## Phil Steele Plus Inside the Pressbox

WEEK 1A

Sat	urday, August 28th		
	NEBŔASKĂ	Upset	
	1:00 p.m.	POW	
<u> 300</u>	★ ILLINOIS		
301	HAWAII		
	3:30 p.m.		
<u> 302</u>	UCLA		
303	UTEP		
	9:30 p.m.		
<u> 304</u>	NEW MEXICO ST		
305	CONNECTICUT		
	2:00 p.m.		
306	FRESNO ST		
	SOUTHERN UTAH		
	10:00 p.m.		
	SAN JOSE ST		
	<b>EASTERN ILLINOIS</b>		
	6:00 p.m.		
	INDIANA ST		
	ALCORN ST		
	7:00 p.m.		
	NC CENTRAL		

## Phil Steele's SPECIAL PLAYS OF THE WEEK HIDSET DI AV OF THE WEEK

\*this is a Best Bet

HIGH SCORING POW
No Selection this week

I						Nebr	aska Co	rnhus	kers						0	VERALL: 0-0	OVERALL 0-0	:					Illin	ois Fighti	ing Illi	ini						
							Cornhuske	rs Logs							vs	s. BIG 10	vs. BIG 10							Fighting Illin	i Logs							
TM REC FOE	TM CONF	FOE CONF	TM +/- FO	E +/-	AP						TEAM	PS R		FOE		0-0	0-0	TM REC FOR	E TM	FOE CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	AP	_					TEAM		RANK	FC	
0-0	0-0		130 1	.24	TM I		TE F	OE	SCORE W		OFF DEF 65 54	ST 99	OFF 66	DEF S		NCAA RAN 2020 TEAM RA		0-0 0-0			124 130	TM FO	8/28	† Nebraska	SCOR	RE W/L	L OFF		<b>ST</b> 49	<b>OFF</b> 65	DEF 54	<b>ST</b> 99
0.0	0.0			86		9/4	Fordha				05 5.		- 00			Nebraska	Illinois	0-0		0-0	124		9/4	UTSA						70	59	34
0-0		0-0		.15		9/1							116	104 11	12			0-0		0-0	129		9/11	at Virginia						95	79	119
0-0		0-0		.49			at Oklaho						1	3 2						0-0	121		9/18	Maryland						61	77	89
0-0 0-0		0-0		.24		10/2	5 at Michiga 2 Northw						78 89		4 #6	04 Pass C				0-0 0-0	127 108		9/25 10/2	at Purdue Charlotte						48 121	71 113	101 103
0-0		0-0		.32		10/2							41		0 #1					0-0	142		10/2	Wisconsin						23	16	83
0-0		0-0		.34			16 at Minnes						27	98 6									10/16	Bye								
						10/2									#6					0-0	142			at Penn St						18	12	9
0-0 0-0		0-0 0-0		.27		10/3							48 4	71 10 15 5						0-0	128 134		10/30 11/6	Rutgers at Minnesota						99 27	49 98	5 61
0-0		0-0		.45		11/		t					4	15 5	#5				,	0-0	134		11/13	Bve 8						21	98	61
0-0		0-0	1	.42		11/2	20 at Wiscon	isin					23	16 8	3 #9	92 Sks B	/ #6	55 0-0	)	0-0	143		11/20	at Iowa						64	22	4
0-0		0-0	1	.43		11/2	26 Iowa						64	22 4					)	0-0	132		11/27	Northwester	'n					89	53	74
															-1	1 Total T	)'s +	6														
			,	let		0	ffense	Comp	Yds		Defense	e	Comp	Yds							Net		Offense	Con	np Yds	s		Defens	se	Comp	Yds	
s	icore		Yds	FD	TO's	FD RA-	RY YPC	Att	Pass S	Sks	FD RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass Si	cs				Score		Yds FD	TO's FI	D RA-RY	YPC At	t Pas	s Sks	s FD	RA-R1	Y YPC	Att	Pass	Sks
† Illinois																		† Nebraska														
Fordham Buffalo																Ņ		UTSA at Virginia														
at Oklahoma																ā	2.	Maryland														
at Michigan St																8 6	II ii	at Purdue														
Northwester	'n															ם אַ	6	Charlotte														
Michigan																<u> </u>	<u>۾</u>	Wisconsin														
at Minnesota Bve															_	Cornhuskers	Fighting	Bye at Penn St														
Purdue																	<u> </u>	Rutgers														
Ohio St																s	, <u>"</u>	at Minnesota														
Bye																Nebraska TEAM	Illinois	Bye														
at Wisconsin																٠	ء. ا	at Iowa Northwest														
Iowa																<u> </u>	≣	Northwest	ern													
																2																
Average		0-0				0 0-		0.0%			0 0-0		0.0%	0 0.0				Average			0.0 0.0	0.0		0.0 0.0				0-0		0.0%	0	0.0%
Conference	ce	0-0 0-0				0 0-		0.0%	0 0.	.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	0 0.0	10%														0.0	0.0%		
Away													0.00/					Confere	nce	0-0		0.0		0.0 0.0				0-0			0	0.0%
		0-0		0.0	0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%	0 0.0		Position by Posi	tion Edges	Home	nce	0-0		0.0 0		0.0 0.0				0-0		0.0%	0	0.0%
		0-0		J.U	0.0	0 0-	-0 0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		1%	lebraska	Illinois		nce													
		0-0		J.U	0.0	0 0-	-0 0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		)%	Nebraska (	Illinois		nce													
		0-0		J.U	0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		1%	Nebraska Q	Illinois B/WR		nce													
		0-01		J.U	0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		)%	Nebraska Q  10 vs.  Illinois E	Illinois B/WR B's		nce													
		0.0		J.U	0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		)%	Nebraska (10 vs. Illinois D	Illinois B/WR B's		nce													
		0 0		5.0	0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		N 1.1	Nebraska (10 vs. Illinois D	Illinois B/WR B's RB's		nce													
		0.01		5.0	0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		N 1.1	Nebraska (10 vs. Illinois E Nebraska (16 vs.	B/WR B's RB's	Home	nce													
		0.01		5.0	0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		N 1.1	Nebraska Nebraska ( Vs. Illinois E Nebraska Nebraska Nebraska Vs. Vs. Vs.	Illinois B/WR B's RB's B's OL 0.3	Home	nce													
		3 31		5.0	0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		N 1.1	Nebraska  Nebraska Ç  Illinois E  Nebraska Ç  Illinois I  Nebraska Ç  Illinois I  Nebraska	Illinois B/WR B's RB's B's OL O.:	Home	nce													
		3 01		5.0	0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		N 1.1	lebraska  Nebraska ( vs. Illinois E Nebraska 16 vs. Illinois L Nebrask vs. Illinois L Nebrask	Illinois B/WR B's RB's B's OL O.:	Home	nce													
		3 01		5.0	0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		N 1.1	Nebraska Nebraska S. Illinois I Nebraska Nebraska Nebraska Illinois I Nebraska Vs. Illinois I Nebrask	Illinois B/WR B's RB's OL OL OL OL	Home	nce													
		3 01			0.0	0 0-	0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		1.1 0.1	lebraska  Nebraska ( Vs. Illinois E Nebraska  Nebraska  Nebraska  Nebrask  Nebrask  Nebrask  Vs. Illinois I Nebrask  Vs. Illinois Nebrask  Vs. Vs. Illinois	Illinois B/WR B's RB's OL OL OL OL	Home	nce													
		3 01			0.0	0 0-	0 0.0	0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		N 1.1	lebraska  Nebraska ( Vs. Illinois E Nebraska  Nebraska  Nebraska  Nebrask  Nebrask  Nebrask  Vs. Illinois I Nebrask  Vs. Illinois Nebrask  Vs. Vs. Illinois	Illinois B/WR B's RB's B's OL OL DL DL LB'S	Home	nce													
		3 01		5.0	0.0	0 0-	.0 0.0	0.0%	0		0-0		0.0%		0.1 0.1	lebraska Nebraska ( 10 10 Nebraska ( 11linois I Nebraska ( 15 Nebraska ( 18 Nebraska (	Illinois B/WR B's RB's RB's OL O.: DL DL LB'S B's	Home	nce													
		3 01		5.0	0.0	0 0-	.0 0.0	0.0%	0		0-0		0.0%		1.1 0.1	Nebraska Nebraska ( Ne	Illinois B/WR B's B's B's OL OL LB'S B's B's B's B's B's	Home	nce													
	iive Vo							0.0%	0		0 0-0		0.0%		0.1 0.1	Nebraska	Illinois B/WR B's B's RB's OL OL OL UDL OL B'S B's COL OL OL O. OL	Home Home		0-0	0.0 0.0					0.09	% 0					
<u>Last F</u>	<u>Five Year</u> :	s Meetir	ngs (Last	22 ye	ears at P		e.com)	0.0%	0		0 0-0	0.0			0.1 0.1	Nebraska Nebraska ( Ne	Illinois B/WR B's RB's RB's 1 OL 0.: DL tiDL 0.: DL UB'S B's COL 0.: DL VB COL	Home  30  Categorium	ioty	0-0						0.09						
		s Meetin	ngs (Last	. <u>22 ус</u> FD	<u>ears at P</u>	PhilSteel	<u>e.com)</u> ts 10's	0.0%	0			0.0			0.1 0.1	Mebraska  Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (     Nebraska (     Nebraska (     Nebraska (     Nebraska (      Nebraska (      Nebraska (        Nebraska (          Nebraska (	Illinois B/WR B's B's B's OL O.: OL B'S B's B's CH OX	Home  30  Category	ioty	0-0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0-0	0.0 0.0	% 0	0.09	iii's Forecast	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%
2020		s Meetin	ngs (Last Score 23	. <b>22 ye</b> FD 25 4	<u>ears at P</u>	PhilSteelc	8.com) 5 TO's		0		Projected	0.0	core		0.1 0.1	Mebraska  Nebraska ( vs. Illinois E Nebraska 16 vs. Illinois I Nebraska Nebraska Vs. Illinois Nebraska Vs. Illinois R Nebraska 10 vs. Illinois R Nebraska 10 vs. Illinois R Nebraska 40 vs. Illinois QE Nebraska Vs. Illinois QE Nebraska Vs.	Illinois B/WR B/S B/S B/S COL	Home  30  38  Catego Las Vegas Lin	ijory se	0-0	Team & Margin	0.0 0	year, Illinois	got their first w	vin at Nebı	0.09	ill's Forecast	0-0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>2020</b> Nebraska Illinois		<u>S Meetir</u> Line -16.5 60	ngs (Last Score	<b>22 ye</b> FD 225 4	Rush C 44-215 52-285	PhilSteel: Comp-Att-Y 18-30-17:	<b>e.com)</b> ds TO's 5 5	0.0%		Р	Projected	0.0	core	0 0.0	0.1 0.4	Mebraska  Nebraska (   Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (  Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (     Nebraska (     Nebraska (     Nebraska (     Nebraska (      Nebra	Illinois B/WR B/S B/S B/S COL	Home  30  Categorium	ijory se	0-0	Team & Margin Nebraska By 7	Last incluing	year, Illinois ding fumble	got their first w	vin at Nebrinois led 21	0.09 Phi oraska sinc 8-10 H (2	iil's Forecast	enge led a	o win in 193	0.0%	0 0 sika had 5 T 10 years.	0.0%
2020 Nebraska		<u>S Meetir</u> Line -16.5 60	ngs (Last Score 23 41 Score	22 ye FD 25 4 5 5	ears at P Rush C 44-215 52-285 Rush C	PhilSteel Comp-Att-Yc 18-30-17: 18-25-20:	e.com)  45 TO's  7  5 5  45 TO's		236 yard	Р	<b>Projected</b> Projecte Nebraska	0.0	<b>Score</b>		0.1 0.4	Mebraska  Nebraska (   Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (  Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (     Nebraska (     Nebraska (     Nebraska (     Nebraska (      Nebra	Jilinois B/WR B/S	Home  30  38  Categ Las Vegas To	ijory se	0-0	Team & Margin	Last included led 4 gam	year, Illinois ding fumble 1-17 with N	got their first w on first play. Illi ebraska going 5 the proop good to	vin at Nebzinois led 214/07 for) Tut	Phi eraska sinc 8-10 H (2 D wilth g UI	ill's Forecast the Red Gray 90-203 yı 11 (690-279)	enge led a d) their m e last tim and out-	a win in 192 nost conf p ie here (19 FFD them 3	24. Nebras ts in 1H in 3) when Nu 12-14 in Nu	ska had 5 T 10 years. J won thei	0.0%  TO's Illinois r 900th d win (-)
2020 Nebraska Illinois 2019		s Meetin Line -16.5 6.0 Line	ngs (Last Score 23 41 Score 42	22 ye FD 25 4 25 5 FD	ears at P Rush C 44-215 52-285 Rush C	PhilSteels Comp-Att-Y: 18-30-17; 18-25-20 Comp-Att-Y:	2.com) ds TO's 7 5 5 ds TO's	Contents		P	<b>Projected</b> Projecte Nebraska	0.0	score	0 0.0	0.11 0.4	Nebraska	Illinois B/WR B/WR B/WR B/B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B	Home  30  38  Catego Las Vegas Lin	ijory se	0-0	Team & Margin Nebraska By 7	Last included 4 gamma 13).	year, Illinois ding fumble 1-17 with N e (just the 6	got their first woon first play. Illi berbraska going 5 th program to d	vin at Nebinois led 28:4/7 for TE lo so) outg	Phi oraska since 8-10 H (2:0 20 with 2:1 gaining UI h 17 retul	tee Red Grape 190-203 yı 11 left. The 1690-299 rning start	enge led a d) their m e last tim and out- ters inclu	a win in 19; nost conf p ie here (1); FD them 3 ding QB Ad	24. Nebras tts in 1H in 9) when NL 12-14 in a 4	o 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.0%  O.0%  Illinois r 900th d win (-did win (
2020 Nebraska Illinois 2019 Nebraska		S Meetir Line -16.5 60 Line -13 62.5	ngs (Last Score 23 41 Score 42 38	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Rush (44-215 52-285 Rush (38-215)	PhilSteels Comp-Att-Y 18-30-17; 18-25-20; Comp-Att-Y 22-34-328	e.com) ds T0's 5 5 ds T0's 7 5 3 3		236 yard	P P	Projected Projecte Nebraska Projecte	0.0  I Box S  Rushin Illined Passin	icore	0 0.0	0.11 0.4	Rebraska  Rebraska (  Rebraska (  Rebraska (   Rebraska (  Nebraska (  Illinois (  Illinois (  Illinois (  Illinois (  Nebraska (  Illinois (  Nebraska (  Illinois (  Nebraska (  Illinois (  Illinoi	Illinois B/WR B/WR B/WR B/B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B	Home  30  Category Las Vegas Lin Las Vegas To +/- Ratings	ijory se	0-0	Team & Margin Nebraska By 7	Last included 4 gamma 13).	year, Illinois ding fumble 1-17 with N c (Just the 6	got their first w on first play. Illi ebraska going 5 frosts best tea frosts best tear be	win at Nebsinois led 24:64 76 of Outcome of the Control of the Con	Phi oraska since 8-10 H (2 0 gainting: UI bit 17 retur as an AF	ee Red Gray 190-203 y 11 left. The 1690-299 rning start under Fro	o-0  o-0  o-0  d) their n  e last time  and out- ters inclu- stst. The H	a win in 192 nost conf p ie here (19 FFD them 3 ding QB Ad	24. Nebras ts in 1H in 9) when NL 22-14 in a 4 drian Martin y have Fo	o 0 1 10 years. J Won their 42-31 they colored the order to the order they colored they	0.0%
2020 Nebraska Illinois 2019 Nebraska Illinois	Rank - - Rank -	S Meetir Line -16.5 60 Line -13 62.5	ngs (Last Score 23 41 Score 42 38 Score	22 yes FD 55 FD 32 6 32 6 314 3	Rush C 44-215 52-285 Rush C 64-446 Rush C 78-88-221 Rush C 78-88-288-288-288-288-288-288-288-288-28	PhilSteels Comp-Att-Y: 18-30-17; 18-25-20; Comp-Att-Y: 22-34-32; 9-24-78	e.com) ds T0's 5 5 ds T0's 3 ds T0's	Contents	236 yard	<b>P</b>	Projected Projecte Nebraska Projecte Nebraska	0.0  I Box S  Rushin Illined Passin	ng nois nois nois nois nois nois	0 0.0	0.1 0.1 0.4	Rebraska  Rebraska (  Rebraska (  Rebraska (   Rebraska (  Nebraska (  Illinois (  Illinois (  Illinois (  Illinois (  Nebraska (  Illinois (  Nebraska (  Illinois (  Nebraska (  Illinois (  Illinoi	Illinois B/WR B/S	Home  30  38  Categ Las Vegas To	ijory se	0-0	Team & Margin Nebraska By 7	Last included 4 gamma 13), and illinea	year, Illinois ding fumble 1-17 with N e (just the 6 This is Scott cover at Rut <sub>i</sub> is has a new	got their first woon first play. Illi berbraska going 5 th program to d	vin at Nebi inois led 28 4/7 for TE lo so) outg m yet with that e 2-3 and Bret 1	Phi eraska since 8-10 H (2:1 9 with 2:1 9 h 17 retur as an AF Bielema n F	e Red Graz 200-203 yı 11 left. This 690-299 rning start under Fro	unge led a d d) their nr le last time ters incluiters incluits. The H	a win in 19; nost conf p ee here (19; FD them 3 ding QB Ad luskers only	24. Nebras sts in 1H in 19) when NU 12-14 in a 4 inan Martin y have Fon coessful ru	ika had 5 T 10 years. 10 won thei 42-38 roac edham on c n at Wiscon	0.0% Illinois r 900th 4 win (- did win deck.
2020 Nebraska Illinois 2019 Nebraska Illinois	Rank - - Rank -	:s Meetir Line Line, 560 Line -13 62.5 Line	1gs (Last Score 23 41 5Score 42 38 38 5Core 54	- 22 yes FP - 25 4 - 4 - 55 - 55 - 65 - 65 - 65 - 65 - 65 - 65	Rush C 44-215 52-285 Rush C 64-446 Rush C 78-88-221 Rush C 78-88-288-288-288-288-288-288-288-288-28	PhilSteels Comp-Att-Y: 18-25-20 Comp-Att-Y: 22-34-32 9-24-78 Scomp-Att-Y:	e.com). ds TO's ds TO's ds TO's ds TO's	Table of Contents	236 yard 198 yard	<b>P</b>	Projected  Projecte Nebraska Projecte Nebraska Projected N	I Box Si Illin ed Passin Illin Yardage I	icore  g nois g Total nois	207 yard:	0.1 0.1 0.4	Mebraska  Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (    Nebraska (     Nebraska (      Nebraska (      Nebraska (        Nebraska (         Nebraska (	Illinois B/WR B/WR B/WR B/B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B	Home  Home  Category  Las Vegas Lin  Las Vegas To  +/- Ratings  Game Grade	jory ie tal	0-0	Team & Margin Nebraska By 7 55 Points	Last included led 4 garmand 13), and dillincincinher and finder an	year, Illinois ding fumble 1:1:7 with N e (just the 6 This is Scott cover at Rut; is has a new rits a top not	got their first won first play. Illiebraska going 5 th program to depress the gers last year busheriff in town ch OLine and I deben fortilia	vin at Nebinois led 24:4/7 for TE lo so you with that are 2-3 and Bret 18 rethursing with the second	Phi raska sin (2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	e Red Grape 11 left. The Good 299 and under Froeturns the soverall. I nesfers as well as the soverall is the soverall in	e: ange led a d) their rr and out. st. The H lillinois st. The Sign Ten Sign	o win in 19; most conf p he here (19; FD them 3 ding QB Ad duskers only after a suc s 22 Super Illini O-line	24. Nebras ts in 1H in 9) when NU 1rian Martin y have Fon coessful rur - Seniors ar is a vetera	ska had 5 T 10 years. J won their 42-38 roac 42-38 roac 42-38 roac 42-38 roac 42-38 roac 42-38 roac 43-38 roac	0.0%  Illinois r 900th win (-did win deck.) and or so overa more or so over a cors over a
2020 Nebraska Illinois 2019 Nebraska Illinois 2018 Nebraska	Rank - - Rank -	s Meetir Line Line Line Line Line 13 62.5 Line 17.5 70	1195 (Last Score 128) 41 41 Score 42 38 Score 54 35	22 ye FD 225 4 25 5 FD 14 3 FD 222 3	Rush 6 44-215 52-285 84-346 88-221 Rush 6 49-383	2hilSteele Comp-Att-Vi 18-30-17:18-25-20:0 22-34-32:32-2 9-24-78:2 24-34-29:9	8.com) ds TO's 5 5 ds TO's 3 ds TO's 6 5 - 6 5 - 7 5 5 - 7 5 5 - 7 5 5 - 7 5 5 - 7 5 5 - 7 5 5 - 7 5 5 - 7 5 5 - 7 5 5 - 7 7 7 7	Contents	236 yard 198 yard	P P	Projected Projecte Nebraska Projecte Nebraska Projected N	I Box Si Illin ed Passin Illin Yardage I	ng nois nois nois nois Total nois ore	207 yard:	0.11 0.12 0.13	Nebraska	Illinois B/WR B/WR B/WR B/B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B/S B	Home  Home  Representation of the second of	jory ie tal	0-0	Team & Margin Nebraska By 7 55 Points	Last included in the second in the and in being	year, Illinois ding fumble 1-17 with N e (just the 6 This is Scott cover at Rut; is has a new rits at top not the roster he g NFL prosper	got their first won first play. Illie beraska going is beraska going is the program to different first war was the first best tea gers last year busheriff in town O'Line and 1 id been fortified to clay and the control of the contro	vin at Nebrinois	Ph rraska since 8-10 H (2) with 2:11 as an AF Bieleman et key tran 1-12 ratio	te Red Gra 290-203 yr. 11 left. Thi 16 500-299 rning start under Fro eturns the s overall. I nsfers as v	enge led a d) their m and out-	o win in 19; nost conf p e here (19 FD them 3 ding QB Ad luskers only after a suc s 22 Super Illini O-line QB Peters	24. Nebras tis in 1H in 19 years and 1H in 19 years	0 0 10 years. J won their 42-38 roaca roac, They o dham on o roac wiscor nd 40 senis an group w 8 ratio. Net	0.0%  TO's  Illinois  F 900th  d win (-  did win  deck.  He rors overant  with all 5
2020 Nebraska Illinois 2019 Nebraska Illinois 2018 Nebraska Illinois	Rank - Rank - Rank - Rank	s Meetir Line Line Line Line Line 13 62.5 Line 17.5 70	23 41 Score 42 33 8 Score 54 35 55 56 56 57	22 yes  FD  25 5  FD  32 6  14 3  FD  22 2 3 4	Rush 6 44-215 52-285 54-346 88-221 Rush 6 49-383	2/hilSteel-1 Comp-Att-Y 18-25-201 18-25-201 9-24-78 9-24-78 11-25-12(11-25-	B.com) ds T0's 5 5 ds T0's 3 ds T0's 5 3 ds T0's 5 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 7 8 7 8	Table of Contents	236 yarc 198 yarc 434 yarc	P P	Projected Projecte Nebraska Projected V Nebraska Projected V Nebraska Projected	I Box Solution and Illing and Ill	ng nois nois Total nois Total nois	207 yard: 177 yard: 383 yard:	0.11 0.12 0.13	Nebraska	Jilinois B/WR B/S B/S B/S B/S I-OL O.: OL OL DL ST DL O.: OL B/S	Home  Home  Home  Home  Home  Reference Among the Among	nory ne tal	0-0	Team & Margin Nebraska By 7 55 Points Nebraska By 3.8	Last included led 4 and Illino inher and being 6-1.1	year, Illinois ding fumble 1-17 with N e (Just the 6 This is Scott cover at Rut; is has a new rits a top not the roster he g NFL prospe	got their first w on first play. Illi ebraska going 5 th program to d Frosts best tea gers last year b sheriff in town ch OLine and 1 d been fortified cts. QB Martine erence foes the	vin at Nebi inois led 2: 4/7 for TEG of so) out of the 31 and Bret 8 rets man 2 has a 14: last 2 yee	Phi one and a service of the service	to Red Gray 11 left. The George Transcript of Soundary 12 left. The	eled a did not be a fine to the control of the cont	a win in 19; most conf p ie here (19 B ad ding QB Ad duskers only after a suc \$ 22 Super Illini O-line QB Peters ossup and	24. Nebras ts in 1H in 9) when NL 12-14 in a 4 frian Martir y have Fon coessful rur Seniors ar is a vetera- has a 21-8 home edge	0 0 10 years. J won their 42-38 roaca roac, They o dham on o roac wiscor nd 40 senis an group w 8 ratio. Net	0.0%  TO's  Illinois  I' 900th  did win  deck.  nsin. He  ros overa  with all 5
2020 Nebraska Illinois 2019 Nebraska Illinois 2018 Nebraska Illinois 2018 Illinois 2017	Rank - Rank - Rank - Rank	Line -17.5	195 (Last Score 23 41 52 Score 42 38 55 54 35 Score 54 35	22 ye FD 25 4 332 6 314 3 FD 222 3 4 FF 6 FF 8	Rush (	2hiiSteele Comp Alt-Y. 18-30-17: Ecomp Alt-Y. 22-34-32: Ecomp Alt-Y. 24-34-32: Ecomp Alt-Y. 24-34-32: Ecomp Alt-Y.	8.COM). ds TO's ds TO's ds TO's ds TO's ds TO's	Table of Contents	236 yarc 198 yarc 434 yarc	P P	Projected Projecte Nebraska Projected Nebraska Projected Nebraska Projected Nebraska	I Box Solution and Illing and Ill	ng nois reg nois Total nois ore nois	207 yard: 177 yard: 383 yard:	0.1.1 0.4.4 0.4.4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	Mebraska  Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Illinois (  Illinois (  Nebraska (  Illinois	Illinois B/WR B/S	Home  Home  Representation of the second of	nory ne tal	0-0	Team & Margin Nebraska By 7 55 Points Nebraska By 3.8	Last included led 4 and Illino inher and being 6-1.1	year, Illinois ding fumble 1-17 with N e (Just the 6 This is Scott cover at Rut; is has a new rits a top not the roster he g NFL prospe	got their first won first play. Illie beraska going is beraska going is the program to different first war was the first best tea gers last year busheriff in town O'Line and 1 id been fortified to clay and the control of the contro	vin at Nebi inois led 2: 4/7 for TEG of so) out of the 31 and Bret 8 rets man 2 has a 14: last 2 yee	Phi one and a service of the service	to Red Gray 11 left. The George Transcript of Soundary 12 left. The	eled a did not be a fine to the control of the cont	a win in 19; most conf p ie here (19 B ad ding QB Ad duskers only after a suc \$ 22 Super Illini O-line QB Peters ossup and	24. Nebras ts in 1H in 9) when NL 12-14 in a 4 frian Martir y have Fon coessful rur Seniors ar is a vetera- has a 21-8 home edge	0 0 10 years. J won their 42-38 roaca roac, They o dham on o roac wiscor nd 40 senis an group w 8 ratio. Net	0.0%  TO's  Illinois  I' 900th  did win  deck.  nsin. He  ros overa  with all 5
2020 Nebraska Illinois 2019 Nebraska Illinois 2018 Nebraska Illinois 2018 Nebraska Illinois	Rank - Rank - Rank - Rank	S Meetir Line -16.5 60 Line -13 62.5 Line -17.5 70 Line -5.5 47	105 (Last) Score 23 41 502 42 38 505 54 35 56 66	22 yes FP	Rush 6 4-215 8-2-285 8-221 Rush 6 90-383 Rush 6 90-383 37-93	PhilSteell 18-30-17: 18-35-007: 18-35-007: 22-34-32: 9-24-78: 24-34-29: 11-25-121: Compative: 11-25-121: Compa	Ba.com)  ds T0's  5 5  5 7  6 7  7 7  6 7  7 7  6 8  7 7  8 7  8	Table of Contents	236 yard 198 yard 434 yard 31 point	P ds ds ds	Projected Projecte Nebraska Projected Nebraska Projected Nebraska Experience	I Box S  I Box S  I Box S  Illin  Illin  I Final Sc  Illin  Ce Rankir  Illin	onois 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	207 yard: 177 yard: 383 yard: 29 points	0.1.1 0.4.4 0.4.4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	Mebraska  Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Nebraska (  Illinois (  Nebraska (  Illinois (  Nebraska (  Vis. (  Illinois (  Nebraska (  Vis. (  Illinois (  Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Illinois (  Nebraska (   Nebraska (   Illinois (  Nebraska (   Illinois (   Nebraska (   Illinois (    Illinois (   Illinois (   Illinois (   Illinois (    Illinois (    Illinois (    Illinois (    Illinois (     Illinois (      Illinois (      Illinois (       Illinois (          Illinois (	Illinois B/WR B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/	Home  Home  Graph of the state	nory ne tal	0-0	Team & Margin Nebraska By 7. S5 Points Nebraska By 7.6 Nebraska 434-383	Last included led 4 and Illino inher and being 6-1.1	year, Illinois ding fumble 1-17 with N e (Just the 6 This is Scott cover at Rut; is has a new rits a top not the roster he g NFL prospe	got their first w on first play. Illi ebraska going 5 th program to d Frosts best tea gers last year b sheriff in town ch OLine and 1 d been fortified cts. QB Martine erence foes the	vin at Nebi inois led 2: 4/7 for TEG of so) out of the 31 and Bret 8 rets man 2 has a 14: last 2 yee	Phi one and a service of the service	to Red Gray 11 left. The George Transcript of Soundary 12 left. The	eled a did not be a fine to the control of the cont	a win in 19; most conf p ie here (19 B ad ding QB Ad duskers only after a suc \$ 22 Super Illini O-line QB Peters ossup and	24. Nebras ts in 1H in 9) when NL 12-14 in a 4 frian Martir y have Fon coessful rur Seniors ar is a vetera- has a 21-8 home edge	0 0 10 years. J won their 42-38 roaca roac, They o dham on o roac wiscor nd 40 senis an group w 8 ratio. Net	0.0%  TO's  Illinois  I' 900th  did win  deck.  nsin. He  ros overa  with all 5
2020 Nebraska Illinois 2019 Nebraska Illinois 2018 Nebraska Illinois 2017 Nebraska Illinois	Rank Rank Rank Rank Rank	Line -16.5 6.5 6.2.5 Line -17.5 Cline -5.5 Line -5.5 Line -5.5 Line -5.5 Line -5.5 Line -5.5 Line	11gs (Last Score 23 41 Score 42 38 Score 54 35 Score 28 6 6	22 yes  FD  FD  32 6  FD  75  FD  15  FD  15  FD  75  FD	Rush (14-215) Rush (14-215) Rush (14-215) Rush (14-216) Rush (14-316) Rush (18-38-165) Rush (18-38-165) Rush (18-38-165) Rush (18-38-165)	2hiiSteel 18-30-17: 18-35-20: 18-35-20: 18-25-20: 18-25-20: 19-24-31: 11-25-12: 11-25-12: 10-16-10:	a.com) ds To's 5 5 ds To's 3 ds To's 5 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Table of Contents	236 yard 198 yard 434 yard 31 point	P ds ds ds	Projected Projecte Nebraska Projected Y Nebraska Projected Nebraska Projected Nebraska	I Box S  I Box S  I Box S  Illin  Illin  I Final Sc  Illin  Ce Rankir  Illin	nois 19 nois Total nois ore nois ngs nois ength	207 yard: 177 yard: 383 yard: 29 points	0.1.1 0.4.4 0.4.4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	Nebraska	Illinois B/WR B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/B/	Home  Home  Home  Home  Home  Reference Among the Among	nory ne tal	0-0	Team & Margin Nebraska By 7. S5 Points Nebraska By 7.6 Nebraska 434-383	Last included led 4 and Illino inher and being 6-1.1	year, Illinois ding fumble 1-17 with N e (Just the 6 This is Scott cover at Rut; is has a new rits a top not the roster he g NFL prospe ATS vs. configuration of the roster he g NFL prospe a NFL prospe	got their first w on first play. Illi ebraska going 5 th program to d Frosts best tea gers last year b sheriff in town ch OLine and 1 d been fortified cts. QB Martine erence foes the	win at Neb inois led 2i 4/7 for UE go with ut at a Bret I with with with with with more than the more than the more than the will call and will be with the will be will be with the will be w	Phi Residual of the second of	we Red Grape of the Section 11 to 12	unge led a d d) their m e last tim and out- ters inclu H illinois ha e lee Big Ten and Illini and allini at the tof the \text{V}	o win in 193 most conf p ie here (191 FID them 3 ding QB Ad tluskers only after a suc s 22 Super Illini O-line QB Peters ossup and Week in 20	24. Nebras ts in 1H in 9) when NL 12-14 in a 4 drian Martin y have Fon coessful rur. Seniors ar is a vetera- has a 21-8 has ae 21-8	o 0 1 10 years. J won their 42-38 road on a t Wiscoro d 40 senis an group w 8 ratio. Net es will be a	0.0%  TO's  Illinois  I' 900th  did win  deck.  nsin. He  ros overa  with all 5

Hawaii Rainbow Warriors	'	OVERALL: OVERA 0-0 0-0	)				UCLA Bruin	s			
Rainbow Warriors Logs           FOE         TEAM        PS RANK         FO		vs. MTW vs. PAC 0-0 0-0				••	Bruins Logs	TE	AM D/	S RANK	FOE
TM REC   FOE   TM   FOE   FOE	ST	NCAA RANKINGS	TM REC FOE	TM FOE CONF CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	TM FOE	DATE FOE	SCORE W/L OFF	AMPS DEF ST		DEF ST
0-0 0-0 122 131 8/28 at UCLA 90 57 86 28 32	82	2020 TEAM RANKINGS	0-0 0-0		131 122		8/28 Hawaii	28	32 82		57 86
0 9/4 Portland St 0-0 0-0 124 9/11 at Oregon St 71 83	69	Hawaii UCLA	Δ 0-0	0-0	144		9/4 LSU 9/11 Bye			16	10 16
0-0 0-0 124 9/18 San Jose St 92 58	64 #		#11 0-0				9/18 Fresno St				85 126
0-0 0-0 94 9/25 at New Mexico St 115 130			#67 0-0	0-0	131		9/25 at Stanford			58	67 37
0-0 0-0 121 10/2 Fresno St 33 85 10/9 Bye			<b>#20</b> 0-0 #19 0-0		134 116		10/2 Arizona St 10/9 at Arizona				24 23 106 102
0-0 0-0 126 10/16 at Nevada 25 62			#25 0-0				10/16 at Washington				27 57
0-0 0-0 94 10/23 New Mexico St 115 130			#29 0-0	0-0	135		10/23 Oregon			11	14 78
0-0 0-0 110 10/30 at Utah St 101 100 0-0 0-0 121 11/6 San Diego St 85 41			#115 0-0 # <b>69</b>	0-0	135		10/30 at Utah 11/6 Bye			21	9 38
0-0 0-0 105 11/13 at UNLV 119 122			#73 0-0	0-0	122		11/13 Colorado			55	47 95
0-0 0-0 120 11/20 Colorado St 118 76	92 #		#40 0-0		134		11/20 at USC			12	18 12
0-0 0-0 125 11/27 at Wyoming 83 46			#41 0-0	0-0	129		11/27 California			81	56 107
		T5 10tal 10 S	-2								
Net Offense Comp Yds Defense Comp Yds					Net		Offense Comp	Yds	Defense		Yds
	Sks			Score	Yds FD	TO's FD	RA-RY YPC Att	Pass Sks FD	RA-RY YPC	Att	Pass Sks
at UCLA  Portland St		ķ	Hawaii LSU								
at Oregon St		<u>.</u>	Bye								
San Jose St		Ē	Fresno St								
at New Mexico St Fresno St		S ES	at Stanford Arizona St								
Bye		\   \   \	at Arizona								
at Nevada		bow Wa	at Washington								
New Mexico St at Utah St		i Rainbow Wa TEAM AVERAGES UCLA Bruins	Oregon at Utah								
San Diego St		ie   ≅   jj	Bye								
at UNLV		i Rainl	Colorado								
Colorado St at Wyoming		Hawaii Rainbow Warriors TEAM AVERAGES UCLA Bruins	at USC California								
at wyoning		<u> </u>	California								
		ř									
	0.0%		Average Conferen		0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0 0.0 0.0% 0-0 0.0 0.0%	0 0.0% 0 0 0.0% 0	0-0 0.0	0.0%	0 0.0%
	0.0%		Home		0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0 0.0 0.0%	0 0.0% 0	0-0 0.0		0 0.0%
		Position by Position Edges						,			
	-	Hawaii UCL/	A								
			0.51								
		UCLA DB's									
		Hawaii RB's	1.58								
		vs. UCLA LB's	1.58								
		Hawaii OL									
			0.03								
	-	UCLA DL Hawaii DL									
			1.10								
		UCLA OL									
		Hawaii LB'S 0.19 vs.									
	"	UCLA RB's									
		Hawaii DB's									
	0	0.05 vs. UCLA OB/WR									
Last Five Years Meetings (Last 22 years at PhilSteele.com)		Hawaii ST's	Catego	iry	Team & Margin			Phil's Forecast:			
2017 Rank Line Score FD Rush Comp-Att-Yds TO's	0	0.37 <b>vs.</b>	Las Vegas Line								
Hawaii - 64 23 25 42-281 24-39-234		UCLA ST's	Las vegas Line		Ucla By 18	The Proin	ns have had 3 losing seasons	under Chin Volly and are	inet 10-21 but th	nie ie by far bio	heet team with
UCLA24 56 25 26-132 24-29-373 1 Projected Rushing	1	Hawaii K	Las Vegas Tot	al		10 return	ns nave nad 3 losing seasons ning starters on offense and	10 on defense, led by QB D	orian Thompson	-Robinson. Ke	lly is just 6-11
109 yards Hawaii UCLA 261 y.	ards		0.20		68.5 Points	SU at hor	me and 2-6 ATS as a HF losi	ng 5 of those outright. UCL	A does have a bi	ig game vs LSI	J on deck. Hawaii
Projected Passing		UCLA K	+/- Ratings				d UCLA 3 times. Last meeting 'S since '14 in road non-conf				
210 yards Hawaii UCLA 236 yı Projected Yardage Total	ards	Hawaii Coaches	0.55		Ucla By 12.0	ATS vs G	5 foes. Hawaii has 18 return	ing starters and Todd Grah	am now has had	an offseason	with his team
Projected Yardage Lotal	ards	vs. UCLA Coaches	Game Grade		Ucla By 17.2	after bein	ng a first-year head coach las	st year with no spring and h	ne will have them	n believing the	ey can win. While
310 yards Hawaii UCIA 407 w		Hawaii Pts			Ocia 3y 17.2	all the tal	lk will be about dual threat L something to prove here. To	ICLA QB DTK, Hawaii has th No vears ago Hawaii heat h	neir own dual thr oth Arizona and (	eat QB in Che Oregon St and	van Corderio who I Hawaii only has
319 yards Hawaii UCLA 497 ya Projected Final Score			3.97 Computer Yar	ds	Ucla 497-319	Portland 5	St on deck. UCLA is the stro	nger team and at home bu	t he angles say H	Jawaii the city	
210 yards Hawaii UCLA 236 yı Projected Yardage Total 319 yards Hawaii UCLA 497 yı C Projected Final Score 24 points Hawaii UCLA 38 po	ints 0	0.01									
9 319 yards Hawaii UCLA 497 y Projected Final Score E 24 points Hawaii UCLA 38 po Experience Rankings	ints 0	UCLA Pts						big and not reflective of the			
			Computer Poir	its	Ucla 38-24		a TD and like the live dog he				
Experience Rankings 2 9 Hawaii UCLA 23 Team Schedule Strength	3	UCLA Pts  POSITIONAL EDGE AWARDED TO	0:	nts							
Experience Rankings  2 9 Hawaii UCLA 23	3	UCLA Pts	Computer Poir O: Phil Steele	nts	Ucla 38-24 Ucla by 7		a TD and like the live dog he		e talent difference		

							UTEP	Mine	rs							OVER 0-		VERALL:					New	Mexico St	Aggies	5						
							Mine	rs Logs								vs. C	CUSA	vs. IND						Aggies Logs								
TM REC FOE	TM FO	OE TM	+/- FOE +/	'- тм	AP I FOE	E DAT	TE	FOE	sc	CORE W/L	TEAM OFF DEF	PS R ST	ANK OFF	DEF	DE ST	0-	-0 NCAA RANKINGS	0-0	TM REC FOE	TM FOE CONF CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	. AP . TM FOE	DATE	FOE	SCORE	W/L	TEAM OFF	DEF	PS RAN		FOE DEF	ST
	0-0	10					at New			, E	97 115			130	56		2020 TEAM RANKIN		0-0 0-0		94 105		8/28	UTEP	SCORE	,_		130			115	41
			100			9/4		hune-Coo	kmar							υī	EP New	w Mexico St	0-0	0-0				at San Diego St							41	17
0-0	0-	-0	126			9/11	at Bois						44	52	10	#89	Rush Off	#42	0-0	0-0	116		9/11 9/18	at New Mexico South Carolina	•					94	120	122
0-0		-0	116			9/25	. Nev	w Mexico					94	120	122	#83	Pass Off	#82	0-0	0-0			9/25	Hawaii	-						57	86
0-0		-0	101			10/2		Dominion						124	93	#101	Total Off	#61	0-0	0-0				at San Jose St							58	64
0-0 0-0		-0 -0	116 110			10/9		ithern Mis					102 110	80 87	46 98	#103 #25	Score Off Sks Allowed	#81	0-0	0-0	126		10/9	at Nevada Bve						25	62	14
			110			10/2							110		- 50	#38	Rush Def	#90	0-0	0-0	122		,	at Hawaii						90	57	86
0-0		-0	116			/-	0 at Flor		tic				91	44	108	#74	Pass Def	#125					10/30	Bye								
0-0	0-	-0 -0	124 105			11/6	.3 at Nor						70 68	59 117	34 27	# <b>46</b> #76	Total Def Score Def	#124 #105	0-0	0-0 0-0			11/6	Utah St at Alahama							100	24
0-0		-0	117				0 Rice							105			Sks By	#103	0-0	0-0				at Kentucky							42	52
0-0	0-	-0	122			11/2	?7 at UAB	3					74	29	47	#83	Ypg Diff	#109	0-0	0-0	95		11/27	UMass						128	129	84
																-7	Total TO's	-2														
			Net			Of	fense	C	omp \	Yds	Defense		Comp	Yds							Net		Offense	Comp	Yds			Defense		Comp	Yds	
Score		Yo	is FD	TO	's FD	RA-	RY YP	c i	Att P	Pass Sks	FD RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks					ore	Yds FD	TO's FD	RA-RY	YPC Att	Pass	Sks	FD	RA-RY	YPC	Att	Pass	Sks
at New Mexico St Bethune-Cookn																			UTEP at San Diego St													
at Boise St	IIaII																	S	at New Mexico													
Bye																		je	South Carolin	na St												
New Mexico Old Dominion																	v S	Aggies	Hawaii													
at Southern Miss																, ,	e   50	7	at San Jose St at Nevada													
Louisiana Tech																2.		Ş	Bye													
Bye																2		8	at Hawaii													
at Florida Atlantic UTSA																TED Min	O I EP MINELS	Mexico	Bye Utah St													
at North Texas																<u> </u>	-   4	ž	at Alabama													
Rice																_	-	-	at Kentucky													
at UAB																		New	UMass													
																		~														
Average		0-0 0.			0 0					0 0.0%			0.0%		0.0%				Average					4.5 62.3%								4.1%
Conference Away		0-0 0.			0 0					0 0.0%		0.0	0.0%		0.0%				Conference		4 -184.3 -6.8 9 27.2 -1.2		30-127 30-164			7.3% 6.9%		45-288 38-184				2.0% 8.0%
Away		0-0 0.	0.0	0.0	0 0	0-	0 0.0	0 0.	.070	0 0.0%	0 0-0	0.0	0.0%	U	0.076		Position by Position Ed	lges	Home	23-2	5 27.2 -1.2	-1.4 21	30-104	3.4 03.3%	234	0.970	22 .	00-104	4.9	30.370	207	0.076
																UT	EP Nev	v Mexico S	t													
																1.20	UTEP QB/WR															
																1.20	vs. New Mexico St DB	's														
																	UTEP RB's		1													
																1.61	vs.															
																	New Mexico St LB UTEP OL	's	-													
																1.75	VS.															
																	New Mexico St DI	L														
																	UTEP DL															
																0.12	vs. New Mexico St O	L														
																	UTEP LB'S		1													
																	vs.	0.40														
																	New Mexico St RB	's														
																	VS.	0.67														
																	New Mexico St QB/V	VR														
	e Years M										Projected	Box S	core				UTEP ST's		Category	· ·	Team & Margin					Phil's Fo	orecast:					
	Rank Lir			Rus		ıp-Att-Yd		's			Ojecteu	. <b>.</b>					vs.	0.30	Las Vegas Line													
UTEP	- 55			40-1		4-38-358											New Mexico St ST	's			Utep By 10	Now Man	vico C+ i-	0-6 SU & 1-5 ATS	50350n 0=-	aners (last	win vc C	al Doly in 1	'14) Th-	Aggies tra:	l the all t	ime
New Mexico St	7	7.5 4	4 17	25-2		9-31-182					Projecte	d Rushir	ng				UTEP K		Las Vegas Total					the Miners but an								
2018	Rank Lir	ine Sco	ore FD	Rus	sh Com	ıp-Att-Yd	ls TO	's	ts.	222 yards	UTEP	New Me	exico St	134	yards		vs.	0.60	Las vegas rota		59 Points	10 rivalr	y since 2	001 and they play	ed every ye	ear from 1	946-2000	). Last tin	me here ir	1 '19 UTEP	was on 1	.2
UTEP	- 49	9.5 2	0 24	64-2	235 10	0-16-194			ē		Projecte	d Passin	ıg				New Mexico St K					game FE	ss losing :	streak, finished wit en played just 2 FC	th a 557-44	41 yd edg 1 the sprin	e led 22-2	1 4Q, but	t lost 44-	35. Last y	ear NMSt	did not
New Mexico St	4	4.5 2	7 15	34-1	155 9	-18-156	2		Ŝ	255 yards	UTEP	New Me	exico St	247	yards		UTEP Coaches		+/- Ratings		Utep By 8.4	players b	out instea	d of the normal 15	5 spring pra	actices the	ey got in 3	5 practice	es and tw	o games. L	ook for Q	įΒ
2017	Rank Lir	ine Sco	ore FD	Rus	sh Com	ıp-Att-Yd	ls TO	's	ē.		Projected Y	ardage '	Total				vs.	0.35				Jonah Jo	hnson ar	d RB OMaury Sam	nuels (PS#2	28) to em	erge as big	time pla	yers this	year and L	T Sage D	oxater
UTEP	- 60	0.5 1		36-1		0-34-92			ple	476 vards	UTEP	New Me		382	vards		New Mexico St Coach	nes	Game Grade		Utep By 25.3			C. Martin is 11-15- a OB with an NFL								
New Mexico St	19			29-1		6-43-283	. 3		Ë	,	Projected			302	,		UTEP Pts		<b> </b>		211, 2, 2013	defense	has a sup	er pass rusher in I	Praise Ama	ewhule ar	nd shut do	wn CB in	Walter N	eil. They al	so add in	Ole
	Rank Lir			Rus		1p-Att-Yd			Ē	38 points	UTEP	New Me		33 p	ointe	4.68	VS.	2.32	Computer Yards		Utep 476-382	Miss C E	li Johnson	(12 sts). UTEP ha w Mexico St will ha	as not been	a road fa	vorite sind	ce 2015 a	and is 0-6	SU and AT	'S in road	d ocon
								•	3	20 points				33 P	rollitS	4.08			-		Otep 476-382			w Mexico St will no teams. UTEP has								
UTEP		9.5 3		49-2		5-28-229			č		Experience						New Mexico St Pt	•	Computer Point	5		of double	e-digit roa	d favorite when th	hey have ju	ıst one wi	n by more	than 10	points in	the last 4	years con	nbined.
New Mexico St	- 60	0.5 2	2 19	30-1	139 17	7-41-206	1			30	UTEP		exico St	8	35	POS	SITIONAL EDGE AWARI	DED TO:			Utep 38-33											
											Team Schee						UTEP		Phil Steele													
										127	UTEP	New Me	exico St	1	30						Utep by 3			New	Mexic	-n St	31 (4	LITE	FD 3/			
L																	By 2.36 Points	<u> </u>						1464	HEXIC	.5 30	<u> </u>	, 511	34			

						ticut Hu	skies					OVERA 0-0	)	OVERALL: 0-0					Fres	no St Bul	-						
505	TM F0F			A		ıskies Logs			TEAM	-PS RANK	FOE	vs. A/		vs. MTW 0-0	505	TH 505		AP	T	Bulldogs Log	S		TEAN	м	PS RANK-	·-	FOE
TM REC FOE REC	TM FOE	F TM +,	/- FOE +/-	тм ^/	FOE DATE	FOE	SCORE V	W/L OF		T OFF		0-0	NCAA RANKIN		TM REC FOE REC	TM FOE CONF CONF	TM +/- FOE +/-	TM FOE	DATE	FOE	SCORE	W/L	OFF	DEF		OFF DEF	
0-0	0-0	101			9/4 at			12	9 127 1	09		2	2020 TEAM RANK	INGS	0-0 0-0		121 101		8/28	Connecticut			33	85		128 129	
0-0	0-0		135		-,	Florida						Connec	ticut	Fresno St	0-0	0-0	135			at Oregon					1	11 14	78
0-0	0-0		132		9/18 9/25 at	Florida A&M						#100	Rush Off	#107	0-0	0-0	131		9/11 9/18 a	Cal Poly at UCLA						28 32	82
0-0			123		10/2 at							#75	Pass Off	#107	0-0	0-0			9/25	UNLV						119 122	
					10/9	Bye						#104	Total Off	#16	0-0	0-0				at Hawaii					9	90 57	
0-0			129			Tulsa						#115	Score Off	#35					10/9	Bye							
0-0	0-0 0-0		112			Temple						#120 #114	Sks Allowed	#93	0-0 0-0	0-0 0-0				at Wyoming						83 46	63 14
0-0			128 121			East Carolina Houston						#114	Rush Def Pass Def	#107 #45	0-0	0-0			,	Nevada at San Diego St						25 62 85 41	17
0-0			137			Cincinnati						#114	Total Def	#84	0-0	0-0			11/6	Boise St						44 52	
0-0	0-0		127		11/20 at							#124	Score Def	#68	0-0	0-0			11/13	New Mexico						94 120	
0-0	0-0		130		11/26 at	UCF						#59	Sks By	#30						Bye							
												#118	Ypg Diff	#36	0-0	0-0	124		11/27 a	at San Jose St					9	92 58	64
												-8	Total TO's	0													
			Net		Offense	Con	mp Yds		Defense	Comp	Yds						Net		Offense	Comp	Yds			Defense	Co	omp Yds	
s	core	Yds		TO's			•	Sks F			Pass Sks				Sc	ore	Yds FD	TO's FD		YPC Att	Pass	Sks				Att Pass	
at NC State												1			Connecticut												
Florida			_		-				-			1			at Oregon		1			-			_		-		-
Florida A&M		-										S		w	Cal Poly		1										
at BYU at SMU												Connecticut Huskies		Bulldogs	at UCLA UNLV			_				-					
Bye Bye		+										⊣ is	AVERAGES	ğ	at Hawaii		+										
Tulsa												<b>┧</b> ₹	<del> </del>	Ě	Bye												
Temple												<b>=</b>	<u>22</u>	<u> </u>	at Wyoming												
at East Carolin	a .											3		St	Nevada												
Houston												ΞĚ	2	S	at San Diego St												
Cincinnati												မ	TEAM	2	Boise St												
at Tulane at UCF												Ì		S	New Mexico Bye		-										
at oci												b		Fresno	at San Jose St												
												U		_													
A -		41 122	2 [0																								
Average				-0.7			7% 216 8		4 38-224 5						Average	0-1		0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%		0.0%	0	0-0		.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%				Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2		64.19	6 265 5.1%		Position by Position	Edges		9 0-1								0-0	0.0 0.0		
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%		Position by Position	Edges Fresno St	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec		Fresno St	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	Connecticut QB,	WR 1.07	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	ticut Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB	Fresno St WR 1.07	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	Connecticut QB,	Fresno St WR 1.07 s	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	ticut Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut RI vs.	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 0.89	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	cticut  Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB  Connecticut RI vs. Fresno St LB	Fresno St  WR	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	ticut Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut RI vs.	Fresno St  WR	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut RI vs. Fresno St LB Connecticut CI	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 0.89 s	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut RI vs. Fresno St LB Connecticut C	Fresno St  WR 1.07  s 0.89  L 1.20	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut RI vs. Fresno St LB Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut C vs. resno St DI	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 0.89 L 1.20 L 1.06	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut RI vs. Fresno St LB Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut C vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut S	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 1.07 s 0.89 L 1.20 L 1.06	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut RI vs. Fresno St LB Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut I vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut I connecticut I connecticut I connecticut I	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 1.07 s 0.89 t 1.20 t 1.06	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	ticut Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut RI vs. Fresno St LB: Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut L vs.	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 1.07 s 0.89 L 1.20 L 1.06	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut RI vs. Fresno St LB Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut C vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut LI vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut LI vs. Fresno St OR Fresno St OI Connecticut LI vs. Fresno St RB	1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.08   1.20   1.20   1.52   1.52   1.52   1.52   1.52	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference	e 15-	45 -177.	'.0 -6.8	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	ticut Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut RI vs. Fresno St LB: Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut L vs.	1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.08   1.20   1.20   1.52   1.52   1.52   1.52   1.52	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conferenc Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 4.2 59.6	5% 232 8	3.8% 2	5 40-259 6	64.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	tricut  Connecticut QB,  ys.  Fresno St DB  Connecticut RI  Connecticut Connecticut Connecticut Connecticut Connecticut Connecticut Connecticut LI  ys.  Fresno St OB  Connecticut LI  ys.  Fresno St RB  Connecticut LI  ys.  Fresno St QB/'  Fresno St QB/'  Fresno St QB/'  Fresno St QB/'	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 1.07 s 0.89 s L 1.20 L 1.06 'S 1.52 s 2.94 VR	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conferenc Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1	19 35-115	3.3 58.5 4.2 59.6	5% 232 8	3.8% 2 3.2% 2	5 40-259 6 6 40-248 6	.4 64.19 .3 66.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	Connecticut UB, Fresno St DB Connecticut XB, Fresno St DB Connecticut RI Connecticut C Connecticut C Connecticut LI Connecticut LI Vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut LI Vs. Fresno St QB Connecticut D Connecticut S Fresno St QB Connecticut D Connecticut C	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 1.07 s 0.89 s L 1.20 L 1.06 'S 1.52 s 2.94 VR	Conference	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conferenc Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 4.2 59.6	5% 232 8	3.8% 2 3.2% 2	5 40-259 6	.4 64.19 .3 66.19	6 265 5.1%	Connec	titut Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut VB, Fresno St LB Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut I vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut LI vs. Fresno St QB/ Connecticut US vs. Fresno St QB/ Connecticut S' vs.	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 1.07 s 0.89 t 1.20 1.20 1.52 s 1.52 s 2.94	Conference Home	9 0-1	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conferenc Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 4.2 59.6	5% 232 8	3.8% 2 3.2% 2	5 40-259 6 6 40-248 6	x Score	6 265 5.1%	Connec	titut  Connecticut QB,  Fresno St DB  Connecticut XI  Fresno St LB  Connecticut XI  Fresno St LB  Connecticut CI  vs.  Fresno St DI  Connecticut LI  vs.  Fresno St OI  Connecticut LI  vs.  Fresno St SI  Connecticut LI  vs.  Fresno St SI  Connecticut SI  vs.  Fresno St QB/  Connecticut SI  vs.  Fresno St SI	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 1.07 s 0.89 L 1.20 L 1.06 'S 1.52 s 2.94 VR	Conference Home	9 0-1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0	0-0	0.0 0.0	.0% 0	0.0%
Conference Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 59.6	%% 232 8 8 3% 174 8	Pr	5 40-259 6 6 40-248 6	x Score	6 265 5.1% 6 284 2.6%	Connec	titut Connecticut QB, vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut VB, Fresno St LB Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut C vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut I vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut LI vs. Fresno St QB/ Connecticut US vs. Fresno St QB/ Connecticut S' vs.	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 1.07 s 0.89 L 1.20 L 1.06 'S 1.52 s 2.94 VR	Conference Home	9 0-1	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	5	0-0 0-0	0.0 0.0% 0.0 0.0%	0 0	Phil's Fe	0 0	0-0 0-0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	2-7 loss at ##	0.0% 0.0%
Conferenc Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 59.6	%% 232 8 8 3% 174 8	Pr	5 40-259 6 6 40-248 6	x Score shing	6 265 5.1%	Connec	tituit Connecticut QB, Vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut VS. Fresno St LB Connecticut C Vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut I Vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut LI Vs. Fresno St OB Connecticut LI Vs. Fresno St QB Connecticut I Vs. Fresno St QB Connecticut I Connecticut I Vs. Fresno St RB Connecticut S	Fresno St WR 1.07 s 1.07 s 0.89 L 1.20 L 1.06 'S 1.52 s 2.94 VR	Conference Home  Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total	9 0-1	Team & Margin	5 First Me in 2018	eting. The F	0.0 0.0% 0.0 0.0%	0 0 0 SU & 1-2 #	Phil's Fo	0 0	0-0 0-0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	2-7 loss at # sis 3-1-2 ATS	0.0% 0.0%
Conference Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 59.6	%% 232 8 8 3% 174 8	Pr ds Co	Fojected Bo: Projected Ruinnectuut Projected Projected Purprojected Pu	x Score shing	6 265 5.1% 6 284 2.6%	0.66	titust  Connecticut QB, Fresno St DB  Connecticut XI Fresno St LB  Connecticut XI Fresno St LB  Connecticut C Vs. Fresno St OB  Connecticut L Vs. Fresno St OE  Connecticut L Vs. Fresno St OE  Connecticut L Vs. Fresno St QB/  Connecticut S' Vs. Fresno St QB/  Connecticut S' Connecticut S' Connecticut S' Connecticut S' Connecticut S' Connecticut Vs.	1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.06   1.06   1.06   1.52	Conference Home  Categor  Las Vegas Line	9 0-1	Team & Margin	5 First Me in 2018	eting. The h Since 201	0.0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% Huskies are 0-3 7 the Bulldogs as	0 0 0 SU & 1-2 A are 9-4-1 A	Phil's Fo	orecast: rent MWC t non-conf	0-0 0-0	o.0	2-7 loss at #3 is 3-1-2 ATS	0.0% 0.0%
Conference Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 59.6	%% 232 8 8 3% 174 8	Pt  dds Co  gdds Co  graph of the color of t	Fojected Bo: Projected Ruinnectuut Projected Projected Purprojected Pu	x Score shing Fresno St ssing	273 yards 454 yards	0.66	Connecticut UB, Fresno St DB Connecticut CB, Fresno St DB Connecticut Ca Connecticut Ca Connecticut Ca Connecticut LI Connecticut LI Vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut LI Vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut LI Vs. Fresno St QB/ Connecticut St Vs. Fresno St QB/ Connecticut Ca Vs. Fresno St ST Connecticut Ca	Fresno.St WR 1.07 s 1.07 s 0.89 L 1.20 L 1.06 'S 1.52 s 1.52 s 1.52 s 1.52 s 1.52 s 1.52	Conference Home  Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total  +/- Ratings	9 0-1	Team & Margin  Fresno St By 27.  62.5 Points	5 First Me in 2018 home of WR's CT.	eting. The F	0.0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% Huskies are 0-3 7 the Bulldogs as no is a legitima	0 0 0 SU & 1-2 A are 9-4-1 A te contende	Phil's Fe  ATS vs curr TTS against ler in the tc 10 starter	orecast: rent MWC t non-conf	C teams in ference for conference fo	o.0 o.0 o.0 o.0 o.0 o.0	2-7 loss at # sis 3-1-2 ATS Haenr RB R Baenr Hs RB R	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
Conference Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1 -0.3	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 59.6	%% 232 8 8 3% 174 8	Pt	rojected Bo	x Score shing Fresno St Ispe Total Fresno St Ispe Total Fresno St	273 yards	0.66	titust Connecticut QB, Vs. Fresno St DB Connecticut RI Connecticut RI Connecticut CI Vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut CI Vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut LI Vs. Fresno St QB Connecticut DI Vs. Fresno St QB/ Connecticut ST Connecticut ST Connecticut ST Connecticut ST Connecticut ST Vs. Fresno St QB/ Connecticut ST Connecticut ST Vs. Fresno St QB/ Connecticut ST Connecticut ST Vs. Fresno St XB Connecticut ST Connecticut ST Connecticut ST Connecticut ST Connecticut Coa	1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.06   1.06   1.06   1.06   1.06   1.07	Conference Home  Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total	9 0-1	Team & Margin Fresno St By 27.	5 First Me in 2018 1 home of WR's Cri	eting. The h Since 201 Seners. Free Spper and V	Huskies are 0-3 7 the Bulldogs as no is a legitima Wheatfall. The dendert since 2(	SU & 1-2 A are 9-4-1 A fare contend defense has	Phil's Fo	orecast: rent MWC t non-conf ough MW s back. Af	0-0 0-0 0-0	o.0 o.0 o.0 o.0 o.0 o.0	2-7 loss at #.: 2-7 loss at #.: 3-3 s 3-1 - 2 RTS R4 ason this will most teams a most teams a	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
Conferenc Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1 -0.3	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 59.6	%% 232 8 8 3% 174 8	Pr dds Cdds C Frds Cdds C C	Projected Bo.  Projected Ru  projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Final	x Score shing Fresno St ussing Fresno St usge Total Fresno St usge Total Fresno St usge Total	273 yards 454 yards 727 yards	0.66 1.75 1.00	titust  Connecticut QB,  Fresno St DB  Connecticut XI  Fresno St LB  Connecticut XI  Fresno St LB  Connecticut CI  Vs.  Fresno St OB  Connecticut I  Vs.  Fresno St OB  Connecticut I  Vs.  Fresno St OB  Connecticut I  Vs.  Fresno St QB/  Connecticut S'  Vs.  Fresno St ST  Connecticut S'  Vs.  Fresno St ST  Connecticut S'  Vs.  Fresno St ST  Connecticut S'  Vs.  Fresno St COnnecticut S'  Vs.  Fresno St COnnecticut S'  Vs.  Fresno St COnnecticut S'  Vs.  Fresno St Connecticut S'  Vs.  Fresno St Connecticut S'  Vs.  Fresno St Connecticut S'  Connecticut S'  Vs.  Fresno St Connecticut S'	Fresno.St WR 1.07 s 1.07 s 0.89 1.20 1.20 1.06 'S 1.52 s 1	Conference Home  Categor Las Vegas Ine Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade	9 0-1	Team & Margin Fresno St By 27. 62.5 Points Fresno St By 23.	5 First Me in 2018 1 home of WR's Gr 1 st die in condition lots their	eting. The I+ Since 201 seners. Fres spoper and V as an Indep	0.0 0.0% 0.0 0.0% Huskies are 0-3 7 the Bulldogs as no is a legitima	SU & 1-2 Are 9-4-1 Ate contender feense has 03. Coach ger and str	Phil's Fe  ATS vs curr TTS against er in the tr 10 starter Edsall feel onger and	0 0 0 ron-confough MW is back. Af is they go he	0-0 0-0 0-0	o.o	2-7 loss at # 5 is 3-1-2 ATS Haener, RB R ason this will most teams a fin Mensah. 1	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
Conferenc Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1 -0.3	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 4.2 59.6	%% 232 8 8 3% 174 8	Pt	Fojected Boomericut  Projected Runnecticut  Projected Yardanecticut  Projected Yardanecticut  Projected Yardanecticut  Projected Finanecticut	x Score shing Fresno St age Total Fresno St Il Score Fresno St	273 yards 454 yards	0.66	Connecticut UB, Fresno St DB Connecticut RI Connecticut RI Connecticut RI Connecticut RI Connecticut Connecticut RI Vs. Fresno St DI Connecticut LI Vs. Fresno St OB Connecticut LI Vs. Fresno St RB Connecticut St Vs. Fresno St RB Connecticut ST Connecticut ST Vs. Fresno St RB Connecticut ST Connecticut Connectic	1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.06   1.06   1.52	Conference Home  Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total  +/- Ratings	9 0-1	Team & Margin  Fresno St By 27.  62.5 Points	5 First Me in 2018 home of WR's Cn 1st gm : condition lost their	eting. The heting. The leting. The leting. The leting. Since	Huskies are 0-3 7 the Bulldogs as no is a legitima Wheatfall. The dendert since 20	SU & 1-2 A are 9-4-1 A te contend defense has 303. Coach ger and str e of 46-18	Phil's Fo	0 0 0 0 recast:	0-0 0-0 0-0	o.o	2-7 loss at # 5 is 3-1-2 ATS Haener, RB R ason this will most teams a fin Mensah. 1	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
Conferenc Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1 -0.3	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 59.6	37 yarr 64 yard 119 poin	Pr ds Cods Cods Cods Cods Cods Cods Cods C	Projected Bo.  Projected Ru  Projected Parecticut  Projected Yarda  Projected Fina  Projected	x Score shing Fresno St ssing Total Fresno St Il Fresno St Il Fresno St Il Fresno St Il Knikings	273 yards 454 yards 47 yords 47 points	0.66 1.75 1.00	titust  Connecticut QB, Fresno St DB  Connecticut RC Vs. Fresno St LB  Connecticut CC Vs. Fresno St DI  Connecticut C Vs. Fresno St DI  Connecticut C Vs. Fresno St RB  Connecticut D Vs. Fresno St RB  Connecticut S Vs. Fresno St ST  Connecticut Co Vs. Fresno St ST  Connecticut Co Vs. Fresno St Coac  Connecticut Co Vs. Fresno St Coac  Connecticut P Vs.	1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.06   1.06   1.06   1.52	Conference Home  Categor Las Vegas Ine Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade	9 0-1	Team & Margin Fresno St By 27.  62.5 Points Fresno St By 91. Fresno St Z27-10	5  First Me in 2018 home or WR's Cr 1st gm : condition lost this	eting. The heting. The leting. The leting. The leting. Since	Huskies are 0-3 7 the Bulldogs as no is a legitima wheatfall. The dendent since 2(week getting big sby an average by an average sby an average since 200 more dendent since 200 more de	SU & 1-2 A are 9-4-1 A te contend defense has 303. Coach ger and str e of 46-18	Phil's Fo	0 0 0 0 recast:	0-0 0-0 0-0	o.o	2-7 loss at # 5 is 3-1-2 ATS Haener, RB R ason this will most teams a fin Mensah. 1	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
Conference Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1 -0.3	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 59.6	%% 232 8 8 3% 174 8	Pr	Fojected Bo: Projected Ru  Projected Pa  Projected Yarda  Projected Yarda  Projected Fina  Pro	x Score shing Fresno St issing Fresno St issing Fresno St issing Fresno St if Score Fresno St inkings Fresno St inkings	273 yards 454 yards 727 yards	0.66 1.75 1.00	titut Connecticut QB, Fresno St DB Connecticut XI Fresno St LB Connecticut XI Fresno St LB Connecticut XI Fresno St DI Connecticut I vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut I vs. Fresno St OI Connecticut I vs. Fresno St QB/I Connecticut S' vs. Fresno St QB/I Connecticut S' vs. Fresno St ST Connecticut S' vs. Fresno St ST Connecticut Vs. Fresno St ST Connecticut Vs. Fresno St CO Connecticut CO Connec	1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.06   1.06   1.06   1.52	Conference Home  Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total +/- Ratings Game Grade Computer Yards	9 0-1	Team & Margin Fresno St By 27. 62.5 Points Fresno St By 23.	5  First Me in 2018 home or WR's Cr 1st gm : condition lost this	eting. The heting. The leting. The leting. The leting. Since	Huskies are 0-3 7 the Bulldogs as no is a legitima wheatfall. The dendent since 2(week getting big sby an average by an average sby an average since 200 more dendent since 200 more de	SU & 1-2 A are 9-4-1 A te contend defense has 303. Coach ger and str e of 46-18	Phil's Fo	0 0 0 0 recast:	0-0 0-0 0-0	o.o	2-7 loss at # 5 is 3-1-2 ATS Haener, RB R ason this will most teams a fin Mensah. 1	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
Conferenc Away	15	45 -177. 46 -217.	.0 -6.8 .2 -9.0	-1.1 -0.3	19 35-115 17 34-141	3.3 58.5 59.6	37 yarr 64 yard 119 poin	Pr dds Codds	Projected Boomecticut Projected Function Projected Puncettout Projected Puncettout Projected Function Projected Function Functin Function Function Function Function Function Function Function	x Score shing Fresno St issing Fresno St issing Fresno St issing Fresno St if Score Fresno St inkings Fresno St inkings	273 yards 454 yards 47 yords 47 points	0.66 1.75 1.00	titust  Connecticut QB, Fresno St DB  Connecticut RC Vs. Fresno St LB  Connecticut CC Vs. Fresno St DI  Connecticut C Vs. Fresno St DI  Connecticut C Vs. Fresno St RB  Connecticut D Vs. Fresno St RB  Connecticut S Vs. Fresno St ST  Connecticut Co Vs. Fresno St ST  Connecticut Co Vs. Fresno St Coac  Connecticut Co Vs. Fresno St Coac  Connecticut P Vs.	1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.07   1.06   1.06   1.06   1.52	Conference Home  Categor Las Vegas Line Las Vegas Total  +/- Ratings Game Grade  Computer Yards	9 0-1	Team & Margin Fresno St By 27.  62.5 Points Fresno St By 91. Fresno St Z27-10	5. First Me in 2018 home of WR's Crn 5 condition lost their as an AI as an AI as a first f	eting. The heting. The leting. The leting. The leting. Since	Huskies are 0-3 7 the Bulldogs sno is a legitima beatfall. The dendent since 2 week getting big es by an averag 8. Fresno is 9-4	SU & 1-2 A are 9-4-1 A te contend defense has 303. Coach ger and str e of 46-18	Phil's Fe  ATS vs curr TTS against ier in the tr 10 starter Edsall feel onger and in 2019 th non conf fc	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 0-0 ference for conference for conference cogressed in have a solice	o.o	2-7 loss at # 5 is 3-1-2 ATS Haener, RB R ason this will most teams a fin Mensah. 1	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line		
_	San Jose St By 24.5	
Las Vegas Total		These two teams have met twice before. First met in 2000 (SJSt win 47-7) and last met in '10 with SJSt (-14)
Las vegas rotar	56.5 Points	only winning 16-11. The Thunderbirds had 3 straight winning seasons from 2015-'17 including winning shares of the Big Sky Title & making the playoffs in both \15 & \17. After finishing 9-3 in \17 (Most wins ever as an FCS)
+/- Ratings		team) the shockingly plummeted to 1-10 in 2018 & finished 3-9 in '19. In the spring they finished just 1-5 but
+/- Katiligs	San Jose St By 29.2	their 5 losses were by a combined 15 pts with 4 being by 3 pts or less & they led Big Sky Champion Weber St 16-9 in the 4Q but lost 19-16. QB Justin Miller was a first time starter in the spring and threw for 1697 (66%,
Game Grade		15-4). Despite their records Southern Utah was just -25 ypg in Big Sky play in '19 and -32 ypg in the spring.
Game Grade	San Jose St By 42.6	San Jose is off an incredible season winning the MWC and has 19 returning starters They do have a big game vs USC on deck but do take care of business when expected to as they are 11-4 ATS as a HF the last 7 years
Computer Yards		and 8-1 SU and ATS vs FCS teams since 2012. They did lost to UCDavis outright in 2018. Southern Utah is 0-9
Computer rards	San Jose St 770- (-51)	SU vs FBS teams since 2014 and have lost the last 4 ATS losing by an average of 35.8 ppg including a 56-23 loss to UNLV in 2019. My computer is calling for San Jose to win this comfortably and they are only a 3 TD
Computer Points		favorite.
Computer Folits	San Jose St 44-6	
Phil Steele		
riii Steele	San Jose St by 30	San Jose St 40 Southern Utah 10
		San Jose St 40 Southern Otali 10

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vasas Lina		
Las Vegas Line	Indiana St By 13.5	
Las Vegas Total		Heading into the 2018 season, E.Illinois was off a 6-5 season and brought back 13 st'rs but finished 3-8. This
Las vegas rotar	50 Points	forced a coaching change & EIU brought in long-time Northwestern assistant coach Adam Cushing. The first- year HC got back 11 starters in '19 but the Panthers went just 1-11. Last season the Panthers played 6 games
+/- Ratings		in the spring and finished just 1-5 with their lone win coming against Tennessee Tech 28-20. After two years
17 Ratings	Indiana St By 18.8	as Pass Coor at Wyoming in '15-'16, Curt Mallory, son of former Indiana HC Bill Mallory, was named the Indiana
Game Grade		St HC in `17. After going 0-11 his first season he was named the MVFC Coach of Year after leading them to 7-4
	Eastern Illinois By 48.9	record in'18. They took a step back in '19 falling to 5-7 and then after not playing in the fall last year they opted to sit out the spring so have not played in two years. Indiana St plays in a much tougher conference
Computer Yards		(Missouri Valley) and did almost upset Kansas in 2019. They are the stronger team but Vegas has this pegged
	Indiana St 383-323	correctly as they are favored by 2 TD's and it will be interesting to watch how a team that sat out last year
Computer Points		plays in the opener.
	Indiana St 35-18	
Phil Steele		
	Indiana St by 14	Indiana St 31 Eastern Illinois 17
		Indiana St SI Lastern Inniois 17

Category	Team & Margin	Phil's Forecast:
Las Vegas Line	Nc Central By 5.5	Alcorn State has become SWAC royalty under HC Fred "Air" McNair. Alcorn won back-to-back SWAC titles in '18 and '19 and have made three Celebration Bowl appearances in the game's brief five-year history. They dod lost
Las Vegas Total	69 Points	the last two. Years to North Carolina A&T. McNair is a two-time SWAC Coach of the Year ('18,'19). In '18, Alcorn outgained opponents by 183 ypg, outscored them by 12 ppg, and held a +6 TO margin. In '19, Alcorn outgained opponents by 54 ypg, outscored them by 11 ppg, but boasted a +12 TO margin. While Alcorn has lost five all-conference performers and three HBCU All-Americans from their SWAC championship squad in '19,
+/- Ratings	Nc Central By 71.2	they return All-SWAC 1st Team performer, HBCU All-American, and the SWAC Offensive Player of the Year in '19, Sr. Felix "Triggerman" Harper. They do lose their top RB and only have 2 starters back on the O-line from '19 after sitting out all of last year. They lost 3 of their top 4 DL and #1 tackling LB but return 3 of the top 5
Game Grade	Nc Central By 0.0	from the secondary. NC Central experienced a four-year stretch from '14-'17 where they went 31-15, including an impressive 18-4 at home. This stretch was highlighted by a 9-3 campaign in '16 that saw NC Central go a perfect 5-0 at home and capture their first MEAC title since '73 while finishing #19 in the nation in the FCS Coaches Poll. NC Central earned a trip to the Celebration Bowl and is the only active MEAC member to appear in
Computer Yards	Alcorn St 375-344	the game. NC Central outgained opponents by an average of 87 ypg in '16. In '17, however, the number shrunk to just 3 ypg. The TO margin improved from -7 to +3 in '17, but NC Central went 7-4 and failed to repeat as champs. In '18, NC Central fell to -68 ypg and recorded their first losing season in five years. HC Trei
Computer Points	Alcorn St 41-24	Oliver, was brought in to lead his alma mater in '19. NC Central showed improvement, more than halving the difference in ypg (-32) but slipped to 4-8 and had their first losing season at home since '13 (2-3) while being shutout by a combined 78-0 in their final two games. Alcorn St is the stronger team and much more used to playing in big games
Phil Steele	Alcorn St by 17	Algorin St. 41 NC Control 24
		Alcorn St 41 NC Central 24