.2 4.5	28-39 20-31 22-35 23-26 21-27 28-48	Yds Pass 354 266 233 395 241 369	Sks 1 2 2 2 2 3 4	FD RA 18 31 13 36 26 43 11 3 18 34	-78 2.3	3 23-31 3 10-18	Pass 179 168 168 131 131 213	\$ks 4 3 1 2 2 0 0	Crimson Tide	AVERAGES	St Bulldogs	Louisiana Te NC State at Memphis LSU at Texas A&M Bye Alabama at Vanderbilt Kentucky		35-34 24-10 29-31 25-28 26-22	Yds 66 -19 222 144	8 -1 14 14		19-65 15-22	3.4 1.5 3.1 5 4.5	39-4 33-4 50-4 47-4 45-1	t Pa 47 37 49 29 57 41 52 37	Sks Sks (0 3 4 1 1 9 2 1 1 1	14 16 12 15	25-101 25-32 25-87 27-63	YPC         A           4.0         21           1.3         30           3.5         16	-37 26 -51 36 -28 1! -27 28	68 1 03 4 59 1 80 2
New Mexico St Arkansas at Auburn																	Alabama C	TEAM A)	Miss St	at Arkansas at Auburn Tennessee : Mississippi	St														
Average Conference Away		44-22 37-30 38-28		5.8 1.0 1.3	0.7 0.0 0.7	24	36-162 37-151 33-130	4.5 4.1 3.9	68.9% 64.5% 63.9%	310 281 319	8.2%	23 35	143 4.:			7.5% 3.4% 5.6%	Р	osition by Position Edg	ges	Average Conference Home	ce	26-25	142.5	.3.5 (	0.2 24 0.0 29 0.0 22	22-73	3.4	75.4 76.0 75.3	1% 39	2 3.5% 0 3.3% 5 3.2%	15	30-113	3.3 58 3.8 61 2.5 59	7% 20	29 6.7% 08 10.6% 84 6.1%
																	Alaban		Miss St																
																		vs. Miss St DB's	0.02																
																		Alabama RB's vs.	0.98																
																	0.04	Miss St LB's  Alabama OL  vs.  Miss St DL  Alabama DL																	
																-	3.35	vs. Miss St OL Alabama LB'S vs.																	
																		Miss St RB's Alabama DB's vs.	0.43																
			,,															Miss St QB/WR	0.13																
Last Fiv	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		)_ TO's				Project	ed Box	c Score	•		3.49	Alabama ST's vs.		Catego	iry		Team & Ma	argin						Phil's Fore	ecast:				
Alabama	2	-31	41	26	40-208	24-33	3-291										5. 15	Miss St ST's		Las Vegas Line	1		Alabama E	ly 17									just 2 of t 4 ATS. Las		eetings an
Mississippi St	-	63.5	0	12	15-37	28-48		-2					cted Rus					Alabama K		Las Vegas Tota	al				hand yd ei	led Mike L dges. The	each his f Bulldogs l	irst shut nave a hu	out loss a	is a HC. Ba duling edge	ma led 27 as the C	7-0 at ha rimson T	alf with 18 ide are cor	-1 FD an ning off	nd 338-38 B2B big
2019 Alabama	Rank 4	-18.5	Score 38	FD 24	Rush 31-160	21-29		TO's	tents	97 y	aras	Alabam <b>Proj</b> e	cted Pa	Miss St ssing	4 yan	as	2.50	vs. Miss St K					57.5 Poi	nts	seco	nd year (3	340 to 429	ypg) ar	d just th	rew for 40	8 yds on	a solid Te	exas A&M p	oass D in	oved in his n their last ge vs LSU i
Mississippi St	-	60	7	11	37-188	12-2	1-82	-1	Conte	247	yards	Alabam	3	Miss St	351 ya	rds		Alabama Coaches	,	+/- Ratings			Alabama B	/ 12.5	their	two losse	s. Miss St	's D is ho	olding foe	s to 124 y	pg below t	heir sea	son averaç	e. Miss	
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	of			Projecte	d Yarda	ge Total			2.00	vs.		Game Grade					led F	lorida 21-	3 but only	won 31	-29 and	were outga	ained 439	-324. Aft	ter a front ore allowing	door cov	ver vs
Alabama	1	-22	24	23	45-142				Return to Table	343	yards	Alabam		Miss St	355 ya	rds		Miss St Coaches					Alabama B	y 4.6	score	es. Last w	eek Texas	A&M cor	ntrolled ti	ne line of s	crimmage	and led	24-10 at	half. Alal	bama got a ID on next
Mississippi St 2017	18 Rank	52 Uno	0 Score	6 <b>FD</b>	30-44 Rush	11-20 Comp-A	0-125	1 TO's	ırı te	27 -	oints	Projec Alabam	ted Final	Miss St	3E n-1	nte .	12.53	Alabama Pts	1.43	Computer Yard	ds		Miss St 355	-242	4 dri	ves to lea	d 38-31 w	ith 5:00	left to se	emingly e	scape but	A&M we	ent 65/6 for raucous ho	r TD 3:0	00 left
Alabama	1 ndnk	-14	31	19	38-202	10-18		10 8	Retu	3/ p	- CATES		ence Rai		25 poi		22.33	vs. Miss St Pts	1.43				. 4100 31 35		is 33	-25 ATS	as an AF w	ith Bam	a. While S	Saban has	covered 3	in a row	v off a SU	oss he is	s 6-12 ATS and has the
Mississippi St	18	51	24	22	49-172			0		1	24	Alabam		Miss St	93		F-1-		PED TO:	Computer Poin	nts		Alabama 3	7-25	Cow	Bells and	situationa	l edge ar	nd my co	mputer is	only callin	g for Alal		ave a 35	3-347 yan
i		Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-		TO's				Team So					POSI	TIONAL EDGE AWARD	ED 10:						Cago	, 7.00							, and i		
2016	Kank																	Alabama		Phil Steele															
2016 Alabama	<b>капк</b> 1	-29.5	51	26	31-218	31-4	1-397			1	.7	Alabam	3	Miss St	5					· iiii occcic			Alabama l	y 10						7 Mis		• ~			

						K o	nt St	Col	den Fl	acho	١.								OVE	ERALL	.: 01	VERALL:	1							١,٨	/ Mic	hias	n Bro	ncc								
						Ke			ashes Lo		:5								_	3-3 . MAC		4-2 /s. MAC								v			s Logs	IICO:	<u> </u>							
TM REC FOE REC	тм	FOE	TM +/	- FOE +	. /-	AP	Goid	uen rie	asiles Lu	<b>y</b> s			TE.	AM	PS	RANK-		FOE		2-0		1-1	TM	REC POE	TM	FOE	TM + /-	FOE + /		\P		BIOIICO	is Lugs				TE	AM	PS F	RANK	FOE	
		CONF			1179				FOE			W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF					NCAA RANKINGS 21 TEAM RANKING			REC	CONF			FOE +/	тм	FOE	DATE		FOE		SCORE	•	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF		ST
	0-0	0-0	121 120	143	3	ь	9/4	at re	exas A&M MI		10-41 60-10	L W	54 63	123 124	127 112		6	36					0-	)-0 0-0 )-1	0-0	0-0	114 112	132			9/4 9/11	at Mich Illino	igan ois St			L W	49 66	93 99	100 129	41	50	40
1-1 2-0	0-0		124			5	9/18				7-30	L	64	123	111	103				ent St		Michigan	1-	1 2-0	0-0		114				9/18	at Pitts	burgh		44-41	W	83	104	130			86
	0-0	1-0 0-0	124 121	124 97			9/25		aryland owling Gre		16-37 27-20	L W	65 66	107	120 120						Rush Off Pass Off	#72 #57	2-	2-1 2-1 3-1 2-2	0-0	1-0 0-0					9/25 10/2	San at Buffa	Jose St			W	84 86	111 80	122 122			79 100
2-3 2-3			117				10/9		uffalo		48-38	W	75	103	123						Total Off	#67		l-1 2-3			123				10/9	Ball				L	82	77	122			29
	2-0	1-1	118						estern Mic	higan			57	103	124						Score Off	#87		1-2 3-3	1-1	2-0	118				10/16	Kent					87	87	127			124
1-5		1-1		108	3		10/23	at Oh								95	12	21 83	#114 #105		Sks Allowed Rush Def	#99 #31		3-3		1-1		120			10/23	at Tole	do			_				89	41	67
4-2		2-0		120			11/6	No	orthern Illi							90			#100	)	Pass Def	#35		3-3		1-1		114			11/3		tral Michig	an						46		65
3-3 2-4		1-1		114 99				at Ce at Ak	entral Mich	igan						46 122					Total Def Score Def	<b>#25</b> #70		2-4 4-2		1-1		99 119			11/9	Akro	on ern Michig	an		_				122 111		130 86
2-4		1-1		117			11/27		iami, OH							100					Sks By	#20		4-2		2-0		120					hern Illino							90		106
																			#72 +12		Ypg Diff Total TO's	#35 +2																				
																			712		iotai io s	72																				
				Net			Offer			Comp	Yds			Defense	•	Comp												Net			Offens		Co		Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds	
at Texas A&M		10-41	-259	-10						4-30	Pass 110	Sks 5	<b>FD</b> 29	<b>RA-RY</b> 42-303		Att 21-34			•				at M	Scor Michigan	re	14-47	Yds -241	<b>FD</b> -5	<b>TO's</b>	<b>FD</b> 17	<b>RA-RY</b> 32-119	3.7				Sks 1	<b>FD</b> 22	<b>RA-RY</b> 43-335	7.8	Att 13-17	216	Sks 1
VMI		60-10								4-19	204	1		37-138						(0				Illinois St		28-0			-1	24	51-233			-22		1	4	26-28	1.1	8-19		4
at Iowa		7-30								6-23	185	7		38-206						es		S		Pittsburgh		44-41		3	3	28	48-160			-38		3	25	24-75	3.1	25-34		2
at Maryland Bowling Green		16-37 27-20		7						9-33 5-28	308 134	5	18	28-142	2.1					ısh		2		San Jose St Buffalo		23-3 24-17			3	19 29	43-147			-28 -33		3	11	30-60 29-131	2.0	9-26 12-22		8
Buffalo		48-38		-3			45-220			2-36	407	1				24-4				Fla	AVERAGES	Broncos	В	Ball St		20-45		5	-4		49-119					4		28-65				1
at Western Michigan																				5	§			Kent St																		
at Ohio Bye																				ᄚ		Ē		Toledo Bye																		_
Northern Illinois																				Golden		<u>.</u> 6		Central Michiga	an																	
at Central Michigan at Akron																				St (	TEAM	Michigan		Akron Eastern Michig	ian																	
Miami, OH																				t S	F	Ξ		Northern Illino																		
																				ent		≥																				
																				¥																						
Average		28-29								9.2%		11.2%		38-193										Average			90.0		0.3	23	45-159					.3%		30-116		56.9%	197 11	
Conference Away		38-29	82.5				51-24 44-15			7.8%		1.6%		40-184 36-217				9 7.79 6 2.79						Conference Home		22-31	88.0		-1.5 -0.7	26 22	49-149 48-166				268 1	0.3%	17 11	29-98 28-51		56.3% 45.1%	231 4 133 18	.2% 8.3%
																					sition by Position Edg																					
																			Ke	ent St	W Kent St QB/WR	Michigan	_																			
																			0.15		vs.																					
																					W Michigan DB's		4																			
																			0.43		Kent St RB's vs.																					
																					W Michigan LB's																					
																			0.32		Kent St OL vs.																					
																			0.52		W Michigan DL																					
																					Kent St DL																					
																					vs. W Michigan OL	1.29																				
																					Kent St LB'S		1																			
																			0.31		vs. W Michigan RB's																					
																					Kent St DB's		-																			
																			0.46		vs.																					
Last Five \	ears	<u>Me</u> etir	ngs (La	st 22	vears a	t PhilSt	teele.co	om)												W	V Michigan QB/WR Kent St ST's			Category			Team	& Margin							Phil's	s Foreca	st:					
	Rank	Line	Score	FD			p-Att-Yds		O's				Proje	ected	Box	Score	е				vs.	0.01																				
Kent St	-	45.5	20	12	37-1	52 13	-24-119														W Michigan ST's		Las	Vegas Line	[		W Mich	igan By	,	Played	every y	ear from	1951-′9	8, but	since 199	99 whe	n MAC	split int	o divisio	ns tean	s have pla	yed
Western Michigan	-	-20.5	48	18	50-2		-19-97		3				Pr	ojecte	d Rush	ning					Kent St K									just 8	times. L	ast time	here ('1'	7) Kent	t St (+20	) tied t	he gar	ne at 14	late 10	Dut tra	iled 48-14 the Golden	late
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rus		p-Att-Yds	т	0's	S	186 y	ards		t St		lichigan	2	34 yards			vs.		Las	Vegas Total	ſ		66	Points		Flashe	s since 2	009. Tr	ne Home t	team is	5-2 SU	& 6-1 A	ATS. Ke	ent St pu	ıt up 50	ppg an	d 607 ypg	
Kent St		55	21	17			-21-73			ent	,			rojecte			_	,			W Michigan K												4 games								wa and ek they we	re at
Western Michigan	14	-21.5	37	26			-13-83		0	Cont	214 y	ards		it St		lichigan	2	81 yards			Kent St Coaches		+/-	- Ratings	ſ		W Michi	gan By 3	.8	home	vs Buffal	lo and I	used the	m on th	he Phil St	eele Pl	us Tou	r and Ke	nt St d	ominate	d leading 2	1-0
Western Pilengan	14	-21.3	3/	20	04-3		-5 05			jo	217 y	u.us				e Total		or yaids	0.05									, J, J		and 31	-10 H w	ith 352	-146 yd 6	dge. B	uffalo sco	red 4	TD's on	four 30	poss ti	hen two	FG with Ke	ent
										ple	400							16	0.05		vs. V Michigan Coaches		Gam	me Grade	ı		M Mink'	an By 10	. 7	by Micl	nigan in	the ope	ner but tl	hen loo	ked like t	he tea	m I th	ought th	ey wou	ld be as	they upset	t Pitt
1										Return to Table	400 y	arus		t St		lichigan	5	16 yards	-	W		•	-			V	w micnig	an By 10	.,												ffalo. Last v as 17-17 a	
										i.	2.5			jected							Kent St Pts		Com	mputer Yards	ı				20	half. W	M settle	21 yd I	FG 20-17	6:44 3	Q but Ba	II 75/8	TD th	en 32/5	& 31/8	TD's bo	th after int	's.
										ğ	30 pc	ints		t St		lichigan	3	35 points	1.72		vs.	1.30				W	v Michig	an 516-4	00	WM SC	D then	Ball 18	yd FR TD	45-20	with WM	23-18	FD 37	76-375 y	ds but	4-0 TO	leficit. QB k WMich is	Kalet
										ά.				erienc		-					W Michigan Pts		Com	mputer Points	,					playing	to an A	GG of 1	01.4 and	Kent is	s at just 9	93.8 (V	VM by				wmich is rated pass	
											68	3		t St		lichigan		21	P	OSITIO	ONAL EDGE AWARD	ED TO:				1	W Michi	gan 35-3	0	The Fa	vorite in	this se	ries is 12-	0 SU 8	k 11-1 AT	S s/19	95.					
1													Team	Sched	dule St	rength	1				Kent St		Phil	l Steele																		
											69	9	Ker	t St	W M	lichigan		66			51		Ľ				W Mich	igan by	,			\A	/este	rn A	4ichi	725	37	Kent	S+ ·	30		
																					By 0.42 Points												- = 318	·	-iiciii(	gail	3,	Kent	. J	-		

					٧	VKU F	Hillton	ppers								OVER		VERALL: 1-5						0	ld Do	ninio	n Mon	arch	s					
							oppers L									vs. Cl		1-5 s. CUSA								Monarch								
M REC FOE REC CO	M FC		/- FOE +	/-	AP .						TEAM		RANK-	FOE	_	0-:	1	0-2	TM REC FOE	TM	FOE .	TM +/- FC	E +/-	AP							TEAM		S RANK	FOE
0-0 0-		NF 112		TM	FOE	DATE 9/4	UT Mart	OE in	59-21	W/L W	OFF DEF 112 75	ST 20	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKINGS 2021 TEAM RANKINGS	<u> </u>	0-0 0-0		CONF 0-0		132	M FO	9/4	at Wake	FOE	10-4	<b>RE W/L</b> 42 L	0FF 125		<b>ST</b> 93	_	DEF 9
		0 116				- /	at Army W		35-38	L	103 68		104	79	14				0-0 0-0	0-0	0-0	101	132		9/11	Hamp		47-						03 (
	1-0	117				9/18	Bye				101 78					WK		Dominion	1-1 2-0		0-0		131		9/18	at Libert		17-4						51 8
		1 117 0 119				9/25 10/2 a	Indiana at Michigar		31-33 31-48	L L	71 96 65 95			24 58	33 87	#119 #1	Rush Off Pass Off	#44 #116		0-0	0-0		117 104		9/25	Buffa at UTEP		34-3 21-2		120 114				112 7 65 1
1-3 5-0 0-		0 119			17	10/2 a	UTSA	130	46-52		66 99			51	17	#5	Total Off	#110	1-4 2-3		0-0		117		10/2	at Marsh		13-2		117		54		79 5
1-4 1-5 <b>0</b> -	-1 0-	2 119	9 105				at Old Dom	inion			50 108		116	90	40	#11	Score Off	#99	1-5 1-4		0-1		119		10/16	WKU				116				108
1-5 4-2		0	103			10/23 a 10/30	at FIU Charlott						83 64	120 119	42 74	#31 #117	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#110 #52	2-3		1-0		115		10/23	Bye	iana Tech						96	97 1
2-4		2	116			11/6		Tennessee					118			#117	Pass Def	#36	1-5		0-2		103		11/6	at FIU	ialia recii						83	120 4
2-3	1-	0	106			11/13 a							108	114	128	#123	Total Def	#36	3-3		1-1		118		11/13	Florid	la Atlantic						76	82 5
3-3 3-3		1	118			11/20	Florida A at Marshall						76 48			#123 #120	Score Def Sks By	#96 #63	2-4 4-2		1-2 2-0		116		11/20	at Middle Charl	e Tennesse	ee					118 64	100 1
3-3		1	110			11/2/ 6	at marshan						40	00	39	#42	Ypg Diff	#75	4-2		2-0		112		11/2/	Cilari	otte						04	119
																0	Total TO's	-5																
			Net			Offense		Comp	Yds		Defen	se	Comp	Yds									Net		Offer	ise	Comp	p Yds	•		Defens	ie.	Comp	Yds
Score		Yds		TO's	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks	FD RA-R		Att	Pass	Sks				Sco	re			FD TO	)'s F			Att	Pas		FD				Pass S
UT Martin		-21 191		1		25-109	4.4	29-36	478	0	22 34-20				1				at Wake Forest		10-42			1 17			18-38			20				
Army West Point Bye	35	-38 61	-1	0	22	19-42	2.2	28-40	435	3	23 67-33	39 5.1	5-6	77	1			S	Hampton at Liberty		47-7 17-45			32			15-29 15-27			13	40-10			83 242
Indiana	31	-33 -49	-11	0	24	20-93	4.7	31-44	365	1	35 39-13	34 3.4	35-53	373	3	,,		딛	Buffalo		34-35			2 27			24-41						17-26	
Michigan St		-48 41	5	-1		26-72	2.8	46-65	488	3	26 43-19				0	ers	ရွှ	п	at UTEP		21-28	-51	2 -	2 19			20-34						10-20	
UTSA Old Dominion	46	-52 106	3	0	35	29-147	5.1	38-60	523	1	32 37-19	92 5.2	29-39	372	0	Hilltoppe	AVERAGES	Monarchs	at Marshall WKU		13-20	-76	-5 (	) 19	50-208	3 4.2	10-23	3 100	6 4	24	32-9	1 2.8	30-46	299
FIU																Ģ	IR A		Bye															
Charlotte																≡		Dominion	Louisiana Tec	1														
Middle Tennessee Rice																I	_	Ξ.	at FIU Florida Atlanti															
Florida Atlantic																WKU	EAM	Ĕ	at Middle Tennes															
Marshall																₹	-		Charlotte															
																		PIO																
																		0																
Average		-38 70.0				24-93	3.9	70.2%	458	3.3%		12 4.8			2.9%				Average					.8 22			53.19						62.0%	
Conference Away		-52 106. -43 51.0				29-147 23-57	5.1 2.5	63.3% 70.5%	523 462	1.7% 5.7%		92 5.2 56 4.8		202	0.0% 2.8%				Conference Home		17-24 41-21			.0 19			52.69 55.79					7 3.0		245 6. 137 7.
																	Position by Position Edge																	
															F	WK	U Old WKU QB/WR	Dominion																
																1.95	vs.																	
																	Old Dominion DB's																	
																	WKU RB's vs.																	
																	Old Dominion LB's																	
																		1.51																
																	WKU OL																	
																	WKU OL vs.																	
																	WKU OL																	
															-		WKU OL vs. Old Dominion DL WKU DL vs.																	
																	WKU OL vs. Old Dominion DL WKU DL vs. Old Dominion OL	0.75																
																0.01	WKU OL vs. Old Dominion DL WKU DL vs.	0.75																
																0.01	WKU OL vs. Old Dominion DL WKU DL vs. Old Dominion OL WKU LB'S vs. Old Dominion RB's	0.75																
															- -		WKU OL vs. Old Dominion DL WKU DL vs. Old Dominion OL WKU LB'S vs.	0.75																
															- -	0.01	WKU OL vs. Old Dominion DL WKU DL vs. Old Dominion OL WKU LB'S vs. Old Dominion RB's	0.75																
<u> Last Five Ye</u>	ears Mee	etings (L	.ast 22	<u>years at</u>	PhilStee	ele.com)					Projects	d Box	Score		-		WKU OL vs. Old Dominion DL WKU DL vs. Old Dominion OL WKU LB'S vs. Old Dominion RB's WKU DB'S vs.	0.75	Category			Team & M	argin						Phil's Fore	recast:				
	ears Med			<u>years at</u> Rush	PhilStee Comp-A		). To's				Projecte	d Box	Score		-		WKU OL vs. Old Dominion DL WKU DL vs. Old Dominion OL WKU LB'S vs. Old Dominion RB's WKU DB's vs. Old Dominion QB/WI	0.75				Team & M	argin	WKI	J is 5-1 SU	/4-2 ATS	in the serie	s. Last til			ld ODU tr	o just 16	53 yards ir	17-point
19 Ra	ank Li		e FD		Comp-A	tt-Yds					Projecte	d Box	Score		-		WKU OL vs. Old Dominion DL WKU DL vs. Old Dominion OL WKU LB'S vs. Old Dominion RB's WKU DB's vs. Old Dominion QB/WI WKU ST'S	0.75 0.83	Category Las Vegas Line			Team & M		victo	ry. ODU is	on a 5-10		HD. The N	me here V	WKU hel are just	t 1-9 SU	and 2-8	ATS with	6 straight ho
<b>19 Ra</b> KU -	ank Li	ne Scor	e FD	Rush 37-127	Comp-A 21-29	htt-Yds 9-193						d Box			-		WKU OL  vs. Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  vs. Old Dominion OL  WKU LB's  vs. Old Dominion RB's  WKU DB's  vs. Old Dominion QB/WI  WKU ST's  vs.	0.75 0.83	Las Vegas Line					victo losse hold	ory. ODU is es vs CUSA ing foes to	on a 5-10 East foe: 97 ypg b	0 run as a l s. ODU did elow their s	HD. The I not play season av	me here V Monarchs last year verage an	WKU hel are just and are nd QB D	t 1-9 SU better t J Mack hi	and 2-8 han exp itting 52	ATS with ected with % with a	6 straight ho their defens 5-7 ratio. The
KU - d Dominion -	ank Li	ne Scor	21 11	Rush 37-127	21-29	htt-Yds 9-193 3-108	TO's	S	81 y			ed Rush		179 ya	ards		WKU OL vs. Old Dominion DL WKU DL vs. Old Dominion OL WKU LB's vs. Old Dominion RB's WKU OB's vs. Old Dominion QB/WI WKU ST's vs. Old Dominion ST's	0.75 0.83					12.5	victo losse hold oper	ory. ODU is es vs CUSA ing foes to ned 0-4 SU	on a 5-10 East foe: 97 ypg b vs FBS fo	0 run as a l s. ODU did elow their s oes (1-3 AT	HD. The Not play season av	me here V Monarchs last year verage an	WKU hel are just and are nd QB Di n tough f	t 1-9 SU better t J Mack hi foes like	and 2-8 han exp itting 52 Wake Fo	ATS with ected with % with a s orest and	6 straight ho their defens 5-7 ratio. The Liberty. Last
KU - d Dominion - Ra	ank Li 3 - 4	.5 20 3 3	21 11 re FD	Rush 37-127 31-55	21-29 12-28 Comp-A	-193 3-108 att-Yds	<b>TO's</b>	ents	81 y		<b>Project</b>	ed Rush	ing Dominion		ards	0.54	WKU OL  vs. Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  vs. Old Dominion OL  WKU LB's  vs. Old Dominion RB's  WKU DB's  vs. Old Dominion QB/WI  WKU ST's  vs. Old Dominion ST's	0.75 0.83	Las Vegas Line			Wku By 1	12.5	losso hold oper weel :25	ory. ODU is es vs CUSA ing foes to ned 0-4 SU k I used th left in half	on a 5-10 East foe: 97 ypg b vs FBS fo em on the 10-6. Dow	0 run as a h s. ODU did elow their s bes (1-3 AT e Phil Steek vn 10-6 Mar	HD. The Not play season average of the play for the play	me here V Monarchs last year verage an id take on our +21 vs s SOD at 4	WKU hel are just and are nd QB Di n tough f s Marsha 40 and 0	t 1-9 SU better to Mack hi foes like all and O ODU 45 y	and 2-8 han exp itting 52' Wake Fo DU led 1 rd FG 2:	ATS with ected with % with a sorest and 10-3 and N 37. Marsh	5 straight ho their defens 5-7 ratio. The liberty. Last larshall got a 3&4 & 3&9 f
KU - d Dominion - 118 Ra	ank Lii 3 - 4 ank Lii	.5 20 3 3 ne Score	21 11 12 11 29	Rush 37-127 31-55 Rush 45-207	21-29 12-28 Comp-A	-193 3-108 -14t-Yds -2-257	TO's	contents	,	ards	Project WKU Project	ed Rush Old D	ing Dominion			0.54	WKU OL  vs. Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  vs. Old Dominion OL  WKU LB'S  vs. Old Dominion RB's  WKU DB's  vs. Old Dominion QB/WI  WKU ST's  vs. Old Dominion ST's  WKU K  vs. Old Dominion ST's	0.75 0.83	Las Vegas Line			Wku By 1	12.5 nts	victo losso hold oper weel :25	ory. ODU is es vs CUSA ing foes to ned 0-4 SU k I used th left in half 7 52 yard 1	on a 5-10 East foe: 97 ypg b vs FBS fo em on the 10-6. Dow TD pass :3	0 run as a h s. ODU did elow their s oes (1-3 AT e Phil Steek vn 10-6 Mar 33 left. ODU	HD. The Not play season average of the play of the plus Tourshall was Juniss 58	me here V Monarchs last year verage an id take on ur +21 vs s SOD at 4	WKU hel are just and are nd QB D; n tough f s Marsha 40 and 6	t 1-9 SU better to Mack hi foes like all and O ODU 45 y ad tough	and 2-8 han exp itting 52 Wake Fo DU led 1 rd FG 2: loss in	ATS with ected with % with a sorest and 10-3 and N 37. Marsh OT. WKU	5 straight ho their defens 5-7 ratio. The iberty. Last larshall got a
19 Ra KU - d Dominion - 18 Ra KU - d Dominion -	ank Lin 3 - 4 - 4 5	.5 20 3 3 me Scor 4 34 5 37	21 11 12 11 29 30	Rush 37-127 31-55 Rush 45-207 42-181	21-29 12-28 Comp-A 24-32 21-33	att-Yds 3-193 3-108 att-Yds 2-257 3-327	1 TO's -2	of Contents	81 y 370 y	ards	Project WKU Project WKU	old D	ing Cominion Sing Cominion	179 ya		1.75	WKU OL  vs. Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  vs. Old Dominion OL  WKU LB'S  vs. Old Dominion RB's  WKU B'S  vs. Old Dominion QB/WI  WKU ST's  vs. Old Dominion ST's  WKU KU ST's  vs. Old Dominion ST's  WKU K  vs. Old Dominion K	0.75 0.83	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total			Wku By 1	12.5 nts	victorios losse hold oper weel :25   281   and edge	ery. ODU is es vs CUSA ing foes to ned 0-4 SU k I used th left in half 7 52 yard 1 covered at e at Mich S	on a 5-10 East foe: 97 ypg b vs FBS foem on the 10-6. Dow D pass :3 Army with	0 run as a H s. ODU did elow their s bes (1-3 AT e Phil Steele vn 10-6 Mar 33 left. ODU th a 477-41 ek they we	HD. The Not play season average of Solution (S) but die Plus Tourshall was James 58 L6 yd edgere favore	me here V Monarchs last year verage an id take on ur +21 vs s SOD at 4 yd FG :00 je then vs	WKU hell are just and are nd QB Di n tough f s Marsha 40 and (i) i) 0 and hi s Indy oi	t 1-9 SU better to de better to	and 2-8 han exp itting 52' Wake Fo DU led 1 rd FG 2: loss in 33-31.	ATS with ected with % with a sorest and 10-3 and N 37. Marsh OT. WKU They had and the te	5 straight ho their defens 5-7 ratio. The Liberty. Last larshall got a 3&4 & 3&9 won their ope a 560-519 y
19 Ra KU - d Dominion - 18 Ra KU - d Dominion - 17 Ra	ank Lin3 - 4 ank Lin 5 ank Lin	.5 20 3 3 ne Scon 4 34 5 37 ne Scon	21 11 11 Pe FD 30 Pe FD	Rush 37-127 31-55 Rush 45-207 42-181 Rush	21-29 12-28 Comp-A 24-32 21-33	att-Yds 2-193 3-108 att-Yds 2-257 3-327 att-Yds	TO's	ŭ.	370 )	ards vards	Project WKU Project WKU Projected	old D Old D ted Pass Old D Yardage	oing Dominion Sing Dominion e Total	179 ya 222 ya	ards	1.75	WKU OL  VS.  Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  VS.  Old Dominion OL  WKU LB'S  VS.  Old Dominion RB'S  WKU DB'S  VS.  Old Dominion QB/Wi  WKU ST'S  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion K	0.75 0.83 R 0.72	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total			Wku By 1	12.5 nts	victorios losse hold oper weel :25   281: and edge of 1: 3Q b	ory. ODU is set vs CUSA ing foes to ned 0-4 SU k I used the left in half of 52 yard acovered at eat Mich S 1 poss's in out UTSA for the set of the left in the lef	on a 5-10 East foe: 97 ypg b vs FBS foem on the 10-6. Dow D pass :3 Army with t. Last we 1H with a	O run as a h s. ODU did elow their s oes (1-3 AT e Phil Steele wn 10-6 Mar 33 left. ODU th a 477-41 ek they we UTSA int a i WKU 24/3	HD. The Not play season average of the Plus To rishall was to yello  me here V Monarchs last year verage an id take on uur +21 vs s SOD at 4 tyd FG :00 je then vs ed over ur miss FG a nd lead. U	WKU hel are just and are nd QB Di n tough f s Marsha 40 and hi io and hi s Indy oi nbeaten and 1 pu	t 1-9 SU better to Mack hi foes like all and O ODU 45 y ad tough nly losing UTSA at unt, UTSA 2B TD wh	and 2-8 han exp itting 52' Wake Fo DU led 1 rd FG 2: loss in g 33-31. home a led 28- iile WKU	ATS with ected with % with a prest and 10-3 and N 37. Marsh OT. WKU They had and the te-24. WKU punt and	5 straight ho their defens 5-7 ratio. The 5-7 ratio. The 5-8 the strain of the 5-8 the strain of the 384 & 389 f won their ope a 560-519 y ams scored of counted to op SOD, 42-31.	
KU Id Dominion It Rail Rail Rail Rail Rail Rail Rail Rail		ne Scon 3 3 ne Scon 4 34 5 37 ne Scon .5 35	21 11 29 30 e FD 23	Rush 37-127 31-55 Rush 45-207 42-181 Rush 33-73	Comp-A 21-29 12-28 Comp-A 24-32 21-33 Comp-A	att-Yds 9-193 8-108 att-Yds 2-257 8-327 att-Yds 5-343	TO's  1  TO's  -2  TO's	ŭ.	,	ards vards	Project WKU Project WKU Projected WKU	ed Rush Old D ted Pass Old D Yardage	ominion Sing Cominion E Total	179 ya	ards	1.75	WKU OL  VS.  Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  VS.  Old Dominion OL  WKU LB'S  VS.  Old Dominion RB'S  WKU DB'S  VS.  Old Dominion OB/Wi  WKU ST'S  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S	0.75 0.83 R 0.72	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings			Wku By 1	12.5 nts	victorios victor	ory. ODU is es vs CUSA ing foes to ned 0-4 SU k I used th left in half 7 52 yard 7 covered at e at Mich S 1 poss's in nut UTSA fu I B2B TD's	on a 5-10 teast foe: 97 ypg b vs FBS frem on the 10-6. Down FD pass: 3 the Last we 11 with a limble and pull to 45	0 run as a h s. ODU did elow their s ees (1-3 AT e Phil Steele vn 10-6 Mar 33 left. ODU th a 477-41 ek they we UTSA int a 1 WKU 24/3 -43. UTSA	HD. The Not play season average of the Plus To reshall was Jomes 58 per grand WKU for TD a 75/4 TD 175/4 TD 175/4 TD 175/4 TD 175/4 TD 175/4 TD 175/4 TD 18 for TD a 75/4 TD 18	me here V Monarchs last year verage an dur +21 vs s SOD at 4 yd FG :00 je then vs ed over ur miss FG a mid lead. L WKU 27 y.	WKU hel are just and are nd QB D: n tough f s Marsha 40 and () 00 and his s Indy or nbeaten and 1 pu UTSA B2 rd FG 52	t 1-9 SU better to Mack his foes like all and O ODU 45 y and tough nly losing uTSA at unt, UTSA 2B TD wh 2-46. WKI	and 2-8 han exp itting 52 Wake Fo DU led 1 rd FG 2: loss in g 33-31. home a led 28- iile WKU U last dr	ATS with ected with % with a prest and 10-3 and N 37. Marsh OT. WKU They had and the te-24. WKU punt and rive 55/10	5 straight ho their defens 5-7 ratio. The Liberty. Last larshall got a 3&4 & 3&9 a won their ope a 560-519 y ams scored o
19 Ra KU d Dominion  18 Ra KU d Dominion  17 Ra KU d Dominion		Scorne Sc	21 11 12 29 30 20 20 20	Rush 37-127 31-55 Rush 45-207 42-181 Rush 33-73 48-268	21-29 12-28 Comp-A 24-32 21-33 Comp-A 25-35 17-25	att-Yds 3-193 3-108 4tt-Yds 2-257 3-327 4tt-Yds 5-343 5-180	TO's  1  TO's  -2  TO's	ŭ.	370 y	ards vards vards	Projected WKU Projected WKU Projected	old D  Yardage  Old D  Old D	ominion sing cominion e Total cominion Score	179 ya 222 ya 400 ya	ards ards	1.75	WKU OL  VS.  Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  VS.  Old Dominion OL  WKU LB'S  VS.  Old Dominion RB'S  WKU DB'S  VS.  Old Dominion QB/Wi  WKU ST'S  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion K	0.75 0.83	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings			Wku By 3	1.2.5 nts	victor losse hold oper weel :25   28:1: and edge of 1: 3Q b WKL inter	ory. ODU is ses vs CUSA ing foes to ned 0-4 SU k I used the left in half 7 52 yard 1 e at Mich S 1 poss's in but UTSA fill together and the left in half be at Mich S 1 poss's in but UTSA fill together at Mich S 1 poss's in but UTSA fill together at left in the left in t	on a 5-10 t East foe: 97 ypg b: vs FBS foem on the 10-6. Down TD pass: 3: Army with t. Last we 1H with a limble and pull to 45 3 3:21 left tover the	0 run as a h s. ODU did s. ODU did ellow their s oes (1-3 AT e Phil Steele vn 10-6 Mar 33 left. ODU th a 477-41 ek they we UTSA int a d WKU 24/3 -43. UTSA t. WKU had e Houston B	HD. The Not play season as (5) but di le Plus To rshall was J miss 58 to yd edgere favore and WKU to for TD a for TD a for 564 to for TD a for 564 to for to for 564 to for to for 564 to for to for 564 to for to for 564 to for to for 564 to fo	me here V Monarchs last year verage an d take on our +21 vs s SOD at 4 y dF G:00 je then vs ed over ur miss FG a nd lead. L WKU 27 y y yd edge. fense with	WKU hel are just and are nd QB Din tough for some series of the series o	t 1-9 SU better ti J Mack hi foes like all and O ODU 45 y ad tough nly losing UTSA at unt, UTSA 2B TD wh vas a def OC, QB an	and 2-8 han expitting 52: Wake Fo DU led 1 rd FG 2: loss in 1 g 33-31. t home a led 28- ille WKU U last dr ensive t nd 3 top	ATS with ected with % with a sorest and 10-3 and N 37. Marsh OT. WKU They had and the te-24. WKU punt and to 10 punt and the te 10 punt and 10 punt an	their defension are to the total of the tota
19 Ra CU d Dominion  18 Ra CU d Dominion  17 Ra CU d Dominion		Scorne Sc	21 11 12 29 30 20 20 20	Rush 37-127 31-55 Rush 45-207 42-181 Rush 33-73	Comp-A 21-29 12-28 Comp-A 24-32 21-33 Comp-A	att-Yds 3-193 3-108 4tt-Yds 2-257 3-327 4tt-Yds 5-343 5-180	TO's  1  TO's  -2  TO's	urn to Table of	370 )	ards vards vards	Project WKU Project WKU Projected WKU	old D  Yardage  Old D  Old D	ominion Sing Cominion E Total	179 ya 222 ya	ards ards	1.75	WKU OL  VS.  Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  VS.  Old Dominion OL  WKU LB'S  VS.  Old Dominion RB'S  WKU DB'S  VS.  Old Dominion OB/Wi  WKU ST'S  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S	0.75 0.83 R 0.72	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade			Wku By 1	1.2.5 nts	victor lossing hold oper weel 125   28.11 and edge of 11 and lossing hold international sign of the si	ory. ODU is ese vs CUS/A ing foes to ned 0-4 SU k I used th left in half 7 52 yard 7 covered at e at Mich S 1 poss's in but UTSA fit I B2B TD's cepted at thrown for cing more	on a 5-10 Last foe 97 ypg b vs FBS fc em on the 10-6. Dow TD pass :3: Army will t. Last we 11 with a aumble and pull to 45 3 3:21 left t 2225 (70) plays and	0 run as a h s. ODU did elow their s pes (1-3 AT e Phil Steele rn 10-6 Mar 33 left. ODU th a 477-41 ek they we UTSA int a I WKU 24/3 -43. UTSA t. WKU had e Houston B %) with a s lis allowing	HD. The Not play season as season as Season as	me here V Monarchs last year verage an d take on uur +21 vs s SOD at 4 yd FG :01 je then vs ed over ur miss FG a nd lead. L WKU 27 y y yd edge. fense with 3 ratio. W 3 ypg abo	WKU hel are just and are not QB D: not ough for some dependent of the control of	t 1-9 SU  a better ti J Mack hi foes like all and O ODU 45 y ad tough nly losing tuTSA al unt, UTSA 2B TD wh 2-46. WK vas a def OC, QB an offense a r season	and 2-8 han exp itting 52' Wake Fo DU led 1 rd FG 2: loss in 1 g 33-31. thome a led 28- lile WKU U last dr ensive t and 3 top start a fast- average	ATS with ected with % with a prest and 10-3 and N 37. Marsh OT. WKU They had and the te-24. WKU I punt and rive 55/10 ream in years was a second te-24. Each tese teach tese teach with the second teach was a second teach teach teach teach teach teach teach was and teach	6 straight ho their defens: i-7 ratio. Thi Liberty. Last larshall got & 384 & 389 von their op a 560-519 y sums scored o bunted to op SOD, 42-31 to UTSA 155 lars past but Bailey Zapp mpo the defe im has just of
19 Ra KU d Dominion 18 Ra KU d Dominion 17 Ra KU d Dominion 16 Ra		Scorn  Scorn	21 11 29 30 30 20 20 Pe FD	Rush 37-127 31-55 Rush 45-207 42-181 Rush 33-73 48-268 Rush	21-29 12-28 Comp-A 24-32 21-33 Comp-A 25-35 17-25 Comp-A	3-193 3-108 3-108 3-108 3-108 3-108 3-108 3-108 3-327 3-327 4tt-Yds 5-343 5-180	TO's  1  TO's  -2  TO's	ŭ.	370 y	ards vards vards	Projected WKU Projected WKU Projected	eed Rush Old D ted Pass Old D Yardage Old D d Final S	cominion com	179 ya 222 ya 400 ya	ards ards	1.75	WKU OL  VS.  Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  VS.  Old Dominion OL  WKU LB'S  VS.  Old Dominion RB'S  WKU DB'S  VS.  Old Dominion OB/Wi  WKU ST'S  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion K  WKU Coaches  VS.  Old Dominion Coache  WKU Pts	0.75 0.83	Las Vegas Line  Las Vegas Total  +/- Ratings  Game Grade  Computer Yards			Wku By 3	1.2.5 nts	victor losse hold oper weel :25   28.1: and edge of 1: 3Q b WKL inter litera has is fa win	ory. ODU is ses vs CUSA's cost of the cost	on a 5-10 Last foe. 97 ypg b vs FBS frem on the 10-6. Down TD pass: 32 Army will to Last we 1H with a upull to 45 3 3:21 left tover the 2235 (70 plays and as taken of the 15	0 run as a h s. ODU did elow their s pes (1-3 AT e Phil Steele wn 10-6 Mar 33 left. ODU th a 477-41 ek they we UTSA int a UTSA int a t WKU 24/3 -43. UTSA t. WKU had e Houston B %) with a s l is allowing on the mucl	HD. The I not play season a vise of the Plus To rshall was J miss 58 to fee favore and WKU to for TD a 75/4 TD 1 for 5-54 aptist of the plus for tougher to tougher to tougher to the plus for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for the plus for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for the plus for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher tougher to for the plus for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for the plus for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to for TD a 1 for 5-54 tougher to f	me here V Monarchs last year verage an d take on uur +21 vs s SOD at e yd FG :00 e then vs ed over ur miss FG a nd lead. L WKU 27 y y d edge. fense with 3 ratio. W 3 ypg abo r schedule	WKU hell are just and are just and are nd QB Din tough fs Marsha 40 and fo and his Indy on nbeaten and 1 pu UTSA Bz d FG 52. WKU whitheir O With the pove their e (#51 v	t 1-9 SU to be better to be be better to be be better to be be better to be be better to be be better to be be better to be be better to be be be be be be better to be be be be be be be be be be be be be	and 2-8 han exp itting 52' tting 52' tting 52' tvd FG 2: loss in 1 g 33-31. t home a led 28- lile WKU U last dr ensive t nd 3 top at a fast- average should	ATS with ected with a correct and 10-3 and N 37. Marsh 00T. WKU They had and the te-24. WKU Learn in ye. WK's and rive 55/10 earn in ye. WK's and paced tere. Each teach be favore	6 straight ho their defens in Train and their straight house of their open a 560-519 years scored of their strain and their special between their special
KU d Dominion  118 Ra  KU d Dominion  128 Ra  KU d Dominion  127 Ra  KU d Dominion	3 - 4 - 45 - 5 - 4 - 4 4 5 - 4 - 47 - 4848	ne Scorn 3 3 3 ne Scorn 4 34 5 37 ne Scorn .5 35 .5 31 ne Scorn 3.5 59	21 11 29 30 E FD 23 20 E FD 29	Rush 37-127 31-55 Rush 45-207 42-181 Rush 33-73 48-268 Rush 35-246	21-29 12-28 Comp-A 24-32 21-33 Comp-A 25-35 17-25 Comp-A	att-Yds 3-108 att-Yds 2-257 3-327 att-Yds 5-343 5-180 att-Yds 3-378	TO's  1  TO's  -2  TO's	urn to Table of	370 y	ards vards vards oints	Project WKU Projected WKU Projected WKU Projectee	ed Rush Old D ted Pass Old D Yardage Old D d Final S Old D	cominion com	179 ya 222 ya 400 ya	ards ards ints	1.75 1.50	WKU OL  vs. Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  vs. Old Dominion OL  WKU LB's  vs. Old Dominion RB's  WKU DB's  vs. Old Dominion OB/Wi  WKU ST's  vs. Old Dominion ST's  WKU K  vs. Old Dominion ST's  WKU K  vs. Old Dominion K  WKU Caches  vs. Old Dominion Cache  WKU Pts  vs. Old Dominion Cache	0.75 0.83 R 0.72	Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade			Wku By 3	12.5 nnts	victor losse	iny, ODU is es vs CUSA ing foes to cude 0-4 SU k I used the left in half for 52 yard 7 covered at e at Mich St. 1 poss's in ut UTSA full bear a lily brough thrown for cing more but WKU h es so can a above what above what	on a 5-11 Last foe: 97 ypg b vs FBS foem on the common the com	0 run as a is so ODU did delow their so CDU did elow their so so (1-3 AT e to ST) and the so CDU did elow their so CDU did elow their so CDU did elow the a 477-41 elow the a 477-41 elow the so CDU did elow	HD. The Not play season as	me here V Monarchs last year verage an id take on ur +21 vs s SOD at 4 yd FG :01 ge then vs ed over ur miss FG a nd lead. L WKU 27 y yd edge. fense with 3 ratio. W 3 ypg abo r schedule iDU has th	WKU hell are just and are and are and are of QB D: n tough fs s Marsha 40 and 00 and his Indy on the are and 1 pu UTSA B2 of FG 52. WKU which the cover their e (#51 vhe defen	t 1-9 SU  be better ti J Mack hi foes like all and O ODU 45 y ad tough niyl losing UTSA at unt, UTSA 2B TD wh 22B TD wh vas a def OC, QB at offense a r season vs #104) nsive edg	and 2-8 han exp itting 52' Wake Fo DU led 1 of FG 2: loss in g 33-31. thome a led 28- iile WKU U last dr ensive t and 3 top at a fast- average should ie, WKU	ATS with ected with which with a sorest and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 an	6 straight ho their defens in Tratio. The Liberty. Last larshall got a larshall got a 38.4 & 38.9 f won their ope a 560-519 y ms scored counted to op SOD, 42-31. To UTSA 15 ars past but Bailey Zapp pop the defe in has just of their nex 35 gaining 2 gaining 2
Ra Ra Ra Ra Ra Ra Ra Ra Ra Ra Ra Ra Ra R		ne Scorn 3 3 3 ne Scorn 4 34 5 37 ne Scorn .5 35 .5 31 ne Scorn 3.5 59	21 11 29 30 E FD 23 20 E FD 29	Rush 37-127 31-55 Rush 45-207 42-181 Rush 33-73 48-268 Rush 35-246	21-29 12-28 Comp-A 24-32 21-33 Comp-A 25-35 Comp-A 28-33	att-Yds 3-108 att-Yds 2-257 3-327 att-Yds 5-343 5-180 att-Yds 3-378	TO's  1  TO's  -2  TO's  -2  TO's	urn to Table of	370 y 451 y 39 p	ards vards vards oints	Project WKU Projected WKU Projected WKU Projectee WKU Experier	red Rush Old D ted Pass Old D Yardage Old D d Final S Old D nce Rank	cominion cominion cominion cominion core Core cominion co	179 ya 222 ya 400 ya 31 poi	ards ards ints	1.75 1.50	WKU OL  VS.  Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  VS.  Old Dominion OL  WKU LB'S  VS.  Old Dominion RB'S  WKU DB'S  VS.  Old Dominion QB/Wi  WKU ST'S  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion ST'S  WKU K  VS.  Old Dominion K  WKU Coaches  VS.  Old Dominion Coache  WKU PtS  VS.  Old Dominion Coache  WKU PtS  VS.  Old Dominion PtS	0.75 0.83 R 0.72	Las Vegas Line  Las Vegas Total  +/- Ratings  Game Grade  Computer Yards  Computer Points			Wku By :  66.5 Poi  Wku By :  Wku By :	12.5 nnts	victor losse	ory. ODU is ses vs CUS. A conserved of the conserved of t	on a 5-11 Last foe: 97 ypg b vs FBS foem on the common the com	0 run as a is so ODU did delow their so CDU did elow their so so (1-3 AT e to ST) and the so CDU did elow their so CDU did elow their so CDU did elow the a 477-41 elow the a 477-41 elow the so CDU did elow	HD. The Not play season as	me here V Monarchs last year verage an id take on ur +21 vs s SOD at 4 yd FG :01 ge then vs ed over ur miss FG a nd lead. L WKU 27 y yd edge. fense with 3 ratio. W 3 ypg abo r schedule iDU has th	WKU hell are just and are and are and are of QB D: n tough fs s Marsha 40 and 00 and his Indy on the are and 1 pu UTSA B2 of FG 52. WKU which the cover their e (#51 vhe defen	t 1-9 SU  be better ti J Mack hi foes like all and O ODU 45 y ad tough niyl losing UTSA at unt, UTSA 2B TD wh 22B TD wh vas a def OC, QB at offense a r season vs #104) nsive edg	and 2-8 han exp itting 52' Wake Fo DU led 1 of FG 2: loss in g 33-31. thome a led 28- iile WKU U last dr ensive t and 3 top at a fast- average should ie, WKU	ATS with ected with which with a sorest and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 an	6 straight ho their defens in Tratio. The Liberty. Last larshall got a larshall got a 38.4 & 38.9 f won their ope a 560-519 y ms scored counted to op SOD, 42-31. To UTSA 15 ars past but Bailey Zapp pop the defe in has just of their nex 35 gaining 2 gaining 2
19 Ra CU d Dominion 18 Ra CU d Dominion 17 Ra CU d Dominion 16 Ra CU		ne Scorn 3 3 3 ne Scorn 4 34 5 37 ne Scorn .5 35 .5 31 ne Scorn 3.5 59	21 11 29 30 E FD 23 20 E FD 29	Rush 37-127 31-55 Rush 45-207 42-181 Rush 33-73 48-268 Rush 35-246	21-29 12-28 Comp-A 24-32 21-33 Comp-A 25-35 Comp-A 28-33	att-Yds 3-108 att-Yds 2-257 3-327 att-Yds 5-343 5-180 att-Yds 3-378	TO's  1  TO's  -2  TO's  -2  TO's	urn to Table of	370 y 451 y 39 p	ards vards vards oints	Project WKU Projected WKU Projected WKU Projecte WKU Experier	ed Rush Old D ted Pass Old D Yardage Old D d Final S Old D once Rank Old D	cominion cominion cominion cominion core Core cominion co	179 ya 222 ya 400 ya 31 poi	ards - ints	1.75 1.50	WKU OL  vs. Old Dominion DL  WKU DL  vs. Old Dominion OL  WKU LB's  vs. Old Dominion RB's  WKU DB's  vs. Old Dominion OB/Wi  WKU ST's  vs. Old Dominion ST's  WKU K  vs. Old Dominion ST's  WKU K  vs. Old Dominion K  WKU Caches  vs. Old Dominion Cache  WKU Pts  vs. Old Dominion Cache	0.75 0.83 R 0.72	Las Vegas Line  Las Vegas Total  +/- Ratings  Game Grade  Computer Yards			Wku By :  66.5 Poi  Wku By :  Wku By :	nts 10.8 19.1 400	victor losse	iny, ODU is es vs CUSA ing foes to cude 0-4 SU k I used the left in half for 52 yard 7 covered at e at Mich St. 1 poss's in ut UTSA full bear a lily brough thrown for cing more but WKU h es so can a above what above what	on a 5-11 Last foe: 97 ypg b vs FBS foem on the common the com	0 run as a is. S. ODU did delow their s cos (1-3 AT e p Phil Steele no 10-6 Mars 10-6	HD. The Not play season as Yes Season as Yes Season as Yes Season as Yes Season as Yes Season as Yes Season	me here V Monarchs last year verage an id take on ur +21 vs s SOD at 4 yd FG :01 ge then vs ed over ur miss FG a nd lead. L WKU 27 y yd edge. fense with 3 ratio. W 3 ypg abo r schedule iDU has th	WKU hel are just and are just and are dependent of the second of the sec	t 1-9 SU & better ti J Mack hi foes like all and O ODU 45 y lad tough nily losing tu UTSA at unt, UTSA 2B TD whele	and 2-8 han exp itting 52: Wake Fo DU led 1 vid FG 2: loss in j 33-31. home a led 18- ille WKU U last dr ensive t nd 3 top pat a fast- average should le, WKU DDU is -	ATS with ected with which with a sorest and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 and 10-3 and 1 an	6 straight ho their defens in Tratio. The Liberty. Last larshall got a larshall got a 38.4 & 38.9 f won their ope a 560-519 y ms scored counted to op SOD, 42-31. To UTSA 15 ars past but Bailey Zapp pop the defe in has just of their nex 35 gaining 2 gaining 2

Control   Cont	F ST 2 42 53	FF 9 4 4 3 4 1 5 1 5 1 4 1 2 2	2 42 53 51 49 28	2 42 53 51 49	6 67 43 107	6 67	_	
The Record   Formation   For	F ST 2 42 53 51 49 28	FF 9 4 4 3 4 1 5 1 5 1 4 1 2 2	2 42 53 51 49 28	2 12 13 13 14 19	6 67 43 107	6 67		
0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 125 124 9/11 Missouri 35-28 W 41 39 22 75	2 42 53 51 49 28	4 3 4 1 5 1 5 1 4	2 42 53 51 49 28	2 42 53 51 49	6 67 43 107	6 67	_	FOE
1-0   1-0   0-0   0-0   125   124   9/11   Missouri   35-28   W   31   32   75   7   9/18   Chantralogy   25-23   W   31   25   25   8   8   10   10   10   10   10   10	42 53 51 49 28	3 4 1 5 1 5 1 4	42 53 51 49 28	12 53 51 19	67 43 107 18	67	DE	2 2
2-0 1-0 1-1 123 121	. 51 . 49 . 28	1 5 1 4 1 2	51 49 28	51 19 28	107 18	43		31
4-0 3-1 125 138	. 49	1 4 1 2	49 28	19 28	18			58
5-0 3-2 3-0 1-1 129 135 16 10/9 LSU 42-21 W 38 33 76 60 20 24 #61 Total Off #48 5-0 4-1 3-0 1-0 157 136 2 18 10/9 at Auburn 34-10 W 9 1 10/6 of 6-0 4-0 4-0 134 158 11 1 10/16 at Georgia	. 28	1 2	28	28				109 12
10/23   Bye     10/23   Bye     10/23   Bye     10/23   Bye     10/23   Bye     10/23   Bye     10/23   Bye     10/23   Bye     10/23   Bye     10/23   Bye     10/23   Bye     10/23   Bye   10/23	45	1	45	5		3	28	28
3-2 1-1 133					28	28	21	29
4-2 2-1 128			=	_	7	7		8
1-6					25			106
3-3 1-2 128				_	13	13	3	37
Score V 10					63	63	7	79
Score Yds FD TO'S FD RA-RY YPC Att Pass Sks				_	_			
Score V 7ds FD TO's FD RA-RY VPC Att Pass Sks				-	—			
ULM 45-10 467 12 -3 24 34-135 4.0 22-33 419 4 12 43-17 0.4 11-20 70 6 1 Missouri 35-28 113 1 -1 26 52-332 6.4 10-18 179 2 125 24-104 4.3 34-52 294 1 Chattanooga 28-23 17 4 -2 20 27-102 3.8 23-35 254 1 16 28-171 6.1 21-35 168 0 at South Carolina 16-10 116 10 -3 22 44-230 5.2 15-22 102 0 12 26-58 2.2 17-25 158 2  Mathematical Processing State of the State of Stat					Com			Yds
Missouri 35-28 113 1 -1 26 52-332 6.4 10-18 179 2 25 24-104 4.3 34-52 294 1 Chatanooga 28-23 17 4 -2 20 27-102 3.8 23-35 254 1 16 28-171 6.1 21-35 168 0 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 13 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 1 33 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 1 33 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 1 33 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 1 33 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 1 33 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 1 33 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 1 33 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 1 33 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 1 33 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 1 33 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35 307 1 1 33 34-9 South Carolina 40-13 181 13 -1 26 31-184 5.9 24-35					19-3	<b>Att</b> 9-37		Pass 178
at South Carolina 16-10 116 10 -3 22 44-230 5.2 15-22 102 0 12 26-58 2.2 17-25 158 2 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10					8-1			47
Florida 20.13 .158 .8 0 13 30.137 46 7.17 87 2 21 30.171 44 24.32 211 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					14-2			
					5-18 10-1			24 87
LSU 42-21 67 2 1 24 45-330 7.3 14-17 145 1 22 35-147 4.2 22-38 261 4 92-01 4.1 14-21 231 1 17 29-4	46 1.6							
Fordia 20-13 -158 -8 0 13 30-137 4.6 7-17 87 2 21 39-171 4.4 24-32 211 0 1    LSU 42-21 67 2 1 24 45-330 7.3 14-17 145 1 22 35-147 4.2 22-38 261 4    Bye								
Tennessee    100						—		
Tennessee Y G Missouri Missouri						_		
Finis 31								
Miss St				_				
						—		
Average 31-18 103.7 3.5 -1.3 22 39-211 5.5 64.1% 198 7.0% 18 33-111 3.4 63.9% 194 6.4% Average 40-6 229.0 11.3 0.5 23 42-197 4.7 69.4% 235 2.0% 11 30-6					50.0			137
Conference 28-18 34.5 1.3 -0.8 21 43-25 6.0 62.2 128 68.2	68 2.3 99 3.0							149
Position by Position Edges								
Kentucky GE/WR  Kentucky QE/WR								
vs. 3.24								
Georgia DB's								
Kentucky RB's 1.94								
Georgia LB's								
Georgia DL								
vs. 0.03 Georgia OL								
Kentucky LB'S								
vs. 0.13 Georgia RB's								
Kentucky DB's								
ws. 0.07								
Georgia QB/WR								
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com) Phil's Forecast:								
220 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's Projected Box Score vs. 1,96		nas with	within =	in a to	touch	ichde	lown	only (
020 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's  Projected Box Score  vs. 1.96  Las Vegas Line	is within a to		He rare	rarely t	ly thre	hrew	w dow	wnfield
Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's  Projected Box Score  Vs.  Las Vegas Line  Georgia By 22.5  UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog Fermina 5 - 17 14 20 43-215 9-14-131 -1  Projected Rushing  Rentudy K  FROM Rentudy K  FROM Rentudy K  FROM Rentudy K  FROM Rentudy K  FROM Rentudy K  FROM Rentudy K  FROM Rentudy K  FROM Rentudy K	QB. He rarely							
200   Rank   Line   Score   FD   Rush   Comp-Att-Yds   TO's   Projected Box Score   Us.   1.96   Las Vegas Line   Georgia ST's   UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog eleging   5   -17   14   20   43-215   9-14-131   -1   Projected Rushing   Projected Rushing   Feature   Featur	QB. He rarely 'Cats covered	'Cats co						
Projected Box Score  vs. 43	QB. He rarely 'Cats covered F. The Bulldo	'Cats co						
Rentucky - 43 3 15 39-138 15-25-91  Formula Projected Box Score  Projected Box Score  Projected Box Score  Projected Box Score  Projected Box Score  Vs. 1.96  Georgia ST's  Georgia ST's  Georgia ST's  Georgia ST's  UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog Georgia ST's  UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog Georgia ST's  Note that the store of the stoops have been declarated been	QB. He rarely 'Cats covered F. The Bulldo points last ye ten Arkansa	'Cats co IF. The I points la p ten Ar	ts last y n Arkan:				alf. Au	uburn
Projected Box Score    Secondary   Projected Box Score   Projected	QB. He rarely Cats covered F. The Bulldo points last ye ten Arkansa urn had a 9- with :43 left	'Cats co IF. The I points la p ten Ar burn had I with :4	ts last y n Arkan: n had a 9 h :43 le	d a 9-8 13 left i	eft in h			
Projected Box Score  vs.  1.96  Georgia ST's  Vs.  1.96  Georgia ST's  UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog Georgia ST's  UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog Georgia ST's  Vs.  1.96  Georgia ST's  Vs.  1.96  Georgia By 22.5  UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog Georgia ST's  Vs.  1.96  Georgia ST's  Vs.  1.96  Georgia By 25.5  UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog Georgia ST's  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Solitable With just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed With just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but 14 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but 14 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but 14 pass yds. UK put pids 129 ya	QB. He rarely Cats covered F. The Bulldo coints last ye ten Arkansa urn had a 9-with :43 left 10 FG and 6	Cats co IF. The I points la p ten Ar burn had with :4 /10 FG	ts last y n Arkan: n had a 9 h :43 le FG and	d a 9-8 13 left i and 64	eft in h	/10 T		
Projected Box Score  vs.  1.96  Georgia ST's  Vs.  1.96  Georgia ST's  UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog Georgia ST's  UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog Georgia ST's  Vs.  1.96  Georgia ST's  Vs.  1.96  Georgia By 22.5  UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog Georgia ST's  Vs.  1.96  Georgia ST's  Vs.  1.96  Georgia By 25.5  UGA has won the last 11 meetings. Stoops has played the Bulldog Georgia ST's  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Solitable With just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed With just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but 129 yards but the Vg.  Both teams have byes on deck. Kirby Smart is only 13-17 as a HF.  Inshed with just 91 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but 14 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but 14 pass yds. UK put pids 129 yards but 14 pass yds. UK put pids 129 ya	QB. He rarely Cats covered F. The Bulldo coints last ye ten Arkansa urn had a 9-with :43 left (10 FG and 6 l them #1 in	Cats of IF. The I points la p ten Ar burn had with :4 /10 FG d them	ts last y n Arkan: n had a 9 th :43 le FG and em #1	d a 9-8 13 left i and 64 #1 in r	eft in h 64/10 in my	/10 Ti ny AG		
Projected Box Score    Secondary   Projected Box Score   Projected	QB. He rarely Cats covered F. The Bulldo points last ye ten Arkansa urn had a 9-with :43 left [10 FG and 6 to them #1 in 1224 in their left time since	Cats of IF. The I points lap ten Ar burn had with :4/10 FG d them 224 in test time	ts last y n Arkans n had a 9 h :43 le FG and em #1 in their ime sind	d a 9-8 13 left i and 64 #1 in r their look since 1	eft in h 64/10 in my r loss. ce 195	/10 Ti ny AG ss. La: 950.		
Projected Box Score    Name   Cline   Score   FD   Rush   Comp-Att-Yds   TO's	QB. He rarely 'Cats covered'. The Bulldo soints last ye ten Arkansa urn had a 9- with :43 left '10 FG and 6 I them #1 in 224 in their lest time since 's to lead 14-	Cats of F. The I points I p ten Ar burn had with :4 /10 FG d them 224 in t rst time O's to lea	ts last y Arkan: had a 9 h :43 le FG and em #1 in their ime since o lead 1	d a 9-8 13 left i and 64 #1 in r their loo since 1 ad 14-0	eft in h 64/10 in my r loss. ce 195 4-0 ea	/10 Ti ny AG ss. La: 950. early	ly at I	t half.
Projected Box Score    Secondary   Comparity   Compari	QB. He rarely Cats covered F. The Bulldo soints last ye ten Arkansa urn had a 9- with :43 left '10 FG and 6 I them #1 in 224 in their le s't time since s't to lead 14- in dominatin	Cats or IF. The I points lap ten Ar burn had with :4 /10 FG d them 224 in the rst time ' in dom	ts last y h Arkan: h had a 9 h :43 le FG and em #1 in their ime since o lead 1 dominat	d a 9-8 13 left i and 64 #1 in r their loo since 1 ad 14-0 inating	eft in h 64/10 in my r loss. ce 195 4-0 ea ting 42	/10 Ti ny AG ss. La: 950. early 42-2	rly at I -21 wi	t half. vin wit
Projected Box Score   Projected Pr	QB. He rarely Cats covered F. The Bulldo soints last ye ten Arkansa urn had a 9- with :43 left '10 FG and 6 I them #1 in 224 in their le s't time since s't to lead 14- in dominatin	Cats or IF. The I points lap ten Ar burn had with :4 /10 FG d them 224 in the rst time ' in dom	ts last y h Arkan: h had a 9 h :43 le FG and em #1 in their ime since o lead 1 dominat	d a 9-8 13 left i and 64 #1 in r their loo since 1 ad 14-0 inating	eft in h 64/10 in my r loss. ce 195 4-0 ea ting 42	/10 Ti ny AG ss. La: 950. early 42-2	rly at I -21 wi	t half. vin wit
Projected Box Score   FD   Rush   Comp-Att-Yels   To's	QB. He rarely Cats covered F. The Bulldo soints last ye ten Arkansa urn had a 9- with :43 left '10 FG and 6 I them #1 in 224 in their le s't time since s't to lead 14- in dominatin	Cats or IF. The I points lap ten Ar burn had with :4 /10 FG d them 224 in the rst time ' in dom	ts last y h Arkan: h had a 9 h :43 le FG and em #1 in their ime since o lead 1 dominat	d a 9-8 13 left i and 64 #1 in r their loo since 1 ad 14-0 inating	eft in h 64/10 in my r loss. ce 195 4-0 ea ting 42	/10 Ti ny AG ss. La: 950. early 42-2	rly at I -21 wi	t half. vin wit
Projected Box Score   Projected Box Score	QB. He rarely Cats covered:  The Bulldo wints last ye ten Arkansa urr had a 9-with :43 left [10 FG and 6 6 them #1 in 224 in their lest time since s' to lead 14 in dominatin s since 2018	Cats of Process of Pro	ts last yn Arkan: had a 9 h :43 le FG and em #1 in their ime sinc o lead 1 dominat nce 201	d a 9-8 13 left i and 64 #1 in r their loo since 1 ad 14-0 inating	eft in h 64/10 in my r loss. ce 195 4-0 ea ting 42	/10 Ti ny AG ss. La: 950. early 42-2	rly at I -21 wi	t half. vin wit
Name   Composition   Composi	QB. He rarely Cats covered:  The Bulldo wints last ye ten Arkansa urr had a 9-with :43 left [10 FG and 6 6 them #1 in 224 in their lest time since s' to lead 14 in dominatin s since 2018	Cats of Process of Pro	ts last yn Arkan: had a 9 h :43 le FG and em #1 in their ime sinc o lead 1 dominat nce 201	d a 9-8 13 left i and 64 #1 in r their loo since 1 ad 14-0 inating	eft in h 64/10 in my r loss. ce 195 4-0 ea ting 42	/10 Ti ny AG ss. La: 950. early 42-2	rly at I -21 wi	t half win v

0-0 0-0	TM CONF							rida G <sub>Gators L</sub>											4-2											igers	-							
0-0 0-0	тм								oas									vs	. SEC		3-3 vs. SEC								Tiger	s Logs								
0-0 0-0		FOE	TM +/-	FOE +/		AP							EAM		RANK-		FOE		2-2		1-2	TM REC FOE	TM	FOE TN	1 +/- FO	E +/-	AP							TEAI		PS RAM		FOE
	0-0	O-0		116	TM 13	FOE	9/4	Florid	FOE a Atlantic	35-1	<b>E W/L</b> 4 W		DEF 26	<b>ST</b> 59	<b>OFF</b> 91	DEF 44		3		AA RANKINGS EAM RANKIN				CONF "		- 11		9/4	at UCL	FOE		27-38	W/L L	OFF 16	DEF 10		OFF 21	DEF ST 29 15
	0-0	0-0	135	112	13		9/11	at USF		42-2	0 W	33	24	61	109			2	lorida		LSU	0-1	0-0		140			9/11	Mcl	leese		34-7	W	23	13	43		
	0-0 0-1		136 138	155 121		1	9/18 9/25	Alaba Tenn		29-3 38-1			17 14	82 88		3 35	7			Rush Off	#126	1-1 1-1 2-1 2-1	0-0	0-0		17 30		9/18 9/25		tral Michi	igan	49-21 28-25	W	46 52	14	34 26	69 56	110 65 15 110
	1-1	2-0		125			10/2	at Kentu		13-2		3	17	74		29				Pass Off	#120		1-0	0-0		.33	22	10/2		urn		19-24	L	55	18	25	4	15 110 43 8
	1-2		134	105			10/9	Vand	erbilt	42-0	W W		10	74						Total Off	#79	3-2 5-0		3-0		29		10/9				21-42	L	60	20		38	33 76
4-2 3-3	2-2	1-2	136	130	20		10/16	at LSU Bye				7	8	52	66	42	30	#39		Score Off Sks Allowed	#62 #91	3-3 4-2 4-1	1-2	2-2 1-1		36 42	20	10/16	Flor at Miss					66	42	30	7	8 52 66 53
6-0		4-0		158				† Georg	ia						10	1		#26		Rush Def	#75							10/30	Bye									
3-3		0-3		116			11/6 11/13		Carolina						79	39	24	#42 <b>#27</b>		Pass Def Total Def	#72 <b>#73</b>	5-1 4-2		2-1 1-2		.50 .37		11/6 11/13	at Alai	ama ansas							6 16	16 1 25 73
3-3		0-2		118				at Misso							25	106	4			Score Def	#73	2-3		1-2		92		11/20										127 121
2-4		2-2		127			11/27	Florid	a St						43	51	68			Sks By	#10	4-2		1-2		39		11/27	Tex	as A&M							42	7 17
																		#6 -3	1	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#84																	
Score			Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offer RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defens RA-RY		Comp		Sks					Scor	e			let FD TO	's FD	Offe RA-R			omp Att	Yds Pass	Sks		Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass Sks
Florida Atlantic		35-14	200	3	0	26	46-400	8.7	20-35	5 153	0	23	37-92	2.5	19-3	3 261	6					at UCLA		27-38	-91	5 0	24	25-4	9 2.0	) 26	6-46	330	2	19 4	7-210	4.5	9-16	260 4
at USF Alabama		42-20 29-31	383 115	6 7	-1 -1	26 26			17-25 17-27			_	41-95 27-9									McNeese Central Michiga	· n			7 1 6 1	_				1-37 7-39	180 400	3				10-20 25-39	91 8 228 5
Tennessee		38-14	82	5	-1	25			22-28					3.4								at Miss St				14 1					7-27	280	2			4.4 4		371 1
at Kentucky		13-20	158	8	0	21			24-32					7 4.6			2	_	ō	S.		Auburn				-4 -1					6-46	325	3			5.6 2		290 0
Vanderbilt at LSU		42-0	192	0	0	18	34-18	1 5.3	18-28	3 298	0	18	34-88	2.6	24-4	5 199	3		Gato	AVERAGES	Tigers	at Kentucky Florida		21-42	-67	-2 -1	. 22	35-14	7 4.2	! 22	2-38	261	4	24 4	15-330	7.3 1	14-17	145 1
Bye																			ga	<b>X</b>	ge	at Mississippi																
t Georgia at South Carolina																				₹	F	Bye at Alabama																
Samford																			훈		LSU	Arkansas																
at Missouri																			Florida	TEAM		ULM																
Florida St						-													_			Texas A&M																
Average		33-17	188.3	4.8	-0.5	24	41-270	5 6.7	67.4%	6 228	1 70	19	3/1-10	3 3 2	54 50	6 207	8.9%	6				Average		30-26 -	5.3 -	0.3 0.3	2 20	29-8	4 2.9	50	9.7%	296	6.4%	20 3	7-154	4.2 6	3 0%	231 9.4%
Conference		31-16		5.0	-0.5	23	39-22	3 5.7	70.49		1.79	18	32-11	5 3.6	53.19	6 199	7.8%	6				Conference		23-30 -1		6.7 -0.					3.6%		8.1%	25 3	3-203	6.1 6	6.9%	269 1.6%
Away		28-20	270.5	7.0	-0.5	24	42-26	7 6.4	71.99	6 257	1.89	17	36-11	5 3.3	51.19	6 138	6.4%	6	Diti	- bu Davida - Ed		Home		34-17 8	9.7	3.0 0.	3 20	28-8	1 2.9	60	0.7%	302	5.7%	17	34-90	2.6 5	5.1%	203 12.19
																		Ele	orida orida	n by Position Ed	LSU LSU																	
																		4.04	Flo	orida QB/WR																		
																		1.04		vs. LSU DB's																		
																			F	lorida RB's																		
																				vs. LSU LB's	0.43																	
																				Florida OL																		
																		0.61		vs. LSU DL																		
																				Florida DL																		
																		1.79		vs.																		
																			F	LSU OL Florida LB'S																		
																		2.92		vs.																		
																			-	LSU RB's Florida DB's																		
																		0.77		vs.																		
Last Five	Vene	Mactic	ne (1	+ 22	aarc at	Dhile	eele ee	m)										_		SU QB/WR Florida ST's		Category		-	eam & Ma	min						D)-11	's Foreca	et.				
Last Five	Rank	Line	gs (Las Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's				Proj	jected	l Box	Score	е		0.69		vs.		Category			com & Ma	· yııı						Phil	Jiroreca					
Florida	6	-23.5	34	26	26-135		48-474											0.69		LSU ST's		Las Vegas Line		FI	orida By	11.5	LSU	has won	7 of the	last 10 r	neetino	gs includi	ng 4-1 s	SU/3-1-:	L ATS wi	hen thev	/ host. f	our of the
LSU	-	66.5	37	24	50-179		36-239	3					roject	d Rush	nine			-		Florida K							last !	5 meetin	gs have	resulted i	in outri	ight upse	ts inclu	ding last	year's in	nfamous	`shoeg	ate' game.
	Rank	Line	Score	FD FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's		24	5 vards		orida		LSU	70	yards			vs.	0.60	Las Vegas Total			59.5 Poir	ite												vith:23 left. 2 SU and 2-3
Florida	nailK 7	55	Score 28				44-311	10 \$	ents	24	yarus			ed Pass		/9	yarus			vs. LSU K	0.00				J9.J PUII		ATS.	They led	l Auburn	13-0 but	t gave	up a brok	en play	TD to N	ix and A	uburn go	ot a TD	with 3:11 le
	-			28	40-146				on te							200						+/- Ratings			la sid - F	0.5												and ran for 2 o's to lead 14
LSU	5	-13.5	42	22	24-218		24-293	1	ပိုင်	19	9 yards		orida		LSU	298	3 yards		FIO	rida Coaches					lorida By	0.5	0 ea	rly at hal	f. UK we	nt 75/7,	75/10	and 56/3	for TD'	's first 3	poss 2H	and led	35-7 in	dominating
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's	urn to Table o					Yardage			_			vs.	0.35	Game Grade																and trailed ting a front
Florida	22	44.5	27	19	43-215		28-176		Tab	44	5 yards		orida		LSU	378	3 yards	-		SU Coaches				Fl	orida By	10.9	door	cover vs	Tenness	ee, the C	Gators I	had a 382	2-224 y	d edge a	t Kentu	cky but I	lost 20-	13. Last wee
LSU	5	-2.5	19	20	41-180		34-192	-2	n to					Final S					'	Florida Pts		Computer Yards	_															JF only a 21 frop after a
	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's	ğ	30	points		orida		LSU	24	points	7.81		vs.	1.38			Fk	orida 445	-378	1&G	at 1 the	y were S	OD. UF ra	an a fa	ke punt i	n 3Q an	nd took n	nomenti	um and v	won 42	-0 and while
Florida	21	-1	16	16	38-194		16-108		Ret					ce Rani						LSU Pts		Computer Points	_															er by the SU had no
LSU	-	44	17	18	48-216	9-	16-125	0			121	FI	orida	1	LSU		61	Р	OSITIONA	AL EDGE AWARE	DED TO:			F	lorida 30	-24												o it again?
	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's				Tea	m Sche	dule St	rength					Florida		Phil Steele	_															
2016																				ionida		LIUI STERIE			Florida b													
2016 Florida	21	37.5	16	14	35-126	7-:	17-144				32	FI	orida		LSU		27								Fiorida D	, 6					El.	orida	20	LCII	24			

Mississippi Rebe	ls	OVERALL: OVERALL: 4-1 4-2		Tennessee Volunteers
Rebels Logs		vs. SEC vs. SEC		Volunteers Logs
TM REC FOEREC TM FOE TM +/- FOE+/- TM FOE DATE FOE	TEAMPS RANK FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST	1-1 2-1 NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC FOE TM FOE TM +/-	FOE+/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OFF DEF ST OFF DEF ST
0-0 0-0 0-0 138 130 9/6 † Louisville	43-24 W 7 60 103 63 70 80	2021 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 120	
20 9/11 Austin Peay	54-17 W	Mississippi Tennessee	1-0 1-0 0-0 0-0 119	127 9/11 Pittsburgh 34-41 L 73 65 19 38 14 21
2-0 1-1 0-0 0-0 141 129 17 9/18 Tulane 3-0 0-0 145 13 9/25 Bye	61-21 W 3 43 38 65 71 33 2 44 58		1-1 0-0 119 2-1 2-1 0-0 0-1 121	9/18 Tennessee Tech 56-0 W 72 60 7  138 11 9/25 at Florida 14-38 L 44 35 7 8 14 88
3-0 0-0 145 13 9/25 Bye 3-0 4-0 0-0 1-0 145 154 12 1 10/2 at Alabama	2 44 58 21-42 L 2 38 68 9 11 1	#5 Rush Off #6 #19 Pass Off #78	2-1 2-1 0-0 0-1 121 2-2 2-2 0-1 0-1 121	
3-1 4-1 0-1 1-1 144 135 17 13 10/9 Arkansas	52-51 W 2 47 43 29 14 70	#2 Total Off #18		119 10/9 South Carolina 45-20 W 15 37 33 77 39 26
4-1 4-2 1-1 2-1 142 128 13 10/16 Tennessee	2 66 53 13 37 20	#4 Score Off #7	4-2 4-1 2-1 1-1 128	
3-3 1-2 130 10/23 LSU 4-2 1-1 135 10/30 at Auburn	66 42 30 12 32 13	#31 Sks Allowed #114 #108 Rush Def #29	5-1 2-1	150 10/23 at Alabama 6 16 1 1 10/30 at Bye
5-1 0-0 136 11/6 Liberty		#82 Pass Def #73	6-0 4-0	134 11/6 at Kentucky 28 29 75
4-2 1-2 139 11/13 Texas A&M		#105 Total Def #44	6-0 4-0	158 11/13 Georgia 10 1 45
2-4 0-2 103 11/20 Vanderbilt 3-2 1-1 133 11/27 at Miss St	117 117 110 44 12 116	#99 Score Def #36 #54 Sks By #42	3-2 0-2 2-4 0-2	107         11/20         South Alabama         99         69         98           103         11/27         Vanderbilt         117         117         110
3-2 1-1 133 11/27 dt Pilss St	44 12 110	#34 SkS By #42 #20 Ypg Diff #17	2-4 0-2	103 11/27 Validerbilt 117 110
		+5 Total TO's +3		
Net Offense Com	p Yds Defense Comp Yds			Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds
Net Offense Comp Score Yds FD TO's FD RA-RY YPC Att			Score Yds	Net         Offense         Comp         Yds         Defense         Comp         Yds           FD         TO's         FD         RA-RY         YPC         Att         Pass         Sks         FD         RA-RY         YPC         Att         Pass         Sks
† Louisville 43-24 214 9 2 31 41-188 4.6 22-3	2 381 2 22 39-155 4.0 23-38 200 2		Bowling Green 38-6 256	20 -2 31 64-331 5.2 12-24 144 3 11 23-32 1.4 25-38 187 2
Austin Peay 54-17 226 3 1 29 43-336 7.8 24-39		16	Pittsburgh 34-41 -23	
Tulane 61-21 402 27 0 41 61-372 6.1 23-3: Bye	3 335 2 14 38-139 3.7 10-18 166 2	Mississippi Rebels TEAM AVERAGES Tennessee Volunteers	Tennessee Tech 56-0 238 at Florida 14-38 -82	14         3         24         47-202         4.3         20-32         215         1         10         28-35         1.3         17-36         144         4           -5         1         20         37-148         4.0         15-31         275         3         25         40-283         7.1         22-28         222         1
at Alabama 21-42 -160 -9 0 18 34-78 2.3 21-29	9 213 2 27 50-210 4.2 21-27 241 3	<u> </u>	at Missouri 62-24 287	14 2 35 59-458 7.8 15-19 225 1 21 30-74 2.5 27-44 322 1
Arkansas 52-51 -65 -17 2 22 49-324 6.6 14-2		용   일   ir	South Carolina 45-20 102	
Tennessee		ippi Rebo AVERAGES	Mississippi	
LSU at Auburn		<u>ā</u>   <u>ē</u>   >	at Alabama at Bye	
Liberty		Sip   A   ee	at Kentucky	
Texas A&M		SSiSS	Georgia	
Vanderbilt at Miss St		Mississippi Rebel TEAM AVERAGES	South Alabama Vanderbilt	
at riiss st		<u> </u>	Validerblic	
		_   _		
Average 46-31 123.4 2.6 1.0 28 46-260 5.7 67.59	% 302 5.2% 26 44-200 4.5 63.6% 239 8.0%		Average 42-22 129.7	6.8
Conference 37-47 -112.5 -13.0 1.0 20 42-201 4.8 70.09			Conference 40-27 102.3	
Away 32-33 27.0 0.0 1.0 25 38-133 3.5 70.59			Home 43-17 143.3	
		Position by Position Edges Mississippi Tennessee		
	-	Mississippi QB/WR	<u> </u>	
		0.76 vs.		
	-	Tennessee DB's		
		Mississippi RB's 1.09 vs.		
		Tennessee LB's		
		Mississippi OL		
		0.45 vs. Tennessee DL		
	-	Mississippi DL	<u> </u>	
		vs. 1.33		
	<u> </u>	Tennessee OL	<u> </u>	
		Mississippi LB'S		
		Tennessee RB's		
		Mississippi DB's		
		vs. 0.52 Tennessee QB/WR		
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)		Mississippi ST's	Category Team 8	& Margin Phil's Forecast:
None	Projected Box Score	vs. 1.96	Las Vegas Line	
		Tennessee ST's	Mississip	Lane Kiffin won't get much of a warm welcome in Knoxville after bailing on the Vols after one year back in '09. The home team has won 4 straight meetings. They last met in 2014 & Ole Miss
<b>7</b>	Projected Rushing	Mississippi K	Las Vegas Total	crushed the Vols 34-3. Tennessee is 3-26 SU/8-20-1 ATS vs SEC West foes since 2009. The Rebels
tè .	213 yards Mississippi Tennessee 251 yards	vs. 1.00	82.51	went 3-1 against SEC East foes in Kiffin's first year. Ole Miss had a super first 3 games vs
Ö	Projected Passing	Tennessee K	+/- Ratings	overmatched foes and rose to #2 in my AGG as they were +181 ypg. They ran into a determined  Alabama and trailed 42-7 before a couple of late garbage TD's. Last week Ole Miss led 21-14 at half
Return to Table of Contents	300 yards Mississippi Tennessee 249 yards  Projected Yardage Total	Mississippi Coaches 1.50 vs.	Míssissipp	and did not trail in the 2H but Ark had a 39-22 FD edge and 676-611 yd edge. Ole Miss got a 68 yd
bie	513 yards Mississippi Tennessee 500 yards	Tennessee Coaches	Game Grade Mississin	clipboard flipping TD pass with 1:07 left but Ark went 75/9 for 9 yd TD pass at :00 but their 2 pt conv failed and Ole Miss won 52-51. Tennessee lost at home to Pitt but have played better than
E C	Projected Final Score	Mississippi Pts		expected this year. They only trailed Florida 17-14 at half then destroyed Missouri 62-24 with 683
ž	41 points Mississippi Tennessee 41 points	3.80 <b>vs.</b> 5.38	Computer Yards Mississipp	yds offense. Last week Tenn led 35-0, 38-7 at half with 370-181 yd edge. SC open 2H with punt
gr	Experience Rankings	Tennessee Pts	Computer Points	and 2 TD 38-20 but was SOD own 41 and Tenn 23 last 2 drives in dominating Tenn 45-20 home win. Ole Miss has taken on the tougher schedule (#14 vs #91). The Rebels are playing to an AGG
Re		POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO:	Tie 4	of 113.6 and UT is at 109.0. Kiffin won't hold back against what is sure to be a hostile fan base.
	Team Schedule Strength	Tennessee	Phil Steele	
	15 Mississippi Tennessee 90		Mississi	Mississippi 48 Tennessee 42
		By 1.57 Points		

								_	rov	Troja	ane									OVER		OVERALL:								_	Γργα	s St B	obca	tc						
										ans Log										3- vs. 9		2-3 vs. SUN										Bobcats I								
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOI	_ TN	M +/- I	FOE +/		AP								АМ		RANK-	FC		1-	1	1-0	TM REC	FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- F	OE +/-	AP								TEAM		S RANK	FOE
0-0 1-0 1-0 1-1 1-1	0-0 0-0 0-0	0-0	) :	122 124 124	131 112	TM	FO	9/4 9/1 9/1	1 8 at	Southern Liberty Southern	n	55-3 13-21 21-9	W L W	80 86 84	81 82 81	94 76 102		52 76	79 17	Ir		GS Texas St	0-0 0-1 1-1	0-0 1-0	0-0 0-0 0-0	0-0	112 113 113	129 108	ТМ	9 9 9	/18	Baylor at FIU Incarn	ate Word	20- 23- 34-	17 W 42 L	10 10 11	0 114 7 119 0 118	79 99 87	94 108	38 70 98 1
2-1 1-1 2-2 2-2 2-3 2-3 3-3 2-3	0-1 0-1				94 118 115 105			9/2: 10/: 10/:	2 at 9	ULM South Ca GA Sout Texas St	hern	16-29 14-23 27-24		104 105 110 110	59 67 62 53	105 94 104	78	124 40 113 110	115 47 73 99	#53 <b>#101</b>	Rush Off Pass Off Total Off	#80 #98 <b>#103</b> #83	1-2 1-3 1-3 2-3	3-1	0-0 0-0 0-0 1-0	0-0 0-1 1-1	104 104	118 108 118		1 1	.0/2 .0/9		n Michiga Alabama		31 W	11 11 11	8 114 9 111	62 69 71 99	107	116 10 68 93 53 11
6-0 3-2	1-1	2-0	)	110	134			10/	23 28 at	Bye Coastal ( South Al	Carolina			110	53	112	3 99	60	23	#94 #110 #17 #18	Score Off Sks Allowed Rush Def Pass Def	#80 #89 #88	2-3	2-4 5-1 2-3	1-0	1-1 1-1 3-0 1-2	105	116 116 129 92		1 1		Troy at Georgi at Louisia ULM				- 11	4 110	99	91 60 130	118 11 86 8 127 12
5-1 4-2		3-0 1-1	)		129 126			11/	13 20	Louisiana Appalach	a nian St						60 40	86 55	8 32	# <b>6</b> #22	Total Def Score Def	<b>#90</b> #114		2-4 6-0		1-2 2-0		115 134		1	.1/13 .1/20 a	GA Sou at Coasta	l Carolina	ì					101 3	111 54 60 2
2-4		1-1			116			11/	27 at	Georgia	St						91	118	117	#1 #40 +3	Sks By Ypg Diff <b>Total TO's</b>	#120 #109 +1		1-5		0-2		105		1	.1/27 a	at Arkans	as St						109	129 34
Southern	re	50		<b>Yds</b> 275	Net FD	TO's		RA-		YPC 4.2	Comp Att 22-33	Yds Pass 300	Sks 0	<b>FD</b> 11	Defense RA-RY 28-81	YPC	Comp Att 12-21	Pass	Sks 2				Bavlo	Scor	e	20-29	Yds -151	Net FD -2	TO's	FD I	Offense RA-RY 27-79	YPC 2.9	Comp Att	Pa	ss Sks	_				Yds Pass Sk
Liberty t Southern Miss		13- 21	21 -	107 148	-9 3	-1 0	13 17	3 24 7 32	-21 -49	0.9 1.5	18-25 27-40	211 255	5 4	22 14	47-185 391	3.9 0.0	13-18 16-27	154 157	5 9				at FIU Incarr	nate Wor	d	23-17 34-42	-64 5	0 -1	3	21 4 26 4	14-171 14-182	3.9 4.1	18-3 25-4	1 16 1 27	5 4 78 2	21	1 38-14 7 23-79	3.7	17-34 31-47	259 1 376 1
t ULM t South Carolina GA Southern		16- 14- 27-	23	88 -54 108	2	-1 2	21	3 23- 1 32- 3 28-	155	2.9 4.8 5.0	35-49 21-37 24-36	311 148 270	3 2 4	19	41-122 27-102 38-82	3.8	20-34	255	3 5	ي ا		cats	at Easter Bye South	n Michiga Alabama		21-59		-15		18 3		3.0	16-2				3 44-24			
t Texas St Bye				100				, 20	133	3.0	2.50	2,0			30 02		10 27			i i	AVERAGES	Bobcats	Troy at Georg	ia St	•	33 31	,,,				.0 212		10 2	0 10	., 3		, ,,,,,,	J.L	1,7 2.0	10, 1
Coastal Carolin South Alabama Louisiana																				- F	Ψ A	s St	at Louisia ULM	outhern																
Appalachian St Georgia St																				À	TEAM	Texas	at Coasta at Arkan	al Carolir	ıa															
Average		24-	_	76.3	2.8	0.5			-99	3.3	66.8%	249	8.2%					177	17.8%				Ave	rage		26-36	-68.0	-3.0			10-151	3.8	58.89				39-16			
Conference Away		22- 17-		98.0 50.7	2.7	1.0 -0.3				4.0 3.1	69.4% 65.9%	291 238	8.2% 7.1%		40-102 36-74			194 193	15.2% 17.5%		Position by Position E	lnes	Con Hon	ference ne		33-31 29-34	70.0 -25.3	3.0 0.0			18-212 10-158	4.4 4.0	64.3° 57.8°		37 10.79 37 5.5%					189 3.8 238 2.1
																				Ir	Σ¥	Texas St																		
																				0.35	Troy QB/WR vs. Texas St DB's Troy RB's																			
																				0.28	vs. Texas St LB's Troy OL vs.	0.68																		
																				1.61	Texas St DL Troy DL vs.																			
																				1.07	Texas St OL Troy LB'S Vs.																			
																				0.65	Texas St RB's Troy DB's vs.																			
Last Fiv	re Year:	s Mee	tinas	(Last	t 22 v	ears a	t Phils	Steele.	com)												Texas St QB/WF	t		Category			Team & I	Margin							Phil's Fo	recast:				
20	Rank	Lin	e S	icore	FD	Rush	Con	np-Att-Y	ds	TO's				Proje	ected	Box :	Score				vs.	0.07	Las Vega						F	ormer F	CS Sou	thland C	onferenc	e foes. T	roy is 10	-1 all-ti	ime vs Te	kas St a	nd are 6	0 SU/5-1 AT:
y Sh	-	-7 59.1		37 17	26 15	35-15 36-16		3-46-338		-1				D.	rojecte	d Buch	ina				Texas St ST's		Las vega	is Line			Troy B	7.5	th	ne secor	nd straig	ght week	, while T	roy is ba	ack on the	e road f	for the 4t	ı time i	n 5 game	at home for s and last we ses rate nea
xas St	Rank	Lin		icore	FD	Rush		15-31-93 np-Att-Y		TO's		135	yards		тоуесте		as St	59 y	ards	0.20	Troy K vs.		Las Vega	s Total			48 Po	ints	e <sup>-</sup> (1	ven in n Froy #5	ny rank 4, Texa	ings and s St #11	the bigg 5). Troy	est gap has thro	of these town for o	eams a	according 5 yds in 3	to my r of the	ankings i ast 4 me	the defense tings (338 L
Dy	-	-7		63		32-10		3-46-368		4	ntents	220	varde		rojecte			210 .	uardo		Texas St K		+/- Rati	ngs			Tank Bu	10 F	Ir	ncarnate	e Word.	Last we	ek Texas	St had	a 400-33	0 yd ed	dge but in	the 1H	was int	outright to t the 3 & 12 bee made a
xas St	Rank	63.		27 Score	21 FD	27-15 Rush		9-44-263 np-Att-Y		-4 TO's	of Co	228	yards		oy ected Y		as St	210 y	yarus		Troy Coaches						Troy By	10.5	ta th	ap catch ne 4th 0	in the OT Texa	EZ with s St got	7:17 left the 2 pt	. They o	n 4&G at ected US/	1 Jete A's pass	r powered s att. Troy	in for lost at	TD :17 le home to	to force OT. Liberty but b
тоу	-	-22		12	13			13-27-79			to Table	363	yards		oy		as St	269 y	yards		Texas St Coache	s	Game Gr	ade			Troy B	8.4	th	ne wire	but lost	by 9. Th	ey were	leading	the count	try in T	FL's in to	last we	ek and a	h Carolina to owing just 2 but settle fo
exas St	Rank	48 Line		7 Score	13 FD	36-12 Rush		9-23-155 np-Att-Y		-6 TO's	Return to	28 p	ooints		jected   oy		core as St	20 p	oints	4.16	Troy Pts vs.	0.76	Compute	er Yards	Г		Troy 36	3-269	2 T	9 yd FG D then i	i, SOD a fumbled	at 35 & 3 d to set u	6, and o p 35/3 T	nly led 1 D. GSth	.7-3. Troy rn actual	got a ' ly tied t	TD to ope the game	n 3Q 24 at 24 a	1-3 but ti ind Troy	en gave up a ot wide open
roy	-	-24.	5	62	22	31-15	4 2	6-35-487	7		Re				erienc	e Rank	ings				Texas St Pts		Compute	er Points					c	overing	FG from	n 24 yds	with 1:4	6 left ar		e a Bes	st Bet win	ner. Te	kas St wa	up a non- s down 20+ s South
exas St	-	51.	5	9	14	45-15		4-21-14		-4		,	22		roy		as St	3	34	РО	SITIONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:					Troy 2	8-20	A	labama	which h		underva	alued rig						t. Texas St is
<b>:016</b> Troy	Rank -	Lin-	e S	40	<b>FD</b> 25	Rush 42-15		np-Att-Y		TO's		1	25		Sched		r <b>ength</b> as St	12	20		Troy		Phil Stee	le	Г		Troy b	ıv 3				22 301		5.						
roy Texas St		-28 59		7		39-10		2-29-14		-2		1		11	√y.	iex	.us 31	12			Du 2 40 D						1109	,,,					Т	roy	24 Te	exas	St 2:	L		
					_							_									By 3.40 Points																			

						lo	wa S	t Cycl	ones									OVE		OVERALL:						ŀ	<b>Kans</b> a	as St	Wilda	cats							
								clones Log										vs. B		2-3 vs. BIG 12						•		Wildcats									
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE	TM +/-	FOE +/-	A							TE			RANK	FC		1.		0-2	TM REC FOE	TM FOI		/- FOE +/	. ′	Р							TEAN		PS RAN		FOE
0-0	0-0	CONF	146		TM 7		DATE 9/4	Northern		16-10	W/L W	OFF 2	DEF 20	ST 110	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKING			0-0 0-0	0 125		TM	FOE	DATE 9/4	† Stanfo	FOE rd				OFF 53	DEF 96		OFF 58	<b>DEF ST</b> 67 37
1-0 1-0	0-0	1-0		147	9		9/11	Iowa	TOWA	17-27	L	4	23	69	72	16	3	Iow		Kansas St	1-0	0-0	130				9/11		ern Illino				49	93	7	30	07 37
1-1 0-2	0-0	0-0		106	14		9/18	UNLV		48-3	W	10	18		120	125	83				1-1 2-0		0 129				9/18	Nevac at Oklah					61	83		28	62 55
2-1 3-0 2-2 1-3	0-0 0-1	1-0 0-1	143 140	132 105	14		9/25 a 10/2	at Baylor Kansas		29-31 59-7	L W	38 42	20 25	93 118	9 121	31 127	77 81	#42 #45	Rush Off Pass Off	#60 #101	2-1 3-0 2-2 4-0		0 132			6	9/25 10/2	Oklah					58 77	48 51		86 14	40 63 8 14
3-2 2-3	1-1	0-2	142	130				at Kansas S				23	27	118	75	54	6	#37	Total Off	#95	2-3	0-2	130	ı			10/9	Bye					72	59	6		
3-2 5-0 2-4	1-1	2-0 0-3	142	134 125			10/16	Oklahom at West Virg				24	22	119	84 94	18 44	89 16	#36 #12	Score Off Sks Allowed	#67 #21	2-3 3-2 4-2	0-2 1-1	1 130	142 123			10/16	Iowa :					75	54		23 38	27 118 88 72
4-2		2-1		140			10/30	Texas	Jiila						4	85	27	#12	Rush Def	#7	3-2	1-1		135			10/23	TCU	recii							9	61 47
4-2		1-2		123				at Texas Te							38	88	72	#2	Pass Def	#102	1-4	0-2		103				at Kansa									128 87
6-0 3-2		3-0 1-1		144 135			11/13 a 11/20	TCU	a						5 9	19 61	46 47	<b>#3</b> #9	Total Def Score Def	# <b>43</b> #52	2-4 5-1	0-3 3-1		125 135			11/13 11/20	Baylo	Virginia r							94 14	44 16 33 26
																		#79	Sks By	#24	4-2	2-1		140				at Texas									85 27
																		#4 +1	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#71 -1																	
																		-	10111103																		
· · · ·	_		V-1-	Net	TO!-		Offense	VDC	Comp	Yds	Cl		Defense	· vnc	Comp	Yds	Ch-				· · · ·	_	V-1-	Net	TO!-		Offens		Con		Yds	Cl		efense			Yds
Northern Iowa	e	16-10	Yds 60	<b>FD</b> 4	<b>TO's</b>		<b>RA-RY</b> 34-136	4.0	Att 21-26	Pass 199	Sks 1	<b>FD</b>	26-45		Att 21-34	Pass 230	Sks 2				† Stanford	e 24	4-7 111		<b>TO</b> 's	<b>FD</b> 15	<b>RA-RY</b> 31-200	<b>YPC</b> 6.5	9-1			Sks 3					Pass Sks 194 4
Iowa		17-27	166	10	-3	21	27-87	3.2	24-43	252	2	11	39-67	1.7	11-21	106	4				Southern Illino	is 31-	-23 104	7	-1	24	49-208	4.2	11-	21	172	1	17 4	0-100	2.5 1	16-28	176 6
UNLV at Baylor		48-3 29-31		20 12	0		37-184 40-216	5.0	23-30 22-33	309 263	2	7 15	31-36	1.2	10-19 14-19		2	١.		y)	Nevada at Oklahoma St	38- 20-			-2	22 13	48-269 25-62	5.6 2.5	9-1 14-						1.1 2 3.2 2		306 3 344 1
Kansas		59-7		7	2	25 3		8.8	19-27	274	1				11-22		0		2 6	ä	Oklahoma	31-			0		27-100		29-						4.1 2		
at Kansas St																		1	AVERAGES	Wildcats	Bye																
Oklahoma St at West Virginia																		3	Z   &	₹	Iowa St at Texas Tech																
Texas																			5   %	St	TCU																
t Texas Tech																		t	δ   <del>Σ</del>		at Kansas																
t Oklahoma TCU																			TEAM	Kansas	West Virginia Baylor																
																		1	5   -	an	at Texas																
																		•	-	¥																	
																		1																			
Average			209.6	10.6	0.2		34-183	5.3	68.6% 68.3%	259	3.8%	13	35-88	2.5			9.6%	1			Average Conference		-23 17.8		-0.2		36-168	4.7 3.1	60.0								256 9.9%
Conference Away		44-19 39-17	229.5 280.0	9.5 16.0	0.0		37-253 39-200	6.9 5.2	71.4%	269 286	5.0% 3.2%					146 129					Home	26- 33-	-34 -96. -26 66.		-1.0 0.0	18 23	26-81 41-192		59.3 65.3					8-134 32-85			303 4.8% 248 11.39
																			Position by Position E																		
																		Iow	a St Iowa St QB/WF	Kansas St																	
																		0.67	vs.																		
																			Kansas St DB's  Iowa St RB's																		
																		0.34	vs.																		
																			Kansas St LB's Iowa St OL																		
																		0.04	vs.																		
																			Kansas St DL																		
																		0.51	Iowa St DL vs.																		
																		0.51	Kansas St OL																		
																			Iowa St LB'S																		
																		0.99	vs. Kansas St RB's																		
																			Iowa St DB's																		
																		1.36	vs. Kansas St QB/W	D .																	
Last Five	e Years	Meetin	gs (Las	t 22 ye	ars at I	hilSteel	le.com)	)						_				<del>                                     </del>	Iowa St ST's		Category		Tear	n & Margin							Phil's	s Forecas	it:				
1020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-At	t-Yds	TO's				Proje	ected	Box	Score				vs.	6.38																	
owa St	17	-13	45	26	44-240	19-25-	299											1	Kansas St ST's		Las Vegas Line		Iowa	St By 6.5		105th	meetina	, Iowa St	leads se	eries 51	1-49-4. F	From '0	8-'17 19	U lost 1	0 straigh	ht to KS	but have
ansas St		47	0	9	28-73	9-19-	76	-3				Pr	ojecte	d Rush	ing				Iowa St K							won 2	of the la	st 3 mee	tings an	d have	covered	5 of the	e last 7	games.	11 of the	ne last 1	3 meetings
1019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-At		TO's	s	140 y	ards		a St		sas St	148 )	ards	1.20	vs.		Las Vegas Total		50.	5 Points				ded by 10									rst time t half and
owa St		-4.5	17	10	24-51	15-30-			ent	·				d Passi					Kansas St K							would b	be outga	ined 539	-149 (or	nly 76	yds passi	ing) in t	he blow	out loss	. Both te	eams co	me into this
ansas St		45	27		49-231	5-12-		-2	Cont	244 y	ards	Iow	-		sas St	162 \	/ards	-	Iowa St Coache		+/- Ratings		Iowa	St By 7.5													7 ATS vs Big vs Big 12
	Dank	Line	Score	FD		Comp-At		TO's	_	274 )	urus			'ardage		102 )	, u1 u3	1.10					20 We			foes be	decided	by 10 pc	ints or l	ess sin	ce '19. K	Cansas S	St opene	d 3-0 in	cluding	domina	ing wins ove
	Nank 25	Line			Rush	-		10 8	Return to Table o	201						240		1.10	vs. Kansas St Coach		Game Grade			Ch D.: 4				evada. Q									
	25	-13	42		32-156	20-27-			Ta	384 )	ards		a St		sas St	310 y	aras			25			TOMS	St By 4.2		St ente	ered the	year in tl	he top 1	0 but le	ost to Io	wa by 1	0 and t	nen at B	Baylor by	2. Broo	t by 6. Iowa k Purdy is
owa St		41	38		47-245	18-27-		2	n to					Final S				1	Iowa St Pts		Computer Yards					hitting	71% wit	th a 8-4	ratio and	d Breec	e Hall ha	d just 6	59 yds ii	n each o	f first tw	vo game	s but 100,
owa St Cansas St	-		Score	FD	Rush	Comp-At		TO's	ģ	29 p	oints	Iow			sas St	24 p	oints	6.21	vs.	6.38			Iowa	St 384-31	0	pelow)	. Iowa St	e. rne C is plavin	gciones l g to an	וs nol AGG of	iuing toes f 113.4 a	s to 153 ind Kan	sas Stis	ow thei at 104	ıı season .5 (Iowa	average St by 4	e (KSU 62 .4). Kansas
owa St ansas St 017	Rank	Line	Score				264		æ			Exp	erienc	e Rank	ings				Kansas St Pts		Computer Points					St has	taken or	n the mu	ch tough								J and 9-4-1
owa St Cansas St	Rank	<b>Line</b> 49.5	19	18	30-115	24-36-																				IATS an										, 11 5 5	
owa St Cansas St 2017 owa St	- Rank - -				30-115 30-109	24-36- 16-22-		0		2	9		a St	Kan	sas St	8	8	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:			Iowa	St 30-25		A15 dii	u tile ias	st 14 and	Iowa St		ost their I					, 11 5 5	
oowa St  Kansas St  2017  oowa St  Kansas St	Rank Rank	49.5	19				155	0 TO's		2	9	Iow		Kan: dule Str		8	8	PO		DED TO:	•		Iowa	St 30-25		A 15 dil	u tile ias	st 14 and	Iowa St								
owa St Kansas St 1017 owa St Kansas St	-	49.5 -2	19 20	13 FD	30-109	16-22-	-155 tt-Yds				9	Iow <b>Team</b>		dule St			8	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWAF	DED TO:	Phil Steele			a St 30-25		ATS dir	d the las	st 14 and		t has lo		last 7 tr	ips to M	anhatta	in!		

							-	Aubu	ırn Ti	gers									OVER		VERALL:						Arl	kansa	as Ra	zorba	cks						
									gers Log										4- vs. :		4-2 /s. SEC								orbacks								
TM REC FOE	REC CONF	FOE CONF	TM +	/- FOE	+/-	AP TM	FOE	DATE	F	DE	SCORE	W/L	TEA OFF	AM DEF	PS R ST	ANK OFF	FO DEF	E ST	1-	NCAA RANKINGS	1-2	TM REC FOE	TM CONF C	FOE	TM +/- FOE +/-	AF TM	FOE	DATE	F	DE	SCOR	E W/L	OFF	AM DEF	PS RAI		FOE DEF ST
0-0 0-		0-0	132		19		9,	/4	Akron		60-10	W	22	18	25	124	125			2021 TEAM RANKING	S	0-0 0-0			127 117		9	9/4	Rice		38-17	7 W	52	31	111	123	105 81
1-0 2-0 2-	0-0	1-0	134			25 22	10 9,	/11 /18 a	Alabam at Penn St		62-0 20-28	W L	12 6	19 21	87 27	34	8	24	Aub	um A	ırkansas	1-0 1-0 2-0 1-1			128 140 133 111	20	15 9	9/11 9/18	Texas GA Sou	thern	40-2:		52 45	25 26			33 54 92 57
2-1 1-	2 0-0	0-0	136	5 1:	13	23	9,	/25	Georgia		34-24	W	3	34	21	111	121	112	#32	Rush Off	#10	3-0 3-0	0-0	0-0	135 140	16			Texas A	šΜ	20-10		19	22	47	28	2 27
	0-0 0 1-0		133			22 18	2 10	0/2 a 0/9	at LSU Georgia		24-19 10-34		4	43 28	13	55 9	18	25 28	#56 <b>#30</b>	Pass Off Total Off	#83 <b>#26</b>	4-0 4-0 4-1 3-1			138 154 135 144	13	2 1 17 1		it Georgia it Mississij		0-37 51-52		18 29	12	54 70		1 49 47 43
4-2 4-	2 1-1		135		37				at Arkansa	S			12	32	13	16	25	73	#30	Score Off	#42	4-2 4-2			137 135	17		10/16	Auburn				16	25	73	12	32 13
4-	1	1-1		1	42			0/23 0/30	Bye Mississi;	opi						2	66	53	#21 #22	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#41	3-2		1-1	133			10/23 10/30	Ark Pine Miss St							44	12 116
4- 3-		1-2 1-1			39 33			1/6 a	at Texas A Miss St	&M						42 44	7	17 116	#52 # <b>32</b>	Pass Def Total Def	#6 #38	3-3 5-1		1-2 2-1	130 150				it LSU it Alabami								42 30 16 1
3-	3	0-3		1	16		1	1/20 a	at South C							79	39	24	#25	Score Def	#65	3-3		0-2	118			11/20	Missour								106 4
5-	1	2-1		15	50		1:	1/27	Alabam	a						6	16	1	#34 #18	Sks By Ypg Diff	#92 #21																
																			+1	Total TO's	+2																
				N	et			Offense	1	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds								Net			Offense		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds
Akron	Score	60-1	.0 425		.6	<b>TO's</b>		2-316	<b>YPC</b> 9.9	Att 22-27	Pass 297	Sks 0	<b>FD</b> 12	<b>RA-RY</b> 363	-0.1	Att 22-27	Pass 191	Sks 6				Rice		38-17	<b>Yds FD</b> 65 -1	<b>TO's</b>		<b>RA-RY</b> 44-245	<b>YPC</b> 5.6	Att 12-21	Pass 128		<b>FD</b> 19	<b>RA-RY</b> 39-81			Pass         Sks           227         2
Alabama St		62-			.0	1		9-364	9.3	11-19	174	1	11	29-46	1.6	19-29		2				Texas		40-21		1	21 4	47-333	7.1	14-19			15				118 3
at Penn St Georgia St		20-2 34-2			2	0		0-182	4.6	21-39 22-43	185 253	2	22			29-33 12-24		3			ķs	GA Southern † Texas A&M		45-10 20-10		0		48-269 49-197	5.6 4.0	14-25 9-19				35-152 23-121			81 2 151 3
at LSU		24-1	.9 95		4	1	23 2	9-163	5.6	24-48	290	0	19	25-33	1.3	26-46	325	3	Ş	SES SES	ЭaС	at Georgia		0-37	-183 -13	0	9	29-75	2.6	10-16	87	4	22	56-273	4.9	7-11	72 0
Georgia at Arkansas		10-3	4 -114	4 -	5	-1	17 2	29-46	1.6	24-43	272	4	22	49-201	4.1	14-21	231	1	Š		늄	at Mississippi Auburn		51-52	65 17	-2	39	58-350	6.0	25-35	326	1	22	49-324	6.6	.4-21	287 0
Bye																			Ë		Razorbacks	Ark Pine Blut	f														
Mississippi at Texas A&M																			1	8	~	Miss St at LSU															
Miss St																			4	TEAM	Sas	at Alabama															
at South Caroli Alabama	na																			₹   #	an	Missouri															
																					Arkansas																
																					4																
Average Conference	e	35-1 17-2		.0 4 5 -0		0.2	22 3	4-206	6.1 3.6	56.6% 52.7%	245 281	3.2% 4.4%		37-106 37-117			216	8.3%				Average Conference			122.2 5.0 17.7 3.0	0.3 -0.3		46-245 45-207	5.3 4.6	62.2%					4.5 5 5.6 6		156 6.5% 170 4.4%
Away	-	22-2				0.5		5-173	5.0	51.7%	238	0.0%					314					Home			226.7 7.0	1.0		46-282	6.1	61.5%							142 8.1%
I																			Aub	Position by Position Edg urn A	rkansas																
I																				Auburn QB/WR	1.83																
I																				Arkansas DB's																	
I																			0.73	Auburn RB's vs.																	
I																				Arkansas LB's																	
I																			1.89	Auburn OL vs.																	
I																				Arkansas DL																	
I																				Auburn DL vs.	0.10																
I																				Arkansas OL Auburn LB'S																	
I																			0.46	vs.																	
I																				Arkansas RB's Auburn DB's																	
İ																				vs.	0.63																
Last	Five Years	s Meeti	ings (L	ast 2	2 year	rs at P	hilSteel	e.com)	)						_					Arkansas QB/WR Auburn ST's		Category			Team & Margin							Phil's Fore	cast:				
2020	Rank	Line	Scor	re F	D	Rush	Comp-Att	t-Yds	TO's				Proje	cted	Box S	core			3.39	vs.		Las Vegas Line															
Auburn	13	-14	30	2	24 4	1-259	18-29-1	187												Arkansas ST's		Las Vegas Lille			Arkansas By 4.5												er losing the last year afte
Arkansas	-	45.5	28	2	22 4	2-119	22-30-3	318	0				Pr	ojected	l Rushi	ng				Auburn K							QB Nix b	obbled a	snap the	n spiked	it backw	ards. Ark	ansas re	covered b	out it was	ruled Ir	ntentional
2019	Rank	Line	Scor	re F	D	Rush	Comp-Att	t-Yds	TO's		174	yards	Aub	urn	Arka	nsas	234 y	ards	2.95	vs.		Las Vegas Total			53.5 Points												SEC home deck while
Auburn	11	-19.5	5 51	2	24 5	1-298	13-19-1	193		ntents			Pr	ojected	d Passii	ng				Arkansas K							Auburn I	has a by	e on deck	. Arkansa	s is 10-	as a do	g under l	Pittman a	and 2-0 a	s a favor	rite. Harsin t 4-0 SU and
Arkansas		55	10	1	.4	28-52	19-40-1	182	-3	•	159	yards	Aub	urn	Arka	nsas	227 y	ards		Auburn Coaches		+/- Ratings			Arkansas By 5.5		ATS with	n domina	ting wins	as under	rdogs vs	both Tex	as and T	exas A&N	1. They ra	n into a	a buzz saw a
2018	Rank	Line	Scor	e F	:D	Rush	Comp-Att	t-Yds	TO's	of C			Proje	cted Ya	ardage	Total				vs.	0.25						Miss but	had a 6	76-611 y	ard edge.	Auburn	lost at P	enn St ir	a White	out and t	railed Ge	oss at Ole ieorgia St 24
Auburn	9	-30	34	1	.3 :	36-91	15-22-1	134		Table	333	yards	Aub		Arka		462 y	ards		Arkansas Coaches		Game Grade			Arkansas By 11.5		19 need	ling a 4&	7 TD pass	and IR T	TD in the	final sec	onds for	a mislead	ding 34-2	4 win. T	They went eek made
Arkansas		57.5	3			8-149	13-32-1		-2	\$				jected F			. ,			Auburn Pts							some rei	markable	e throws	and Aubu	rn trailin	g 19-10	4Q rallie	d for a la	te TD and	24-19	win. Last
2017	Rank	Line	Scor			Rush	Comp-Att		TO's	u.n	25 -	ooints	Aub		Arka		30 pc	oints	9.42	vs.	2.82	Computer Yards			Arkansas 462-33	3	SOD at t	the 3 wit	h :43 lef	t in half.	Aub was	SOD at	the 40 o	n open 3	Q drive b	ut trail ju	and was just 24-10.
Auburn	21	-15.5				7-345	21-30-2		108	Rett	23	, onno		erience			ου ρι	,to	9.42	vs. Arkansas Pts	2.02			A	aiisas 402-33.		Georgia i	71/10 F		/10 TD in	34-10 v	vin in Jor	dan Hare	. Arkans	as is play	ing at a	116.6 level
	21											ne .						=				Computer Point	•		Arkansas 30-25		country	and Aub	urn just :	#70. Arka	ansas is i	playing a	t an AGG	of 116.6	and Aut	ourn at j	just 108.3
Arkansas		52.5				2-171	15-26-1		-1		•	96	Aub			nsas	76	J	РО	SITIONAL EDGE AWARDE	ED TO:				Arkansas 30-25		and with	the hor	ne edge r	ny AGG li	ke Arkar	nsas by 1	1.8 and	tney are	just over	a FG fav	vorite.
. 2016	Rank	Line	Scor			Rush	Comp-Att		TO's					Schedu						Auburn		Phil Steele															
2016								NG.				71	Διιh	urn	Arka	nsas	1					i			Arkansas by 6												
Auburn Arkansas	21 17	-10 54.5	56 3		.6 5 .4 :	7-543	7-12-8		-2			, 1	7100																	Ark	ansa	s 30	Aubi	urn 2	4		

								Ri	ice O	wls										/ERALL: 2-3		OVERALL: 6-0							U	TSA	Road	Irunn	ers								
	***					AD			Owls Lo	igs			Τ,	FΔM	06	RANK		FOE		. CUSA		vs. CUSA 2-0						AD		Roa	drunne	rs Logs				TFA	м	PS R	ANK	FOF	
TM REC FOE REC						м		DATE		FOE		E W/L	OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST		N	NCAA RANKINGS	5			FOE CONF			тм	FOE	DATE		FOE		ORE V		OFF	DEF	ST	OFF	DEF	ST
0-0 0-0 0-1 0-1	0-0	0-0	117 116					9/4 9/11	at Arkans Housto		17-38 7-44		123 122		81 103						1 TEAM RANKIN		0-0 1-0 1-0	0-0	1-0	124 127	127			9/4 ; 9/11	at Illinois Lamar				W	70 68	59 64	34 95	75	95	44
0-2 1-1	0-0	0-0	111	13				9/18	at Texas		0-58	l L	121	106	123	30				Rice		UTSA	2-0 1-1	0-0		127				9/18	Middle	Tennesse	ee 2	7-13	W	52	69	14			112
0-3 1-3 1-3	0-0	0-0	107 103		1			9/25 10/2		Southern ern Miss	48-34 24-19						88	65	#64		Rush Off Pass Off	#62 #25	3-0 3-0 4-0 0-4	1-0	0-0 0-1	127 129	127 108			9/25 i 10/2	at Mempl UNLV	his			W	62 64	52 50	14 11	13 124	69 124	3 104
2-3	1-0		106					10/9	Bye				108	115	125				#10	0	Total Off	#28	5-0 1-3	1-0	0-0	128	119			10/9	at WKU				W	67	51	17	66	99	59
2-3 6-0 4-2	1-0	2-0	106	12					at UTSA at UAB				108	114	128	51 80					Score Off Sks Allowed	#19 #12	6-0 2-3 2-3	2-0	1-0	128	106 115			10/16 10/23 i	Rice at Louisia	na Tech				51	57	22	108 96		128 111
1-4 4-2		0-2 2-0		10				10/30	North at Charlo							81 64		85			Rush Def Pass Def	#6 #111	5-1		2-0		109			10/30 11/6	Bye									52	
1-4		0-1		12					WKU	otte						50					Total Def	#111	1-5		0-2		103				South	ern Miss							71 126		118 36
5-1 2-3		2-0 1-0		10 11				11/20 11/27	at UTEP	ana Tech						71 96					Score Def Sks Bv	#45 #42	4-2 1-4		2-0 0-2		125 103			11/20	UAB at North	Tovac							80 81		96 85
2-5		1-0		- 11	,			11/2/	Louisie	ana recir						90	37	11.	#11	7	Ypg Diff	#34	1-4		0-2		103			11/2/	ac ivoi tii	TEXUS							01	113	- 03
																			-3		Total TO's	+5																			
				No				Offens		Comp	Yds			Defen			p Yds										Net			Offense		Comp		Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds	
at Arkansas	e	17-38	<b>Yds</b> -65			0's -2		<b>RA-RY</b> 39-81	2.1	Att 17-35	Pass 227		FD 18		7 YPC 5 5.6								at Illinois	ore	37-30	Yds 85	<b>FD</b> 2	TO's		<b>RA-RY</b> 50-217	4.3	Att 20-3			Sks 1		<b>RA-RY</b> 35-146		Att 22-43	Pass 266	Sks 0
Houston		7-44	-181	-8	3 -	-3	15	36-126	3.5	9-19	86	4	23	35-14	4 4.1	23-3	3 249						Lamar		54-0	305	10	2	20	29-106	3.7	26-32	2 3	321	1	10	36-89	2.5	6-13	33	0
at Texas Texas Southern		0-58 48-34				_		32-156 52-349		19-24 18-23					7 10.4 4 3.0							õ	Middle Tennes at Memphis	ssee	27-13 31-28		12 9	-1 1	23	44-175 52-205	4.0 3.3	26-4 19-3			2	11	25-8 32-78		14-30 15-25		5
Southern Miss		24-19	-53	-6	5	4	15	33-118	3.6	17-23	207	1	21	27-5	7 2.1	25-4	0 321	. 5			မ္မာ	ē	UNLV at WKU		24-17 52-46	31	3 -3	3	23	41-104	2.5 5.2	24-3i 29-3			0	20	29-35		26-38	316	6
Bye at UTSA																				<u>-S</u>	AGE	Ē	Rice Rice		52-46	-106	-3	0	32	37-192	5.2	29-3	9 :	3/2	0	35	29-14/	5.1	38-60	523	_1
at UAB																				Owls	AVERAGES	Ē	at Louisiana Tec	h																	
North Texas at Charlotte																				Rice		Roadrunne	Bye at UTEP																		
WKU at UTEP																				ž	TEAM	€	Southern Miss UAB	s																	
Louisiana Tech																					=	UTSA	at North Texas																		
																						∍																			
Average Conference		19-39						38-166 33-118	4.3 3.6	64.5% 73.9%		8.99 4.39			5 5.4 7 2.1				%				Average Conference			93.3 73.5	5.5 4.5	-0.5	25		3.8 4.5	69.29								276 357	
Away		9-48	-200.	5 -5	.5 -	1.0	17	36-119	3.3	61.0%	178	8.59	22	43-33	6 7.9	69.09	% 161	4.89	%	D'4	tion by Position E	4	Home		35-10	196.3	8.3	1.3	22	38-128	3.4	71.09	% 2	292 2	.8%	14	30-44	1.5	56.8%	180 1	13.6%
																				Rice	-	UTSA																			
																					Rice QB/WR	0.29																			
																					UTSA DB's	0.29																			
																					Rice RB's	1.27																			
																					UTSA LB's																				
																					Rice OL vs.	0.89																			
																					UTSA DL																				
																					Rice DL vs.	1.64																			
																					UTSA OL Rice LB'S																				
																					vs.	0.02																			
																					UTSA RB's Rice DB's																				
																					vs.	1.21																			
Last Five	Vears	Meeti	nas (1	ast 2	vear	s at P	hilSter	ele con	n)												UTSA QB/WR Rice ST's		Category			Team &	Margin							Phil's	s Foreca	et:					
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FI			Comp-A		TO's				Pro	jecte	d Box	Score	е				vs.	4.46																			
Rice		-4.5	27	2:	1 35	-146	20-29	-223													UTSA ST's		Las Vegas Line	[		Utsa B	y 18.5		Division	rivals di	dn't mee	et Last ye	ar UTS	A has w	von 5 s	straight	series n	neetings	and co	vered the	last
UTSA		42.5	31	19	30	-149	19-29	-212	3					Project	ed Rush	ing					Rice K		1VT-: 1					t	two. Las Owls led	t time h 10-7 at	nere Rice t half, QE	was 0-6 3 Green th	and in hrew p	midst o	or 15 g n 1st pl	ame ro	ad Iosing H. Owls	streak led 24-:	yet was 16 3Q, f	favored l inished w	y 4'. /369-
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FI	D R	ush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's	\$3	95	yards		Rice	U	JTSA	18	1 yards	1.25	5	vs.		Las Vegas Total			54 Pc	ints		361 yd 8	k 21-19	FD edge	es but lost	t 31-2	7. The u	ınderd	og has	covered	4 straig	ht with	UTSA puli sets inclu	lling
Rice	-	-1	3	1	1 37	7-47	16-30	-175		ıten				Project	ed Pass	ing					UTSA K		+/- Ratings						winning	two as	double-d	igit dogs.	UTSA	opened	a perfe	ect 5-0	upsettin	ng Illinoi	s and M	emphis or	n the
UTSA	-	50	20	1	1 38	-135	8-22	-43	4	Con	217	7 yards		Rice	u	ITSA	28	7 yards			Rice Coaches		.,- nacings			Utsa B	y 24.8		road. La punt, Ul	st week SA led 2	The tear 28-24. W	ms scored VKU punt	on 8 ed to c	of 11 po pen 30	oss in 1 but U	1H with TSA fun	a UTSA oble and	int and WKU 2	WKU m 4/3 for	iss FG an TD and le	d 1 ad.
2017	Rank	Line	Score	. FI	D R	ush	Comp-A	tt-Yds	TO's	le of			Pro	jected	Yardag	e Total			0.40		vs.		Game Grade					į	UTSA B2	B TD w	hile WKU	punt and	d SOD,	42-31.	. WKU	B2B TD	's pull to	45-43	. UTSA 7	75/4 TD V	WKU
Rice	-	53	7	1	3 41	-155	6-14-	145		Table	312	2 yards		Rice	U	JTSA	46	8 yards			UTSA Coaches					Utsa B	y 19.7		564 yd (	edge. Ric	ce lost th	eir first 3	game	s by 21	, 37 ar	nd 58 b	ut did pl	lay 3 rea	ally good	KU had 67 I teams in	n
UTSA	-	-20	20	19		-314	12-22		2	o to					f Final S						Rice Pts		Computer Yards																	g outgain n over at	
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FI			Comp-A		TO's	T T	11	points		Rice		JTSA	40	points	1.65		vs.	9.78				Utsa 46	8-312	a	and is hi	tting 77	% with	a 3-1 rati	io but t	the Rice	Disa	llowing	foes 17	ypg abo	ve their	season a	avg.
Rice	-	57	13	2			23-37			Ref				•	ce Rani	-					UTSA Pts		Computer Points	· r					they onl	y beat a	poor UN									n by 7, 14 ng a big t	
UTSA	-	-3.5	14	16	5 38	-125	17-25	-209	0			81		Rice		JTSA		2		POSITIO	NAL EDGE AWAR	DED TO:				Utsa 4	10-11		Louis Te	ch on de	eck.										
1												70			dule St			174			UTSA		Phil Steele	ı		ur.															
												79		Rice	u	JTSA		124								Utsa I	y 14						UT	SA 3	4 R	ice :	20				
																				R	By 8.13 Points																				

																		OVE	PAII		OVERALL:																		
								1 St A										3	-2		0-5										ebels								
TM REC FOE REC C	TM F	DE			AF	P		Aggies Lo	gs			1	EAM	PS	RANK	F	OE		мтw -1		vs. MTW 0-1	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE	T14 . /	FOE +/-	Al			Rebels I	.ogs			т	EAM	PS F	RANK	FOE	
			1+/- FO	133	TM	FOE	DATE 9/4	at Washir	OE Ch	26-23		0FF 101	DEF 100	ST 24	OFF 42	DEF 91	<b>ST</b> 128		NCAA RA			TM REC FOE REC	CONF 0-0	CONF	105	FUE +/-	TM	FOE	DATE 9/4		FOE n Washing	sco		0FF 119	DEF 122	ST 121	OFF	DEF	ST
1-0	0-0	1	L15				9/11	North I	Dakota	48-24	1 W	102	90	53				Uta	h St	KARKI	UNLY	0-1 1-0	0-0		106	131		23	9/11	at Arizon	a St	10-	37 L	120	122	57			13
		-0 1 -0 1		124 127			9/18 9/25	at Air For Boise S		49-45 3-27		73 41	87 103	47 31	91 94	99 76	126 2	#49		sh Off	#114	0-2 1-1 0-3 3-1	0-0		106 104	141 131		14 22		Iowa S at Fresno		3-4 30-		120 126		83 98	10 22		121 95
3-1 4-0	1-1 0	-0 1	116	130			10/1	BYU	,,	20-34		38	103	34		101	31	#12	Pas	s Off	#109	0-4 4-0	0-1	1-0	108	129			10/2	at UTSA	J.	17-		124	124	104	64		11
3-2 3-2 0-5	1-1 1-1 0	-1 1	116	109			10/9	Bye at UNLV				53 59	104 105	38 37	125	122	109	#13 #66		al Off re Off	#125 #117	0-5 0-5 3-2	0-1 0-1		109 109	116			10/9	Bye Utah S				122	122 122	108 109		105	37
2-3	1	-0		123			10/23	Colora	do St			33	103		107	107	70	#41	Sks A	Allowed	#123	3-3		1-1	103	113			10/23	San Jo	se St			123	122	103	113	67	56
3-3 1-6		-1 -0		117 99			10/30 11/6	Hawaii at New M	exico St						77 115	59 125	71 88	#113 #103		h Def	#71 #123	4-1 2-4		1-0 0-2		128 105				at Nevad at New M							67 119		31 91
3-3	1	-1		113			11/13	at San Jo	se St						113	67	56	#118	Tota	al Def	#114	3-3		1-1		117			11/13	Hawaii							77	59	71
4-1 2-4		-1 -2		120 105			11/20 11/27	Wyomi at New M							98 119	64 80	79 91	#98 #108	Sks	re Def s By	#117 #120	5-0 5-1		1-0 2-1		126 126			11/20 11/27	San Di at Air For							72 70		12 125
																		#64 -3		g Diff	#126 <b>-1</b>																		
																		-3	Iotai	ii ioʻs	-1																		_
Score		,		Net FD '	TO's	FD	Offens RA-RY	e YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks						Score		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	e YPC	Comp	Yd Pa		FD	Defense RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass	Sks
at Washington St		-23	81	5	-1	25	46-222	4.8	23-36	219	0	20	26-149	5.7	20-35	211	2					Eastern Wa		33-35	-132	-5	1	17	44-199	4.5	12-23	3 14	0 4	22	34-97	2.9	29-39	374	3
North Dakota at Air Force			9		1		38-217 39-180		23-37 31-50			24	24-65 63-437		32-46 6-12	374 182	1					at Arizona St Iowa St		10-37 3-48	-307 -363	-18 -20	0	7	32-88 31-36	2.8 1.2	7-19			29 27	47-287 37-184		20-29	175 309	0
Boise St	3	3-27	-	-7	-2	18	42-235	5.6	13-31	208	3	25	42-148	3.5	22-39	287	2					at Fresno St		30-38	-28	-5	0		36-152	4.2	15-28	3 28	1 4	23	23-83	3.6	30-42	378	1
BYU Bye	20	)-34 -:	130	1	-2	23	35-22	0.6	26-48	314	3	22	36-221	6.1	22-30	245	2	1 3	Se la	ES	<u>8</u>	at UTSA Bye		17-24	-31	-3	-3	20	29-35	1.2	26-38	31	6 6	23	41-104	2.5	24-30	278	0
at UNLV																		1 1	Aggi	8 2	g.	Utah St																	
Colorado St Hawaii																		1	<b>5</b>   <b>1</b>	AVERAG	Rebels	San Jose St at Nevada																	_
at New Mexico St																		Ċ			≥	at New Mexico																	
at San Jose St Wyoming																		1 3	Otan	EAM	UNLV	Hawaii San Diego S	St																_
at New Mexico																		1	, ,	_	_	at Air Force																	
																																							_
Average	29	9-31 3	0.0	0.2	-0.6	23	40-175	4.4	57.4%	319	4.5%	23	38-204	5.3	63.0%	260	4.9%	1				Average		19-36	-172.2	-10.2	-0.2	15	34-102	3.0	55.19	6 18	0 17.3%	b 25	36-151	4.1	74.1%	303 2	.9%
Conference Away			3.5 -	2.0	-0.5 0.0	22	41-208 43-201	5.1	54.3% 62.8%	328	6.2%	24	53-293 45-293	5.6	54.9%		5.9%					Conference	ce	30-38	-28.0 -247.5	-5.0	0.0	18	36-152 38-118	4.2	53.69 52.49	6 28		b 23	23-83	3.6	71.4%	378 2 342 4	2.4%
Away	30	9=34  4	.5.0	4.0	0.0	20	43=201	4.7	02.070	334	2.370	22	45-293	0.0	33.370	197	0.470		Position by P	Position E		nome		10*42	=247.5	-12.5	0.5	12	30=110	3.1	32.47	0 11	/ 10./7	0 23	30-141	4.0	73.470	342 4	.370
																		Uta	h St Utah St	t QB/WF	UNLV R																		
																		2.75	v	vs. V DB's																			
																				St RB's																			
																				vs. V LB's	0.06																		
																				St OL																			
																		1.00	V	vs. LV DL																			
																				St DL																			
																		0.70	UNL	vs. LV OL																			
																			Utah :	St LB'S																			
																		1.07	UNLV	vs. V RB's																			
																				St DB's	0.05																		
																				vs. QB/WR	0.05																		
Last Five Y	ears Me				rs at i	PhilSte Comp-/		n.). TO's				Pro	ected	Box s	Score			2.00		St ST's		Catego	ry		Team 8	k Margin							Phil's Fon	ecast:					
Utah St					Rush 8-221	22-3		10'5										3.33		vs. V ST's		Las Vegas Line			Utah S	St By 7		LIMIN/ !-	iuet 7	10 5/1 4:	o ve He-	Ct TI-	a Daholo -	ave lost	the last	5 games	e with 4	of those k	neen-
UNLV	- 63	1.5	28	21 4	8-154	18-3		0					rojecte	d Rushi	ing				Utal	h St K		Las Vegas Tota						being b	y 14+ pt	ts but the	ey have n	ot met :	since '18.	In that	meeting	in 2018	UNLV le	d 7-0 early	y but
2017 F	ank Li			FD I	Rush		Att-Yds	TO's	ents	151	yards		ah St		NLV	153	yards	1.85		vs.		Lus vegas 10ta	••		62.51	Points		404). T	he Rebe	Is are 0-4	ATS und	ler HC A	rroyo as a	home d	dog perha	aps becau	use oppo	9-28 (598 nents are	
Utah St	- 60				7-265	20-29			Cont				Projecte		-	22-				ILV K		+/- Ratings						hyped u	ıp to be	playing ir	n Raider's	stadium	n. Utah St	HC And	lerson wa	as just 11	1-12 AT	as an aw	ay
UNLV	3	1.5	28	25 5	3-375	13-3	10-85	-1	، ما د	324	yards		ah St jected \		Total	237	yards	0.25	Utah St	: Coache vs.	es				Utah Si	t By 4.5		St (only	trial 14	-10 H), a	t Fresno	(led 30-	29 5;00 k	eft) and	at UTSA	(lost by	7). Coac	h Anderso	n
									able	475	yards		ah St	_	NLV	391	yards	5.23		vs. Coaches		Game Grade			Utah St	By 14.8												6 ypg last ce and in	
									to Table			Pr	ojected	Final S	core					St Pts		Computer Yard	40					losses t	o Boise a	and BYU	were muc	h more	competiti	ve than	the 19 p	pg losses	s as they	were only	y -61
			-	-		-			urn	33	points		ah St		NLV	24 p	ooints	10.95		vs.	0.11	computer Yard	15		Utah St	475-391		that is e	each tea	ms M.O.	this year.	UNLV h	as taken	on the t	ougher s	schedule	(#25 vs	#55) but	the
									Reti		39		periend						UNL	V Pts		Computer Poin	its		10: 1 -						and UNL compute			St by 14	.8). The	Aggies ar	re laying	less than	a TD
											39		ah St m <b>Sche</b> e		NLV enath	-	77	PC	SITIONAL ED		RDED TO:				Utah S	t 33-24			- 25.00										
											55		ah St		NLV		25		Uta	h St		Phil Steele			Utah S	St by 9							<b>a.</b>						
																			By 10.8	34 Poin	nts										U	tah	St 33	UNL	.v 24				
										•																													

LA Tech Bulldogs	2-3	VERALL: 5-1	UTEP Miners
Buildogs Logs TM FOF AP		2-0 FOE TM FOE	Miners Logs  AP TEAMPS RANK- FOE
TM REC FOERC CONF CONF TM +/- FOE+/- TM FOE DATE FOE SCORE W/L OF  0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 110 132 9/4 at Miss St 34-35 L 11	DEF ST OFF DEF ST NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC REC CONF CONF	IN THE BALL THE SCORE W/E OIL BE ST OIL BE ST
0-1 0-0 114 9/11 SE Louisiana 45-42 W 11	91 127 LA Tech	1-0 0-0 108	9/4 Bethune-Cookman 38-28 W 92 112 60
1-1 2-0 0-0 0-0 113 123 9/18 SMU 37-39 L 11 1-2 1-2 0-0 0-1 114 101 9/25 North Texas 24-17 W 98	90 93 11 85 99 100 108 75 119 49 #94 Rush Off	2-0 0-1 0-0 0-0 104 #48 2-1 0-0 101	
2-2 3-1 1-0 1-0 113 134 23 10/2 at NC State 27-34 L 10	98 108 44 10 92 #27 Pass Off	#88 2-1 2-1 0-0 0-0 101	9/25 New Mexico 20-13 W 75 72 88 99 67 101
2-3 1-0 115 10/9 Bye 97 2-3 5-1 1-0 2-0 115 109 10/16 at UTEP 96	97 115 <b>#57 Total Off</b> 97 111 71 52 118 #37 Score Off	#72 3-1 1-3 0-0 0-0 104 #85 4-1 1-4 1-0 0-1 104	
6-0 2-0 128 10/23 UTSA 1-5 0-2 105 10/30 at Old Dominion	51 57 22 #41 Sks Allowed 116 90 40 #71 Rush Def	#12 5-1 2-3 <b>2-0 1-0</b> 109	9 115 10/16 Louisiana Tech 0 0 0 96 97 111 10/23 Bye
4-2 2-0 125 11/6 at UAB	80 38 96 #128 Pass Def	#41 3-3 1-1	118 10/30 at Florida Atlantic 76 82 51
4-2 2-0 126 11/13 Charlotte 1-5 0-2 103 11/20 Southern Miss	64 119 74 <b>#120 Total Def</b> 126 99 36 #110 Score Def	#16 6-0 2-0 #39 1-4 0-2	128         11/6         UTSA         51         57         22           103         11/13         at North Texas         81         113         85
2-3 1-0 106 11/27 at Rice	108 114 128 #102 Sks By #106 Ypg Diff	#34 2-3 1-0 #36 4-2 2-0	106         11/20         Rice         108         114         128           125         11/27         at UAB         80         38         96
	+1 Total TO's	-1	113 1147 (1000)
Net Offense Comp Yds	Defense Comp Yds		Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds
Score         Yds         FD         TO's         FD         RA-RY         YPC         Att         Pass         Sks         FD           at Miss St         34-35         -66         -8         2         14         25-101         4.0         21-37         268         1         22	RA-RY   YPC   Att   Pass   Sks	at New Mexico St 30-3 262	
SE Louisiana 45-42 -146 -9 2 23 43-198 4.6 20-28 250 1 32	27-99 3.7 44-59 495 3	Bethune-Cookman 38-28 139	9 12 1 24 51-289 5.7 14-23 183 2 12 25-118 4.7 15-22 215 2
SMU         37-39         -95         -5         -1         26         30-132         4.4         24-41         351         1         31           North Texas         24-17         -47         -3         0         21         40-79         2.0         19-28         207         3         24	38-183 4.8 36-50 395 0 49-241 4.9 15-37 92 2	at Boise St 13-54 -12: Bye	5 -1 -5 20 40-104 2.6 16-26 232 1 21 37-121 3.3 17-25 340 1
at NC State 27-34 62 3 -2 26 35-139 4.0 26-44 341 3 23	31-167 5.4 22-36 251 1	New Mexico 20-13 56 Old Dominion 28-21 51	
at UTEP	31-167 5.4 22-36 251 1 50 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	at Southern Miss 26-13 138	
UTSA at Old Dominion	B	Louisiana Tech  Bye	
at UAB Charlotte		at Florida Atlantic UTSA at North Texas	
Southern Miss	Тес	at North Texas	
at Rice	5   5	Rice at UAB	
	33-151 4.6 68.1% 321 3.9%	Average 26-22 86.1	
Conference         24-17         -47.0         -3.0         0.0         21         40-79         2.0         67.9%         207         10.7%         24           Away         31-35         -2.0         -2.5         0.0         20         30-120         4.0         58.0%         305         4.9%         23	49-241 4.9 40.5% 92 5.4% 25-116 4.6 73.5% 311 4.8%	Conference 27-17 94.1 Home 29-21 82.1	5 -1.5 1.5 17 43-204 4.8 45.9% 159 2.7% 18 33-52 1.6 61.5% 217 12.3% 0 1.7 1.3 18 43-161 3.7 54.2% 222 6.9% 16 31-110 3.5 54.7% 191 6.3%
	Position by Position Edg	ges UTEP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	LA Tech QB/WR	0.04	
	vs. UTEP DB's	0.04	
	LA Tech RB's	1.69	
	UTEP LB's  LA Tech OL		
	vs.	1.53	
	UTEP DL  LA Tech DL		
	vs.	0.94	
	UTEP OL  LA Tech LB'S		
	vs. UTEPRB's	0.52	
	LA Tech DB's		
	UTEP QB/WR		
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)  2020 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's	jected Box Score	Category Tear	n & Margin Phil's Forecast:
2020 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's  Louisiana Tech14.5 21 16 42-91 14-28-119	2.21 vs.	Las Vegas Line	ech By 6.5 Last time here in '19, LTech entered off 6 straight wins while UTEP had lost 8 straight vs FBS foes.
UTEP - 54.5 17 14 27-60 18-39-206 -2	Projected Rushing LA Tech K		Game was tied 7-7 2Q then LT scored the last 21 pts of 1H. Bulldogs led 42-7 when UTEP added 2 garbage time TD's, Miners have lost 8 straight series meetings but they've held the vardage edge in 4
	Tech UTEP 174 yards 1.85 vs.	Las Vegas Total	garraage time 1 Ds. hinners have lost 8 straight series meetings but mey ve neid the yadraage edge in 4 of those including last year and 4 of the losses were by 7 pts or less. Last year LT had both starting OT's out and UTEP had 266-210 yd edge. LT led 14-7 H then 60/13 TD 11:47 left 21-10. UTEP 100 yd
Louisiana Tech20.5 42 29 34-152 29-36-338	Projected Passing UTEP K	36.	KR TD Lowe. UTEP DE Amawwhule 3.5 sk 4 pbu. Roughing the punter extended LT late drive and UTEP  22 vds last 3 drives. UTEP was 3-0 as double-digit underdoos vs CUSA foes last year (0-1 TY). LT is
ı	Tech UTEP 211 yards LA Tech Coaches	+/- Ratings	fresh off a bye while UTEP is playing a 4th straight week. LT has faced the #52 sked and UTEP just  ech By 4.0 #128. LT led Miss St by 20 in the 4Q and came up a point short. LT got past SEL then had SMU beat but
3	jected Yardage Total 1.70 vs.	Lati	allowed a Hail Mary tipped TD pass with :00 left. They jumped out to a 24-0 lead vs NTexas but only won by 7. NCSt was off their big upset of Clemson and I used LT on the Phil Steele Plus Tour (+20).
9	Tech UTEP 384 yards UTEP Coaches	Game Grade	NCSt was on their big Upset or Cleanson and Tased £1 on the Pini Steeler Pini Oil (*20.2).  NCSt went 57/7 in last :36 of half for 19 yd FG to lead 13-10. NCSt punted then 40/2 & 71/7 TD's to lead 27-13. Down 34-20 £1 75/9 TD 4:36 then 67/14 to 22 but int in EZ at :00. £1 had 480-418 yd edge.
F Control of the Cont	ojected Final Score  LA Tech Pts	La I	LT is 3 plays away from being 5-0! UTEP dominated NMSt in the opener then only beat Bethune by 14.  They were only out FD 21-20 by Boise but lost \$4-13. They had a 2H comeback to beat New Mexico 20-
	Tech UTEP 23 points 6.23 vs.	Computer Yards Utes	11 ney were only out the 21-20 by Boise but lost 54-13. They had a 24t comeback to beat New Mexico 20- 13 and then led ODU 20-7 3Q but after a block punt TD trailed 21-20 getting a TD with 6:59 left to win 28-21. Last week UTFP led 14-7 at half and then backup walk on OB Lange was sacked and fumbled
to	xperience Rankings UTEP Pts	Utep	and UTEP got a 53 yd FR TD to lead 21-7. SMiss had 32 runs for just 15 yds with 5 sacks allowed. SM was SOD at the 1 6:44 3Q and after a safety mid 4Q and UTEP FG SM trailed 26-7 and drove 75/7 for
	Toch LITER 30	Computer Points	TD 2:02 left in 26-13 home loss. UTEP's 5 wins have come against teams who have a combined 1 win vs an FBS foe this year!. LT is 17-11 as an AF and UTEP is 8-13 ATS as a HD.
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	m Schedule Strength	DED TO:	To division for diagram, El lo 27, 22 do divisi diagnoster is 0-15 ki 5 ds d lib.
	Tech UTEP 128	Phil Steele	ech by 14
UTEP - 56.5 7 22 35-122 25-34-293 -2			Louisiana Tech 34 UTEP 20
- 30.3 / 22 37122 25-34-293 -Z	By 1.51 Points		

																		OVE	RALL:	OVERALL:	T																				
								ord Ca		<u> </u>								3 vs. P	-3	3-3										ougar	'S										
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	FOE	TM : /	EOE .	, ,	AP		ardinal Lo	ogs			TE			RANK		FOE	VS. P.	-2	vs. PAC 12 2-2	TM REC FOE	TM	FO!	E 7M :	/- FOE+,		AP		Cougars					TEAM	PS R		FOE				
0-0 0-0	0-0		131			FOE	DATE 9/4	† Kansas S		SCORE 7-24	W/L L	OFF 58	DEF 67	<b>ST</b> 37	<b>OFF</b> 53	DEF 96	<b>ST</b> 19		NCAA RANKIN 2021 TEAM RANK			0-0		D 133			FOE	DATE 9/4	Utah S	FOE	23-2		OFF 42		ST 128	0FF 101	DEF ST 100 24				
0-1 1-0	0-0	0-0	126	135		14	9/11	at USC		42-28	W	54	67	117	11	18	39	Star		Wash St	0-1	0-0	)	128	3			9/11	Portlar		44-2	4 W	43	89	73						
1-1 1-1 2-1 2-1	1-0 1-0		131 131	111		24	9/18 9/25	at Vandert UCLA	oilt	41-23 24-35	W L	56 43	67 57	115 95		115 13	78 36	#116	Rush Off	#106	1-1 1-1 1-2 1-2	0-0 0-1		1 125				9/18	USC at Utah		14-4 13-2		58 77		30 52	14 53	19 45 33 102				
2-2 4-0	1-1	1-0	129	137		3	10/2	Oregon			W	45	55	90	26	28	39	#51	Pass Off	#44	1-3 1-3	0-2	2 0-:	1 121	128			10/2	at Califor		21-6				64	28	54 119				
3-2 4-1 3-3 3-3	2-1	2-0	132 131	137 129		22		at Arizona at Washing		10-28	L	43 55	49 45	82 80	19 86	31 47	20 60	<b>#99</b> #85	Total Off Score Off	#86 #94	2-3 4-1 3-3 3-3		2 2-0	0 126 2 129				10/9 10/16	Orego Stanfo		31-2	4 W	96 86		69 60	33 55	46 45 45 80				
2-3		1-1		130			10/23	Bye Washin	ata n						62	10	114	#80 #122	Sks Allowe Rush Def	#108 #90	5-1 5-1		0-0 3-0		126 138			10/23	BYU at Arizon	- Ch						54 23	72 41 26 28				
3-2		2-0		135			11/6	Utah							35	15	107	#24	Pass Def	#70								11/6	Bye												
4-2 1-4		2-1 0-2		130 123			11/13	at Oregon Californ							36 41	46 48		<b>#85</b> #76	Total Def Score Def	# <b>81</b> #68	4-1 0-5		1-: 0-2		134 111				at Oregoi Arizon							32 103	21 39 92 120				
5-1		0-0		137			11/27	Notre D							74	9	33	#79	Sks By	#102	2-3		1-		130				at Washir							62	10 114				
																		#105 <b>0</b>	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#94 +1																					
				Net			000			W.L.			Defense		Comp	Yds									Net			Offens		Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds				
Sco	re		Yds	FD	TO's	FD	Offens RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY		Att	Pass	Sks					Score		Yds		TO's		RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass		FD	RA-RY		Att	Yds Pass Sks				
† Kansas St at USC		7-24 42-28		-1 -6	-1 1	14 18	22-39 30-141		23-30 16-23		4	15 24	31-200 33-185		9-14 27-42		3	1			Utah St Portland St		23- 44-			1	20 27	26-149 33-159		20-35 21-32			25 24			23-36 28-50	219 0 318 2				
at Vanderbilt		41-23	24	-3			27-204		19-29	218	1	23	44-247	5.6				1			USC		14-	45 -16		-1	15	30-99		20-34	180	3		25-48	1.9						
UCLA		24-35		-13 2		11	22-67	3.0 3.5	19-32 20-36	293 230	2		51-204		18-29		3	1 7	<del>-</del>	õ	at Utah at California		13-			0 -1	22	37-70	1.9	25-38 25-42			19			13-23	137 1 152 4				
Oregon at Arizona St		31-24 10-28		-1	-2	23	35-124 20-13		27-45		5		54-228 44-255		14-26 14-23			1	CATUINA	Cougars	Oregon St		21 31-			-1	21 23	34-113 24-92	3.3	25-42 32-46			14 26	34-121 45-309		14-30 11-26					
at Washington St																			AVERAGE	ă	Stanford																				
Bye Washington																				ŭ	BYU at Arizona St																				
Utah																		1		St	Bye																				
at Oregon St California																		- 1	TEAM	rs.	at Oregon Arizona																				
Notre Dame																		1 ;	теам ау	Wash	at Washington	1																			
																		┪ `	"																						
Average		26-27	-56.0	-3.7	0.0	18	26-98	3.8	63.6%	254	7.2%	21	43-220	5.1	57 5%	188	6.3%				Average		24-	25 -25	8 -0.7	0.2	21	31-114	3.7	63.0%	260	7.5%	. 22	35-169	4.8	51.6%	231 4.2%				
Conference		27-29	-62.3	-4.5	0.0	18	27-86	3.2	60.3%	278	6.6%	23	46-218	4.8	60.8%	209	5.8%				Conferen	ice	20-	25 -29.	0 -0.5	-0.3	20	31-94	3.0	63.8%	262	8.1%	21	34-173	5.0	46.5%	212 5.5%				
Away		25-26	-45.3	-2.8	-0.3	18	25-99	4.0	66.9%	251	7.9%	21	38-222	5.8	57.1%	173	5.0%		Position by Position	n Edges	Home		28-	30 -45.	8 -3.5	0.5	21	28-125	4.4	63.3%	273	5.4%	25	36-170	4.8	51.9%	274 2.5%				
																		Star	ford	Wash St																					
																			Stanford QB/ vs.	1.41																					
																			Wash St DB																						
																			Stanford RB vs.	1.24																					
																			Wash St LB		1																				
																		1.03	Stanford O vs.	-																					
																			Wash St DI Stanford Di																						
																			Stanford Di vs.	0.22																					
																			Wash St OI Stanford LB																						
																		1.79	Stanford LB vs.	S																					
																			Wash St RB Stanford DB																						
																		1.56	vs.																						
Lact Ele	e Veare	Meati	nas (1 -	et ??	veare of	Philes	eele.con	n)										-	Wash St QB/		Catego	nrv		Tech	n & Margir							Phil's Fon	ecast.	_							
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush		-Att-Yds	TO's				Proje	ected	Box S	Score			0.19	vs.	-				rear	w margir							s ron									
Stanford	-	64.5	22	23	10-6	33-	50-504											1	Wash St ST	s	Las Vegas Line	е		Stani	ford By 1.	5	Stanfo	rd won a	series-hi	gh 8 straig	ght meet	ings ('08	8-'15) bi	ut has dr	opped th	e last 4	U & ATS. Las				
Washington St	-	-10	49	33	21-104	44-6	60-520	1				Pr	ojected	l Rushi	ing				Stanford K																		yds (33-50, 522 yds & 5				
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	s,	116	yards		nford		sh St	152	yards	0.50	vs.		Las Vegas Tota	al		51.	5 Points		td's as	Wazzou	scored th	e final 24	pts in a	49-22 vi	ctory (-	10). Both	n teams a	are playii	g a 7th				
Stanford	24	-2.5	38	27	23-120	34-4	43-323		tent				rojecteo	d Passi	ing				Wash St K								straigh but Da	it week v ivid Shaw	itn Stanf is 37-20	ord having SU on th	g a bye o e road. S	n deck. Stanford	wash Si was dor	is 25-7 ninated h	ວປ at ho y Kansa∙	me the l s St to o	ast 6 years en but then				
Washington St	14	54	41	24	19-59	40-	50-438	1	Con	219	yards	Star	nford	Wa	sh St	231	yards		Stanford Coac	hes	+/- Ratings			Wasi	St By 2.	5	domin	ated USC	on the re	oad. At 2-	1 Stanfo	rd lost to	UCLA b	but then i	upset On	egon. La					
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	e of			Proje	ected Ya	ardage	Total			1.50	vs.								ASU le	d 21-7 a	half. AS	U got an I	R TD ear	ly 3Q an	d Stanf	was SOD	twice ar	nd int at	26 with 5:05				
Stanford	18	55	21	9	27-93	9-2	0-105		able	335	yards	Star	nford	Wa	sh St	383	yards	1	Wash St Coac	hes	Game Grade			Stant	ford By 0.	3											out lost QB de beat Cal 21-6				
Washington St	25	-2	24	25	29-93	34-4	48-337	0	to T			Pro	jected I	Final S	core				Stanford Pt	s							and las	st week o	le Laura t	hrew 399	yds. Tra	iling 10-	3 Wash	St 68/11	1 but SOI	D at 7 th	en 3&G 4 int				
2016	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	urn	22 p	oints	Star	nford	Wa	sh St	21	points	6.58	vs.	2.87	Computer Yard	as		Wash	St 383-3	35											tie then got a left to lead 3				
Stanford	15	-6.5	16	16	26-61	21-	34-235		Retu			Exp	erience	e Rank	ings				Wash St Pt	s	Computer Poin	nte					24. 09	SU 75/13	4&10 inc	but pass	interf FD	4&19 p	ass for	18 yds ar	nd SOD a	at 5:33.	This one is a dog which ha				
Washington St	-	55.5	42	21	30-101	30-4	41-357	1		1	18	Star	nford	Wa	sh St		20	PC	SITIONAL EDGE AW	ARDED TO:	Computer Poin	ncs		Stan	ford 22-2	ı			SU in the		u -2.1 W	rılı give ti	ne siigh	.cat or lea	2115 LU [[]	ie iioiile	aog Willeli Na				
												Team	Sched	ule Str	rength				Stanford		Phil Steele																				
										:	3	Star	nford	Wa	sh St		40		Stanford		Priii Steele			Was	sh St by 1				14	/ashir	ato-	· C+ 1	24 6	tanf	rd 2	3					
																			By 3.72 Poi	nts									٧١	asiiif	igtor	. 3t 2	L+ 3	LaillO	. u 2.	,					

Hawaii Rainbow Warriors														ERALL: Nove de Welf De el.																									
						Haw				riors								3-	-3	4-1	Nevada Wolf Pack																		
	TM	FOF				AP	Rainbo	ow Warrio	ors Logs			TEA	AM	PS F	RANK	F	OE	vs. M		vs. MTW 1-0	F	OF	тм	FOF			A	P	W	olf Pac	k Logs				TEAM	PS	RANK	FOI	
0-0 0-0			122			FOE	DATE	at UCLA	OE	SCORE 10-44		OFF 90	DEF 57	ST	OFF 28	DEF 32	<b>ST</b> 82		NCAA RANKING	S			TM CONF C		TM +/- F		TM	FOE	DATE	at Califo	FOE		ORE W/L			ST	OFF 81	DEF 56	<b>ST</b> 107
0-1	0-0		118	3			9/4	Portlan		49-35	w	100	54	71				Has		Nevada	1-0		0-0		129				9/11	Idaho	St	49	9-10 W	36	72	26		56	
1-1 0-:	0-0		115 114				9/11 9/18	at Oregon San Jos		27-45 13-17	L L	99 87	74 73	69 67		83 54	94 90	#72	Rush Off	#122	2-0 1 2-1	-1	0-0	0-0	128 125	129			9/18 9/25	at Kansa Bye	s St	17	7-38 L	28 55		55 48	61	83	35
1-3 1-3	0-1	0-0	114	1 95	5	40	9/25	at New Me	exico St	41-21	w	76	69	70	126	126	52	#49 <b>#54</b>	Pass Off	#7	2-1 2	-2	0-0	1-0	125	131				at Boise			1-31 W	67	7 90	50		61	4 88
2-3 4-: 3-3	1-1		114 117	7		18	10/2 10/9	Fresno Bye	St	27-24	W	81 77	61 59	67 71		56	88	#74	Total Off Score Off	<b>#35</b> #21		-5 -3		0-0 1-1		98 117		#REF!	10/9	Hawai	Mexico St ii	55	5-28 W	70 67		39	115 77	125 59	71
3-3 4-3		1-0 0-0	117	7 12 99				at Nevada New Me				0	0	0	67 126	74 126	31 52	#80 #63	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#77 #41		-2		1-1 0-1		124 109				at Fresno UNLV							26 125	56 122	84 109
3-2	2	1-1		11 12	6			at Utah St	t						59 72	105 14	37 12	#118 <b>#103</b>	Pass Def Total Def	#97 <b>#65</b>	3	-3		1-1 1-0		113 126			11/6	San Jo at San D							113 72	67 14	56 12
0-5	5	0-1		10	9		11/13	San Die at UNLV							125	122	109	#99	Score Def	#67	5	-1		2-1		126			11/20	Air Fo	rce						70	63	125
2=3		1-0 0-1		12 12				Colorad at Wyomir							107 98	107 64	70 79	#42 #92	Sks By Ypg Diff	#4	2	-3		1-0		123			11/27	at Colora	ido St						107	107	70
																		+4	Total TO's	+4																			
				Ne			Offens	se	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds										Net			Offens	e	Com		/ds		Defense	,	Comp	Yds	
at UCLA	Score	10-4	Yds 4 -123	3 -4	-2	16	21-26	1.2	Att 28-53		Sks 2	20	<b>RA-RY</b> 43-244	5.7	Att 12-22	148	Sks 2				at California			22-17	Yds 43	<b>FD</b> 2	TO's	<b>FD</b> 19	26-61	2.3	Att 22-3	89 3	rass Sks		27-153	5.7	25-38	Pass 177	Sks 2
Portland St at Oregon St		49-3 27-4					48-268 29-88		18-25 24-49		4		27-77 42-256				2	1 8	<u></u>		Idaho St at Kansas S				303 -67	-8	-1		19-145 23-25		37-4 28-4		141 0 106 3		42-141 8-269				8
San Jose St		13-1	.7 78	1	1	16	37-127	3.4	18-39	242	0	15	26-56	2.2	23-51	235	2	ī		쏭	Bye																		
at New Mexico Fresno St	St	41-2 27-2		-1 7 -1			33-215 44-232		16-25 11-27		1		41-121 31-117				3		SHS	Pack	at Boise St New Mex	ico St			-17 80	-6 2	0		23-69	4.3 3.0	25-3 34-4		163 4 163 4		34-22 29-27				6
Bye at Nevada																				Wolf	Hawaii at Fresno S	+																	
New Mexico	St																	1	AVERAGES	š	UNLV																		
at Utah St San Diego S	t																			ф	San Jose at San Dieg																		
at UNLV Colorado St																			ТЕАМ	Nevada	Air Force at Colorado																		
at Wyoming																		3	2	ž	at colorado	31																	
																		::																					
Average Conference		28-3 20-2		8 -2. 5 -4.			35-159 41-180		52.8% 43.9%		6.4% 1.5%		35-145 29-87	4.1 3.0	54.7% 50.0%		5.7% 4.9%				Averag Confer			37-25 41-31	68.4 -17.0	-0.4 -6.0	0.8 3.0	21 18	24-86 30-130	3.6 4.3	69.5°		157 6.2% 163 10.59				64.7% 70.8%		11.8% 12.5%
Away	e	26-3	7 -45.	3 -2.	3 -0.7	21	28-110	4.0	53.5%	295	7.1%	23	42-207	4.9	62.2%	243	7.1%				Home	ence			191.5	5.0	1.0	27	21-107	5.1	77.2	% 4	52 4.3%	22	36-84	2.4	60.2%	284	
																		Has		Nevada																			
																			Hawaii QB/WR vs.	0.12																			
																			Nevada DB's Hawaii RB's																				
																		0.15	vs.																				
																			Nevada LB's Hawaii OL																				
																			vs. Nevada DL	0.35																			
																		0.66	Hawaii DL																				
																		0.66	vs. Nevada OL																				
																		1.82	Hawaii LB'S																				
																			Nevada RB's																				
																		1	Hawaii DB's vs.	0.22																			
Last	Five Year	s Meet	ings (L	Last 22	2 years a	t PhilSt	eele.con	n)										-	Nevada QB/WR Hawaii ST's	1	Cate	egory			Team & F	1argin				_			Phil's For	ecast:					
2020	Rank	Line	Scon	e FC	) Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's				Proje	ected	Box S	Score				vs.	2.04	Las Vegas L	ine																	
Hawaii	-	61.5	24	25	36-11	9 26-	32-246												Nevada ST's		Lus VeydS L	e			Nevada B	y 14.5		From '	11-'18, N	levada w	as 6-1 St	J/ATS a	gainst Haw	aii, wi	nning all 6	games	by 10+	ots. Howe	ver,
Nevada		-7	21	23	36-20	8 20-2	25-168	0				Pr	ojected	l Rushi	ng				Hawaii K		Las Vegas T	otal						their u	nbeaten	season.	Last year	Hawaii	e Rainbow QB Chevar	n Corde	eiro hit 26	-32 for 2	246 yds.	Nevada le	ed 7-3
2019	Rank	Line	Scon	e FC	) Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's		126	yards	Hav	waii	Nev	/ada	90 y	yards	0.40	vs.		Las vegas T	otal			61.5 Pc	ints		but UH	led 10-7	7 at half	and went	99/10	for a TD to by drive inc	open t	the 3Q and	d led 24	-14 whe	n Nevada	got a
Hawaii	-	64.5	54	26	27-13	9 31-	36-373		ents			Pr	rojected	d Passi	ng			1	Nevada K		+/- Ratings							out clo	ck. Neva	da is 7-2	ATS und	er HC N	lorvell as a	MW ho	ome favori	te while	Hawaii i	s just 1-7	' ATS
Nevada	-	-2.5	3	16	36-9	3 18-	31-105	-3	Cont	233	yards	Hav	waii	Nev	/ada	382	yards		Hawaii Coaches	s	+/- Katings			N	Nevada B	y 14.5		Kansas	St, they	/ knocke	d off Boise	e St on	opened wit the road to	start	MW play. L	ast wee	k NMex	St had a 5	5-2 FD
2018	Rank	Line	Scon	e FC	) Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	e of			Proje	ected Ya	ardage	Total			1	vs.	0.60	Gama Grad												1Q, Nevado threw an						
Hawaii	-	-3	22	16	35-11	2 19-	38-259		Fable	359	yards	Hav	waii	Nev	/ada	472	yards	L	Nevada Coache	s	Game Grade	_		N	Nevada B	y 15.0		not pla	y in 4Q a	as Nevad	a led 52-3	3 after	3Q with NM ss. Hawaii l	1ST QB	Jonah Joh	nnson hi	tting 38	-62-425 v	with a
Nevada	-	67	40	25	36-22	0 23-	31-261	-3	Loju			Pro	jected F	Final S	core				Hawaii Pts		Computer Y	and-						of punt	mistake	es costing	them vs	UCLA,	they gave	up a la	te TD to C	regon S	t and or	tgained S	San
2017	Rank	Line	Scon	e FC	) Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's	stur	21 p	oints	Hav	waii	Nev	/ada	37 p	ooints	3.03	vs.	3.34	Computer Y	arus		N	levada 4	72-359		powerf	ul Fresno	St at he	ome. They	y are fr	that same esh off a by	e Ther	re have be	en 5 str	aight ou	right ups	ets in
Hawaii	-	-3.5	21	20	35-24	8 18-2	27-229		ž			Exp	erience	e Rank	ings				Nevada Pts		Computer P	ninte											:he total 8 :arted bkp (						
Nevada		62	35	26	38-26	8 26-	34-298	1			9	Hav	waii	Nev	/ada		7	PO	SITIONAL EDGE AWAR	RDED TO:	Computer P	JIIICS			Nevada :	37-21					this weel								
2016	Rank	Line	Scon	e FC	) Rush	Comp	-Att-Yds	TO's				Team	Sched	ule Str	ength				Nevada		Phil Steele																		
Hawaii	-	57.5	38	24	40-34	4 15-	18-222			7	76	Hav	waii	Nev	/ada	8	86		Nevada		riii Steele				Nevada	by 16					NI.	0V2-	da 37	Нач	vali 2	1			
Nevada	-	-3.5	17	23	42-19	4 19-	33-203	1											By 0.31 Point	ts											140	evac	ua 3/	пач	7411 Z	_			

	Coastal Carolina Chanticleers 6-0 4-2																																				
						ΔP	Cha	nticleers	Logs		-	TFAM	ne	RANK	FO		vs. S 2-		vs. SUN 1-1						ΔΡ		Mou	ıntainee	rs Logs			TF		PS RAN		FOE	
TM REC FOE REC	TM CONF	CONF	TM +/-	FOE +	тм ′	FOE	DATE	FC	DE	SCORE	W/L	OFF DE	st st	OFF	DEF	ST		NCAA RANKING	S	TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/-	FOE +/-	TM	FOE	DATE		FOE	SCORE	E W/L	OFF	DEF			DEF ST	
0-0 1-0 1-0	0-0	0-0	127 129	108	22 17		9/4 9/11	The Cita Kansas	del	52-14 49-22	W	35 40 17 50			116	41		2021 TEAM RANKI	NGS	0-0 0-0 1-0 0-1	0-0	0-0		128 131		22		† East Ca at Miami,		33-19 23-25		37 26	25 22	28 29		95 44 27 27	
2-0 1-1	0-0		129	116			- 7	at Buffalo		28-25	W	9 7			103	36	Coastal C		Appalachian St	1-0 0-1	0-0	0-0	128	131		22	9/11	Elon	п	44-10		40	20	23	0	21 21	
3-0 0-3 4-0 2-1	0-0		128 130	96	17 16		9/25 10/2	UMass ULM		53-3 59-6	W	12 9°			130 117	119	#4 #24	Rush Off Pass Off	#45 #46	2-1 2-1	0-0		130	116 116			9/25 10/2	Marsha		31-30 45-16		40	41 47			74 59 112 121	
4-0 2-1 5-0 1-4	1-0	1-0 0-1	130	106				at Arkansa	s St	59-6		11 8 5 8			11/	106 46	#24	Total Off	#45		0-0 1-0		127 131	116				at Georgi at Louisia		45-16 13-41		32 30	44	23		112 121 96 5	
6-0	2-0		134		15		10/16	Bye				3 6	23				#2	Score Off	#47	4-2	1-1		126				10/16	Bye				40	55	32			
4-2 3-3		1-1 1-1		126 118			10/23	at Appalaci Trov	nian St					40 110	55 53	32 112	#8	Sks Allowed Rush Def	#21 #58	6-0 2-3		2-0 1-2		134 92			10/23	ULM	l Carolina						130	60 23 127 121	
2-4		1-2		126				at GA Sout						101	111	54	#4	Pass Def	#86	1-5		0-2		105				at Arkans								129 34	
2-4 2-3		1-1		116 105			11/13	Georgia Texas S						91 114	118 110	117 99	<b>#10</b> #6	Total Def Score Def	# <b>70</b> #58	3-2 3-3		0-2 1-1		107 118			11/13 11/20		Alabama						99 110	69 98 53 112	
3-2		0-2		107				at South A						99	69	98	#24	Sks By	#24	2-4		1-2		115			11/27	GA So	uthern							111 54	
																	#1 O	Ypg Diff Total TO's	#52 -3																		
Score			Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD RA-	nse RY YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks				So	ore		Yds	Net FD	TO's	FD	Offense RA-RY	YPC	Comp	Yds Pass	Sks	FD	Defense RA-RY		Comp	Yds Pass Sks	
The Citadel		52-14	373	12	0	28	32-262	8.2	20-24	348	1	16 55-2	23 4.1	3-6	14	1	Ų	·	S	† East Carolina		33-19	89	5	1	25	36-226	6.3	20-27	259	1	20	24-96	4.0 2	2-41	300 4	
Kansas at Buffalo		49-22 28-25	50	11	-1	27 21	40-215 42-212	5.4	17-21 13-19	245 232	3	16 38-: 20 47-2	72 4.5 62 5.6		238 146	6	9		9	at Miami, FI Elon		23-25 44-10	-49 237	-6 10	-1 0		39-127 38-187	3.3 4.9	21-34 22-29			25 14	43-175 27-66		20-33	200 2 225 3	
UMass		53-3	400	17	0	30	45-312	6.9	15-25	246	0	13 24-	28 1.2			3	-		<u>=</u> .	Marshall		31-30	169	19	-2	39	54-283	5.2	24-39	283	1		28-127	4.5 1	8-33	270 2	
ULM at Arkansas St		59-6 52-20	354 412	16 7	0		42-275 36-294	6.5 8.2	21-24	282 391	0	10 31- 16 17-				2	Chanticleers	S	Mountaineers	at Georgia St		45-16 13-41	122	2 -6	2 -3	23 16	40-163 29-76	4.1 2.6	22-32 15-27			21	40-134 44-246			246 4 209 1	
Bye		52-20	412		1	23	36-294	8.2	22-27	391	0	16 1/-	18 1.1	20-41	255	4	غ څ	AGE	5	at Louisiana Bye		13-41	-244	-6	-3	16	29-76	2.6	15-27	135	2	22	44-246	5.6 1	.5-25	209 1	
at Appalachian St																			Σ	Coastal Carol	lina																
Troy at GA Southern			-								-						Carolina	≩	St	ULM at Arkansas St																	
Georgia St																	5	ЕАМ	ᇤ	South Alaban	na																
Texas St at South Alabama																	, C	3   2	Ē	at Troy GA Southern																	
																	t r		<u>8</u>																		
																	Ü		Appalachian													1					
Average			270.8				40-262		77.1%		3.6%	15 35-					Š	3	₽	Average		32-24		4.0	-0.5		39-177	4.5	66.0%							242 8.2%	
Conference Away		56-13	383.0				39-285 39-253	7.3 6.5	84.3% 76.1%	337 312	0.0% 6.5%	13 24- 18 32-:	45 1.9 40 4.4	50.8%		9.2%				Conference	!	29-29 38-20	-61.0 203.0	-2.0 14.5	-0.5 -1.0	20 32	35-120 46-235	3.5 5.1	62.7%	237	5.1%	17	42-190 28-97	4.5 5 3.5 6		228 8.1% 248 8.5%	
																	Coastal C	Position by Position E arolina A Coastal Carolina QB vs.	ppalachian St																		
																	1.25	Appalachian St D Coastal Carolina F vs.	RB's																		
																	1.45	Appalachian St L Coastal Carolina vs.																			
																		Appalachian St I Coastal Carolina																			
																	0.11	Appalachian St ( Coastal Carolina L	DL																		
																	0.11	vs. Appalachian St R Coastal Carolina D																			
																	0.21	vs. Appalachian St QB	/WP																		
Last Five	Years	Mee ti	ngs (La	st 22	vears at	PhilSte	ele.com	1).						_				Coastal Carolina		Category			Team &	Margin							Phil's Fore	cast:					
2020	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's				Projecte	a Box	Score			3.92	vs.		Las Vegas Line						App St i	is out for	revence	after losin	g last vea	ar at Coa	stal. Prin	to '20's I	oss App S	t was 3-	0 SU/2-1 ATS	
Coastal Carolina	15	-4.5	34	16	34-169	12-2	1-200											Appalachian St S	T's	veyas Line			N/	'Α		vs Coas	tal as SB	C foes. A	pp St was	used to b	oig game:	and led	Coastal 1	7-9 at the	half wit	h a 266-134	
Appalachian St	-	48.5	23	22	46-204	17-30	0-211	-2				Projec	ted Rush	ning				Coastal Carolina	K							St lead	to 17-15,	then after	er a FG by	App St Co	oastal we	ent 65 yd	s in 10 pla	ays to go	up 21-20	o cut the App . App St	
2019	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	ts	172 y	ards C	oastal Caro	ina Appal	achian S	182 y	ards	1.00	vs.		Las Vegas Total			N/	Ά		would b and the	riefly reg n got a pi	ain the le	ad 23-21 t App St QB	but Coast Thomas t	al would to go up	score the	go-ahea & Coasta	d 4Q TD v Il would w	rith unde in 34-23	r 3 min left despite	
Coastal Carolina	-	58.5	37	21	35-153	20-3	1-240		ten			Proje	ted Pass	sing				Appalachian St	к	. / P-/:						getting	outgained	369-415		). CCU is	3-1 ATS	since '19	off a sch	eduled by	e but Ap	p St is 24-4	
Appalachian St	-	-16	56	20	40-184	19-2	4-246	0	Con	222 y	ards C	oastal Caro	ina Appal	achian S	214 y	ards		Coastal Carolina Coa	ches	+/- Ratings		Coa	astal Card	olina By 4	4.0	experie	nce of pla	aying in n	neaningful	conferen	ce games	s. Coasta	I is 6-0 ar	nd 5-1 ATS	5 with th	eir only ATS	
2018	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	e of (			Projecte	l Yardag	e Total			1.50	vs.								2nd wea	akest sch	edule in t	he country	with App	St by FA	AR the be	st team t	hey have	faced. D	played the espite being	
Coastal Carolina	-	54.5	7	11	26-72	14-26	6-106		able	393 )	ards C	oastal Caro	ina Appal	achian S	396 y	ards		Appalachian St Coa	iches	Game Grade		Coa	astal Card	olina By (	0.1	with Re	ese White	rushing	for 455 (7.	.7) and SI	hermari :	lones 40	5 (9.0). A	pp St oper	ned 4-1	14-1 ratio with a close	
Appalachian St	-	-14.5	23	21	56-278	9-19	9-127	-1	to 1			Project	d Final S	Score				Coastal Carolina	Pts			•				loss at I Tuesday	Miami and they mu	d while thust have I	ey only be been lookir	at Marsha ng ahear	all by 1 th to this as	ney had a a motiv	566-397 ated home	yd edge. e dog of L	Last we ouisiana	ek on a had a 455-	
2017	Rank	Line	Score	FD	Rush	Comp-	Att-Yds	TO's	urn	32 p	oints C	oastal Caro	ina Appal	achian S	t 24 pc	oints	10.03	vs.	0.47	Computer Yards		Арр	palachian	St 396-3	393	211 yd	and 4-1 T	O edge a	nd rolled t	the Mount	taineers 4	11-13. Ap	p St's Le	gion of Bo	one mak	es it tough st game of	
Coastal Carolina	-	54.5	29	18	30-102	17-29	9-332		Retu			Experie	nce Rani	kings				Appalachian St F	Pts	Computer Points	. —					the yea	r last wee	ek and thi	is should b	e App's b	est game	and the	first time	all year	Coastal f	aces an now App with	
Appalachian St		-23.5	37	27	35-122	23-39	9-427	2		1	7 C	oastal Caro	ina Appal	achian S	t 48	В	POS	SITIONAL EDGE AWAI	RDED TO:	Computer Points		Coa	astal Car	olina 32-	24	a 396-3										ow App with the about for	
												Team Sci	edule St	trength				Coastal Caroli		Phil Steele						a year.											
										17	29 C	Coastal Caro	ina Appal	achian S	6	1		Coasidi Caroli	nd .	ran steele		A	ppalachia	an St by	1			nna	achi-	n St	21 0	020	al Car	-01:	. 20		
																		By 9.56 Point	ts								P	чрраі	achia	III 3t	31 (	vast	ai Ca	olina	1 30		